EFFECTS OF MORPHINE ON SINGLE UNIT ACTIVITY OF THE AMYGDALA IN CATS
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Abstract—Single neuronal activity has been recorded extra-cellularly from the nucleus amygdaloideus centralis (pars lateralis) (Acl), the nucleus amygdaloideus centralis (pars medialis) (Acm), the nucleus amygdaloideus basalis (pars magnocellularis) (Abm), the nucleus amygdaloideus lateralis (Al), and the nucleus amygdaloideus basalis (pars parvocellularis) (Abp). The majority of the Acl, Acm, and Abm neurons were excited by nociceptive stimulation such as pinching the skin with serrated forceps and/or intra-arterial injection of bradykinin. The nociceptive neurons were also driven by non-nociceptive stimulation such as tapping of deep tissues and bending hairs with an air-puff. Their receptive fields were large. After the intravenous administration of morphine, all nociceptive neurons became unresponsive to nociceptive stimuli, although they were driven by non-nociceptive stimuli. Intravenous naloxone antagonized the antinociceptive action of morphine. This suggests that morphine has selective and inhibitory effects on impulse transmission to these nociceptive neurons, and the amygdala, especially the Acl, Acm, and Abm, plays an important role in central nociceptive processing.

It has been shown that some of the amygdala neurons respond to sciatic nerve stimulation (1), but nociceptive stimuli were not used in this report.

On the other hand, it has been shown that the amygdala of monkey brain contained the greatest amounts of opiate binding receptor and a morphine-like peptide, methionine-enkephalin (2, 3). Moreover, Rodgers (4) reported that bilateral microinjection of morphine into the cortico-medial amygdala produced a dose-dependent increase in the aversive threshold. However, no experiments have yet been made which allows one to evaluate the effects of morphine on unit activities of the amygdala.

An attempt is made in this paper to determine whether the amygdala neurons are driven by the peripheral nociceptive stimuli, and a further object of this work is to study the antinociceptive action of morphine on the neurons of the amygdala.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiments were performed on 38 adult cats. The animals were initially anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (Nembutal) at 35 mg/kg i.p. Tracheal and vascular cannulation were performed, all operative wounds were carefully closed with sutures.
and the animals were placed in a stereotaxic apparatus. The skull was trephined over the amygdala, the metal chamber mounted with dental acrylic, the dura removed, and bleeding into the chamber was carefully halted. The wound borders and pressure points were infiltrated with xylocaine jelly prior to and periodically after the beginning of recording. During recording, the animals were given gallamine triethiodide (Flaxidil) and artificially ventilated. Rectal temperature was maintained approximately at 38°C by means of a homeothermic blanket system. To reduce movement by respiration and heart beat, a modification of the "closed-heat" technique was used (5).

The impulse activity from a single neuron, whose electrical sign was initially a negative spike potential to the ground, was recorded with a stainless steel microelectrode. The electrodes had tip diameters of 1–3 μm that were coated with an insulating paint, and they had resistances of 1–3 megohms at a test frequency of 1000 Hz.

Neuronal activity was displayed on a cathode-ray oscilloscope, and a window discriminator was employed to identify single neuron impulses. The impulses were also monitored through a loudspeaker. Two electrodes were advanced dorsoventrally into the bilateral amygdala according to the stereotaxic co-ordinates of Jasper and Ajmone-Marsan (6). The electrodes were advanced until several units were recorded simultaneously within each of the target nuclei. This required repositionings until satisfactory single unit discharges were made from the cortical somatic sensory areas. These variables as well as neuronal impulse sequences were stored on FM magnetic tape with the recorded of the stimulus and the drug application. The following modes of non-nociceptive peripheral stimulation were used: bending hairs with an air-puff, touch on the skin, tap of deep tissues (5). Nociceptive stimuli were applied by pinching the skin with serrated forceps that were adjusted to be clearly noxious when applied to the investigator (7). A small amount of bradykinin (3 μg in 0.005 ml saline) was injected into the deep femoral artery through a polyethylene of cannula which was inserted retogradely into a collateral of the right artery stimulation of the whole posterior (8). The fact that animals were not suffering pain was shown by the occurrence of spindle bursts and slow waves on the continuously recording EEG.

Each animal received morphine (1 mg/kg) intravenously only once. In some animals, naloxone (0.2 mg/kg) was given intravenously from 15 min after the administration of morphine. At the end of each experiment, an anodal current of 20 μA was passed through the electrode for 15 sec to mark the position of the recording electrode tip. The animal was sacrificed under deep pentobarbital anesthesia and perfused with 10% formalin mixed with 2% potassium ferrocyanide to obtain a Prussian blue spot. After the fixation, frontal serial frozen section of 25 μm thickness were stained with 0.2% cresyl violet (5).

The neuronal impulse sequences recorded on the magnetic tape were reproduced through the window discriminator and processed for the purpose of data reduction by the 7S06 computer.

RESULTS

Neurons types, location and receptive field: Forty-eight neurons in the amygdala were examined. Concerning spontaneous single unit activity, 45 neurons were irregular, 2 neurons burst, and 1 neuron regular (Fig. 1). Thus distinct types of driven neurons were identified based on the forms of peripheral stimuli that adequately existed in their receptive areas (Table 1).

Plotted in Fig. 2 are anatomical loci of the 48 neurons which were responsive to or unresponsive to nociceptive and non-
nociceptive stimuli. Seven out of 48 neurons were excited only by non-nociceptive stimulation, 4 by both non-nociceptive and pinch stimulation, and 18 by pinch and bradykinin stimuli as well as non-nociceptive stimuli. The remaining 19 did not respond to any somatic sensory stimulation. Thus 22 neurons responded to nociceptive stimulation were found in the Acl, Acm, and Abm, but not in the Al and Abp. The somatic receptive fields of the majority of the nociceptive and non-nociceptive neurons were wide and either contralateral or bilateral. A somatotopic organization of neurons was not found in the nuclei studied.

Effects of morphine on spontaneous unit discharges of the amygdala neurons: The effects of morphine on spontaneous unit discharges in 25 amygdala neurons are summarized in Table 2. When morphine was administered, spontaneous firing rates were increased in 15, decreased in 5, and unaltered in 5 out of 25 amygdala neurons examined (Table 2). The morphine-induced augumen-
Fig. 2. Location of somesthetic neurons studied in the amygdala. Loci of 48 neurons are plotted on frontal planes that extend from AP 11.0 to 12.0. The recording sites of the right amygdala are conveniently plotted to the corresponding positions of the left side along with the actual locations. Abbreviations according to the stereotaxic atlas of Jasper and Ajmone-Marsan unless otherwise stated.

Table 2. Effects of morphine on spontaneous discharges of amygdala neurons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Number of neurons</th>
<th>Suppressed</th>
<th>Accelerated</th>
<th>Non-responsive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nociceptive+Non-nociceptive</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-nociceptive</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not driven</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nociceptive: Pinching skin with toothed forceps.
Non-nociceptive: Bending hairs with a blower and/or tapping and deep tissues.

tion and suppression of amygdala neuronal activity were antagonized by naloxone. Figure 3 shows that morphine increased the spontaneous firing rate of the amygdala neurons to 21.7 spikes/sec from 5.7 spikes/sec, and subsequent injection of naloxone antagonized the narcotic effect.

The morphine effects on the spontaneous firing rate were unrelated to the responsiveness of the unit to nociceptive stimuli.

Antinociceptive action of morphine: The antinociceptive action of morphine upon nociceptive neurons was examined. All of the 22 nociceptive neurons examined became unresponsive to nociceptive stimuli such as skin pinch after administration of morphine (Fig. 4). In contrast, there was no appreciable change of responsiveness to non-nociceptive stimuli such as deep tissues tap and hair bending compared with control responses. All of 4 non-nociceptive neurons examined were unaffected by administration of morphine. In the 18 nociceptive neurons, naloxone given 15 min after morphine completely reversed the antinociceptive action by morphine (Fig. 4).
Fig. 3. Effects of morphine and naloxone on spontaneous unit discharges in the amygdala. Each histogram consists of one thousand spikes.

Fig. 4. Effects of morphine and naloxone on the single unit activity of the amygdala to nociceptive stimulus and non-nociceptive stimulus. Ordinate: number of discharges per sec. Abscissa: time in sec.

**DISCUSSION**

Neurons responsive to nociceptive stimuli such as bradykinin or pinch were found in the Acl, Abm, and Acm. Amygdala neurons in cats responding to sciatic nerve and olfactory bulb stimulations have been observed by Creutzfeldt et al. (9). Bradykinin effects are
mediated by a small fiber which innervates deep tissues and visceral organs (10). Large amounts of intra-arterial bradykinin (30–70 \( \mu \)g) appear much less specific and activate cell firing by both nociceptive and non-nociceptive stimuli (11). Since we used a small amount of bradykinin, the nature of bradykinin-induced pain resembles deep, visceral, or protopathic pain more than superficial and cutaneous pain (10).

Responses to nociceptive stimuli were observed in 22 out of 48 neurons. These nociceptive neurons were localized in the Acm, Acl, and Abm, while there were no nociceptive neurons in the Abp and Al. Thus the present results suggest that the neurons in the Acl, Acm, and Abm may be related to emotional changes produced by nociceptive stimuli.

The regional distribution of substance P concentration in the central nervous system is remarkably similar to that for opiate receptor binding sites (12, 13). Since substance P may play an excitatory role in the spinal pain pathway (14), this substance acts as a transmitter or modulator of excitability at the first afferent synapse; and it is particularly abundant in the medial amygdala (15, 16). Therefore, a correlation between this region and nociceptive or analgesia can be presumed. It was reported that the medial amygdala receives a \( \beta \)-endorphinergic input from the basal hypothalamus (17), while the central nucleus contains a dense network of enkephalinergic interneurons (18). In addition, Rodgers (4) reported that the micro-injection of morphine into the medial amygdala produced a dose dependent increase in aversive thresholds. Though morphine efficacy in the tail flick test was not modified by the amygdala complex lesion, the analgesic effects of morphine on the vocalization threshold were markedly reduced (19). This result that these differential effects on the two nociceptive threshold and morphine analgesia were produced by the bilateral amygdala complex lesion, suggests that amygdala opiate systems may play a role in modulation of integrated responses to nociceptive stimulation (19). We found herein that morphine suppressed the response to nociceptive stimuli and did not affect responses to non-nociceptive stimuli in the amygdala neural activity. It seems likely, therefore, that the amygdala may be involved in a part of the possible mechanism for the antinociceptive action of the narcotic agonist.

Morphine has both depressant and excitatory actions on neurons of various regions in the brain (20, 21). Morphine elicits similar behavioral responses in the cat as those observed with stimulation of the amygdala (22, 23). Therefore, excitation in the amygdala neurons induced by morphine may be involved in producing such behavioral changes.
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