Iron-deficiency anemia is still the most common nutritional problem worldwide (1). In Brazil, the mandatory fortification of corn and wheat flours with Fe and folic acid has been an important strategy for reducing the prevalence of Fe-deficiency anemia (2). However, it is important to evaluate the impacts of fortifying foods from another perspective. In this context, it is necessary to know and understand the risks and consequences of a possible dietary Fe overload, especially in individuals considered non-anemic, for whom the program would not apply (3–5).

Intestinal Fe absorption is tightly regulated by body Fe stores (6, 7) such that, under normal circumstances, systemic Fe overload would be unlikely to occur. However, excessive amounts of intraluminal Fe could increase the susceptibility of the intestinal epithelial cells to oxidative damage and inflammation, with impacts on mucosal barrier integrity and intestinal permeability (8–12). For example, patients with hereditary hemochromatosis, a genetic disorder characterized by increased Fe absorption and tissue Fe accumulation, present more histological abnormalities in small and large intestine mucosa with inflammation features, such as increased number of lymphocytes and plasmocytes, villous blunting, and crypt distortion, in comparison with controls (12). Furthermore, histological scores based on morphological criteria are improved in rat models of colitis when dietary Fe is reduced, which implies that reducing the Fe supply could be a strategy for the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease (13).

In this study, we hypothesized that increased liver Fe levels due to dietary Fe overload may be associated with architectural changes in the cecal mucosa. Time-dependent changes in the cecal crypt morphology were observed as a result of Fe overload, and they were significantly associated with increases in liver Fe contents despite unaltered blood Hb levels. The implications of these findings on intestinal health and especially on the systemic changes caused by excessive and chronic consumption of Fe in the diet should be carefully evaluated in future studies.
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Iron-deficiency anemia is still the most common nutritional problem worldwide (1). In Brazil, the mandatory fortification of corn and wheat flours with Fe and folic acid has been an important strategy for reducing the prevalence of Fe-deficiency anemia (2). However, it is important to evaluate the impacts of fortifying foods with Fe from another perspective. In this context, it is necessary to know and understand the risks and consequences of a possible dietary Fe overload, especially in individuals considered non-anemic, for whom the program would not apply (3–5).

Intestinal Fe absorption is tightly regulated by body Fe stores (6, 7) such that, under normal circumstances, systemic Fe overload would be unlikely to occur. However, excessive amounts of intraluminal Fe could increase the susceptibility of the intestinal epithelial cells to oxidative damage and inflammation, with impacts on mucosal barrier integrity and intestinal permeability (8–12). For example, patients with hereditary hemochromatosis, a genetic disorder characterized by increased Fe absorption and tissue Fe accumulation, present more histological abnormalities in small and large intestine mucosa with inflammation features, such as increased number of lymphocytes and plasmocytes, villous blunting, and crypt distortion, in comparison with controls (12). Furthermore, histological scores based on morphological criteria are improved in rat models of colitis when dietary Fe is reduced, which implies that reducing the Fe supply could be a strategy for the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease (13).

In this study, we hypothesized that increased liver Fe levels due to dietary Fe overload may be associated with architectural changes in the cecal mucosa. Time-dependent changes in the cecal crypt morphology were observed as a result of Fe overload, and they were significantly associated with increases in liver Fe contents despite unaltered blood Hb levels. The implications of these findings on intestinal health and especially on the systemic changes caused by excessive and chronic consumption of Fe in the diet should be carefully evaluated in future studies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and experimental diets. The experimental protocol was approved by the Commission on Ethics in
Animal Experiments of the Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences of the University of São Paulo (CEEA 88/2005
FCF-USP) according to the guidelines of the Brazilian College on Animal Experimentation. Weanling male
Wistar rats (Rattus norvegicus, var. albinus; n = 33; initially weighing 46–52 g) were obtained from the colony
of the Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of São Paulo. All rats were housed in individual stainless
steel wire mesh cages under a controlled temperature (22°C ± 2°C) with a 12-h light-dark cycle (lights off from
8 PM to 8 AM). The experimental semipurified diets were modified from the formulation proposed by the American
Institute of Nutrition (AIN) for growth, pregnancy and lactational phases of rodents AIN-93G (14). Iron in the
form of encapsulated ferrous sulfate (FeSO₄·7H₂O; Ferri-Vet, São Paulo, Brazil) (15) was used in the mineral mixture formulation of the diets. The following factors were used for energy calculations: 4 calories per gram for carbohydrates and proteins, and 9 calories per gram for lipids.

Two experiments were performed. The animals were fed either Fe-adequate (control [CT] group) or Fe-over-
loaded (IO group) diets for 4 wk (Fe contents, 50 and 413 mg Fe/kg diet, respectively, as measured by atomic
absorption spectrophotometry [AAS; AAnalyst 100, Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, CT]; n = 10 for CT group and
n = 9 for IO group) and 12 wk (Fe contents, 49 and 580 mg Fe/kg diet, respectively; n = 7 for each group).
The animals were acclimatized for 5 d before starting the experiment. The food intake was determined daily,
and the body weight was recorded every 3 d. Food and demineralized water were offered ad libitum. The food
efficiency was determined as the weight gain per gram of food intake at the end of each experimental period.
Feces were quantitatively collected during the last 5 d of the experiment, pooled, and stored at 20°C.

Sampling procedures. At the end of the experimental periods, the rats were anesthetized with a
1 : 1 : 0.4 : 1.6 (v/v/v/v) mixture of ketamine (10 mg/kg; Vetaset, Fort Dodge, IA), xylazine (25 mg/kg; Vir-
baxil 2%, Virbac, São Paulo, Brazil), acepromazine (2 mg/mL; Acepran 0.2%, Univet S/A Indústria Veteri-
ária, São Paulo, Brazil) and demineralized H₂O. After the anesthesia had caused unconsciousness, blood was
withdrawn from the abdominal aorta for analysis of the Fe status parameters. The rats were then sacrificed
by exsanguination under anesthesia. The liver was perfused through the subhepatic vein with a NaCl solution
(9 g/L) to drain blood out of the organ. The liver was excised, weighed and stored for further analysis. The
cecum and its contents were removed, weighed, placed in a Petri dish with ice (16) and cut open along the small
curvature. The cecal content pH was measured in situ by inserting an electrode (UP-25; Denver Instrument,
Denver, CO) through the ileocecal junction, as described previously (17). Cecal tissue samples were collected
and fixed in 10% formaldehyde solution, stored in 70% etha-
nol and further used for histological and morphometric
analyses.

Blood hemoglobin and iron concentration in the diets, liver and stools. The blood Hb concentration was
determined with a commercial kit (Ref 43, Labtest; Lagoa Santa, MG, Brazil) via the cyanide Hb method (18)
and commercially available control material (Labtest). The Hb Fe pool was calculated according to the following
equation, assuming that 6.7% of body weight is blood and that Hb contains 0.335% Fe (19):

\[
\text{Hb Fe pool (mg)} = \frac{[\text{body weight (g)} \times \text{Hb (g/L)} \times 6.7 \times 0.335]}{10,000}
\]

Iron concentrations were determined in the diets, liver and stools. Liver analyses were performed from
the left lateral lobe of the liver. Dry feces (105°C, 15 h) were milled, and the powdered samples were utilized
for Fe analyses. Iron analyses were performed by AAS employing a hollow cathode lamp at 248.3 nm and slit
of 0.2 nm after wet digestion (HNO₃ : H₂O₂, 5 : 1; v/v). The working standard solution was prepared with ferric
chloride (FeCl₃) (Tritol, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). A defatted AIN-93G diet was employed as a secondary
standard. Flasks and glassware were demineralized prior to all mineral analyses.

Cecal histology and morphometric analyses. For histo-
logical examination, the tissue-fixed fragments were pro-
cessed and embedded in paraffin, and 5-μm-thick cuts
were obtained and stained with hematoxylin and eosin
(H.E.). The intensity and composition of inflammatory
infiltrate in the lamina propria and number of intraepi-
thelial lymphocytes/100 colonocytes were examined
independently by an experienced pathologist (L.R.M.S.),
who was blinded to the identity of the animals. Cecal
evaluation was graded as normal cecum (scored 0) or
mild (scored 1), moderate (scored 2) or marked (scored
3) typhlitis based on following criteria: (0) five or fewer
and scattered inflammatory mononuclear cells in the
lamina propria between the crypts was graded as a
normal cecum, (1) mildly increased number of inflam-
matory cells (mononuclear cells) at 40× in the lamina
propria of the inter-cryptal region that did not disrupt
normal perpendicular cryptal structure was graded as
mild typhlitis, (2) numerous inflammatory cells (mon-
nuclear cells) in the lamina propria, generally more
than 5 cells that filled the inter-cryptal region and mildly
increase separation of the crypts and may have altered
the cryptal structure and 2–3 layers below the crypt line
was graded as moderate typhlitis, (3) numerous inflam-
matory cells (mononuclear cells) that disrupted cryptal
structure and filled the inter-cryptal region and gener-
ally extend more than 3 layers below the crypt and into
the submucosa or invading cells in the crypt areas was
graded as marked typhlitis. The presence of neutrophils
was considered acute typhlitis even in few numbers;
infiltration of eosinophils was considered as eosino-
philic typhlitis when it was in predominant number in
the lamina propria. Other parameters were crypt mor-
phology, number of intraepithelial lymphocytes per 100
colonocytes, and number of mitoses in crypts. A crypt
with more than 3 mitoses/40× fields was considered as
marked hyperplasia.
Morphometric analysis included only crypts cut lengthwise that were used to measure the depth, with the crypts divided into arbitrary zones according to the lines of an ocular grid (Zeiss Integration Eyepiece I Kpl 8; Carl Zeiss, Hamburg, Germany) (20). These lines limited spaces or zones, which enabled us to calculate the number of spaces within each crypt. Each space was then taken as a standard measurement. At least 30 crypts per animal were used. For the determination of the number of bifurcating crypts, the crypts with an indentation at the base or presenting a longitudinal fission (one crypt mouth and two bases) were considered (21). The calculation was performed by determining the number of bifurcating crypts per microscopic field by the same criteria used to measure the depth of the crypts. To estimate the total number of crypts per microscopic field, obliquely sectioned crypts were included. At least 20 microscopic fields per animal were analyzed.

Statistical analysis. The statistical analysis was conducted with GraphPad Prism 5 for Windows, version 5.00 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Data are shown as the mean and standard deviation (SD) of the studied variable. The normality of the observations was verified through the Shapiro-Wilk test. The variable means of the groups were compared using the Mann-Whitney test. The correlation between parameters was assessed using Spearman’s correlation test. Differences were considered significant if p values were <0.05.

RESULTS

Total food and Fe intake, body weight gain, feed efficiency, hemoglobin, and liver and stool Fe concentrations

No significant differences in total food intake (week 4, 410 g; week 12, 1,370 g for the CT group) or body weight gain (week 4, 163 g; week 12, 293 g for the CT group) were observed between groups. These results were reflected in similar mean feed efficiencies between groups in both of the study periods. As expected, dietary Fe intake was significantly higher in IO animals than in controls (at weeks 4 and 12, p<0.0001; Fig. 1A). Dietary Fe overload led to higher fecal Fe excretion regardless of the period studied (p<0.0001; Fig. 1B). Interestingly, the difference between the mean values of the Fe content excreted in feces per day between the IO and CT groups (in the last 5 d of fecal collection) decreased by 6 mg from week 4 to week 12 (from 11.4 to 5.0 mg, respectively). However, the blood Hb and Hb Fe pools were not different between groups (Fig. 1C). By contrast, although the relative liver weight was unaffected at both time points (week 4, 3.9 g/100 g body weight; week 12, 4.3 g/100 g body weight, for the CT group), the liver Fe concentrations were significantly increased as a result of high Fe intake only at week 12 (week 4, p=0.29; week 12, p<0.001; Fig. 1D), an increment of approximately 3.4 mg Fe in the liver from week 4 to week 12 (from 0.5 to 3.9 mg, respectively).

Cecal weight, pH, mucosal histology and morphometric analysis

Dietary Fe overload did not influence the total cecum weight or the pH of the cecum contents during the early (week 4, 1.3 g/100 g body weight and pH value 6.5, for
the CT group, respectively) or late (week 12, 0.9 g/100 g body weight and pH value 7.0, for the CT group, respectively) periods. By contrast, although histological analysis did not reveal an inflammatory process in the cecal mucosa of either studied period, IO cecum at week 12 presented marked cryptal hyperplasia featured by an increased number of mitoses and nuclear overlap in the proliferative region of cryptal area (Fig. 2). According to morphometric analysis there was a trend for an increased number of crypts ($p = 0.06$) and crypt depth ($p = 0.06$) interpreted as a result of excess dietary Fe at week 4 of the experimental period. At week 12, these results were further intensified (number of crypts; $p = 0.002$) or maintained (crypt depth; $p = 0.07$) (Fig. 3A and B). At this point, these effects occurred concomitantly with a greater number of bifurcating crypts (in fission) in IO rats when compared to controls ($p = 0.01$; Fig. 2 and Fig. 3C) which also was corroborated with cryptal hyperplasia described in H.E. analyses (Fig. 2).

In Fe-overload situations, the liver increases its capacity for Fe storage and becomes susceptible to tissue damage because Fe is a catalyst in the Haber-Weiss reaction, which participates in the formation of reactive oxygen species ($8, 11, 22$). In fact, the present results show that hepatic Fe levels increase proportionally to dietary intake and that this increase was significant after 12 wk. We previously observed hepatic Fe accumulation in rats fed up to 40 times the recommended levels after 12 wk, and this effect was associated with increased hepatocyte apoptosis (Unpublished results). In fact, Fe-generated reactive oxygen species have been related to lipid peroxidation, increased mitochondrial membrane permeability, apoptosis and even necrosis in hepatic cells ($8, 10, 23$).

**DISCUSSION**

Iron supplementation, food fortification and/or dietary management have been considered the main strategies for controlling Fe-deficiency anemia. The objective of these strategies is to increase the supply of available Fe for Hb synthesis for a specific period of time. Apart from the enhanced risks of Fe overload as a result of higher Fe absorption in genetically susceptible individuals, the long-term effects of highly bioavailable Fe (as Fe supplements or heme Fe) and Fe-fortified food consumption in non-anemic individuals (to whom Fe fortification would not apply) have been discussed ($3–5$).

This aspect is particularly relevant considering the association of elevated Fe stores with aging-related diseases, ranging from cancer to Alzheimer’s, as well as other chronic diseases such as obesity, diabetes and cardiovascular diseases ($22–24$).

In Fe-overload situations, the liver increases its capacity for Fe storage and becomes susceptible to tissue damage because Fe is a catalyst in the Haber-Weiss reaction, which participates in the formation of reactive oxygen species ($8, 11, 22$). In fact, the present results show that hepatic Fe levels increase proportionally to dietary intake and that this increase was significant after 12 wk. We previously observed hepatic Fe accumulation in rats fed up to 40 times the recommended levels after 12 wk, and this effect was associated with increased hepatocyte apoptosis (Unpublished results). In fact, Fe-generated reactive oxygen species have been related to lipid peroxidation, increased mitochondrial membrane permeability, apoptosis and even necrosis in hepatic cells ($8, 10, 23$).
Dietary Iron Overload and Cecal Morphometry

Chronic dietary Fe overload can also alter intestinal homeostasis by a mechanism involving changes in gut microbiota composition, oxidative damage and inflammation (8–10, 13, 26, 27). Considering that fractional intestinal Fe absorption is frequently low, with minor variations depending on the food matrix or the physiological state, unabsorbed Fe in the small intestine reaches the large intestine in an “available” form within the range required for significant free radical production (8–10). These effects would impact (a) the mucosal barrier integrity by affecting the cellular dynamics and permeability in intestinal mucosa, as well as (b) the microbial composition and metabolic activity by stimulating the growth and pathogenicity of intestinal bacteria (9, 26–28).

The present findings demonstrated that dietary Fe overload induces morphological changes in the cecal crypts (increased mitoses in the cryptal area, crypt number, length and fission), which were intensified after 12 wk. Interestingly, only at this time point were these effects significantly associated with liver Fe stores, suggesting that increased liver Fe accumulation could have occurred as morphological changes took place in the intestine. Crypt hyperplasia in the large intestine has been shown in rodent studies after Fe supplementation, such as inorganic salts (9) or heme Fe (27), and could occur as a compensatory response to injury induced by excess Fe in the intestinal lumen (27). Although we used a dietary Fe loading at approximately 10 times the recommended levels for rodents (14), other authors have reported changes in crypt cellularity and lipid peroxidation with mild dietary Fe contents (approximately 100 mg Fe/kg diet) but with longer experiments (>6 mo) (9, 10). Moreover, to our knowledge, there are no studies describing cryptal hyperplasia and an increased number of crypts in the bifurcation process as a result of excess Fe in the diet. It has been proposed that crypt cell proliferation and crypt fission are complementary mechanisms for increasing tissue mass (29). Small indentations are observed in the base of a crypt, which then ascend longitudinally and proceed until there are two separate crypts (30), a process thought to be related to the expansion of the crypt stem cell population (31). This process is increased in postnatal development, during recovery of the intestine from injury and in crypts isolated from adenomas and hyperplastic polyps (31–33).

On the other hand, systemic Fe dysregulation can influence the inflammatory response in the gut by affecting circulating hepcidin levels (34). For example, increased hepatic hepcidin expression has been observed in rat models of gut inflammation (34). Hepcidin binds to membrane-associated ferroportin in intestinal cells (and other cell types), inhibiting cellular Fe release to circulation (35). Down-regulation of ferroportin leads to an accumulation of intracellular Fe, increased ferritin (the cell’s Fe storage protein) expression, and increased susceptibility to oxidative stress (35, 36). Thus, Fe overload, through its effects on crypt cell proliferation and microbiota, and an increased labile Fe pool mediated by hepcidin’s action on the intestinal cells might act additively to enhance the intestinal damage.

In summary, chronic dietary Fe overload led to liver Fe accumulation, an effect that was associated with changes in crypt architecture in the large intestinal mucosa. We consider that these changes may occur as a result of a change in microbiota and/or oxidative stress caused by higher amounts of Fe in the gut lumen. Whether these changes in the intestine could be ameliorated with dietary supplementation of antioxidants or anti-inflammatory bioactive compounds is an issue for further research. Thus, the implications of the present findings on the intestinal environment, and especially on the systemic changes caused by the chronic consumption of excess Fe, should be carefully evaluated in future studies.
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