Feedback Respiratory Training to Enhance Chest Expansion and Pulmonary Function in Chronic Stroke: A Double-Blind, Randomized Controlled Study
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Abstract. [Purpose] The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of feedback respiratory training on chest expansion and pulmonary function in patients with stroke. [Subjects and Methods] Twenty-seven patients with stroke were randomly assigned to two groups: the feedback respiratory training group (experimental) (n=13) and the control group (control) (n=14). Feedback respiratory training and conventional physical therapy were performed by the experimental group. Conventional physical therapy was performed by the control group. Both groups received training three times per week for four weeks. [Results] Significant improvements were observed in chest expansion after training in the experimental group. Gains in chest expansion were greater in the experimental than in control group. Values of forced vital capacity, forced expiratory volume at one second, peak expiratory flow, vital capacity, expiratory reserve volume, and inspiratory reserve volume in pulmonary function tests increased post-training in the experimental group. With the exception of expiratory reserve volume, all of these pulmonary function test measures showed greater gains in the experimental group than in the control group. [Conclusion] Feedback respiratory training is effective for the improvement of chest expansion and pulmonary function in patients with stroke.
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INTRODUCTION

Stroke is a leading cause of major disability in social, psychological, and physical function, and 25–30% of patients with stroke have severe motor impairment1). Decreased motor performance occurring after a stroke limits patient activity and prolongs bed rest, and is known to decrease cardiopulmonary function in association with oxygen transport capacity2). For patients with stroke, cardiopulmonary function is one of the important issues in preservation of life3).

Impaired hemispheric lesions result in contralateral dysfunction of ventilatory muscles9) and hemidiaphragmatic elevation on the normal and the affected side during deep inspiration was shown on the unaffected side5). A small reduction in movement of the upper chest on the hemiplegic side during quiet breathing, but not of the lower chest, has also been reported. This reduction was more marked in the whole hemithorax during voluntary deep breathing6). The function of the respiratory muscles and chest wall mechanics on the paralyzed side are affected by cerebrovascular disease in hemiplegic patients7,8). In particular, previous studies investigating pulmonary function in stroke patients have documented restrictive respiratory patterns9–11).

Aerobic exercise for hemiplegic patients is now regarded as a necessary component of intervention to avoid cardiorespiratory problems and deconditioning after stroke12,13). The importance of pulmonary assessment and rehabilitation has been emphasized in the overall management of hemiplegic patients10). Emphasis has been placed on flexibility, mobility, compliance, strength and endurance of the thoracic cage, and the trunk’s primary and accessory muscles, to ensure optimal aeration of the lung fields in stroke patients14).

The feedback respiratory training device, SpiroTiger (Idiag AG, Volketswil, Switzerland), is thought to provide maximum efficacy in the improvement of respiratory function, endurance for exercise capacity, perception of dyspnea, and quality of life15–17). Since patients with stroke may exhibit respiratory patterns, like those of restrictive lung disease patterns that are similar to those of patients with spinal cord injury, such as tetraplegia18–21), a feedback respiratory training device for stroke patients would be
helpful for improve chest expansion and pulmonary function. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of feedback respiratory training on the extent of chest expansion and pulmonary function in patients with stroke. The study tested the hypothesis that feedback respiratory training would improve chest expansion and pulmonary function in patients following a stroke.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

This study was approved by the institutional review board of Daegu University Hospital. Thirty participants were recruited. All subjects gave their written informed consent to participation in the experiment in accordance with the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki. Clinical and demographic features of the sample are summarized in Table 1 which also shows that there were no significant differences between the groups before training.

The 30 subjects had a diagnosis of stroke based on analysis of their medical histories and brain MRI; only patients whose stroke occurred greater than six months ago were included in this study. Subjects were randomly assigned to the feedback respiratory training group (FRTG) (n=15) and the control group (CG) (n=15). To ensure homogeneity of variance and normal distribution for statistical reasons, three patients were excluded due to their extremely poor performance in the exercise programs; therefore, the final sample consisted of 13 FRTG (male/female, 7/6; mean age, 57.07 ± 6.55 years) and 14 CG (male/female, 3/11; mean age, 57.78 ± 5.65 years). Patients with pulmonary disorders, severe aphasia, and impairment of cognitive function (Mini Mental Status Examination score <24 points) were excluded from the study.

**Methods**

A procedural checklist and standardized verbal instructions were used to ensure the uniformity of procedures during clinical tests. Because this was a double-blinded experiment, patients and investigators were unaware of the test results and intervention grouping. All tests were performed before and after training. Chest expansion, in centimeters, was measured with the patient in a standing position, using a tape-measure placed circumferentially around the chest wall at the xiphoid process. The chest circumference difference between maximal inspiration and expiration at the level of the xiphoid process was calculated, similar to the method described by Viitanen. Subjects were asked to breathe out as much as possible while the measuring tape was drawn taut, and the chest circumference was measured at maximal expiration. The tape was then released, subjects were asked to breathe in as deeply as possible, and the circumference was measured at maximal inspiration. Values for chest expansion were obtained pre and post training.

Forced expiratory volume at one second (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC), ratio of forced expiratory volume at one second to forced vital capacity (FEV1/FVC), peak expiratory flow (PEF), vital capacity (VC), tidal volume (Vt), inspiratory reserve volume (IRV), and expiratory reserve volume (ERV) were measured using a spirometer (Vmax 229, SensorMedics, USA). All pulmonary function measurements were taken with subjects in the sitting position and the mean value of 3 measurements was calculated.

The experimental group underwent feedback respiratory training (FRT) and conventional physical therapy (CPT) for a total of 60 minutes (FRT: 30 min, CPT: 30 min) a day with a 10-minute rest break halfway through the session. This group received the training 3 days a week for 4 weeks. The control group underwent only CPT for 30 min a day and 3 days a week for 4 weeks.

The protocol for FRT was planned using the feedback respiratory training device known as the SpiroTiger. The SpiroTiger is used for training breathing muscles and improving pulmonary function and for providing feedback about respiratory training to patients with stroke. The SpiroTiger training device consists of a hand-held unit with a respiratory pouch and a base station. This device has a two-way piston valve connecting to a rebreathing bag. The size of the rebreathing bag was adjusted to 1.5L for the level of intensity in the respiratory training, approximating 50 to 60% of the subject’s VC as used by Gawril et al., and the breathing frequency was chosen as the low frequency of 12–13 breaths/min to prevent fatigue or dizziness in patients.

As the patient breathes out through the mouthpiece, the rebreathing bag stores part of the expired air. Once the rebreathing bag is filled to its capacity, a valve opens and allows the rest of the expired air to be released into the environment. The valve shuts when expiration finishes and inspiration starts. Inspiration empties the rebreathing bag first, then the valve opens and fresh outside air is inspired at the end of each inspiration. The base station monitors breathing frequency, displays visual and acoustic feedback, and stores time and data. The breathing frequency is paced by a moving light and brief sound.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FRTG</th>
<th>CG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>7/6</td>
<td>3/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>57.07 ± 6.55</td>
<td>57.78 ± 5.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>164.46 ± 8.17</td>
<td>160.71 ± 5.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>61.46 ± 8.42</td>
<td>57.57 ± 6.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paretic side (right/ left)</td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>6/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etiology (Hemorrhage/Infarct)</td>
<td>3/10</td>
<td>2/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since Onset (months)</td>
<td>13.76 ± 4.02</td>
<td>13.50 ± 2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest expansion (cm)</td>
<td>4.76 ± 1.42</td>
<td>3.92 ± 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (L)</td>
<td>1.84 ± .33</td>
<td>1.77 ± .69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (L)</td>
<td>1.69 ± .25</td>
<td>1.67 ± .62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC (%)</td>
<td>92.85 ± 6.55</td>
<td>95.16 ± 7.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF (L/S)</td>
<td>3.08 ± .95</td>
<td>3.17 ± 1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC (L)</td>
<td>2.82 ± .34</td>
<td>3.19 ± .82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV (L)</td>
<td>0.51 ± .19</td>
<td>0.75 ± .94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERV (L)</td>
<td>0.75 ± .06</td>
<td>0.71 ± .16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRV (L)</td>
<td>1.56 ± .27</td>
<td>1.71 ± .38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FRTG: Feedback Resistance Training Group; CG: Control group.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study participants (n=27)
While in a sitting position, subjects held the mouthpiece to their mouth while watching the monitor of the SpiroTiger. Subjects wore a nose clip to ensure that breathing occurred exclusively through the training device. The base station was manipulated by the investigator, who pushed the start button. While watching the monitor, subjects started inspiration when the red bar reached the signal marked “in” and started expiration when the bar reached the signal marked “out”. The device’s display and auditory feedback are very important for constraining subjects breathing within the threshold values of isocapnia. Patients who complained about fatigue or dizziness during respiratory training were allowed to stop and rest. Respiratory training was then be restarted.

The CPT program involved a patient-specific neurofacilitation technique, planned for facilitation of symmetrical static and dynamic standing balance during walking in individuals with chronic hemiparetic stroke as a part of a regular neurorehabilitation regimen. For static balance training, patients were encouraged to shift their weight onto the parietic limb through verbal and tactile cues. A muscle strengthening exercise for the gluteus medius muscles was also performed to improve eccentric controlled mobility of the pelvis during the stance phase of gait and to prevent pelvic drop. For dynamic balance training, kinesthetic tactile inputs in relation to pelvic rotation and weight shifting were provided during gait training.

Independent variables were the forms of intervention (FRT + CPT, CPT). Dependent variables, including the measure of chest expansion between maximal inspiration and expiration and values from pulmonary function testing, were recorded before and after training. Statistical comparisons of chest expansion and pulmonary function before and after training within each group were made with the paired samples t-test. Comparisons of post training gains of chest expansion and pulmonary function between FRTG and CG were accomplished with the independent samples t-test. The statistical software, SPSS 17.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA), was used for statistical analyses.

RESULTS

No significant differences in baseline characteristics were observed between the two groups. Changes in chest expansion (Table 2) and pulmonary function (Table 3) are summarized for the two groups before and after training. The FRTG showed a significant increase in chest expansion after training (p<0.05). There was also a significant difference in post-training gains between the FRTG and the CG (p<0.05). However, the CG demonstrated no significant changes in chest expansion after training (p>0.05).

Statistically significant post-training improvements in pulmonary function, including FVC, FEV1, PEF, VC, ERV, and IRV were detected in the FRTG (p<0.05). Comparison of the gains between the two groups showed significant differences in FVC, FEV1, PEF, VC, and IRV (p<0.05). However, there were no significant differences in the post-training values of FEV1/FVC, TV, and ERV between the two groups (p>0.05).

DISCUSSION

The specific aim of this randomized controlled study was to demonstrate that FRT in addition to a CPT program has a more beneficial effect on chest expansion and pulmonary function performance than a CPT program in patients with stroke. The study results indicate larger and more efficient chest expansion and pulmonary function with FRT than without. This result supports the primary hypothesis of the study that FRT would improve chest expansion and pulmonary function in people following a stroke.

The chest expansion data showed significant improvement in the FRT group when compared with gains in the control group, indicating an augmented therapeutic effect of FRT. In this study, FRT included repetitive, continuous performance of maximal inspiration and expiration, and inspiratory muscle training may have enhanced chest expansion in the stroke patients. The normal value of chest expansion in healthy people between the ages of 55 and 64 is between 4.0 and 5.5 cm (22). However, in this study, the chest expansion value of pre-training stroke patients was slightly lower, between 3.9 and 4.7 cm. Values of chest expansion increased by 22.7% after FRT within FRTG, whereas control group participants showed little change in their values of chest expansion. These results are similar to findings recorded after inspiratory muscle training in patients with COPD and myasthenia gravis (25,26), and they demonstrate increased chest expansion after inspiratory muscle training. Interestingly, Sahin et al. (27) reported a positive correlation between chest expansion and maximal inspiratory pressure (MIP) in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. Moreover, displacement of the ribcage in the lateral outward direction during inspiration in normal subjects using an automatic motion analyzer was greater than the displacement during tidal breathing (28), and lateral movement of the ribcage at the level of the 4th rib during inspiration was increased in the neutral posture using an electromagnetic tracking device in healthy subjects (29). Thus, these findings support the use of FRT as an effective chest expansion method for patients following a stroke.

The pulmonary function data in this study showed significant improvements in FVC, FEV1, PEF, VC, and IRV of the FRT group while there were no significant improvements in the control group. This finding could be attributed to the FRT which trained subjects in maximal inspiration and expiration with a regular breathing frequency dictated by a SpiroTiger.

FVC values within the FRT group increased by 19.6%, whereas participants in the CG showed no significant change in FVC values between pre- and post-training. This finding seems to strongly support a positive effect of FRT. The greater FVC value is likely the result of improved inspiration after FRT. The present findings are similar to the findings of a previous study (10) that demonstrated that the FVC value of cystic fibrosis patients increased by 5.7% when they used a SpiroTiger. Scherer et al. (30) reported increased FVC (% predicted value) during respiratory muscle training with a SpiroTiger, as compared to the use of an incentive spirometer in patients with COPD. In a sample
with stroke, Sutbeyaz et al.\textsuperscript{31}) reported increased FVC after inspiratory muscle training. According to the American Thoracic Society, characteristics of restrictive lung disease patterns include a normal or an increase in the normal FEV1/FVC ratio, and a decrease of the normal FVC value\textsuperscript{32}). Reference values for spirometry include a FEV1/FVC ratio of at least 79% and a FVC of 4.5 L\textsuperscript{33}). The stroke patients in this study had pre-training values indicating a normal FEV1/FVC of over 79% and a decreased FVC of 1.84 L. This finding supports a respiratory pattern of restrictive lung disease in the individuals with stroke.

In this study, the FEV1 value was 1.69 liters before respiratory training. This finding is similar to that of the respiratory pattern of restrictive lung disease because Miller\textsuperscript{32}) reported that FEV1 value in normal persons is 4.0 liter and the value in restrictive lung disease subjects is approximately 1.5 liter. In the FRT group, the FEV1 value increased by 20% after respiratory training. This change may be the result of better performance of expiration, through increased tension on the chest wall, induced by training the diaphragm and other inspiratory muscles with a FRT device\textsuperscript{16,30}). In previous studies, Liaw et al.\textsuperscript{19}) documented a significant change in FEV1 following resistive inspiratory muscle training in patients with acute cervical cord injury. Patients showed an increase of 31% in FEV1 that occurred within 6 weeks of training. Zupan et al.\textsuperscript{34}) also reported that FEV1 values increased by 21% following maximal sustained inspiration for 20-30 min, twice a day, 6 days a week, for 4 weeks in patients with tetraplegia. Moreover, an inspiratory muscle training program for stroke patients resulted in improved FEV1, which appeared to help decrease stroke recurrence, because low respiratory muscle strength is associated with an increased risk of stroke\textsuperscript{31}). The FRT performed in this study appears to be appropriate for improving pulmonary function in patients with stroke.

Compared to the post-training PEF value of the control group, which did not significantly improve, the average post-training PEF values of the FRT group increased by 21%, perhaps as a result of continuous expiration performance with the FRT device. This finding is similar to the findings of a previous study\textsuperscript{31}) that demonstrated that since PEF is effort-dependent, improved PEF values may reflect an increase in muscle strength and support the presence of effective cough in stroke patients. Scherer et al.\textsuperscript{30}) reported that maximal expiratory pressure after respiratory muscle training with the SpiroTiger device was increased in patients with COPD, and that expiratory muscle strengthening may result in an effective cough and prevent pneumonia and microatelectasis. In the current study, the improved PEF value seems to provide strong support for a positive effect of FRT and may decrease the risk of respiratory infection. VC values in the FRT group increased by 16.3% after training, whereas control group participants showed little change in VC value between pre- and post-training. This finding is similar to findings from a previous study\textsuperscript{31}), which demonstrated increased VC in a sitting position, after using a threshold inspiratory muscle trainer, in patients with stroke, providing strong support for a positive effect of FRT, including inspiration. Mansel et al.\textsuperscript{35})

### Table 2. Comparison of change in chest expansion between the training and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FRTG Pretest</th>
<th>Posttest</th>
<th>CG Pretest</th>
<th>Posttest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chest expansion presented as mean ± standard deviation (cm)</td>
<td>4.76 ± 1.42</td>
<td>5.84 ± 1.57\textsuperscript{**}</td>
<td>3.92 ± .99</td>
<td>3.71 ± 1.13\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FRTG: Feedback respiratory training group; CG: Control Group. \textsuperscript{**}significant difference from pre-test at p<0.05. \textsuperscript{a}significant difference in gains between the two groups at p<0.05.

### Table 3. Comparison of change in pulmonary function in the training and control groups with values presented as mean ± standard deviation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FRTG Pretest</th>
<th>Posttest</th>
<th>CG Pretest</th>
<th>Posttest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC (L)</td>
<td>1.84 ± .33</td>
<td>2.20 ± 25\textsuperscript{**}</td>
<td>1.77 ± .69</td>
<td>1.75 ± .73\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (L)</td>
<td>1.69 ± .25</td>
<td>2.03 ± 28\textsuperscript{**}</td>
<td>1.67 ± .62</td>
<td>1.67 ± .65\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC (%)</td>
<td>92.8 ± 6.6</td>
<td>92.1 ± 5.6</td>
<td>95.2 ± 7.5</td>
<td>96.2 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF (LPS)</td>
<td>3.08 ± .95</td>
<td>3.75 ± 73\textsuperscript{**}</td>
<td>3.17 ± 1.11</td>
<td>3.20 ± 1.13a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC (L)</td>
<td>2.82 ± .34</td>
<td>3.28 ± .51\textsuperscript{**}</td>
<td>3.19 ± .82</td>
<td>3.01 ± .57\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV (L)</td>
<td>0.51 ± .19</td>
<td>0.71 ± .41</td>
<td>0.75 ± .94</td>
<td>0.50 ± .21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERV (L)</td>
<td>0.75 ± .06</td>
<td>0.85 ± .09\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>0.71 ± .16</td>
<td>0.77 ± .13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRV (L)</td>
<td>1.56 ± .27</td>
<td>1.71 ± 23\textsuperscript{**}</td>
<td>1.71 ± .38</td>
<td>1.75 ± .36\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{**}significant difference from pre-test at <0.05. \textsuperscript{a}significant difference in gains between two groups at p<0.05. FRTG: Feedback respiratory training group; CG: Control Group. FVC: forced vital capacity; FEV1: forced expiratory volume at one second. PEF: peak expiratory flow; VC: vital capacity; TV: tidal volume. ERV: expiratory reserve volume; IRV: inspiratory reserve volume.
documented that evaluation of lung function in patients with SCI showed a respiratory pattern of restrictive lung disease, and patients performing resistive inspiratory muscle training showed improvements in VC of up to 67%\(^\text{19}\). The IRV in the FRT group increased by 9.6% after training. This improvement may be the positive result of a training effect of SpiroTiger on inspiratory muscles. This finding is similar to findings from previous studies\(^\text{20,36}\), which demonstrated increased IC and MIP values. Enright et al.\(^\text{36}\) reported that high intensity inspiratory muscle training resulted in significantly increased VC, total lung capacity, and MIP in healthy subjects. The IRV value is closely associated with the VC value because VC is the sum of IRV, TV, and ERV. An increase in vital capacity through respiratory training, as with SpiroTiger, may increase IRV. The normal reference value for IRV has been reported as 3 liters\(^\text{32}\), but in this study the value was lower (Table 3), consistent with the restrictive respiratory pattern expected in stroke patients. In addition, Loveridge et al.\(^\text{20}\) performed resistive inspiratory muscle training in patients with quadriplegia and reported that VC and MIP were increased by 44% in the experimental group.

The current study had several limitations, including a lack of any measurement of respiratory muscle strength, a relatively small sample size of patients with stroke, and a short duration of training. Further investigation with a larger sample size and long-term training is needed. In a future study, a cross-over design in which the control group would switch in phase II to receive the experimental intervention would also be useful. Furthermore, another potential limitation is the simplicity of the chest expansion measurement with a tape-measure used in this study. Other machines, including those used for three dimensional motion analysis, could perhaps provide better measurement of ribcage movements. Further research on the relationship between various breathing exercises and ribcage movements in people with stroke will be necessary, particularly an investigation of the differences between the left and right side of the ribcage with various interventions.
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