Basic Evaluation of Gelatinous Fat to Improve Properties of Nursing Care Food
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Abstract: Nursing care food, made smooth and soft by adding a substantial amount of water, has been provided to elderly people who exhibit a decline in chewing and swallowing function. However, this is associated with problems such as an increase in the volume of meals and a decrease in the nutritional value per unit weight, causing malnutrition. To resolve these issues, we aimed to develop gelatinous fat suitable for processing nursing care food. We compared several types of oil and fat including this gelatinous fat using rheology measurement and sensory evaluation. In the measurement of fat alone using a dynamic viscoelastometer, the gelatinous fat had the highest values of storage elastic modulus ($G'$) and loss elastic modulus ($G''$) at the predetermined ranges of temperature and frequency. In the measurement of fat mixed with food using a creep meter, the gelatinous fat showed a significantly lower level of firmness and a higher level of cohesiveness than other types of fat. In the sensory evaluation, food processed with gelatinous fat was evaluated to be better than food processed with no addition or the addition of another type of fat in terms of softness, smoothness, low feeling of residual food, and palatability. These results suggest that the newly developed gelatinous fat is most suitable for nursing care food processing among the types of fat examined. It is expected that nursing care food processed with gelatinous fat can facilitate the consumption of food with high energy and reduce the risk of malnutrition in the elderly.
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1 INTRODUCTION

According to a government survey in 2013, the Japanese elderly population aged 65 or over was estimated to be approximately 32 million and its proportion exceeded 25% for the first time. The number of elderly people requiring nursing care for daily living was over 5.6 million, which will tend to increase in the future. Elderly people requiring nursing care have various problems in their lives. In particular, they face problems with meals, which are mainly related to reductions of masticatory and swallowing functions and nutritional intake.

The masticatory and swallowing functions of the elderly become more impaired as the level of nursing care increases1. Therefore, the nursing care food that is provided to the elderly requiring nursing care must be adjusted so that it is easy to swallow. The most common form of nursing care food is minced food, which has been utilized in more than 90% of nursing care facilities in Japan2-3. Minced food is chopped finely with a kitchen knife or a food processor so it can be easily eaten by elderly people who have declined masticatory function4. In general, food is mixed with saliva and forms a soft bolus after mastication, which facilitates swallowing5. Therefore, minced food, which is just finely chopped, is unlikely to form a bolus in elderly people, resulting in increased difficulty in the aspiration of food6,7.

Prolonged malnutrition is known to cause protein energy malnutrition (PEM). PEM is likely to occur as the level of nursing care increases8. PEM impairs the activities of daily living (ADL) and the quality of life (QOL), together with a decrease of immunity and increase of disease morbidity and complication rates. Elderly people with such underlying conditions have an increased risk of pneumonia if they aspirate food9-11. PEM is caused by factors such as age-related impairments in masticatory and digestive-absorptive functions, anorexia, and a decrease of food intake due to
the loss of appetite. In addition, the process of preparing nursing care food is likely to cause the loss of nutrition. During this process, a large quantity of water is used to soften food, such as in boiling and steaming. Minced food is often mixed with sauces made of water and thickener to minimize brittleness\(^7\). As a result, the volume of a meal increases and its nutrition per unit weight decreases. It is easy for elderly people requiring nursing care to develop PEM together with a decrease of dietary intake. One report stated that elderly people consuming minced food have a poorer nutritional status than those with an ordinary diet\(^12\). Some studies on minced food at nursing care facilities showed that the energy content of such food was only 70\% of the planned level and the actual energy intake of minced food was only 85\% of that provided\(^13, 14\). Consequently, in elderly people consuming minced food, the risk of PEM is speculated to be high due to both nutritional loss during the preparation process and the decrease of food intake. Therefore, it is important to improve the ease of swallowing and the nutrition value of minced food in order to reduce PEM risk in the elderly requiring nursing care.

Oil and fat are efficient energy sources with a calorie level of 9 kcal/g; they can produce a less harsh texture by their addition to food. Several nursing care food recipes using oil and fat have been shown to make foodstuffs smooth and easy to eat, but they just involved introduction using oil and fat have been shown to make foodstuffs that provided

\[ \text{energy content of minced food} = 0.85 \times 0.70 \times 9 \text{ kcal/g} \]

\[ \text{actual energy intake} = 0.85 \times 0.70 \times 9 \text{ kcal/g} \]

\[ \text{planned level} \]

\[ \text{actual energy intake} \]

\[ \text{calorie level} \]

2 EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Fat

Commercially available oil and fat products with different physical states were employed in this study. Six types of oil and fat were used, including 3 simple types of fat in liquid, gelatinous, and solid states (referred to as liquid oil, gelatinous fat, and lard, respectively) and 3 emulsified types of fat (referred to as fresh cream (oil in water o/w), margarine (water in oil w/o), and butter (w/o)) (Table 1). The gelatinous fat was an organogel, which was modified by a technique to trap liquid oil within net-like structures that are composed of high-melting-point fat crystals. The gelatinous fat was composed of edible vegetable fat, edible refined fat, an emulsifier, and an antioxidant (vitamin E). At 25°C, the modified gelatinous fat had a firmness of 3,566 N/m², cohesiveness of 0.94, and adhesiveness of 1,857 J/m³ (measured according to the "Approval criteria for food products for people with difficulty in mastication and swallowing" for food products for special dietary uses in elderly people)\(^15, 16\). Furthermore, the fatty-acid ratio of saturated fatty acids (S): monounsaturated fatty acids (M): polyunsaturated fatty acids (P) was 17:56:27, and that of n-3:n-6 was 1:2.

2.2 Measurement of fat alone

A dynamic viscoelastometer (RheoStress RS-1; HAAKE) was used to measure the temperature and frequency dependences of 6 fat materials. After the test materials had been placed onto a cone-shaped plate, the storage elastic

Table 1 Oils and fats used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Physical state</th>
<th>Brand name</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Melting point (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gelatinous fat</td>
<td>Gel</td>
<td>Matome Up (Nisshin OilliO Co., Ltd.)</td>
<td>Vegetable</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid oil</td>
<td>Liquid</td>
<td>Nisshin Canola Oil (Nisshin OilliO Co., Ltd.)</td>
<td>Vegetable</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lard</td>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>Yukijirushi Lard (Yukijirushi Food Co., Ltd.)</td>
<td>Animal</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh cream</td>
<td>Emulsified (o/w)</td>
<td>Fresh Cream (Yukijirushi Food Co., Ltd.)</td>
<td>Vegetable</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margarine</td>
<td>Emulsified (w/o)</td>
<td>Neosoft (Yukijirushi Food Co., Ltd.)</td>
<td>Vegetable</td>
<td>30–32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butter</td>
<td>Emulsified (w/o)</td>
<td>Yukijirushi Butter (Yukijirushi Food Co., Ltd.)</td>
<td>Animal</td>
<td>28–38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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modulus ($G'$), the loss elastic modulus ($G''$), and the mechanical loss tangent ($\tan\delta = G''/G'$) were measured at a shear rate of 6.28 rad/s, 1 Hz frequency, and 0°C–60°C to determine the temperature dependence. For frequency dependence, the same values were measured at shear rates of 0–6.28 rad/s, 37°C, and 0.1–10 Hz.

2.3 Measurement of fat mixed with foodstuff

2.3.1 Preparation of test food

The foodstuff used in this study was white chicken meat, which is prone to drying and becomes difficult for elderly people to eat. After the skin and gristle had been removed, 200 g of meat was combined with 15 g of alcohol and heated in a wrapped bowl in a microwave oven at 500 W for 4 min. The meat was minced with fat that was equivalent to 20% of food volume (w/w) or minced without fat (control) using a food processor (DLC-10PRO, Cuisinart) for 1 min with occasional mixing with a spatula. The minced meat was placed in a stainless steel dish (40 mm diameter, 15 mm height) and incubated at 5°C, 25°C, or 60°C for 2 ± 1 h.

2.3.2 Physical property assessment

A creep meter (RE-33005, YAMADEN Co., Ltd.) was used to assess the physical properties. In accordance with the test procedures described in the Approval criteria for food products for people with difficulty in mastication and swallowing, compression at a constant velocity was measured twice at a compression velocity of 10 mm/s and clearance of 5 mm in an acrylic plunger 20 mm in diameter. Measurements were performed 3 times for each test food. We calculated firmness, adhesiveness, and cohesiveness on the basis of the obtained texture curves. The values obtained are expressed as means ± standard deviations.

2.3.3 Sensory assessment

Sensory assessment was performed by 7 healthy volunteers (39.5 ± 6.9 years old) with neither olfaction/taste disorders nor dysphagia. The endpoints comprised 4 items regarding ease of swallowing (softness, smoothness, stickiness, and the feeling of residual food) and 2 items regarding taste (greasiness and palatability). The 6 materials were assessed and scored on a 5-point bipolar scale. At assessment, the following sensations were explained to the volunteers. Softness was assessed by the firmness experienced for test food mashed with the tongue, with scores from very hard, 1, to very soft, 5. Smoothness was assessed by the granularity of test food mashed with the tongue, with scores from very hard, 1, to very smooth, 5. Stickiness was assessed by the food sticking to the oral cavity during mastication, with scores of very strong, 1, to very weak, 5. The feeling of residual food was assessed by the residual food in the oral cavity and throat after swallowing, with scores from very strong, 1, to very weak, 5. Greasiness was expressed by the greasiness felt during mastication or after swallowing, with scores from very strong, 1, very weak, 5.

Palatability was assessed by the desirability of the food, with scores from very bad, 1, to very good, 5. There were 3 types of food: 2 containing fat (liquid oil or gelatinous fat) and 1 without fat. The types of fat were confirmed to have no specific flavor, and physical property assessment confirmed that they were minimally affected by temperature in terms of firmness. The test food was served at 25°C. Room temperature ranged from 24°C to 26°C. Assessments were performed according to a panel booth test. The subjects were allowed to consume the test food repeatedly. The use of a spoon was required. The amount of intake in 1 session was set as the optimal amount for each volunteer, who was allowed to consume the test food freely.

2.4 Statistical analysis

The results of the physical property assessment were analyzed with respect to each endpoint by 1-way analysis of variance. If there were significant differences between the test materials, multiple comparison analysis was performed with the Bonferroni/Dunn procedure. The sensory assessment results were statistically analyzed with respect to each endpoint using the Mann–Whitney U-test. Significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Dynamic viscoelasticity

Figure 1 illustrates the temperature dependence of the dynamic viscoelasticity of 6 fat types. Liquid oil (Fig. 1B) and fresh cream (Fig. 1D) were minimally affected by temperature changes and remained stable; $G'$ and $G''$ of both of them were $<1 \times 10^4$ Pa, and $\tan\delta$ was $>1$. Lard (Fig. 1C), margarine (Fig. 1E), and butter (Fig. 1F), which are solid at room temperature, were greatly affected by temperature changes. They had high $G'$ and $G''$ values of $1 \times 10^4$ Pa at $\leq10^\circ$C, $\leq20^\circ$C, and $\leq30^\circ$C. However, these values decreased rapidly as the temperature increased. $\tan\delta$ also changed markedly with respect to temperature. In contrast, both $G'$ and $G''$ of the gelatinous fat (Fig. 1A) were stable at around $1 \times 10^4$ Pa from approximately $0^\circ$C to $50^\circ$C. The $G'$ of fat was always higher than the $G''$, and $\tan\delta$ was between 0.1 and 1 from $0^\circ$C to $55^\circ$C.

Figure 2 depicts the frequency dependence of dynamic viscoelasticity of the 6 fat types. Throughout the range of frequencies, the $G'$ and $G''$ of gelatinous fat (Fig. 2A) were higher than those of the other types of fat. Despite an increased frequency, the $G'$ of gelatinous fat remained essentially unchanged. Although the $G''$ decreased slightly, it generally remained constant at around $1 \times 10^4$ Pa. Both $G'$ and $G''$ of the other types of fat increased depending on the frequency. Although lard (Fig. 2C) had the second highest $G'$ and $G''$ values following gelatinous fat, those of the other types of fat (Fig. 2B, 2D, 2E, 2F) were $\leq1 \times 10^3$ Pa.
Fig. 1A: Gelatinous fat

Fig. 1B: Liquid oil

Fig. 1C: Lard

Fig. 1D: Fresh Cream

Fig. 1E: Margarine

Fig. 1F: Butter

○ : $G'$  ▲ : $G''$  □ : tanδ

Fig. 1  Temperature dependence of dynamic viscoelasticity of 6 fat types.
Fig. 2: Frequency dependence of dynamic viscoelasticity of 6 fat types.

- Fig. 2A: Gelatinous fat
- Fig. 2B: Liquid oil
- Fig. 2C: Lard
- Fig. 2D: Fresh cream
- Fig. 2E: Margarine
- Fig. 2F: Butter
at nearly all frequencies. The gelatinous fat had constant tanδ values between 0.1 and 1, while the tanδ of lard was approximately 1. Other types of fat had tanδ values > 1 regardless of the frequency, and changed markedly in accordance with frequency.

3.2 Rheological assessment

Table 2 lists the results of rheological measurements when the different types of fat were added to the white chicken meat. Regarding firmness, the addition of fat softened the meat. Except for the test food containing butter at 5°C, the firmness values of all types of test food were significantly lower than those of the test food without fat. Comparisons among the types of fat revealed that the test food containing the gelatinous fat tended to be soft regardless of the temperature. Compared with test food containing lard, fresh cream, and butter at 5°C, the addition of gelatinous fat at 25°C significantly softened the test food. The addition of liquid oil tended to soften the test food; only the gelatinous fat softened the test food more. The test food containing liquid oil was significantly softer than that containing lard, fresh cream, or butter at 5°C; moreover, the test food containing liquid oil was softer than that containing fresh cream or butter at 25°C. Meanwhile, there were no significant differences among the types of fat with respect to softness at 60°C. The variations in cohesiveness among the test materials were small. The comparison among temperatures revealed that cohesiveness was highest following the addition of gelatinous fat at 5°C and 25°C, whereas the test food without fat exhibited the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(a) 5°C</th>
<th>(b) 25°C</th>
<th>(c) 60°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Firmness (N/m²)</td>
<td>Cohesiveness</td>
<td>Adhesiveness (J/m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Without fat 80432 ± 10961¹</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.01³</td>
<td>3996 ± 937³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Gelatinous fat 24452 ± 531²</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.02³</td>
<td>5834 ± 273²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Liquid oil 32776 ± 1592³</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.02³</td>
<td>5060 ± 570³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Lard 52026 ± 3326⁴</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.06³</td>
<td>7943 ± 1276⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>Fresh cream 49841 ± 2409⁴</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.01³</td>
<td>7402 ± 313³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>Margarine 37563 ± 1735⁵</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.00³</td>
<td>7107 ± 592³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>Butter 65969 ± 2819⁶</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.03³</td>
<td>11193 ± 809³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Without fat 76582 ± 1283⁷</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.01³</td>
<td>4456 ± 657³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Gelatinous fat 20706 ± 294⁸</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.06³</td>
<td>4542 ± 538³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Liquid oil 27782 ± 765⁹</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.04³</td>
<td>3735 ± 620³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Lard 30487 ± 819¹⁰</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.02³</td>
<td>4828 ± 571³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>Fresh cream 42765 ± 1019¹⁰</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.01³</td>
<td>4960 ± 256³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>Margarine 29447 ± 147¹¹</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.01³</td>
<td>4564 ± 539³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>Butter 31736 ± 1149¹²</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.05³</td>
<td>4494 ± 549³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Without fat 58789 ± 6888¹³</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.00³</td>
<td>3603 ± 500³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Gelatinous fat 13631 ± 389¹⁴</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.02³</td>
<td>2121 ± 76³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Liquid oil 19666 ± 1550¹⁵</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.02³</td>
<td>2310 ± 209³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Lard 19458 ± 147¹⁶</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.01³</td>
<td>2307 ± 398³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>Fresh cream 14151 ± 641¹⁷</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.01³</td>
<td>2388 ± 266³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>Margarine 17273 ± 1283¹⁸</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.03³</td>
<td>2636 ± 337³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>Butter 14671 ± 883¹⁹</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.03³</td>
<td>2524 ± 446³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are shown as means ± standard deviations (n=3). Means with the same letter are not significantly different from each other (p < 0.05).
highest value at 60°C. Significant differences were only observed between the gelatinous fat and liquid oil, lard or butter at 5°C. There were no significant differences at 25°C or 60°C. Adhesiveness tended to be lower with all types of fat as the temperature increased. At 5°C, all types of fat-containing test food had higher adhesiveness values than the test food without fat. The adhesiveness values of margarine, lard, and butter, which were solid at 5°C, were high at ≥7,000 J/m². Although all types of test food containing fat tended to have high adhesiveness values at 25°C, their adhesiveness was lower than that of the test food without fat at 60°C regardless of the type of fat used (except for liquid oil).

3.3 Sensory assessment

Figure 3 illustrates the sensory assessment results. Regarding the ease of swallowing (Softness, Smoothness, Stickiness, Feeling of residual food), favorable trends were observed among all items in the order of gelatinous fat (represented as B in Fig. 3), liquid oil (represented as A in Fig. 3), and test food without fat (represented as C in Fig. 3). Regarding softness, there was a significant difference between the test food containing gelatinous fat (B, 4.38 ± 0.66) and the test food without fat (C, 2.63 ± 0.66). There was no significant difference between the test food containing liquid oil (A, 3.63 ± 0.81) and the test food without fat or between types of test food containing liquid oil or gelatinous fat. Regarding smoothness, significant differences were observed among all test materials (A, 3.63 ± 0.66; B, 4.75 ± 0.62; C, 2.63 ± 0.66). With respect to the feeling of residual food, there were significant differences between the test foods containing gelatinous fat (B, 4.25 ± 0.78) and the test food containing liquid oil (A, 3.50 ± 0.47) and between the test foods containing gelatinous fat and the test food without fat (C, 2.75 ± 0.41). However, there was no significant difference between types of the test food containing liquid oil and the test food without fat. Regarding stickiness, there were no significant differences among any of the test materials (A, 3.13 ± 0.57; B, 3.63 ± 1.24; C, 2.63 ± 0.66). With respect to taste, there were no significant differences in greasiness among any of the test materials (A, 2.63 ± 0.46; B, 3.00 ± 0.82; C, 3.25 ± 0.41). Lastly, regarding palatability, significant differences in palatability were observed between the test food containing gelatinous fat (B, 4.13 ± 0.74) and the test food without fat (C, 3.00 ± 0.67) and between the test food containing gelatinous fat and liquid oil (A, 3.13 ± 0.57). Taking these findings together, the test food containing gelatinous fat was assessed as very palatable.

4 DISCUSSION

PEM is a serious problem for elderly people requiring nursing care. The problem of PEM was highlighted in Japan by Sugiyama’s report, which showed the risk of PEM in elderly people to be about 40% at nursing care facilities and about 30% in home care25. As a result, a system of nutrition care management utilizing screening and assessment was applied to them and the nutrition management was adapted for every case. However, it was also reported in subsequent research that about 60% of elderly people...

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Softness</th>
<th>*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hard</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>soft</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smoothness</th>
<th>**</th>
<th>**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hard</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smooth</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stickiness</th>
<th>**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>strong</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weak</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feeling of residual food</th>
<th>**</th>
<th>*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>strong</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weak</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Greasiness</th>
<th>**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>strong</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weak</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Palatability</th>
<th>*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bad</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>good</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3 Comparison of sensory assessment of test foods.

A: Food containing liquid oil  B: Food containing gelatinous fat  C: Food without fat  Sensory assessment was performed by 7 healthy volunteers. Statistical analysis was done using the Mann–Whitney U-test (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01).
with home care had or were likely to have PEM\(^8\). No im-
provement of radical PEM was shown. One of the reasons
for PEM is that the nutritional value of nursing care food
can easily decrease. The texture that promotes swallowing
is moderate softness, condensation as a bolus, and ade-
quate stickiness\(^3\). However, most of the present types
of nursing care food are adjusted for their texture by adding
water and polysaccharide thickener. In addition, there are
many types of soft food, such as cereals and vegetables,
whereas it is difficult to cook meat and fish in a way that
softens them, and their amounts used have tended to de-
crease. In fact, there are reports describing that the most
difficult food to eat for the elderly in facilities is meat\(^20\).
Another report showed that numerous people at risk of
malnutrition did not eat meat at all\(^18\). These findings mean
that the levels of protein and energy are likely to be de-
creased in terms of both the quantity offered and the
actual intake. Recently, it was revealed that sarcopenia, in-
volved a decrease of muscle, is emerging as a new problem
for the elderly\(^15\). From a preventive viewpoint, it would be
important to increase the nutrition density of every meal
and to increase protein and energy intake.

The gelatinous fat that we developed in this study has a
structure of an organogel. Gel with water as the liquid
component in the continuous phase is called hydrogel,
while gel with liquid oil in the continuous phase is called
organogel. Although hydrogels have been mainly used for
nursing care food, organogels have better properties than
hydrogels, such as weak polarity, resilience, and viscoelas-
ticity\(^21, 22\). Therefore, we considered that an organogel
could be used as an aggregating ingredient of minced food,
and developed an adjusted gelatinous fat in order to
promote bolus formation.

In dynamic viscoelasticity measurement, the storage
elastic modulus (\(G^\prime\)) represents an elastic factor associated
with firmness and structural maintenance and the loss
elastic modulus (\(G^\prime\prime\)) represents a viscous factor associated
with vibrational absorption. The mechanical loss tangent,
tan\(\delta\), is the ratio of \(G^\prime\prime\) to \(G^\prime\); if tan\(\delta\) exceeds 1, the viscous
factor exceeds the elastic factor. The main factors causing
bolus disintegration are the force due to mastication and
melting at body temperature. The mastication cycle in
adults is 1-2 times/s, whereas that in elderly people is pro-
longed to compensate for decreased mastication force,
being approximately 0.6 times/s\(^23, 24\). On the basis of the
range corresponding to the mastication cycle, both \(G^\prime\)
and \(G^\prime\prime\) of gelatinous fat were extremely high and tan\(\delta\) was <1
in a frequency-dependence manner at 0.5-2 Hz. However,
both \(G^\prime\) and \(G^\prime\prime\) of other types of fat were low and tan\(\delta\) was
>1. Regarding temperature dependence, the \(G^\prime\) and \(G^\prime\prime\) of
liquid oil and fresh cream, which remain in a fluid state at
temperatures, were low. The \(G^\prime\) and \(G^\prime\prime\) of margarine,
lard, and butter, which are solid types of fat, decreased
when the temperature exceeded the melting point. On the
other hand, the \(G^\prime\) and \(G^\prime\prime\) of gelatinous fat were stable at
1 x 10^4 Pa at 0°C–55°C. This is in accordance with the
finding that gelatinous fat forms a strong crystal matrix
with the structure of an organogel. Furthermore, the \(G^\prime\)
and \(G^\prime\prime\) of gelatinous fat were highest at approximately
body temperature. Referring to a report describing that a
tan\(\delta\) value adequate for swallowing is between 0.1 and 1\(^20\),
only gelatinous fat had a tan\(\delta\) value between 0.1 and 1 at
approximately body temperature. Likewise, only gelatinous
fat had a tan\(\delta\) value between 0.1 and 1 in a frequency-de-
pendent manner at 0.5-2 Hz. These results suggest that ge-
latinous fat is hard enough to be changed by the force and
temperature associated with mastication, indicating that its
physical properties inhibit bolus disintegration in the
mouth.

Among various standards for the physical properties of
nursing care food, one set of standards for people having
difficulty masticating is Universal Design Foods (UDF),
which is standardized into certain phases by firmness\(^19, 27\).
When the present results are applied to the standards of
UDF, food without fat corresponds to "category 1, easily
chewable" and food with oil and fat corresponds to "cate-
gory 2, grindable with the gums". This means that the ad-
dition of oil and fat can produce food that is suitable for
people with more serious difficulty masticating. The firm-
ness should be ≤20,000 N/m\(^2\) in "category 3, grindable
with the tongue," which is appropriate for people with more
severe difficulties in mastication. In the measurement
using a creep meter, only gelatinous fat satisfied the crite-
ria for this category at 25°C and 60°C. Moreover, only ge-
latinous fat could make meat softest and this property was
not changed easily by temperature. This result is the same
as that of the dynamic viscoelasticity result and it was con-
firmed that the physical properties of fat alone are related
to those of fat mixed with food. In existing nursing care
food adjusted with water and polysaccharide thickener, the
change in physical properties by temperature is so serious
that it causes many problems when eating\(^24\). Since elderly
people requiring nursing care need a longer time to eat,
food that becomes harder and more difficult to eat as it
cools is undesirable. On the other hand, white chicken
meat adjusted with gelatinous fat showed little change in
its physical properties with changing temperature. That is,
it is clearly demonstrated that gelatinous fat might solve
the problems regarding both the physical properties and
the temperature change experienced with existing nursing
care food.

In this study, the adhesiveness of white chicken meat did
not decrease significantly even after the addition of fat.
However, it has been shown that the hardness and adhe-
siveness of mashed potatoes supplemented with oil and fat
were significantly lower than those supplemented with
water, being different from our results\(^17\). This difference is
considered to be due to the effect of water existing in food;
mashed potato includes water but white chicken meat does not. In this previous study concerning the ease of swallowing mashed potato, it was mentioned that this variable is related to the state of emulsification. Several studies have reported that the texture of food was improved considerably by emulsification of oil and fat supplementation, resulting in easy swallowing\(^6\). In the sensory assessment of this study, both liquid oil and gelatinous fat significantly reduced the feeling of residual food, which is associated with adhesiveness, compared with food processed without fat. This result may be related to the occurrence of emulsification with oil and saliva during mastication. It is reported that there is a negative association between ease of swallowing and adhesiveness\(^31\). In this study, water was not added to food, so the relationship between emulsification and adhesiveness upon a change in the amount of water added remains to be investigated.

In the case of white chicken meat used in this study, its minced food without any additional ingredients contains 0.98 kcal of energy and 0.20 g of protein per g. In the case of preparation with a thickening agent, as water equivalent to 50% of food volume is added, the processed food contains 0.81 kcal of energy and 0.13 g protein per g and the nutritional content decreases. On the other hand, in the case of the addition of fat equivalent to 20%, the food contains 1.69 kcal of energy and 0.19 g of protein per g, allowing the efficient intake of both energy and protein. Elderly people are likely to prefer softer foodstuffs due to tooth loss and decreased mastication force, resulting in energy, protein, and fat deficiencies\(^32, 33\). Thus, excessive intake of fat in elderly people may not be serious compared with that in the young. It has been reported that the administration of medium-chain triglycerides (MCT) to elderly people at high risk of malnutrition results in the improvement of serum albumin levels\(^34\). Thus, in cases of PEM, it is important not only to increase protein intake but also to decrease protein catabolism by adjusting the energy content.

The addition of protease, salt, and acid can soften meat by dissolving myofibrillar proteins, but these processes have a disadvantage in that they are time-consuming. On the other hand, the process used in this study can soften meat without changing its form and this new technique is applicable to every kitchen. Food is routinely minced with gelatinous fat in food processors at nursing care facilities. For example, gelatinous fat is added to white fish and minced, or gelatinous fat is minced with raw meat and heated by steam convection, among others.

It was confirmed by rheology measurement and sensory evaluation that gelatinous fat is the type of fat that is most suitable for adjusting minced food. By using gelatinous fat, we can process a variety of types of nursing care food that have reduced nutritional losses and are less influenced by temperature change. It would be highly advantageous to apply new nursing care food processed with gelatinous fat rather than existing nursing care food processed with a large amount of water.

5 CONCLUSION

The purpose of this study was to improve the defects of existing nursing care food by using oil and fat; we investigated gelatinous fat, which is suitable for nursing care food. In the measurement of fat alone using a dynamic viscoelastometer, gelatinous fat had the highest values for the storage elastic modulus \((G')\) and the loss elastic modulus \((G'')\) at the predetermined ranges of temperature and frequency. The mechanical loss tangent \((\tan \delta)\) of gelatinous fat consistently ranged between 0.1 and 1, indicating a weakly gelatinous physical property that facilitates swallowing. In the measurement of fat mixed with food using a creep meter, food with gelatinous fat had significantly lower firmness and exhibited a higher level of cohesiveness than that with other types of fat. In the sensory evaluation, food with gelatinous fat was better than food with no addition or the addition of liquid oil in terms of its softness, smoothness, low feeling of residual food, and palatability. These results indicate that gelatinous oil is the most suitable fat for nursing care food. It could be available to process many types of nursing care food with less energy loss and less change with varying temperature.
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