Structure and Functional Properties of Antioxidant Nanoemulsions Prepared with Tea Polyphenols and Soybean Protein Isolate
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Abstract: In this study, tea polyphenols (TP) was added to a soy protein isolate (SPI) to prepare nanoemulsions by ultra-high pressure homogenization (UHPH). The nanoemulsions were characterized by a confocal laser scanning electron microscopy, infrared spectroscopy, dynamic rheometer and size-potential analyzer. The effects of TP on the hydrophobicity, emulsifiability, particle size, potential and antioxidant capacity of the prepared nanoemulsions were investigated. The properties of the nanoemulsions with different concentrations of TP were analyzed. The results indicated that ultra-high pressure homogenization treatment contributed to the formation of the SPI-TP complex that showed higher antioxidant activity. The nanoemulsions with good emulsifying properties and high DPPH scavenging ability at the concentration of TP ranged from 0.15-0.20g / mL. Furthermore, nanoemulsions prepared in this way also had a uniform particle size. Therefore, this nanoemulsions exhibited a good potential to act as an efficient emulsifier.
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1 Introduction

TP is an important bioactive ingredient in tea, and it is composed of flavanols, lutein, phenolic acids, anthocyanins and glycolic acid¹. TP has attracted people’s attention because of its wide application in the health care industry². Its biological activities include antioxidant, free radical scavenging, inhibition of lipid peroxidation, anti-inflammatory and other properties. Recently, studies using various in vitro and animal models have shown that TP is one of the most commonly used antioxidants and plays an important role in delaying the onset or stopping the progression of neurodegenerative diseases³. Zhang et al. found that the main active compound in green tea is catechins (flavanols), in which epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) is the most active compound⁴. EGCG is widely found in plants as a naturally occurring compound, and animal experiments have shown that EGCG has a good anticancer effect⁵.

Soy protein isolate (SPI) is a mixture of proteins. extracted from soybean meal as a raw material by dissolving in an alkaline solution, precipitation under acidic conditions, and reprocessing to obtain a protein content of more than 90%⁶. SPI has various properties that make it suitable as the raw material to make nanoemulsions. The charge nature of the molecule and the presence of hydrophilic and hydrophobic groups in the molecule allows the protein to adsorb at the oil-water interface. The protein can form a barrier film around the oil droplets⁷. As other food protein components, SPI has the ability to reduce the interfacial tension in the emulsion system⁸. Heat treatment favors the formation of the SPI-resveratrol complex and imparts higher antioxidant activity, whereas water solubility of resveratrol by complexation with SPI was also enhanced mainly due to hydrophobic interaction⁹. However, due to its low surface hydrophobicity, large molecular size and low molecular flexibility¹⁰, SPI cannot adsorb rapidly to the oil-water interface. The emulsifying ability of a protein largely depends on the molecular structure and the physicochemical properties of the protein¹¹. It is generally considered to be a less efficient emulsifier than other proteins.

A uniform mixture with droplet sizes in the range 10–500 nm formed from two or more immiscible liquids is known as nanoemulsion¹². Compared with conventional emulsions, nanoemulsions used as delivery systems have many advantages, such as a high optical clarity, a good physical...
and chemical stability, and bioavailability\textsuperscript{13}. When nanoemulsions are used as a delivery system for bioactive lipids, drugs, fragrances, antioxidants and other functional components, it greatly improves the water solubility, stability and bioavailability of the entire system\textsuperscript{14}. Studies have shown that many food proteins have a stabilising emulsion capacity similar to conventional surfactants, such as bovine serum albumin (BSA), whey protein isolate (WPI), and SPI\textsuperscript{20}.

In addition, it has been reported that proteins can bind to polyphenols through covalent bonds, hydrophobic interactions, etc.\textsuperscript{15–18}. They interact mainly through noncovalent bonds and hydrophobic interactions followed by stable hydrogen bonds between proteins and polyphenols\textsuperscript{29}. TP can be combined with β-and α-casein by hydrophilic and hydrophobic properties, but hydrophobic binding plays the main role\textsuperscript{20}. Another study has reported on the use of these extracts added in model olive oil-in-water (O/W) emulsions to study their effects on physical and chemical stability. The rheological behaviour and creaming stability of the emulsions were dramatically improved by using xanthan gum\textsuperscript{21}. A further study also modelled the behaviour of these types of O/W emulsions trying to generalise their physical and chemical stability. Limited hydrolysis of 7S (a component of soy protein isolate) via trypsination, at as low as degrees of hydrolysis of 1\%, helped to improve emulsion oxidative stability at pH 7 under low ionic strength\textsuperscript{22}. Staszewski et al. studied the complexation of tea polyphenols and β-lactoglobulin. The results showed that several amino acid residues of proteins involved in the formation of polyphenol-protein complexes were bound by hydrophilic and hydrophobic interaction\textsuperscript{23}. Moreover, the appearance of the structure of the larger particles is formed by a hydrophobic interaction between β-lactoglobulin or casein polypeptide and tea polyphenol\textsuperscript{24}. Studies have shown that partially opening the structure of SPI improves its emulsification\textsuperscript{25}. Ultrahigh pressure homogenization (UHPH) is a new technology for changing the structure of proteins, and it is also a way to prepare stable submicron emulsions\textsuperscript{26, 27}. UHPH produces intense turbulence, vibration, cavitation and hydraulic shear. These forces can break down droplets into nanodroplets\textsuperscript{28}. UHPH emulsions treated with 100 and 200 MPa are the most stable because of their lower particle size, greater viscosity, and partial denaturation\textsuperscript{29}.

In the present study, TP and SPI were combined by high pressure homogenization to prepare nanoemulsions. The nanoemulsions was adsorbed on the surface of oil as an emulsifier to enhance the antioxidant effect of droplets. The effects of different TP concentrations on microstructure and function of the nanoemulsions were studied. Nanoemulsions can be used as carriers to transport medicines and cosmetics. The study has certain significance for the application of oxidizable substances in food and pharmaceutical industries.

2 Chemicals and Reagents

2.1 Materials

SPI was purchased from Zhejiang Qianyu Biotechnology Co., Ltd, China. KBr (with purity of 99.0\%) was supplied by Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd. Tea Polyphenols (purity, 97.0\%) was provided by Zhongcheng Chemical Co., Ltd, China. Tea Polyphenols exhibited yellow powder with good solubility in water. The peanut oil (41\% oleic acid, 37\% linoleic acid, 20\% of saturated fatty acids such as palmitic acid, stearic acid and arachidic acid.) was purchased from the local market (Harbin, China). 1-phenylamino-8-naphthalenesulfonic acid (ANS), 1,1-diphenyl-2-trinitrophenylhydrazine (DPPH) and Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). All the chemicals used were of analytical grade and were used without any further purification.

2.2 Preparation of nanoemulsions

In our test, the viscosity of SPI solution was too large when SPI concentration was higher than 1.0 g/100 mL. However, when the SPI concentration was less than 1.0 g/100 mL, the prepared emulsions had less emulsifying. Therefore, the concentration of SPI was used at 1.0 g/100 mL. The SPI solution was prepared at a concentration of 1.0 g/100mL with phosphate buffer (0.01 M, pH 7.0) and was magnetic stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The pH was adjusted to 7.0 with either HCl or NaOH. The solution was then placed in a 4°C refrigerator overnight to ensure complete dispersion. TP was added at concentrations of 0.00, 0.10, or 0.20 g / 100 mL (TP/Protein solution) at room temperature. Peanut oil was added according to the ratio of SPI to oil at 3:1 (v/v). The primary emulsions were archived with a high-speed dispersion machine (Omni GLH-220 High Speed Homogenizer, U.S.A.) at 10000 rpm for 5 min, which were further homogenized twice with an ultrahigh pressure homogenizer (100 Mpa) (Stansted Fluid Power, SPCH-10, United Kingdom) to make the microemulsion nanometer liquid\textsuperscript{20}.

3 Determination of functional properties of nanoemulsions

3.1 Determination of surface hydrophobicity

The hydrophobicity of the emulsions was detected by the ANS fluorescence probe method\textsuperscript{31}. The nanoemulsion sample was diluted to 0.2 g/100 mL, 0.1 g/100 mL, 0.05 g/100 mL 0.01 g/100 mL with phosphate buffer (0.01 M, pH 7.0), and 25 μL of an 8 mmol/L ANS solution was added to 6mL of the sample solution with different concentrations.
The solutions were kept for 15 min after mixing thoroughly. The fluorescence intensity was measured using a F-4500 Fluorescence Spectrometer (Shimadzu Corporation, Japan). The excitation wavelength $\lambda_{ex} = 390$ nm, the emission wavelength $\lambda_{em} = 470$ nm, and the gap was 5 nm. With the fluorescence intensity as the ordinate, the sample concentration for the abscissa plot and the slope value of the initial segment were the surface hydrophobicity of the protein molecule.

### 3.2 Emulsion activity and emulsion stability of nanoemulsions

The determination of the emulsion activity of the nanoemulsions was based on a previous study. Briefly, 10 µL of nanoemulsions at the bottom of the solution was taken at 0 min and 60 min. Each was supplemented with 6 mL of a 0.1% SDS solution and was mixed thoroughly. The absorbance was measured at 500 nm, with 0.1% SDS as the blank. The emulsion activity index (EAI) and emulsion stability index (ESI) were determined based on the formulas in equations (1) and (2) as previously reported[21].

$$\text{EAI (m}^2/\text{g)} = 2T \frac{A_0 \times N}{C \times \Phi \times 1000}$$

$$\text{ESI (min) = } \frac{A_0 - A_t}{A_0 - A_1} \times t$$

where $T = 2.303, A_0$ is the absorbance of the nanoemulsions immediately, $N$ is the dilution factor (600×), $C$ is the weight of the SPI per volume (g/mL), $\Phi$ is the oil volume fraction of the emulsion, $A_1$ is the absorbance of the nanoemulsions at 60 min, and $t$ is the time interval, 60 min.

### 3.3 Antioxidant activity of the nanoemulsions using the DPPH assay

The preparation of the DPPH (0.1 mM) ethanol solution was carried out according to Li et al. in 2012[22]. Briefly, 19.7 mg DPPH powder was accurately weighed and dissolved in 500 mL absolute ethanol and was stored at 4°C. Before the measurement, 2.9 mL of the DPPH solution prepared previously was added to 0.1 mL of the nanoemulsions. The mixture was incubated in the dark at room temperature for 30 min, with absolute ethanol as the blank at 517 nm measured absorbance $A_0$. 0.1 mL of deionized water instead of the nanoemulsions absorbance $A_1$, and 2.9 mL of absolute ethanol instead of the DPPH anhydrous ethanol solution absorbance $A_2$, according to the following formula to calculate the clearance rate:

$$\text{DPPH clearance rate (\%)} = \left(1 - \frac{A_0 - A_2}{A_1} \right) \times 100$$

### 3.4 Determination of viscosity

The viscosity of the nanoemulsions was measured according to the method described in previous study with a slight improvement[24]. The rheological measurements were carried out using a dynamic rheometer (Switzerland Buchi company). The emulsion viscosity was measured at 25°C, over a shear rate range of 0.01-100 s$^{-1}$, with cone-plate geometry (CP 40/4°).

### 3.5 Particle size, distribution, polydispersity index (PDI), and Zeta-potential (ZP) measurement

A laser particle size analyzer (Mastersizer 2000) was used to detect the particle size of nanoemulsions, and the analyzer can simultaneously detect the PDI value and particle size of the nanoemulsions. The emulsion sample was normally diluted before measurement because of low scattering intensity. For this experiment, the appropriate amount of the composite emulsion was added to the dispersant (water) to obtain a protein concentration of 1 g/100 mL, and approximately 1 mL of the sample was placed into the particle size measuring cup, and the incident light angle of the analyzer and other parameters to start measuring the particle size and PDI value were adjusted. The samples were placed in a potential measuring cup to determine their potential. All the measurements were carried out at 25°C in triplicate.

### 3.6 Nanoemulsions microstructure characterization

The microstructure of the emulsions was measured using a confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM, Leica Microsystems Inc., Heidelberg, Germany) with a 100× oil immersion objective lens. For the staining, 40 µL of the staining solution (0.1% Nile Red and 0.1% Nile Blue) and 1 mL of nanoemulsions were mixed, then kept for 20 minutes in dark. The Nile Red stained the oil, and the Nile Blue A stained the protein. The nanoemulsions (10 µL) were placed on concave confocal microscope slides. It was examined using an argon Krypton Laser (ArKr, 488 nm) and a Helium Neon laser (HeNe, 633 nm). The oil phases appeared red, and the protein was green[25].

### 3.7 Infrared spectroscopy

Nanoemulsions samples were prepared with a TP concentration of 0.20 g/100mL. A sample without TP was used as a control, and then, all the samples were dried under vacuum. 2 mg samples were mixed with 200 mg KBr to compress the powder[26]. Interferograms were accumulated over the spectral range of 4000-400 cm$^{-1}$ with a nominal resolution of 2 cm$^{-1}$ and 64 scans.

### 3.8 Statistical analysis

The data were processed and analyzed by Origin 8.0 and SPSS 19.0 software. The data are expressed by $X \pm SE$, and $p < 0.05$ was considered significant. Unless specified otherwise, three independent trials were performed, and each with a new batch of sample prepared.
4 Results and Analysis

4.1 TP effects of concentrations on SPI hydrophobicity

Figure 1 showed the hydrophobicity of SPI in TP solutions at different concentrations. With the increased TP concentration, the surface hydrophobicity of SPI gradually decreased. The protein hydrophobicity was determined by the number of hydrophobic groups on the surface that came into contact with a polar aqueous environment. There were many hydrophilic hydroxyl groups on the surface of TP, and it combined with SPI to form a complex, thereby increasing the surface hydrophilicity of the SPI. When the concentration of TP was between 0.05-0.20 g/100 mL, the surface hydrophobicity of SPI was not significantly different ($p > 0.05$). The combination of TP and SPI occurred through hydrophobic interactions, which reduced the hydrophobic groups on the SPI surface, and thus, the surface hydrophobicity of the SPI was decreased. This was consistent with the results of previous studies, showing that the mutual binding of polyphenols and proteins is achieved through hydrophobic interactions. The result also supported the conclusion that the binding of tea polyphenols to β-casein and α-casein was also achieved through hydrophobic interaction.

4.2 Emulsion activity index (ESI) and emulsion stability

The emulsifying characteristics were related to the denaturation of proteins and their decreased solubility. The effect of different concentrations of TP on the ESI and emulsion stability of nanoemulsions were shown in Fig. 2. From the Fig. 2, as the TP concentration gradually increased, ESI of the nanoemulsions did not change and lacked regularity. The emulsion stability of the nanoemulsions also increased first and then decreased with the increased TP concentration. When the concentration of TP was in the range of 0.00-0.20 g/100 mL, the emulsion stability increased as the concentration went up. When the TP concentration was between 0.20 and 0.25 g/100 mL, the emulsification stability was the best, but there was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$). When the TP concentration increased from 0.25 to 0.30 g/100 mL, the emulsion stability significantly declined. We assumed that in the presence of TP, the structure of SPI changed partially/ totally after UHPH. As the combination of TP and SPI increased the phenolic hydroxyl group on the surface of the SPI, the hydrophilicity of the protein that adsorbed on the surface of the oil droplet in the nanoemulsions was enhanced. When the nanoemulsions formed, the oil droplets were stable and present in the water phase and did not easily aggregate into large particles, further enhancing the emulsion stability of the nanoemulsions. When the TP concentration was less than 0.25 g/100 mL, the emulsion stability of the nanoemulsions was more important than the ESI. Therefore, the concentration of the TP was the main index, and the concentration of the TP should be between 0.10-0.25 g/100 mL.

4.3 Effects of different concentrations of TP on DPPH scavenging ability of nanoemulsions

DPPH was a stable group and had been widely used to test the free radical scavenging effects of various antioxidants. The effect of the TP concentration on the ability of the compound nanoemulsions system to remove DPPH was shown in Fig. 3. With the increased TP concentration, the ability to reduce DPPH increased and then decreased.
The results of a study on the antioxidant capacity of tea polyphenols combined with proteins is presented by Hajieva et al. When the TP concentration was between 0.05 and 0.10 g/100 mL, the DPPH scavenging ability was not significantly changed ($p > 0.05$). However, it was worth noting that when the tea polyphenol concentration was increased from 0.10 to 0.15 g/100 mL, the antioxidant activity of the nanoemulsions was significantly improved, and the nanoemulsions with TP concentration of 0.20 g/100 mL and 0.25 g/100 mL had little difference in antioxidant activity. When the TP concentration reached 0.30 g/100 mL, the DPPH scavenging ability was significantly decreased ($p < 0.05$). Considering the emulsifying activity, emulsifying stability and reducing power of the nanoemulsions, the TP concentration should be between 0.15 and 0.25 g/100 mL. The information obtained in these results will facilitate the uses and applications of nutraceuticals-loaded nanoemulsions delivery system.

4.4 The relationship between the concentration of TP and the viscosity of nanoemulsions

The viscosities of the nanoemulsions with TP at different concentrations were shown in Fig. 4. With an increased shear rate, the viscosity of the nanoemulsions gradually decreased, indicating that the nanoemulsions conformed to exhibited a shear thinning behavior. When the shear rate was 0.01 s$^{-1}$, the viscosity of the nanoemulsions increased with the increased TP concentration. At the same shear rate, the addition of TP increased the viscosity of the nanoemulsions compared to the soy protein nanoemulsions. The reason was that TP and SPI were combined to form a TP-SPI complex by hydrophobic interaction, and TP contained a large amount of hydroxyl groups, eventually leading to an increase in viscosity of the nanoemulsions.

In addition, the emulsion stability of nanoemulsions was related to its viscosity. The emulsion stability of the nanoemulsions gradually increased with the increased viscosity.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TP concentration (g/100 mL)</th>
<th>Potential (mV)</th>
<th>Particle size (nm)</th>
<th>PDI value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>$-$37.5</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>$-$39.5</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>$-$39.8</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>$-$43.2</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>$-$42.5</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>$-$41.0</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>$-$39.5</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The particle sizes and PDI values are based on intensity distribution.

4.5 Effect of TP concentration on particle size, distribution, PDI, and zeta potential

The effect of the TP concentration on the size and the PDI of the nanoemulsions particles was shown in Table 1. The particle size of the nanoemulsions added with TP was significantly lower than the nanoemulsions without TP. However, when the TP concentration was 0.30 g/mL, the particle size of the nanoemulsions was significantly increased ($p < 0.05$). With a TP concentration between 0.05-0.25 g / 100 mL, the nanoemulsions particle size was smaller. PDI is an indicator to evaluating the dispersion of nanoemulsions. When TP was added, the PDI of the nano-
emulsions was significantly lower than that of the nanoemulsions without TP. The lower PDI indicated better dispersion. With the change in TP concentration, the PDI of the nanoemulsions was not significantly different \((p < 0.05)\). Adding TP reduced the PDI and the particle size distribution of the nanoemulsions. When the amount of TP added was between 0.05-0.30 g/100 mL, the particle size distribution of the nanoemulsions was relatively uniform.

From the intensity distribution of the particle sizes in Fig. 5, it was demonstrated that when the TP concentration was low, the particle size distribution was larger, and as the concentration of TP increases, the particle size distribution gradually moved to a small range and tended to be stable.

The emulsion stability of the nanoemulsions was positively correlated with the absolute value of the potential. Figure 6 showed that when the concentration of tea polyphenol increased from 0 to 0.15 g/mL, the absolute value of emulsion potential gradually increased. While the TP concentration exceeded 0.15 g/mL, the absolute value of emulsion potential slowly decreased. When the TP concentration reached 0.15 g/100 mL, the potential reached the maximum value of \(-43.2\) mV, and the nanoemulsions had the best stability.

Fig. 5  The distribution of nanoemulsions particle size adding different amount of TP.

Fig. 6  The zeta potential values of nanoemulsions adding different amount of TP.

TP has many hydrophilic hydroxyl groups. When it formed a complex with SPI, the surface hydrophilicity of the SPI was enhanced, and the complex wrapped on the surface of the oil droplets and enhanced the interaction between the emulsion surface and water, enhanced the viscosity of the nanoemulsions eventually. Thereby, it reduced the particle size of the nanoemulsions and lowered their PDI, making the nanoemulsions uniform. After the addition of TP, the surface charge of the SPI increased significantly, thus, it was easier to protonate to the negatively charged surface of TP\(^{45}\). Therefore, the absolute value of the surface charge of the nanoemulsions increased, and the nanoemulsions were more stable.

4.6 Observation on microstructure of nanoemulsions with different concentration of TP

Figure 7 showed the observation of the different concentrations of tea polyphenol emulsions using a confocal laser scanning microscope. When the TP was not added, the nanoemulsions exhibited large particle size, and the particle size gradually decreased with the increased of TP concentration. The nanoemulsions with the TP added had a more uniform particle size distribution and smaller particle size than the nanoemulsions without TP. It can also be seen
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from the figure that the particle size of the nanoemulsions was less than 1 μm, which was consistent with the results of the particle size analyzer. Thus, TP improved the particle size distribution of the nanoemulsions.

4.7 Infrared spectroscopy
The coupling between the hydrogen bond and the dipole was a key factor in controlling the protein conformationally sensitive region, amide I with 1700-1600 cm⁻¹ (mainly C=O stretching vibration) and amide II with 1600-1500 cm⁻¹ (mainly C-N stretching coupling and N-H bending vibration). Protein amides I and II were associated with structural changes in these proteins. Figure 8 showed that the amide I band of SPI shifted from 1640 cm⁻¹ to 1624 cm⁻¹ after adding TP, and the amide II band shifted to 1526 cm⁻¹ from 1530 cm⁻¹, indicating that the secondary structure of SPI had changed. Additionally, an increased intensity was observed for the protein amide I and amide II in the different spectra of the TP-SPI complexes. The increase in the intensity of the amide I and amide II bands was due to the polyphenol binding to the protein C=O, C-N and N-H groups (hydrophilic interaction). Similar infrared spectral changes were observed for protein amide I band in several ligand-protein complexes, where major protein conformational changes occur.

The broad peak at 3244 cm⁻¹ in the infrared spectrum represents the alcohol hydroxyl peak of the hydroxyl interaction of TP. Figure 8A showed that after adding TP, the maximum peak of the SPI here was from 3267 cm⁻¹ to 4358 cm⁻¹, which was due to the interaction between the SPI and TP phenolic hydroxyl functional groups. This change was consistent with Xiaojing Li et al., which demonstrated that polysaccharide starch forms a complex with peanut protein. C=O stretching vibration produces a 1735 cm⁻¹ absorption peak, and C-O stretching vibration produces a 1385 cm⁻¹ and 1324 cm⁻¹ absorption peak, C-O elongation yielded 1226 cm⁻¹, 1136 cm⁻¹, 1087 cm⁻¹, 1047 cm⁻¹ absorption peak, and a peak similar to TP was observed on the TP-SPI complex but was not seen on the SPI spectrum.

5 Conclusions
In this study, the physicochemical properties of the SPI nanoemulsions with different concentrations of TP were investigated. The results showed that after high pressure homogenization, TP formed a complex with SPI, which changed the secondary structure of the SPI. The spatial structure of the SPI was more stretched and the hydrophobicity decreased, which further improved the emulsion stability of the nanoemulsions. Meanwhile, compared with the SPI nanoemulsions, the addition of TP made the nanoemulsions smaller in particle size and more uniform in distribution, which further increased the stability of the nanoemulsions. The prepared TP-SPI nanoemulsions possessed good dispersion and stability. The proposed method could be a novel way of utilizing plant proteins in the long-term stabilization of nanoemulsions in the food and beverage industry. The nanoemulsions prepared in this paper can be used as a protective agent to embed certain biologically active substances in them to protect the biological activity.
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