Comparative Effect of Casein and Soybean Protein Isolate on Body Fat Accumulation in Adult Rats
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Summary The effect of dietary protein on the body fat accumulation was studied in rats. Adult rats weighing about 300 g were fed 21% protein (casein or soybean protein isolate) and 5% oil diets by pair-feeding for 65 days in Experiment 1. In Experiment 2, only protein and oil contents were changed, 25 and 10%, respectively. Final body weights of the two dietary groups were similar in both experiments, especially in Experiment 2. Total body fat was slightly lower in the soybean protein diet group than in the casein diet group in Experiment 2, only when it was expressed as the percentage against body weight. However, intra-abdominal fat was significantly lower in the soybean protein diet groups than in the casein diet groups in both experiments. Serum lipid levels were greatly lower in the soybean protein diet group than in the casein diet group in Experiment 2 (the data were not available in Experiment 1). The results suggest that dietary soybean protein has the effect to lower the intra-abdominal fat accumulation as compared with casein.
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The effects of dietary soybean protein on lowering the serum total-cholesterol and triglyceride levels have been observed in animals and men, usually by the comparison with casein (1–4). Iritani et al. (5) reported marked decrease of hepatic lipogenic enzymes by soybean protein. Saito (6) also reported high energy expenditure by brown adipose tissue in rats fed the soybean protein diet. Although these results suggest the reduction of body fat accumulation by soybean protein, there has been no study except one done by Inoue et al. (7). They did not observe the effect of soybean protein on lowering the fat accumulation in rats. However, such a result may be driven by the protein chosen as a representative of animal
protein for the comparison. They used lactalbumin, but our recent studies (unpublished) showed that lactalbumin had rather the effects of lowering the serum cholesterol and triglycerides, which was similar to the effect of soybean protein.

Dietary protein level is also an important factor for the lipid metabolism. The difference in the growth of rats affects the serum lipid levels greatly (8), indicating that the comparison of proteins should be done when the growth of animals is similar. The growth of rats is lower by soybean protein diet than by casein diet at the dietary protein level less than 20% (9, 10). Therefore we should use the diet in which protein level is higher than 20%. Furthermore, considering the effect of growth on lipid metabolism, adult rats may be preferable to young ones, because growth of the former is less than that of the latter.

We used two different diets. They were the diet of 21% protein and 5% oil (Experiment 1) and the diet of 25% protein and 10% oil (Experiment 2). There were three reasons for the choice of the diets. The first was that we could not observe clear difference of body fat accumulation in Experiment 1. The second was that by the higher dietary oil level, greater body fat accumulation could be expected (11). The third was not based on the scientific data, but on the expectation that the higher protein level would result in greater body fat accumulation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Animals and diets.* Male Wistar strain rats (Ryukyu Bioteck, Okinawa) weighing about 300 g were used. Fourteen of them were sacrificed and taken as an initial control group. The others were divided into four groups consisting of 7 rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Composition of experimental diets.</th>
<th>(g%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experiment 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21% Casein</td>
<td>21% SPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casein&lt;sup&gt;1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI&lt;sup&gt;2)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Corn starch&lt;sup&gt;3)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose&lt;sup&gt;4)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean oil&lt;sup&gt;5)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin mixture&lt;sup&gt;6)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt mixture&lt;sup&gt;6)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose&lt;sup&gt;7)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kcal/100g)</td>
<td>393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experiment 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25% Casein</td>
<td>25% SPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casein&lt;sup&gt;1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPI&lt;sup&gt;2)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Corn starch&lt;sup&gt;3)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose&lt;sup&gt;4)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean oil&lt;sup&gt;5)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin mixture&lt;sup&gt;6)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt mixture&lt;sup&gt;6)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose&lt;sup&gt;7)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kcal/100g)</td>
<td>414</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1)</sup> Crude protein was 84.3%. Obtained from Oriental Yeast Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan.  
<sup>2)</sup> Crude protein was 84.4% (Fujipro R). Donated by Fuji Oil Co., Osaka, Japan.  
<sup>3)</sup> Obtained from Oriental Yeast Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan.  
<sup>4)</sup> Granular sugar was used.  
<sup>5)</sup> Donated by Fuji Oil Co., Osaka, Japan.  
<sup>6)</sup> Oriental Mixture. Obtained from Oriental Yeast Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan.

each and fed the experimental diets (Table 1). The first two groups were fed 21% casein and soybean protein isolate (SPI) diet, respectively. SPI commercialized as Fujipro-R (Fuji Oil Co., Osaka, Japan) was used. Each diet contained 5% oil (Experiment 1). The last two groups were fed 25% casein and SPI diet, respectively, and each diet contained 10% oil (Experiment 2). The rats were maintained by pair-feeding for 65 days. Rats were kept in individual cages in an air-conditioned room of 25±2°C and of 70% humidity. Diets were prepared daily and water was given ad libitum from tap water with an automatically supplying system. Food intake was measured daily and body weight weekly.

Determination of body composition. The rats, which were fed for 65 days on the experimental diets, were sacrificed after overnight fast. The rats were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital and blood was withdrawn by heart puncture into syringe. Intra-abdominal fat (12) which consists of abdominal fat pad and fatty portions surrounding intestines and testes, was removed and weighed. The carcass including the intra-abdominal fat was stored at −35°C until analysis. The carcass was freeze-dried in a vacuum and then homogenized using a mixer. Amount of total body protein and fat was determined by Kjeldahl method (13) and ether extraction by Soxhlet apparatus (14), respectively.

Determination of plasma lipids. Plasma was separated by centrifugation (3,000 rpm, 15 min) and stored at −35°C until analysis. Plasma total cholesterol was determined by the COD-p-chlorophenol colorization method (15), HDL-cholesterol by the heparin-manganese precipitation method (16) and triglycerides by GPO-p-chlorophenol colorization method (17). For the determination the biochemical analyzing kits (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka) were used.

Statistics. Difference of statistical significance was analyzed by Student's t-test.

RESULTS

Table 2 shows the values of the food intake, body weight, body and tail length, body protein, body and intra-abdominal fat and plasma lipids of the experimental rats in 65 days on the diets. In Experiment 1, the results were similar except the intra-abdominal fat weight and its percentage against body weight between the casein and SPI diet group. In Experiment 2, we increased protein and oil contents to 25 and 10%, respectively. The average food intakes per day were similar in both groups, i.e., 65 kcal. Increment of body weight was very similar, being 110 g in casein group and 109 g in SPI group. Body and tail length were similar, showing that body figures were similar in both groups. Comparing the body compositions between both groups, the weight of body fat expressed as the percentage of body weight was significantly higher (p < 0.05) in the casein group than in the SPI group in Experiment 2. The intra-abdominal fat content was significantly higher in the casein groups in both experiments (p < 0.05).

Plasma lipid values were shown only for the samples of Experiment 2, because
Table 2. Food intake, body weight, body and tail length, body protein, body and intra-abdominal fat and plasma lipid concentrations of rats fed the experimental diets for 65 days.\(^1\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Initial control(^1)</th>
<th>Experiment 1</th>
<th>Experiment 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21% Casein</td>
<td>21% SPI</td>
<td>25% Casein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of rats</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake (g/rat/day)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16.9±0.7(^{NS})(^3)</td>
<td>16.2±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial body weight (g)</td>
<td>303±12</td>
<td>299±7(^{NS})</td>
<td>300±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final body weight (g)</td>
<td>303±12</td>
<td>405±21(^{NS})</td>
<td>389±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body length (cm)</td>
<td>39.9±1.8</td>
<td>41.9±0.5(^{NS})</td>
<td>42.1±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail length (cm)</td>
<td>16.8±0.4</td>
<td>17.1±0.3(^{NS})</td>
<td>17.6±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body protein (g)</td>
<td>60.5±3.5</td>
<td>81.2±3.6(^{NS})</td>
<td>76.7±6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% of body weight)</td>
<td>(20.3±0.6)</td>
<td>(20.1±1.1)(^{NS})</td>
<td>(19.8±2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (g)</td>
<td>30.9±3.9</td>
<td>81.8±13.4(^{NS})</td>
<td>74.9±8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% of body weight)</td>
<td>(10.7±1.2)</td>
<td>(20.1±2.7)(^{NS})</td>
<td>(19.2±1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-abdominal fat (g)</td>
<td>10.6±1.7</td>
<td>31.3±4.8(^{*})</td>
<td>26.2±3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% of body weight)</td>
<td>(3.5±0.6)</td>
<td>(7.7±0.9)(^{*})</td>
<td>(6.7±0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>68±21</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>35±4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dl)</td>
<td>113±26</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Values are means±SD.  \(^2\) Rats sacrificed before experimental diet.  \(^3\) Statistical significance by Student's t-test: *\(p<0.05\), **\(p<0.01\), ***\(p<0.001\). NS, not significantly different.
they were erroneously treated in Experiment 1. The values were generally about
two times higher in the casein group than in the initial control group. But they were
slightly higher in the SPI group as compared to those of the initial control group.
Total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and triglycerides of the casein group were
significantly higher than those of the SPI group with the level of $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$
and $p < 0.01$, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

In Experiment 1, we used 21% protein (casein and SPI) and 5% oil diets. In
Experiment 2, we increased both components to 25 and 10%, respectively. There
was no significant difference in the weight of body fat between the two dietary
groups in either experiments but the weight of body fat expressed as percentage
against body weight was lower in the SPI group than in the casein group in
Experiment 2 ($p < 0.05$). However, the body fat difference was not evident and
further studies are necessary to determine the different effect of the proteins on
whole body fat.

Concerning the fat accumulation, the results on intra-abdominal fat were
significant and need further evaluation. The intra-abdominal fat accumulation
observed was lower in the SPI group than in the casein group in both experiments.
The intra-abdominal fat accumulation was 31.3 g in the casein group and 26.2 g in
the SPI group in Experiment 1, and 42.8 and 38.8 g, respectively, in Experiment 2.
The difference was about 5 or 4 g. This was obtained from a small localized portion
and was more than 10% of the intra-abdominal fat, indicating the significance of
the difference. Fujioka et al. (12) observed that the intra-abdominal fat has a
greater effect on lipid and glucose metabolism than does the subcutaneous fat in
humans. For obesity, they classified abdominal and subcutaneous types. There are
more diabetic (mellitus) and hyperlipidemic patients in the former type obesity
than in the latter type. As for the mechanism, they estimate that the metabolism of
intra-abdominal fat is more active than subcutaneous fat and also that the fat goes
directly into liver and elevates the serum lipids. Although our experiments were
observed in rats, the results were similar. The serum total- and HDL-cholesterol
and triglycerides were much lower in the SPI group than in the casein group in
Experiment 2 (the data were not available in Experiment 1).

Our observations that the SPI diet decreased both the intra-abdominal fat
accumulation and plasma lipids would be supported by the data of other re-
searchers; Iritani et al. (5) reported marked decrease of a series of enzymes
concerning fatty acid synthesis in rat liver when rat was fed vegetable proteins (e.g.,
gluten, soybean protein) rather than animal proteins (e.g., casein, fish protein).
Saito (6) reported a higher thermogenesis in rat brown adipose tissue when rat was
maintained on a soybean protein hydrolyzate diet rather than on a well-balanced
amino acid mixture diet. Many other researchers also (1–4) observed lower serum
lipids by soybean protein than by casein.
In the present two experiments, we could show an effect of soybean protein on the reduction of body fat, especially intra-abdominal fat, by the comparison with casein; however, further experiments are necessary for the conclusion.

REFERENCES
