Abstract  The soleus, one of the triceps surae muscles, greatly contributes to standing and walking. Strength training focused on the soleus could be important to prevent age-related deterioration in these functions. We therefore investigated the effects of regular heel-raise training focused on the soleus for the elderly. Forty-nine healthy women aged 60 to 79 years trained for at least 40 days in a period of two months. Training consisted of a set of 100 repetitions per day of heel-raise with both legs in a standing position. The training effect was evaluated by changes in each muscle thickness of the soleus and gastrocnemius medialis, which was measured using an ultrasound scanner, as well as plantar flexor strength. The subjects' ability to perform the training and their subjective opinions of its effects were assessed by a questionnaire survey. Plantar flexor strength and thicknesses of the soleus and gastrocnemius medialis were increased significantly by the training. The percentage increase in thickness was significantly greater for the soleus than for the gastrocnemius medialis (12.7% vs. 6.6%). These improvements did not significantly correlate with age. The questionnaire results suggested that the elderly were able to safely and easily perform the heel-raise training at home. This study demonstrated that regular heel-raise training is an effective muscle training method for the elderly, focused on the soleus.
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Introduction

Among the postural muscles, the triceps surae shows the greatest activation during a quiet standing posture, which is a basic posture for humans (Okada, 1971). In addition, this muscle is strongly activated in the stance phase of walking to maintain the standing posture and generate forces for propulsion (Inman et al., 1981). During walking, the triceps surae generates 93% of plantar flexion torque (Haxton, 1944), and the soleus (SoL) greatly contributes to this torque (Perry, 1992). The triceps surae accounts for 73% of the total muscle volume of the plantar flexors, and SoL accounts for 41% (Fukunaga et al., 1996). Deterioration in triceps surae strength reportedly affects postural steadiness (Fujiwara et al., 1982) and the ability to walk (Nadeau et al., 1999). Strength training of the triceps surae could therefore be important to prevent age-related deterioration in these functions. Studies on strength training of the triceps surae have demonstrated an improvement in plantar flexor strength (PFS) and in triceps surae muscle volume (Ferri et al., 2003; Morse et al., 2005).

In terms of histology, SoL and gastrocnemius (GM) differ in their proportion of slow- and fast-twitch muscle fibers: the proportion of slow-twitch muscle fibers is approximately 90% in SoL and 50% in GM (Johnson et al., 1973; Trappe et al., 2001). Slow-twitch muscle fibers are recruited at a lower muscle load compared with fast-twitch muscle fibers (Henneman et al., 1965). This indicates that the suitable training intensity differs between these two types of muscle fibers. It is reported that low-intensity muscle strength training causes significant hypertrophy of slow-twitch muscle fibers (Shono et al., 2002). The relative muscle load of triceps surae while maintaining a raised heel position is 5 to 40% of maximum voluntary contraction (Okada, 1971). Maximum repetition of the muscle contraction is reportedly 60–110 times at 30% of maximum voluntary contraction (Ikai et al., 1965; Berger and Hardage, 1967). In addition, muscle blood flow is maintained or increased in such muscle load (Humphreys and Lind, 1963; Wernbom et al., 2006). Therefore, it is likely that such low muscle load is suitable for training of slow-twitch muscle fibers, the contraction of which is strongly dependent on muscle blood flow (Bonde-Petersen and Robertson, 1981; Petrofsky et al., 1981). Hence, the heel-raise training with approximately 100 repetitions should focus on slow-twitch muscle fibers in the triceps surae. It is presumed that this
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training would be especially effective for SoL, which has a high proportion of slow-twitch muscle fibers. Therefore, it is important to separately evaluate the training effect in GM and SoL.

Muscle strength is proportional to muscle cross-sectional area (Ikai and Fukunaga, 1968), and muscle thickness is an important factor related to muscle cross-sectional area. Many researchers have used ultrasound to measure muscle thickness (Reimers et al., 1996; Narici and Cerretelli, 1998), and this is noninvasive and straightforward to assess the muscle thickness of SoL and GM. Because ultrasound apparatus is small and lightweight, it can be used to measure muscle thickness on a large population outside the laboratory.

Muscle hypertrophy has been observed from 5 to 9 weeks after onset of muscle training (Young et al., 1983; Ploutz et al., 1994). In order for the elderly to continue muscle training over a long period, the training method must be safe, easy, and able to be performed frequently.

Therefore, in the present study, elderly participants performed daily heel-raise training focused on SoL for two months. Before and after the training period, PFS and muscle thicknesses of SoL and gastrocnemius medialis (GMM) were measured. Our purpose was to examine the hypothesis that this training would be effective in strengthening triceps surae, and that the effect would be more noticeable in SoL than in GMM.

**Methods**

**Participants**

Participants were 49 healthy female volunteers, ranging in age from 60 to 79 years (mean = 69.0, SD = 5.0), who had not performed any regular training or exercise. Their mean height and weight before training were 147.7 (SD = 6.3) cm and 51.9 (SD = 7.1) kg, respectively. No significant differences in height and weight were found between before and after training. We recruited participants from community centers in Suzu and Kanazawa Cities, Ishikawa Prefecture, Japan, and explained the purpose and method of this study. Participants understood this information and agreed to voluntarily participate in this study. All participants provided informed consent to participate in the study after being provided with an explanation of the experimental protocol, which had been approved by the institutional ethics committee of Kanazawa University. The health status of participants was preliminarily assessed by a short questionnaire, and only those without limitation of daily activities due to cardiopulmonary, musculoskeletal, or neurological disease, and those with no pain or no analgesic use were enrolled.

**Training protocol**

For the heel-raise training, participants simultaneously lifted both heels from a standing position to bear their weight on their forefeet. Participants were instructed to lift the heels slowly at intervals of about 2 s and to maintain the heel-raise position for about 1 s each time, in order to avoid a sudden high load on the triceps surae. The heel-raise position was set at the height at which participants were able to repeat the training 100 times. Training consisted of a set of 100 repetitions per day for at least 40 days in the period of two months (62 days). The actual mean training period was 59.5 (SD = 3.8) days.

**Measurement of PFS**

The maximal isometric muscle strength of plantar flexor was measured in a sitting position using an instrument to measure PFS invented by Fujiwara (Fujiwara et al., 1988) (Fig. 1). The right knee and ankle were kept in 90° flexion, and the knee was secured with a belt at the top of the leg. PFS was measured as the force exerted at the metatarsal heads of the right foot. To avoid the measurement being affected by recoil motion in other regions of the body, when they generated the plantar flexion force, participants were instructed not to touch the floor with their left foot, and not to rotate the pelvis or trunk. In addition, they were asked to generate the plantar flexion force during expiration to prevent rapid elevation of blood pressure. Muscle strength measurement was performed twice and the higher value was used for calculations.

**Measurement of muscle thicknesses of SoL and GMM**

The thicknesses of SoL and GMM were measured using a real-time B-mode ultrasound scanner (Hitachi Medico, EUB-405B, Japan) with a 3.8-cm, 10-MHz linear array probe. Measurements were performed directly on the screen using electronic calipers with 0.1-mm resolution. To measure the right side, participants sat on a chair with the right foot on the floor, and the target point was defined as follows. First, the level of maximum girth of the right leg was determined using a tape measure. Next, at this level, the midpoint of the mediolateral width of GMM was marked with a red permanent marker as the target point. During ultrasound measurement, the longitudinal axis of the right leg was parallel to the vertical axis of gravity, with the ankle at zero degrees of dorsiflexion/
plantar flexion. For ultrasound scanning, the head of the ultrasound probe was coated with coupling gel and applied to the target point. The probe was oriented in the axial plane, perpendicular to the muscle. The ultrasound image under the probe was displayed on a computer screen. We measured the thickness of SoL and GMM under the target point. During scanning, great care was taken to manipulate the probe so that the fasciae were parallel and to avoid compressing the dermal surface. GMM thickness was defined as the anterior-posterior distance between the midpoints of the fascia posterior to the muscle and the fascia separating GMM from SoL. SoL thickness was defined as the anterior-posterior distance between the midpoints of the fascia separating GMM from SoL and the fascia anterior to SoL (Fig. 2). The reliability and the validity of ultrasound measurement of muscle thickness were examined in our previous study (Fujiwara et al., 2010).

Questionnaire on the implementation of training and the subjective assessment of training effects
Using a questionnaire, participants were asked to report training place and time, occurrence of training-associated injuries or problems, the difficulty of training, and their subjective assessment of training effects and the latency of these effects. For questions of training place, time, injuries, problems, and training effect, multiple answers were allowed.

Statistical analyses
A paired t test was used to examine statistical differences between data collected pre- and post-training. A Student’s t test or Welch’s t test was used to assess the difference in muscle thickness increase between both muscles depending on whether a significant difference in variance was observed or not. Pearson correlation was calculated to assess the relationship between measurements. The significance of correlation coefficients was evaluated with the Z test after performing Fisher’s Z transformation. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 14.0J (SPSS, Japan). The alpha level was set at \( p<0.05 \).

Results

Age-related change in PFS and muscle thickness
Before training, a significant negative correlation was found between age and PFS \((r=-0.54, p<0.01)\) and between age and GMM thickness \((r=-0.44, p<0.01)\), indicating that both PFS and GMM thickness decreased with age. On the other hand, no significant correlation was found between age and SoL thickness \((r=-0.28, \text{ns})\).

Training effects on PFS and muscle thickness (Table 1)
PFS after training was significantly larger than that before training (727.7 N vs. 589.4 N, \(t=12.34, p<0.001\)); this percentage increase was 25.8% (SD=17.0). SoL and GMM were significantly thicker after training than before it (SoL: 19.8 mm vs. 17.7 mm, \(t=7.02, p<0.001\); GMM: 16.2 mm vs. 15.3 mm, \(t=3.73, p<0.001\)). The percentage increase in thickness was significantly larger for SoL than for GMM (12.7% vs. 6.6%, \(t=2.45, p<0.05\)). The relative thickness of GMM to SoL after training was significantly smaller than that before training (0.85 vs. 0.90, \(t=2.81, p<0.01\)).

Relationships between each measurement before training and the percentage increase in PFS and muscle thickness
Figure 3 shows the relationship between each measurement before training and its percentage increase. PFS and SoL thickness increased with training in all participants. Significant negative correlations were found between PFS before training and its percentage increase \((r=-0.51, p<0.001)\), and between SoL thickness before training and its percentage increase \((r=-0.34, p<0.05)\). GMM thickness did not increase in 12 of the 49 participants; these individuals had a relatively high thickness before training. A significant negative correlation was found between GMM thickness before training and its percentage increase \((r=-0.59, p<0.001)\).

Figure 4 shows the relationship between the relative
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**Fig. 2** The experimental set-up for measurement of the muscle thickness of gastrocnemius medialis (GMM) and soleus (SoL).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Training effects on plantar flexor strength and muscle thickness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>before training</td>
<td>after training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantar flexor strength</td>
<td>589.4 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscles thickness</td>
<td>19.8 mm***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soleus</td>
<td>17.7 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrocnemius medialis</td>
<td>15.3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative thickness</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(*\*: p<0.01\) vs. before training, ***: \( p<0.001 \) vs. before training
thickness of GMM to SoL before training and the percentage increase in each measurement. A significant negative correlation was found between the relative thickness before training and the percentage increase in PFS ($r = -0.31$, $p < 0.05$). A significant negative correlation was also found between the relative thickness before training and the percentage increase in GMM thickness ($r = -0.37$, $p < 0.01$). No significant correlation was found between the relative thickness before training and the percentage increase in SoL thickness ($r = 0.16$, $ns$).

The percentage increase in PFS, SoL, and GMM thicknesses did not correlate significantly with age ($r = 0.21$ for PFS, $ns$; $r = 0.17$ for SoL, $ns$; $r = 0.04$ for GMM, $ns$).

**Relationship between PFS and muscle thickness**

Before the training, significant positive correlations were found between PFS and GMM thickness ($r = 0.45$, $p < 0.01$) and between PFS and the sum of thicknesses of SoL and GMM ($r = 0.48$, $p < 0.001$). On the other hand, no significant correlation was found between PFS and SoL thickness ($r = 0.27$, $ns$). These correlation coefficients did not change significantly after training ($r = 0.18$ for SoL, $ns$; $r = 0.46$ for GMM, $p > 0.01$; $r = 0.42$ for the sum of the thicknesses of SoL and GMM, $p > 0.01$).

**Questionnaire on the implementation of training and the subjective assessment of training effects**

Almost all participants performed the training at home, in the kitchen (67%), living room (43%), corridor (10%), or washroom (10%). Main times of training were morning (76%), daytime (67%), and nighttime (43%). Fifty-one percent of participants felt that the training was easy to perform. The training period was divided into three periods (1st: 1–20 days, 2nd: 21–40 days, 3rd: 41–62 days). Difficulty performing the training was reported by 49% of participants in the 1st period, by 10% in the 2nd period also, and by 2% (one participant) in all the periods. No participant experienced injury or other disorder due to the training. Training effects were noticed by 88% of participants; 67% felt these effects in the 2nd period, 28% in the 1st period, and 5% in the 3rd period. The training effects which many participants experienced included the following: improvement in muscle strength of the lower extremities (60% of participants), ease of walking and going up and down stairs (35%), improvement in physical condition...
Discussion

Muscle strength training for the triceps surae has recently been proven effective in increasing PFS (percentage increase: 20–30%) in the elderly (Ferri et al., 2003; Morse et al., 2005; Simoneau et al., 2006). The muscle load adopted in those training protocols was relatively high, at more than 50% of maximal muscle strength. In contrast, the heel-raise training in this study used a relatively low muscle load so that the exercise could be repeated 100 times. Such a low load predominantly activates slow-twitch muscle fibers (Ikai et al., 1965; Berger and Hardage, 1967; Okada, 1971). After the training, PFS showed a significant increase of 25.8%, similar to that observed in the above-mentioned studies. There was no significant correlation between increase in PFS and age, suggesting that the effects of heel-raise training on PFS were applicable throughout the age group studied (60–79 years). It is suggested that the improvement in PFS was caused by increased muscle volume, which is related to increases in muscle thickness and in the number of motor units recruited (Simoneau et al., 2006; de Boer et al., 2007). The percentage increase in a cross-sectional area of the triceps surae would be approximately 20%, based on the square of the mean percentage increase (9.65%) in the thickness of SoL and GMM (12.7% and 6.6%, respectively). This percentage increase was low compared with that in PFS. However, although the present study is unable to verify the mechanism behind the improvement in PFS, we suspect that increased recruitment of motor units contributes. In addition, hypertrophy through training of the plantar flexor muscles other than the triceps surae may relate to the increase of PFS.

The percentage increase in SoL thickness was significantly greater than that in GMM thickness. This supports the hypothesis that the training effect is more remarkable in SoL on account of its high proportion of slow-twitch fibers. In addition, the relative thickness of GMM to SoL after training was significantly smaller than that before training. The difference in training-induced thickness between SoL and GMM is probably related to the two following factors: 1) the proportion of slow-twitch fibers is much higher in SoL than in GMM (Johnson et al., 1973; Trappe et al., 2001), 2) slow-twitch fibers mainly participate in muscle contraction during the heel-raise training because muscle load is low (Henneman et al., 1965; Ikai et al., 1965; Berger and Hardage, 1967; Okada, 1971). Heel-raise training may be an effective training method for slow-twitch fibers, where contraction depends on muscle blood flow (Bonde-Petersen and Robertson, 1981; Petrofsky et al., 1981).

Participants with relatively high SoL and GMM thickness and PFS before training showed smaller percentage increases in these measurements. For GMM of 12 participants who had a relatively large thickness, no increase in thickness or a slight decrease was observed. From the viewpoint of the overload principle inducing muscle hypertrophy, the load on both muscles in the heel-raise training may be comparatively low for such participants. The effects of training would therefore be less remarkable, especially for GMM, which has a relatively low proportion of slow-twitch fibers.

The percentage increase in GMM thickness significantly and negatively correlated with the relative thickness of GMM to SoL before training ($r = -0.37$). Therefore, the relative thickness may indirectly indicate the proportion of slow-twitch muscle fibers in the triceps surae. Assuming that a low muscle load exercise is suitable for the training of slow-twitch muscle fibers, the training effect would be stronger for participants with low relative thickness before training. The significant negative correlation mentioned above may support this hypothesis.

PFS correlated significantly with GMM thickness. This may relate to the fact that twitch tension is larger in the fast-twitch fibers than in the slow-twitch fibers (Edington and Edgerton, 1976), and the maximal voluntary muscle contraction correlates strongly with the proportion of the fast-twitch muscle fibers (Aagaard and Andersen, 1998).

The results of the questionnaire showed that the main places where participants performed the training were the kitchen and living room. No participant reported injury or other disorder caused by the training. Only one participant reported that the training was difficult throughout the training period. These results suggest that the present heel-raise training program for the elderly could be performed safely and easily at home. Eighty-eight percent of the participants recognized training effects, and this mainly occurred in the 2nd period. This period is equivalent to that noted in other studies (Ploutz et al., 1994; Young et al., 1983), and could be a guideline for the timing of training effect in the triceps surae. Subjectively, participants noted improvement of muscle strength of the leg. In addition, many participants described improvements in their balance and ability to walk. It is inferred that heel-raise training might be useful for preventing falls and the functional improvement of movements in daily life.

Conclusion

This study demonstrated that a two-month training program involving a set of 100 repetitions per day of heel-raise was effective for the elderly as a form of muscle training focused on SoL. This training can be safely and easily performed at home and in many health and rehabilitation institutions, and might prevent falls and improve quality of life.

References

Aagaard P, Andersen JL (1998) Correlation between contractile strength and myosin heavy chain isoform
Inman VT,Ralston HI, Todd F (1981) Human walking. Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore

Received: June 18, 2009
Accepted: October 30, 2009
Correspondence to: Katsu Fujiwara, Department of Human Movement and Health, Graduate School of Medical Science, Kanazawa University, 13–1 Takara-machi, Kanazawa 920–8640, Japan
Phone: +81-76-265-2225
Fax: +81-76-234-4219
e-mail: fujikatu@med.m.kanazawa-u.ac.jp