**Abstract:** The present study investigated the bond strength and aging resistance performance of five resin-based luting agents to zirconia. A total of 100 large blocks (10.0 mm × 10.0 mm × 2.5 mm) and 100 small blocks (4.0 mm × 4.0 mm × 2.5 mm) of zirconia were airborne-particle abraded and randomly divided into five groups: RelyX Ultimate [RUI]; Panavia F [PF]; Clearfil SA Luting [SAC]; Multilink Speed [MS]; and RelyX Unicem [RUN]). The small blocks were bonded to the large blocks using the resin-based luting agents. Shear bond strengths (SBS) and failure mode were determined before and after 5,000 thermocycles. After being stored in water for 24 h, the SBS were MS > PF > RUI > SAC > RUN (P < 0.05). After 5,000 thermocycles, the SBS were MS > SAC ≈ RUI > PF ≈ RUN (P < 0.05); the SBS of the PF, MS, and RUN groups were lower than that before 5,000 thermocycles (P < 0.01). Adhesive failure, cohesive failure, or mixed failure occurred in the specimens. In general, Clearfil SA Luting, a self-adhesive resin-based luting agent containing 10-methacryloyloxy decyl diphosphate, had good initial and durable SBS to zirconia and was a better adhesive.
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**Introduction**

Because of its unique stress-induced phase-transformation effects, yttria-stabilized tetragonal zirconia polycrystalline (Y-TZP) possesses high fracture toughness and strength, excellent esthetics, and good biocompatibility. In recent years, it has been widely applied in prosthodontics and implant dentistry, particularly for inlays, crowns, and implant substrates [1].

Y-TZP is a polycrystalline, high-strength oxide ceramic without a glassy phase. Because there is no silicon dioxide structure on the surface, it has a high chemical inertia. Bonding to zirconia, however, is problematic. To obtain high bond strength and maintain bonding durability, some type of mechanical and chemical pretreatment of the zirconia surface—such as alumina airborne-particle abrasion, selective acid-etching, or tribochemical silicon coating—and primer application is necessary [2,3]. Although there is no universally accepted method of improving clinical bond strength, alumina airborne-particle abrasion usually produces the micromechanical retention that is advocated for in clinical applications [4]. However, airborne particles impacting the surface of zirconia may induce small cracks or defects, which may lead to fracture during oral functions such as mastication or tooth grinding. Airborne-particle abrasion may also induce the transformation of zirconia from the tetragonal to monoclinic phase, thus affecting its long-term properties [5]. In view of this problem, the use of a resin adhesive that can fill the microracks caused by airborne-particle abrasion has been advocated to increase the strength of zirconia [6].

The preferred adhesive for zirconia restorations is a resin-based luting agent. The bonding mechanism mainly includes a chemical and micro-mechanical bonding force between the roughened and pretreated zirconia surface and the resin-based luting agent. Chemical bonding has been shown to play a decisive role in the bond strength and durability of zirconia. Some resin-based luting agents for zirconia bonding contain functional monomers that have an affinity for and can react with zirconia to improve the bond between the resin-based luting agent and zirconia. Because of the chemical interaction between the phosphate ester group of these molecules and the hydroxyl groups of the passive zirconia surface, resin-based luting agents containing phosphate-based functional monomers, particularly 10-methacryloyloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate (10-MDP), seem to be a suitable adhesive for zirconia bonding [7]. However, conventional resin-based luting agents without functional monomers combined with other adhesives also have been used for bonding to zirconia [8,9]. Conventional resin-based luting agents combined with primer containing 10-MDP or a general binder can also improve the bond strength to zirconia [10]. Therefore, the present bonding strategy of combining the application of airborne-particle abrasion and primer or a resin-based luting agent containing acidic functional monomers (e.g., 10-MDP) is a preferred method for zirconia bonding [11,12].

The initial bond strength between a resin-based luting agent and existing tooth structure is between 17 MPa and 20 MPa, which meets clinical requirements. However, the bond strength between a resin-based luting agent and zirconia is poor and needs to be improved [13]. A long-term, stable bond between resin and zirconia ensures a marginal seal, prevents fracture, and improves the success rate of a prosthesis [14]. However, studies on the aging resistance of resin-based luting agents bonded to zirconia are lacking.

The purpose of this study was to compare the effects of five resin-based luting agents on shear bond strength to investigate their suitability for bonding to zirconia. The operation flow of this experiment is shown in Fig. 1.

**Materials and Methods**

**Preparation of specimens**

A total of 100 large zirconia blocks (10.0 mm × 10.0 mm × 2.5 mm) and 100 small zirconia blocks (4.0 mm × 4.0 mm × 2.5 mm) were prepared from zirconia (Cercon, DeguDent GmbH, Hanau-Wolfgang, Germany) in a cutting machine (SYJ-160, Shenyang Kejing Co Ltd, Shenyang, P. R. China). The specimens were sintered according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The zirconia blocks were polished with 600-, 800-, and 1,000-grit silicon carbide abrasive papers for 10 s under running water. A selected surface of each block was airborne-particle abraded with 50-μm aluminum oxide (Rocatec, 3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA) perpendicularly to the zirconia surface (0.25 MPa pressure, 10-mm distance, 10 s). They were then ultrasonically cleaned in acetone, ethanol, and deionized water in an ultrasonic bath (Eurosonic Energy, Eurolon Inc., Vicenza, Italy) for 5 min and rinsed with distilled water for 30 s. The specimens were randomly divided into 5 groups, each including 20 large blocks and 20 small blocks. The specific grouping and the information on each adhesive (RelyX Ultimate [RUI], 3M, St. Paul, MN, USA; Panavia F [PF], Kuraray, Tokyo, Japan; Clearfil SA Luting [SAC], Kuraray, Tokyo, Japan; Multilink Speed [MS], Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Liechtenstein; and RelyX Unicem [RUN], 3M) is shown in Table 1. The prepared large blocks were placed in autopolymerizing resin with the bonding surface sufficiently exposed. The resin-based luting agents were placed on the small blocks following the respective manufacturer’s instructions (Table 1), which were then bonded to the large blocks under a pressure of 10 N. The excess cement was removed after light-polymerization for 3-5 s using a light-curing machine (SCL-VIIA, Hangzhou Quartet Medical Devices Co Ltd, Hangzhou, P. R. China) with 900 mW/cm² light-power density. After the pressure and the excess cement had been removed, the specimens were light-polymerized from 2 opposite
margins of the block for 20 s each.

After 30 min at room temperature, the specimens were stored in distilled water at 37°C for 24 h; one half was then tested (24H) and the other half was thermocycled (Proto-Tech, Micoforce, Portland, OR, USA) for 5,000 cycles with alternating temperatures of 5°C and 55°C (24H/5,000TC).

Scanning electron microscopy observation

The surface of the zirconia was well coated after drying for 5 min under a digital infrared baking lamp. The surface structure of zirconia before and after airborne-particle abrasion was observed with a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (S-4800, Hitachi Ltd, Tokyo, Japan).

Shear bond strength test

The shear bond strength (SBS) was measured with a universal testing machine (AG-XPLUS 10KN, Shimadzu Co Ltd, Kyoto, Japan) with a 1-kN load cell at a crosshead speed of 1 mm/min until failure occurred. The bonded specimens were fixed in a custom fixture and the plane of the loading head was parallel to the bonding surface (Fig. 2). The SBS was calculated using the following formula: P = F/S [15], where P was the shear bond strength (MPa); F was the maximum shear force (N); and S was the bonding area (mm²).

Failure mode observation

The failure mode was determined with a stereoscopic microscope (SZX16, OLYMPUS Co Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) under x20 magnification. Failure was classified by the following method:

A. Interface failure: A fracture occurred at either the interface between the resin-based luting agents and zirconia, one zirconia surface without resin-based luting agents, or all of the resin-based luting agents on the other zirconia surface;

Table 1 Materials evaluated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Main composition*</th>
<th>Application procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RelyX Ultimate (RUl)</td>
<td>3M, St, Paul, MN, USA</td>
<td>Silane-treated glass powder, 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-1, 10[1-(hydroxyethyl)l,2-ethanediyl] outer; reaction products with 2-hydroxy-1,3-propanediyl dimethacrylate and phosphorus oxide, TEGDMA, silane-treated silica, oxide-glass chemicals, sodium persulfate, tet-butyl peroxy-3,3,5,5-tetramethylhexanoate, copper, acetate monohydrate, substituted dimethacrylate, 1,2-dodecanediol dimethacrylate, 1-benzyl-5-phenyl-barb-acid, calcium salt, sodium p-toluenesulfonate, calcium hydroxide, 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-[3(methoxypropyl)imino] di-2,1-ethanediyl ester, titanium dioxide</td>
<td>1. Apply Single Bond Universal Adhesive on the surface of specimens for 20 s, then thoroughly air-dried. 2. Squeeze Paste A and B from the dispenser syringe and mix sufficiently on the paper pad for 20 s. 3. Apply the mixed RUl to small blocks. 4. Light cure for 20 s from each side.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Bond Universal</td>
<td>3M</td>
<td>HEMA, Bis-GMA, absolute ethyl alcohol, 2-methyl-1,10-decyl 2 ester, 2-methyl-2-acrylic acid, 1,10-decanol, a mixture of phosphorus pentoxide, silane silicide molecules, water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panavia F2.0 (PF)</td>
<td>Kuraray, Tokyo, Japan</td>
<td>Hydrophobic aromatic DMA, hydrophilic DPA, hydrophilic DMA, 10-MDP, silane-treated silanol peroxide containing composite resin, silanated silica, silanated barium glass-containing composite resin, N,N-diethanol-p-toluidine, surface-treated (functionalized) sodium fluoride, photoinitiator</td>
<td>1. Apply primer on the surface of specimens for 5 s, then thoroughly air-dry. 2. Dispense equal amounts of Paste A and B and mix sufficiently on the paper pad for 20 s. 3. Apply the mixed Panavia F to small blocks. 4. Light cure for 20 s from each side.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearfil Ceramic Primer</td>
<td>Kuraray</td>
<td>10-MDP, 3-(trimethoxysilyl) propyl methacrylate, ethyl methacrylate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multilink Speed (MS)</td>
<td>Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein</td>
<td>10-MDP, 5-NMMA, SiO₅, HEMA, barium-treated glass powder, sodium fluoride, benzoyl peroxide</td>
<td>1. Squeeze Paste A and B from the dispenser syringe and mix sufficiently on the paper pad for 20 s. 2. Apply the mixed resin cements to small blocks. 3. Light cure for 20 s from each side.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearfil SA resin cement (SAC)</td>
<td>Kuraray</td>
<td>Bis-GMA, sodium fluoride, TEGDMA, 10-MDP, hydrophobic aromatic dimethacrylate, hydrophilic malene dimethacrylate, silanated barium glass filler, silanated colloidal silica, di-camphorquinone, initiators, accelerators, catalysts, pigments</td>
<td>1. The capsule was activated by an activator for 2 s to 4 s and mixed with a mixing machine for 10 s. 2. Apply the mixed RUl to small blocks. 3. Light cure for 20 s from each side.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RelyX Unicem (RU)</td>
<td>3M</td>
<td>Methacrylated phosphoric esters, dimethacrylates, acetate, initiators, stabilizers, glass fillers, silica, calcium hydroxide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*According to the manufacturers’ fact sheets and instructions. 5-NMMA, 5-methacryloyl-5-aminosalicylic acid; 10-MDP, 10-methacryloyloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate; Bis-GMA, bisphenol A-glycidyl methacrylate; DMA, dimethacrylate; HEMA, hydroxyethyl methacrylate; SiO₂, silicon dioxide; TEGDMA, triethylene glycol dimethacrylate
Results

SEM (Fig. 3) showed that the surface of zirconia before airborne-particle abrasion had a certain roughness, but porosity was not detected. After airborne-particle abrasion, the surface of zirconia ceramics presented ridges or grains, and the roughness appeared to increase significantly. The results of Kolmogolov-Smirnov and Levene’s tests showed that the data were accorded with normal probability distribution and homogeneity of variance ($P > 0.05$). The SBS values of all test groups are presented in Table 2. After water storage for 24 h, the SBS values of the 5 cements ranging from large to small were: MS > PF > RUl > SAC > RUn ($P < 0.05$). After 5,000 cycles, the SBS values were: MS > SAC > RUl > PF > RUn ($P < 0.05$). Compared with 24H, the SBS values of the PF, MS, and RUn groups were lower after 5,000 cycles ($P < 0.01$), but the SBS values of RUI and SAC groups did not change significantly ($P > 0.05$).

The fracture surface observation by stereomicroscope (Fig. 4, Table 3) showed that cohesive failure of the adhesive occurred only in the MS group after 24 h and that interfacial fracture and mixed fracture occurred in specimens of the other test groups.

Discussion

Owing to the high chemical inertia of Y-TZP, surface modification is required to obtain micromechanical retention and/or chemical bonding. Alumina airborne-particle abrasion can promote micromechanical bonding between zirconia ceramics and resin-based luting agents by removing the smear layer, roughening the zirconia surface, increasing the surface area for bonding, and improving wettability [16]. The SEM images show that the surface of zirconia has a certain roughness before airborne-particle abrasion but that porosity is not visible. After airborne-particle abrasion, the surface porosity increases. In addition, alumina airborne-particle abrasion can significantly change the surface morphology and structure of zirconia, resulting in tetragonal (t) to monoclinic (m) phase transition; this is conducive to the formation of micromechanical or chemical bonding between zirconia and the tooth [17]. Airborne-particle abrasion is the most convenient and effective way of improving the bond strength to zirconia ceramics [18,19].

The adhesives used for zirconia restorations in clinical settings are mainly resin-based luting agents, and can be divided into three systems according to their processing method: total-etch, self-etch, or self-adhesive. The functional monomers of resin-based luting agents can improve chemical bonding between the cement and zirconia by forming chemical bonds with metal oxides. In addition, van der Waals forces or hydrogen bonds form secondary internal forces at the resin-zirconia interface. At the same time, the surface wettability and bond strength of zirconia are improved. At present, 10-MDP is the most widely used functional monomer [7,20]. In this study, the five resin-based luting agents were selected according to their different adhesive systems and whether they contained 10-MDP: total-etch, resin-based luting agent RUI and self-adhesive, resin-based luting agent RUl without 10-MDP; self-etch, resin-based luting agent PF and self-adhesive, resin-based luting agents SAC, and MS with 10-MDP.

10-MDP is an acidic monomer with high affinity for metal oxides such as zirconia. It can provide dual-function adhesion between metal/metal oxides and the bisphenol A-glycidyl methacrylate (Bis-GMA) resin matrix [20], dissolve the microparticle layer, and penetrate the ceramic through
diffusion, resulting in a resin-infiltrated layer on the surface of the zirconia and improving the bond strength. It can also be chemically conditioned to create an “active” zirconia surface, facilitating the formation of chemical bonds between its phosphate groups and the oxides on the zirconia surface [21]. Furthermore, 10-MDP can react directly with the hydroxyl groups on the surface of zirconia and produce van der Waals force or hydrogen bonds to form stable hydrolysis-resistant chemical bonds, which improves the durability of the bond strength [22,23]. The hydrophilic phosphate group in the 10-MDP molecule can etch and demineralize natural teeth and combine with calcium ions or amino acids to form insoluble calcium salts which bond well with natural teeth, especially dentin. Many studies have shown that adhesives containing the 10-MDP monomer have higher bond strengths than traditional adhesives [24]. In this experiment, the SBS values of MS and PF, which contain 10-MDP, was significantly higher than those of RUn and RUI after water storage for 24 h.

In fact, after water storage for 24 h, the SBS values of MS, PF, and SAC cements containing 10-MDP were significantly different. This may be because the ability of the adhesive to bond with zirconia is not only associated with the chemical composition of the adhesive but is also affected by its wettability and viscosity. Low-viscosity resin-based luting agents can easily flow into the pores of the sandblasted zirconia surface, increasing the bonding surface. However, when high-viscosity resin-based luting agents are used, the acid-etching effect is poor and the fluidity is significantly reduced after illumination, decreasing the micromechanical locking effect between the resin-based luting agent and the bonding surface of zirconia [25]. Further study of different 10-MDP containing resin cements should be conducted.

Due to the presence of 10-MDP monomers, SAC should have higher bond strengths than RUI, but the result was contradictory. It might be caused by Single Bond Universal (3M) in which 10-MDP was used to further improve the bond strength with zirconia before RUI application. However, RUn did not contain the functional bonding monomer 10-MDP, and the chemical bond strength with zirconia was weak. Therefore, its bond strength was lower. These results were in agreement with other studies [Feng XL et al., Key Engineering Materials, 602-603, 602-605, 2014]. Evaluating the aging resistance of the adhesive system in the complex oral environment is of great clinical significance. In this study, thermocycling experiments were performed to evaluate the bonding durability of the five resin-based luting agents. The results showed that the SBS value of SAC did not decrease after thermocycling (P > 0.05), which may be attributed to the higher content of 10-MDP. However, the SBS values of MS and PF containing 10-MDP decreased significantly after 5,000 cycles (P < 0.01). This may be related to the film thickness, water absorption, and solubility of resin-based luting agents [26]. If the film is too thick, the coefficient of thermal expansion between the resin-based bonding agent and zirconia ceramics does not match, and a gap might occur after thermocycling, resulting in a decrease in bond strength. The self-adhesive resin-based luting agent contains an acidic adhesive monomer, and its water absorption value and dissolution value are higher than the conventional resin-based luting agent. When the resin-based luting agent absorbs water, it decreases the strength, expands the volume, and affects the durability of the bond [27]. Although MS had a significant decrease in SBS after 5,000 thermocycles, it was still higher than the other four resin-based luting agents. The bond strength after more than 5,000 thermocycles should be tested to clarify the bonding effect of MS. The initial bond strength is higher when the resin-based luting agent containing 10-MDP is used, but the bond strength decreases after artificial aging [28,29]. Therefore, the ability of adhesive systems containing 10-MDP to resist the loss of adhesive strength over time is still unclear [30,31].

This study found that the SBS values of RUI did not decrease significantly after 5,000 cycles, which may be due to the use of Single Bond Universal before bonding. Single Bond Universal contains both 10-MDP and a methacrylic acid-modified polyolefin copolymer, which can effectively resist hydrolysis. This explains why the long-term bonding effect of the RUI group is higher than that of PF and RUn [32]. Self-adhesive, resin-based luting agents contain a phosphate monomer or a carboxylic acid-ester monomer, which is more hydrophilic than common dental resin monomers such as Bis-GMA [33,34]. During thermal cycling, water with a small molecular size and high molar concentration is more likely to lead to the dissolution and release of unpolymerized monomers, which softens the polymer and weakens its cross-linking structure, leading to filler overflow and eventually to the failure of the resin-based luting agent [32]. Therefore, the better aging resistance of RUI and SAC may be attributed to the presence of the Bis-GMA monomer in RUI and SAC.

In addition, the difference in physical properties such as the thermal expansion coefficient, water absorption, and solubility of the resin-based luting agent will also affect the bonding interface [35]. During the thermal cycling process, the intermolecular force and the chemical bonding force between the bonding interface consisting of the ceramics and resin will be easily destroyed by water. Temperature changes may enlarge the mismatch of the thermal expansion coefficient of the bonding materials, resulting in mechanical stress at the bonding interface and bond strength degradation [36]. These factors can further aggravate the aging of the bonding interface, reducing the bond strength [37]. Knowledge of the failure mode can help to explain bond strength results. In the present investigation, low bond-strength values were usually associated with cohesive failures [38]. After 24 h of water bath, only the MS group produced an adhesive failure, which coincided with the relatively high bond-strength values obtained. The other four groups produced cohesive failures or mixed failures, which further explains why the bond strength of the MS adhesive was the highest. After aging with 5,000 thermocycles, the failure patterns of all specimens were either cohesive or mixed.

In this experiment, SAC had good initial strength and it had more durable shear bond strength, which was greater than the 17 MPa required for the teeth when in function [39]. Fahad et al. [40] compared the shear bond strength of three primer/resin cement systems to zirconia ceramic. The results revealed that the use of Clearfil SA/Clearfil Ceramic Primer system (Kuraray) based on 10-MDP could increase the bond strength of the MS adhesive was the highest. After aging with 5,000 thermocycles, the failure patterns of all specimens were either cohesive or mixed. Therefore, Clearfil SA Luting was highly recommended for bonding zirconia ceramic restorations as a new double-curing, self-etching, and one-step resin adhesive.

Acknowledgments
This research was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, Grant No. 81671033). The authors thank Jilin Provincial Key Laboratory of Tooth Development and Bone and those who contributed to this article.

Conflict of interest
All authors have declared that they have no conflict of interest.

References


