Abstract: The aim of the study was to determine the oral health status and investigate the association of oral health status with various socio-demographic (age, gender, parent's education, income) and clinical variables (aetiology for mental disability and IQ level) among mentally disabled subjects. The study sample comprised 225 mentally retarded subjects aged 12-30 years attending a special school in Udaipur, India. Caries status, oral hygiene status and periodontal status were assessed by DMFT Index, Simplified Oral Hygiene Index (OHI-S) of Greene and Vermillion and Community Periodontal Index, respectively. Chi-square test, one way analysis of variance (ANOVA), multiple linear stepwise regression analysis, and multiple logistic regression analysis were employed for statistical analysis. There was a statistically significant difference ($P = 0.001$) between all the age groups in all the variables of Oral hygiene index and DMFT index. The oldest age group had the highest scores for all the indices measured. Having Down's syndrome, parents with lower educational status and low I.Q. were the most important predictors for poor oral health status. The present study highlighted that the oral health status of this mentally retarded population was poor and was influenced by aetiology of the disability, I.Q. level, and parent's level of education. (J Oral Sci 51, 333-340, 2009)
treatment need or pain, communication problems and bad cooperation (5-9). Many published studies have reported relatively poor oral hygiene and high levels of periodontal disease in mentally challenged children (10-11) and in a questionnaires survey, Randell et al. found that children with Down’s syndrome had poorer dental health practices than normal children do (12). Individuals with Down’s syndrome demonstrate a high prevalence of periodontal diseases (13,14). A review of literature on dental abnormalities and diseases in persons with Down’s syndrome consistently showed increased frequency of periodontitis compared with that of other patients with mental retardation (15,16) as well as compared with that of the general population (17,18).

All the abovementioned studies have extensively analyzed the mentally retarded population with many variables, but in a developing country like India further research in this field is required. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine the oral health status and investigate the association of oral health status with various socio-demographic (age, gender, parent’s education, income) and clinical variables (aetiology for mental disability and IQ level) among mentally disabled children attending a special school in Udaipur, India.

Materials and Methods

A cross-sectional descriptive survey was conducted in 254 mentally retarded subjects, aged 12-30 years, attending a Special Needs school in Udaipur city. Children and adolescents who were present at school on the days of the survey were included and those who were uncooperative had severe detrimental systemic disorders like cardiac defects and with unknown aetiology for mental disability were excluded. Nine subjects were absent, 16 did not cooperate and 4 were systemically ill. The final sample thus consisted of 225 mentally retarded subjects. Informed consent of the parents or guardians and school authorities was obtained before the subjects were included in the study. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee for Research of the Darshan Dental College and Hospital, Udaipur.

Prior to the dental examination, demographic information was recorded for each subject: age, gender and education and income of parents. Clinical assessment of oral hygiene status was done by two examiners with a mouth mirror and No. 23 explorer according to criteria of simplified oral hygiene index by Green and Vermilion (19). Periodontal status was assessed by Community Periodontal Index (20); children below the age of 15 years were assessed for bleeding and calculus only as recording of periodontal pockets would be overestimated in this population because of false pockets. The two examiners were calibrated before the survey. The inter-examiner variability was tested and the weighted kappa statistic was 90.3%. Dental caries was recorded according to the WHO caries diagnostic criteria using the mouth mirror and CPI probe. Intelligence Quotient level for each subject was assessed using the Weschler intelligence scale for children (WISC) of the 12-16 years age group and Weschler adult intelligence scale for above 16-year-olds. The mean and standard deviation were used to describe the patterns of oral hygiene and caries status in all groups. Chi-square tests were used to test the differences in frequencies between the age groups and prevalence of periodontal disease. One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to test the differences in the mean scores of oral hygiene, caries and periodontal indicators. Multiple linear stepwise regression analysis was employed to analyze the association of various socio-demographic and clinical variables with caries, oral hygiene and periodontal indicators. Multiple Logistic regression analysis was executed to test the associations of various independent variables with the caries, oral hygiene and periodontal disease status. The effect of each independent variable was assessed adjusting for that of all others in the model. For cross tabulation and logistic regression analysis, variables were forced into the model by dichotomizing the continuous data. Data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS), Version-11.0.

Results

Table 1 illustrates the general profile of the study population. There was a difference in the distribution of subjects according to age group, with only 5.3% of the subjects belonging to the 26-30 year age group. There was also unequal gender distribution with males comprising 77.3% of the total sample. Only 58.7% of the subjects used a toothbrush for cleaning the teeth and 41.3% had never used a brush. Poor oral hygiene status was exhibited in 37.3% of the study population. 37.3% were severely mentally retarded and 60% of the subjects had cerebral palsy. None of the subjects exhibited deep periodontal pockets but shallow pockets were present in 22.7% subjects. More than half (53.3%) of the population belonged to middle class group.

Table 2 shows the mean DMFT and mean DMFS scores in various age groups. There was a statistically significant difference (P = 0.002) between all the age groups for DMFT. The oldest age group had the highest DMFT and DMFS score, which was 2.75 and 3.25, respectively. There was a definite trend where mean scores for all the indices gradually increased with an increase in age.

Table 3 compares the Debris index (DI), calculus index
(CI) and oral hygiene index (OHIS) mean scores at various age groups amongst the study subjects. There was a statistically significant difference \((P = 0.001)\) between all the age groups for Oral hygiene index. The oldest age group had the highest scores for all the indices measured and mean scores for all the indices tended to increase gradually with an increase in age.

Table 4 shows the mean number of sextants with evidence of periodontal disease. Healthy sextants without any signs of periodontal disease were found in all younger age groups but contributed for 1.24 sextants overall. In the youngest age group, 1.71 sextants were found to be healthy, whereas in older age groups the corresponding value was 0.0. The greatest periodontal destruction was manifested in the older age groups with 3.75 and 5.0 sextants presenting shallow periodontal pockets. Bleeding on probing was also commonly noted among all the age groups. There was a statistically significant difference between all the age groups.

Stepwise multiple linear regression analysis, which was executed to estimate the linear relationship between the dependent variables (DMFT, OHIS, CPI) and various
independent variables, revealed that the best predictors in the descending order for DMFT index were I.Q, medical diagnosis, mother’s education and age (Tables 5 and 6). I.Q, medical diagnosis, mother’s education and age explained a variance of 16.5% with presence of IQ and education of mother contributing for 7.6% and 2.7% variance, respectively. It is evident from Tables 5 and 6 that all the independent variables were significantly associated with DMFT. In addition to those variables that were significantly associated with DMFT, oral hygiene practices were also associated with OHI-S, though oral hygiene practice provided a variance of 5.9% only. The best predictor for OHIS was IQ, which provide a variance of 13.1%. For the community periodontal index, IQ level explained 36.0% of the variance in the model and the cumulative variance provided by all the predictors (IQ, Medical diagnosis, age, oral hygiene practice, sex) was 44.5%.

Table 7 revealed that IQ, age, medical diagnosis, oral hygiene practice, and father’s education were the most
important predictors for DMFT in addition to all other variables that maintained a statistically significant effect in the multivariate analysis. Thus, odds ratios for caries status were significantly higher among old than among young subjects, among those subjects having Down’s syndrome as compared to cerebral palsy and among those subjects having severe retardation than mild retardation. A similar pattern was observed for oral hygiene status where odds ratios for poor oral hygiene status were significantly higher among subjects with poorly educated parents as compared to highly educated parents, among those subjects having Down’s syndrome as compared to cerebral palsy, among those subjects having severe retardation than mild retardation and among those with less educated mothers. Table 7 also shows that odds ratios were significantly higher among subjects having Down’s syndrome as compared to cerebral palsy. Down’s syndrome was the most important predictor for poor periodontal status.

**Discussion**

Oral health and quality oral health care contribute to holistic health, which should be a right rather than a privilege (21). That is why individuals with disabilities deserve the same opportunities for dental services as those who are healthy. Therefore, this study was conducted to assess the impact of various socio-demographic and clinical variables on the oral health status of a mentally disabled population. It was evident that the caries experience of the disabled subjects who were attending ‘special’ schools was higher than that found in national oral health surveys of children in normal schools (22,23) which also adhered to the WHO methodology. The present study reports a higher prevalence of untreated carious lesions in the disabled children than in their normal counterparts, thus agreeing with previous findings which suggested that the severity of caries attack is essentially the same in disabled and normal schoolchildren but that the rate of treatment is frequently lower in the disabled (24-26). This study showed that there was a high demand for provision of dental services, especially to the disabled, and that this population had received less dental treatment. Multiple logistic regression analyses revealed that the odds ratio for higher DMFT status was significantly higher among subjects with Down’s syndrome than those with cerebral palsy at 2.766 (95% CI 1.487-5.145). However, a study by Tannenbaum reported that caries occurrence was less frequent in children with Down’s syndrome than in other mentally retarded or in healthy children (27). Caries experience seems quite comparable with that seen in non-handicapped children of the same age. A study by Gizani et al. (28) showed a mean DMFT score of 2.9 versus 2.7 and a mean DMFS score of 4.7 versus 4.2, respectively in 12-year-old children in Belgium. In our study, the mean DMFT and DMFS scores were less at 1.50 and 1.90, respectively in 12-15 year old children. From the epidemiological data available to date, there appears to be conflict regarding the caries susceptibility in children with Down’s syndrome. Caries occurrence has been reported to be both higher (29,30) and lower (31,32) in subjects with Down’s syndrome compared with non-Down’s syndrome subjects or other individuals with mental retardation.

Furthermore, the proportion of subjects with no periodontal disease in the present population was observed to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 7 Logistic regression: Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for caries, oral hygiene and periodontal status of mentally disabled individuals according to age, gender, education of father and mother, medical diagnosis, I.Q. level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Independent Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age: Old vs. Young</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: Male vs. Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father education: Low vs. High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother education: Low vs. High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status: Low vs. High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentally retarded type: Down’s syndrome vs. Cerebral palsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral hygiene practice: Good vs. Poor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-
be 22.7% which is in strong disagreement with that of the general population of Rajasthan state, where the proportion of 12- and 15-year-old children without any signs of periodontal disease was 66.8% and 49.2%, respectively. The mean number of healthy sextants in the study population was 1.71 for the age group 12-15, whereas in the children of the comparable ages in Rajasthan state it was found to be 3.7 (33). The mentally disabled subjects of the present study had a higher caries prevalence, poorer standard of oral hygiene and greater prevalence of periodontal disease than that found in normal children of comparable age groups. The mean oral hygiene index of the study population was 2.84 ± 1.42, whereas it was observed to be in the range of 1.1 - 1.7 among school children (36). These results confirm the findings of other studies concerning the poor level of oral hygiene and high prevalence of periodontal disease among individuals with disabilities. (26,34,35,37)

The overall oral hygiene status of the study population was poor with a prevalence rate of 18.7%, 44.0% and 37.3% for good, fair and poor components, respectively, which was worse than that of a previous study conducted on handicapped children attending special schools in Birmingham, UK (38), where the corresponding values in hearing impaired children were 69%, 29% and 2%, respectively. On the other hand, it was similar to a study by Oredugba which showed that 23%, 37% and 40% of the subjects had good, fair and poor oral hygiene status, respectively. Male subjects had poorer oral hygiene and periodontal status than their female counterparts as shown by the logistic regression analysis. Denloye (39) observed a similar trend among mentally retarded children of Nigeria, where higher OHI-S scores were recorded among males than females. High prevalence of periodontal disease and the greatest treatment needs were detected in subjects with Down’s syndrome. This relatively high level of periodontal disease and treatment need in this group compared with normal and other disability groups confirmed previously reported data on the high prevalence of periodontal disease in populations with Down’s syndrome. (13-15) Several investigators have reported a significant correlation between oral hygiene and periodontal conditions in children with Down’s syndrome (40,41).

Moreover, a high correlation between poor oral hygiene and the development and progression of periodontal disease has been well documented and the role of poor oral hygiene as a risk factor of periodontal diseases is well established (42). In multivariate analysis, both linear and logistic, the education level of mother and father was significantly associated with both caries and oral hygiene status. This could be explained by the findings from a study among children with Down’s syndrome in Riyadh, which revealed that a higher percentage of children of illiterate mothers were found to use water only as a method of cleaning their teeth compared to other children (43), thus influencing the oral hygiene status. The commonly accepted frequency recommended by Frandsen et al. in 1986 for tooth brushing is twice a day (44). In this study, more than half of the subjects reported brushing at least twice a day. A considerable percentage (41.2%) of the mildly mentally retarded and learning impaired children did not brush daily. Multiple logistic regression analyses revealed that the odds ratio for poor oral hygiene and periodontal status were significantly higher among Down’s syndrome subjects than those with cerebral palsy at 2.943 (1.491-5.806) and 2.060 (0.922-4.601), respectively. This observation confirmed previously reported data on the high prevalence of periodontal disease in populations with Down’s syndrome (14,45). The results have shown that poor oral health is a major problem for disabled schoolchildren, and the oral health of disabled children assessed seemed to indicate a cumulative neglect of oral health. The lack of regular dental care, which is available to normal schoolchildren, was reflected in the dental status of the disabled when their oral health was compared with that of normal schoolchildren. In comparison with normal children, the disabled subjects were not given enough dental care with respect to their treatment needs.

In conclusion, the oral health status of the present population was poor and was influenced by aetiology of the disability, IQ level, and parent’s level of education. Oral health promotion programs should be aimed specifically at special needs schools and parents of disabled children. Oral health promotion should include facilitating access and regular use of oral health services. Taking into consideration the multi factorial influence on oral health status of the present disabled population, oral health promotion and intervention programs should be targeted and concentrated towards these risk groups.

Acknowledgments

We are thankful to Dr. H. R. Dayakara, Dr. K.T. Chandrasekhar and the Clinical Research Centre for their kind support.

References


