Effects of Dietary Nano-Selenium Supplementation on Growth Performance, Antioxidative Status, and Immunity in Broiler Chickens under Thermoneutral and High Ambient Temperature Conditions
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The objective of the present study was to examine the effects of nano-selenium on growth performance, antioxidative status, and immune function in broiler chickens reared under thermoneutral (22±1℃) or high ambient temperature (35±1℃) conditions. Thirty-six broiler chicks at 15d old were randomly divided into 6 treatments in a 3 ×2 factorial design. The main factors included the dietary supplementation (basal diet without Se supplementation [control], basal diet with 0.3 mg of nano-elemental Se per kilogram of diet [nano-Se], and basal diet with 0.3 mg of sodium selenite per kilogram of diet [SSe]) and the ambient temperature challenge (22±1℃ or 35±1℃). The birds were given the experimental diets from 15 to 30 d of age. High ambient temperature significantly depressed body weight gain, feed intake, feed conversion ratio, breast muscle weight, and abdominal fat weight, while feeding nano-Se clearly alleviated these negative effects of high ambient temperature. In addition, feeding nano-Se increased glutathione peroxidase mRNA expression in liver and alleviated the negative effects of high ambient temperature via reducing the malondialdehyde content in liver and breast muscle. Furthermore, feeding nano-Se increased mRNA expression of cytokine genes (interleukins 2 and 6) under both thermoneutral and high ambient temperature conditions. Under both thermoneutral and high-temperature conditions, broiler chickens fed nano-Se had higher Se and vitamin E concentrations in breast muscle than broiler chickens fed the control diet. In contrast, feeding SSe at the same dose as nano-Se did not alleviate the negative effects of high ambient temperature on broiler chickens. In conclusion, dietary supplementation with nano-Se at 0.3 mg/kg diet might enhance growth performance by improving antioxidative or immune properties in broilers reared under high ambient temperature.
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Introduction

Heat is one of the most important stressors affecting poultry production, leading to the loss of millions of dollars each year. Modern broiler breeds are more susceptible to heat stress than earlier genotypes. High ambient temperature reduces feed intake, live weight gain, feed efficiency, and immune response of chicken broilers (Siegel, 1995; Melesse et al., 2011). Moreover, hyperthermia may promote reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation (Mujahid et al., 2005). Excessive ROS levels disturb the balance between oxidation and antioxidant defense systems, resulting in lipid peroxidation (Shimizu et al., 2006) and oxidative damage to proteins, DNA, and vital biological molecules (Suraï, 2002). Such damage is associated with apoptosis (Moustafa et al., 2004), various diseases (Hybertson et al., 2011), and impaired muscle membrane integrity (Mujahid et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2009). Therefore, a balance between ROS production and the antioxidant system must be established to maintain immune function, health, and productivity (Suraï, 2002).

Natural antioxidants play a role in protecting cells from ROS by reducing free radicals and preventing the peroxidation of lipids (Grashorn, 2007). The antioxidant system includes numerous antioxidant enzymes, such as glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), superoxide dismutase (SOD), and catalase (CAT) (Suraï, 2002). Se is an integral component...
of the active site of GSH-Px (Yoon et al., 2007), an enzyme that helps to control levels of hydrogen peroxide and lipid peroxides (Arthur, 2000). In addition, Se enhances the immune response in several species (Rayman, 2004; Ebeid et al., 2013). Wang and Xu (2008) showed that dietary Se status influenced growth performance, survival, meat quality, and antioxidant protection in chickens. However, the bioavailability of Se depends on its form. Inorganic forms such as sodium selenite (Na2SeO3, SSe) are typically used in poultry feeds (Surai, 2002).

Recently, nano-elemental Se (nano-Se) has attracted more attention because of its high bioavailability, high catalytic efficiency, strong adsorbing ability, and low toxicity compared with selenite in chickens (Wang et al., 2009), mice (Wang et al., 2007), rats (Jia et al., 2005), sheep (Shi et al., 2011a), and goats (Shi et al., 2011b). However, data on antioxidant status in muscles and blood of heat-stressed broilers fed nano-Se are still limited. Therefore, the objective of the present study was to compare the effects of two different Se sources (nano-Se) on growth performance, lipid peroxidation, antioxidative status, immune function, and Se retention in broilers reared under thermoneutral or high ambient temperature conditions.

Materials and Methods

Animals, Housing, Diets, and Experimental Design

The animal experiment was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of Kagoshima University, Japan. One hundred 1-d-old male broiler chicks (Ross 308, Nippon Chunky Co., Ltd.) were obtained from a commercial hatchery (Kumiai Hina Center, Kagoshima, Japan). The chicks were housed in an electrically heated battery brooder and provided with water and a commercial starter diet (22% crude protein and 3,000 kcal/kg; Nichiwa Sangyou Company) until 12 d of age. On day 12, 36 birds were randomly selected from the group of 100 birds and housed individually in wire-bottomed aluminum cages (49 × 39 × 59 cm). The birds were preconditioned for 3 d before treatment and fed a basal diet. The experimental diets were formulated using mainly ground ingredients. The basal diet contained approximately 0.25 mg of Se per kilogram of diet, as determined by the manufacturer’s protocol.

Table 1. Composition and nutrient analysis of the experimental diet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients (g/100 g)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corn meal</td>
<td>55.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa meal</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean meal</td>
<td>33.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn oil</td>
<td>4.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO3</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaHPO4</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL-Methionine</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral and vitamin premix</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculated analysis

- Crude protein (%) 20.00
- Metabolizable energy (MJ/kg) 3.10
- Ca (%) 1.00
- av.P (%) 0.62
- Na (%) 0.21

1 Content per kg of the vitamin and mineral premix: vitamin A 90 mg, vitamin D3 1 mg, DL-alpha-tocopherol acetate 2000 mg, vitamin K3 229 mg, thiamin nitrate 444 mg, riboflavin 720 mg, calcium d-pantothenate 2174 mg, nicotinamide 700 mg, pyridoxine hydrochloride 700 mg, biotin 30 mg, folic acid 110 mg, cyanocobalamine 2 mg, calcium iodinate 108 mg, MgO 198,991 mg, MnSO4 32,985 mg, ZnSO4 19,753 mg, FeSO4 43,523 mg, CuSO4 4019 mg and choline chloride 299,608 mg.

Growth Performance and Relative Weights of Organs

Body weight was recorded every 6 d, feed intake was recorded daily during the experimental period, and feed conversion ratio (feed/gain) was calculated. At the end of the experimental period, all the birds were killed by cervical dislocation under carbon dioxide anesthesia. And then dissected to measure the relative weights of the breast muscle (pectoral superficial muscle), abdominal fat, bursa of Fabricius, thymus, and spleen. The relative weights are expressed as a percentage of total live body weight. The breast muscle and left lobe of liver were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until use.

RNA Extraction and Real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from a piece of liver (about 50 mg) using an ISOGEN II kit (NIPPON GENE Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.
RNA concentration and purity were determined with a Thermo Scientific NanoDrop Lite Spectrophotometer (S17 NNP0027, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH, USA). Complementary DNA was synthesized from 40 ng of RNA per 10 μl of reaction solution using the PrimeScript™ RT Master Mix kit (RR036A, Takara, Shiga, Japan) and the Program Temp Control System PC-320 (Astec, Shime, Japan) with the following protocol: reverse transcription at 37°C for 15 min, inactivation of reverse transcriptase at 85°C for 5 s, and refrigeration at 4°C for 5 min. The primers used in this study are listed in Table 2. Gene expression was measured by real-time PCR using the 7300 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) with SYBR® Select Master Mix (4472918, Applied Biosystems). The thermal cycle was as follows: 1 cycle at 50°C for 2 min and 95°C for 2 min and 60 cycles at 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 15 s, and 72°C for 1 min. The expression of 18s ribosomal RNA was used as an internal standard and was not significantly different between experimental groups. Gene expression results are expressed as a percentage of the control value.

**Chemical Measurements**

Blood samples were collected into heparinized test tubes, quickly centrifuged at 5,900×g for 10 min at 4°C to separate plasma, and stored at −30°C until analysis. The activity of the GSH-Px of blood serum was measured according to Paglia and Valentine (1967). Malondialdehyde (MDA) is one of the most frequently used indicators of lipid peroxidation. Therefore, to evaluate lipid peroxidation levels in chicken liver and skeletal muscle of chickens, MDA content was determined colorimetrically as 2-thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) according to the method described by Paglia and Valentine (1967). The α-tocopherol concentration in muscle and plasma was determined with a high-performance liquid chromatography system (model LC-6A, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) with a Shim-Pack CLC-ODS column (6.0×150 mm) according to the method described by Faustman et al. (1989).

**Muscle Se Concentration**

Muscle tissue (0.5 g) was placed in a beaker with 5 ml of nitric acid and heated gently on a plate heater for 3 h at 120°C. After cooling, 10 ml of a mixture of nitric acid and perchloric acid (5:3, v/v) was added; if the solution was still colored with organic matter, an additional 5 ml of nitric acid was added. The solution was heated at 120°C until white perchloric acid fumes appeared and then the Se concentration was measured using an ICP–MS system according to Wolf et al. (2008).

**Statistical Analysis**

Differences among treatments were analyzed as a 3×2 (diet×temperature) factorial arrangement of treatments by two-way ANOVA with a model including the main effects of dietary Se source, environmental temperature, and their interaction using the general linear models procedure of SPSS Statistics 17.0 (released 23 August 2008). When a significant interaction was observed, the means for each treatment were compared using Tukey’s multiple comparison test. Data presented as percentages were transformed to the corresponding arcsine values before performing the statistical analysis. \( P \leq 0.05 \) was set as the limit of significance.

**Results**

The effects of dietary supplementation with nano-Se or SSE under different environmental conditions on body weight gain (BWG), feed intake (FI), feed conversion ratio (FCR), and the relative weights of tissues are presented in Table 3. Under the thermoneutral condition, no significant differences in BWG, FI, FCR, or tissue weights were detected among the diet treatments. Compared with the thermoneutral condition, the high ambient temperature condition
significantly reduced BWG and FI and significantly increased FCR in broiler chickens fed the control diet. However, these negative effects of high ambient temperature were ameliorated in broiler chickens fed the diet containing nano-Se. There was a significant Se source \times environmental temperature interaction for FCR. It could be observed that FCR was remarkably improved \((P<0.05)\) when diets were supplemented with nano-Se under both environmental conditions. For all three diets, breast muscle weight was decreased and abdominal fat weight was increased under high ambient temperature compared with the thermoneutral condition. However, under high ambient temperature, significantly higher breast muscle weight and significantly lower abdominal fat weight were observed in broiler chickens fed the diet containing nano-Se compared with chickens fed the control diet. In contrast, SSe did not ameliorate the negative effects induced by high ambient temperature.

The effects of feeding a diet containing either nano-Se or SSe on the relative weights of immune (lymphoid) organs are also shown in Table 3. No significant interactions between Se source and environmental temperature were observed for relative weights of lymphoid organs \((P>0.05)\). However, under both thermoneutral and high ambient temperature conditions, nano-Se had a positive effect on the relative weights of the bursa of Fabricius, thymus, and spleen of

Table 3. Effect of different sources of dietary Se on growth performance and relative tissue weights in broiler chickens under thermoneutral or high ambient temperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>thermo-neutral temperature ((22 \pm 1 \degree C))</th>
<th>high ambient temperature ((35 \pm 1 \degree C))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>control</td>
<td>Nano-Se</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight gain (g)</td>
<td>830.12±40.15\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>760.14±46.87\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed intake (g/15 days)</td>
<td>1306.40±39.29\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>1158.60±27.30\textsuperscript{ab}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed conversion ratio</td>
<td>1.59±0.06\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>1.54±0.06\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast muscle (g/100 g body weight)</td>
<td>15.96±0.19\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>18.13±1.12\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal fat (g/100 g body weight)</td>
<td>0.69±0.07\textsuperscript{ab}</td>
<td>0.58±0.17\textsuperscript{ab}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen (g/100 g body weight)</td>
<td>0.12±0.01\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>0.16±0.01\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bursa (g/100 g body weight)</td>
<td>0.32±0.02\textsuperscript{ab}</td>
<td>0.36±0.03\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymus (g/100 g body weight)</td>
<td>0.45±0.03\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>0.56±0.04\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Effect of different sources of dietary Se on growth performance and relative tissue weights in broiler chickens under thermoneutral or high ambient temperature (continued)

|                                | control                                       | Nano-Se                                       | SS                                           |
| Body weight gain (g)           | 563.07±12.20\textsuperscript{b}              | 653.82±43.19\textsuperscript{ab}             | 598.34±25.61\textsuperscript{b}             |
| Feed intake (g/15 days)        | 1051.80±20.83\textsuperscript{b}             | 1054.50±87.36\textsuperscript{b}             | 987.00±28.12\textsuperscript{b}             |
| Feed conversion ratio          | 1.87±0.02\textsuperscript{a}                 | 1.61±0.03\textsuperscript{b}                  | 1.66±0.10\textsuperscript{ab}               |
| Breast muscle (g/100 g body weight) | 12.31±0.45\textsuperscript{b}           | 16.06±0.97\textsuperscript{ab}              | 13.37±0.64\textsuperscript{b}               |
| Abdominal fat (g/100 g body weight) | 1.40±0.12\textsuperscript{b}          | 0.69±0.05\textsuperscript{ab}                  | 1.30±0.19\textsuperscript{b}                |
| Spleen (g/100 g body weight)   | 0.09±0.01\textsuperscript{b}                 | 0.12±0.01\textsuperscript{b}                 | 0.10±0.01\textsuperscript{b}                |
| Bursa (g/100 g body weight)    | 0.13±0.02\textsuperscript{ab}                | 0.31±0.02\textsuperscript{a}                 | 0.16±0.03\textsuperscript{ab}               |
| Thymus (g/100 g body weight)   | 0.19±0.04\textsuperscript{b}                 | 0.49±0.07\textsuperscript{a}                 | 0.26±0.06\textsuperscript{ab}               |

Table 3. Effect of different sources of dietary Se on growth performance and relative tissue weights in broiler chickens under thermoneutral or high ambient temperature (continued)

|                                | Se                                           | T                                            | Se×T                                        |
| Body weight gain (g)           | 0.5435                                       | <0.0001                                      | 0.0740                                      |
| Feed intake (g/15 days)        | 0.0490                                       | <0.0001                                      | 0.3763                                      |
| Feed conversion ratio          | 0.0016                                       | 0.0013                                       | 0.0014                                      |
| Breast muscle (g/100 g body weight) | 0.0407                                      | 0.0002                                       | 0.5822                                      |
| Abdominal fat (g/100 g body weight) | 0.0074                                      | 0.0001                                       | 0.0561                                      |
| Spleen (g/100 g body weight)   | 0.0212                                       | 0.0035                                       | 0.9999                                      |
| Bursa (g/100 g body weight)    | 0.0005                                       | <0.0001                                      | 0.0701                                      |
| Thymus (g/100 g body weight)   | 0.0006                                       | 0.0014                                       | 0.1582                                      |

Values are means±SEM. Different letters indicate significant differences \((P<0.05)\).
broiler chickens. Under the high ambient temperature condition, the relative weight of the bursa of Fabricius was significantly greater in broiler chickens fed the diet containing 0.3 ppm nano-Se than in broiler chickens fed either the control diet or the diet containing SSe.

Figure 1 shows the mRNA expression of antioxidant enzymes (GSH-Px, SOD, and CAT) in the liver. Under the thermoneutral condition, GSH-Px mRNA expression was about 3-fold higher in chickens fed the diet supplemented with nano-Se compared with the control group. When chickens were exposed to the high ambient temperature, GSH-Px mRNA expression in the nano-Se group was about 2-fold higher than that in the control group, while expression in the SSe group was not different from that in the control group. There was a significant Se source × environmental temperature interaction for CAT mRNA expression. Dietary
nano-Se enhanced CAT mRNA expression under high ambient temperature. In contrast, neither nano-Se nor SSe affected SOD gene expression under high ambient temperature. Under both thermoneutral and high ambient temperature conditions, hepatic expression of gene encoding interleukin 2 (IL-2) was increased in chickens fed the diet containing nano-Se compared with chickens fed the control diet (Fig. 2A). Although there was no significant difference, gene expression of interleukin 6 (IL-6) tended to be increased in chickens fed the diet containing nano-Se under both thermoneutral and high ambient temperature conditions (Fig. 2B).

The influences of dietary supplementation with nano-Se and SSe on MDA content in the liver and breast muscle of broiler chickens are presented in Fig. 3A and B, respectively. There was a significant interaction between Se source and environmental temperature for liver MDA concentration. Under the thermoneutral condition, neither nano-Se nor SSe affected MDA values in skeletal muscle or liver. While, under high ambient temperature, the MDA content in skeletal muscle and liver was significantly ($P < 0.05$) decreased in chickens fed the diet supplemented with nano-Se compared with those fed the control diet.

There was a significant Se source $\times$ environmental temperature interaction for vitamin E concentration in breast muscle. Under thermoneutral condition, broiler chickens fed nano-Se had higher Se concentrations in breast muscle than did broiler chickens fed the control diet or the diet containing SSe (Fig. 4A). Similarly, muscle vitamin E concentration was higher in broiler chickens fed the diet containing nano-Se compared with control chickens under high ambient temperature condition (Fig. 4B). Dietary nano-Se elevated blood plasma content of $\alpha$-tocopherol under thermoneutral and high ambient temperature conditions being 150 and 344%, respectively as compared with the control chicks ($P < 0.05$).

**Discussion**

It has been previously reported that Se supplementation does not affect growth performance of chickens under thermoneutral conditions (Yoon et al., 2007; Niu et al., 2009). Indeed, in the present study, dietary supplementation
with either nano-Se or SSe had no significant effect on BWG, FI, or FCR under the thermoneutral condition. In contrast, under high ambient temperature dietary supplementation of nano-Se improved BWG and FI and decreased FCR compared with control indicating that dietary supplementation of Se could alleviate the adverse effects of heat stress. These results are in agreement with Zhou and Wang (2011) who observed that final body weight and FCR were significantly improved in the groups supplemented with nano-Se as compared with the control. One possible explanation for this might be the antioxidant activity of Se. High ambient temperature significantly depresses growth performance of broiler chickens (El-Deep et al., 2014), while supplementation with antioxidants such as probiotics, trace elements, and vitamins has proven beneficial in alleviating the adverse effects of high ambient temperature challenge (Eid et al., 2003, 2008; Lin et al., 2006; Sahin et al., 2009). It has been shown that dietary Se supplementation improves antioxidant status by activating GSH-Px (Yoon et al., 2007; Ebeid et al., 2013). One of the major results in the present study was that there was a significant Se source × environmental temperature interaction for CAT mRNA expression and nano-Se supplementation enhanced CAT mRNA expression and serum GSH-Px activity which might be involved in alleviating the negative effects of high ambient temperature in broilers. This finding is in agreement with a previous study that showed that dietary Se supplementation improved the antioxidative status of heat-stressed broilers (Liao et al., 2012). Moreover, dietary supplementation with Se regulates the expression of genes for selenoproteins, including upregulation of the GSH-Px gene (Wu et al., 2003; Zoidis et al., 2010; Yuan et al., 2012). Moreover, in the present study, MDA contents in both skeletal muscle and liver were significantly increased under the high ambient temperature condition, but supplementation with nano-Se reduced them. According to Eszenyi et al. (2011), the Se nanoparticles used in the present study were spherical in shape and had a size range of 100–500 nm. Nanoparticles of this size show high antioxidant activity (Torres et al., 2012), have an increased ability to trap free radicals with greater antioxidant effect (Huang et al., 2003), and have an increased adsorptive ability due to interactions between the nanoparticles and NH, C=O,
COO⁻, and C–N functional groups of proteins (Zhang et al., 2004). Additionally, nano-Se can act as a chemopreventive agent when administered at a smaller particle size (Peng et al., 2007). Taken together, the findings of the present study provide a good evidence that dietary nano-Se enhanced serum GSH-Px activity and involved in modulating the hepatic mRNA expression of GSH-Px, SOD and CAT and consequently resulted in reducing lipid peroxidation (MDA content) in liver and muscles broiler chickens under high ambient temperature.

Heat stress also suppresses the immune response (Thaxton et al., 1968) and, consequently, the growth performance of broiler chickens (Cooper and Washburn, 1998). It has been reported that Se intake may benefit the immune system and reduce inflammation (Rayman, 2004). Rooke et al. (2004) suggested that Se is involved in multiple immune functions at cellular and molecular levels, such as reducing glucocorticoids (which are known to be immunosuppressive); minimizing the rate and duration of intramammary infections; regulating the function of neutrophils, lymphocytes, and natural killer cells; and activating IL-2. In this study, it was observed that feeding a diet containing nano-Se upregulated the mRNA expression of IL-2 in chickens under the high ambient temperature condition. These results are in agreement with earlier studies that showed that dietary organic Se supplementation enhanced antibody titer when birds were exposed to heat stress (Niu et al., 2009; Liao et al., 2012; Habibian et al., 2014). Furthermore, in the present study, feeding a diet containing nano-Se increased the relative weights of immune organs under the high ambient temperature condition. These results suggest that feeding a diet containing nano-Se might have an immunostimulatory effect in chickens, consequently alleviating the adverse effects of high ambient temperature.

In this study, although nano-Se could alleviate the negative effects of high ambient temperature, SSe at the same concentration (0.3 ppm) failed to do so. This difference might be due to the different absorption efficiencies of nano-Se and SSe. Hu et al. (2012) showed that absorption of nano-Se from the intestinal lumen into the body was higher than that of SSe, while intestinal retention of nano-Se was lower than that of SSe. In addition, dietary supplementation of nano-Se
resulted in a higher Se concentration in tissues of broilers as compared with selenite (Cai et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2012). Indeed, in this study, we found higher Se retention in muscle in broiler chickens fed a diet containing nano-Se compared with chickens fed a diet containing SSe. These results suggest that in broiler chickens, nano-Se might be more bioavailable and effective than SSe. Furthermore, it is noteworthy to note that supplementing the diet with nano-Se increased muscle and plasma vitamin E content under both environmental conditions and getting such results in hot climates might be involved in alleviating the negative effects of high environmental temperature. This result is in agreement with the study of Surai and Dvorska (2002), who showed that dietary supplementation with organic Se significantly increased vitamin E concentration in breast muscle of chickens (Ebeid et al., 2013). Therefore, the present study suggests that dietary administration of Se in the form of nano-Se can increase muscle Se and vitamin E concentrations and that such enriched meat could be considered a useful source of these vital antioxidants in the human diet.

In conclusion, the results of the present study suggest that dietary supplementation with nano-Se (0.3 mg/kg diet) may improve growth performance, antioxidative status, and immunity in broilers reared under high ambient temperature conditions.
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