The Influence of a Cognitive Task on Stair Descent by Younger Adults
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Abstract. [Purpose] The aim of this study was to examine the center of pressure (COP) trajectory variables and response time when young adults descended stairs while simultaneously performing a concurrent secondary Stroop task that required direct attention. [Subjects and Methods] Twenty healthy young adults (10 males and 10 females) participated in the study. Each subject first completed a Stroop task while standing (baseline). Next, they stood in a predetermined position at the top of a custom-built 3-step staircase and negotiated the stairs at a self-paced speed with and without performance of a concurrent secondary Stroop task. Subjects were asked to place only one foot on each step (foot-over-foot). The response times to the secondary task and the COP trajectory with and without performance of the concurrent secondary Stroop task were measured. [Results] The Stroop task response time while descending stairs was significantly longer than the Stroop task response time during static standing. The mediolateral and anteroposterior displacements of COP and the length of COP path, as well as the average velocity of the COP, were significantly greater when subjects performed the secondary task than without the secondary task. [Conclusion] The study suggests that subjects’ ability to descend stairs is lessened with the addition of the concurrent secondary attention-demanding task, and that the addition of divided attention tasks places an apparently higher demand on balance control that may prove to be challenging for subjects at high risk of falling.
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INTRODUCTION

Although the causes of falls among old people are many and heterogeneous, a fall on stairs is one of the most commonly reported, accounting for about 10% of total accident cases1,3), and the prevalence of a fall on stairs is much higher in the elderly1,3,4). The likelihood of the risk of serious injury resulting from a fall on stairs is much greater for the elderly than for a fall occurring on level ground5).

Over the past decades, gait adjustments used to negotiate stairs have been well-studied by several investigators. Young adults show a larger range of motion and generate relatively higher moment at the knee and ankle joints during stair descent than during level walking5–7). The peak moment generated at the knee joint during stair negotiation is three times higher than the one for level walking5,7). Older adults demonstrate a similar peak vertical ground reaction force6,22) and knee joint moment, as well as similar angle changes in plantarflexion and dorsiflexion of the ankle joint while descending stairs5), but older adults show lower ankle joint moment than young adults6,22). A greater joint angle in the ankle, knee, and hip, as well as greater motion of the pelvis in the frontal and transverse planes during stair descent, was also observed in the elderly, as compared to young adults5,6,22).

Attention refers to information processing capacity14), space15), or resources16) available to an individual, which are thought to be limited17). Attention can be allocated to different tasks in any way the individual decides, and is believed to play an important role in balance control during walking. If the attention capacity of any individual is challenged, then timely corrective or adaptive strategies while negotiating stairs may consequently be compromised by a slowing of the information-processing speed to perform either task18–20). Alternatively, a decreased attention capacity and/or a problem of efficiently allocating attention resources between two tasks may prove to have the same consequences21,22).

Several studies23–26) have shown that the elderly have more difficulty than young adults performing simultaneous multiple tasks, contributing to an increased likelihood of falls. For example, elderly subjects with a history of falls demonstrate longer times to regain balance during the simultaneous performance of cognitive and postural tasks...
than when only responding to the postural task\textsuperscript{20}. Other investigators have also found age-related differences in postural performance during quiet standing\textsuperscript{23,25–29} and walking\textsuperscript{24,30} when performing an attention-demanding postural task.

Falls by older people are more likely to occur when they walk or step over an obstacle, or negotiate stairs\textsuperscript{31}. Age-related changes in musculoskeletal and neuromuscular factors may predispose older individuals to falls. Previous findings\textsuperscript{32,33} have only associated abnormalities of balance and gait and/or neuromuscular capabilities such as strength and flexibility with an increased risk of falling. However, factors including psychological, cognitive, and environmental issues may also contribute to falls by the elderly. Increasing evidence suggests that a slowing of central processing with aging, as seen by a reduction in the speed with which older people can respond\textsuperscript{34,35}, is primarily responsible for impaired balanced control and the increased incidence of falls among the elderly.

Most research on the investigation of gait characteristics using the dual task paradigm has focused on the study of steady-state gait or standing. No study, to date, has utilized the dual task paradigm to examine the effects on young adults of a secondary reaction time task while descending stairs. The center of pressure (COP) is defined as the point of application of the ground reaction forces (GRFs) on a platform, and is commonly used as an indicator of balance and postural control\textsuperscript{36}. The Stroop task is a psychological test in which a word expressing a color is printed in a color different from the color expressed by the actual meaning of the word. Examining the COP trajectory variables and response time when young adults descend stairs, while simultaneously performing a secondary Stroop task that requires direct attention, would provide information that contributes to the understanding of the mechanisms behind falls on stairs by the elderly, since COP is a measure of the response of the central nervous system to movement of the whole body center of mass\textsuperscript{37}. Therefore, the aim of the current study was to examine the COP trajectory variables and response times when young adults descended stairs while simultaneously performing a secondary Stroop task that required their direct attention.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

The study sample consisted of 10 healthy male subjects (mean age, 24.1 ± 0.6 years; age range, 20–26 years) and 10 healthy female subjects (mean age, 23.8 ± 0.9 years; age range, 20–27 years) with no known neurological or orthopedic deficits. All participants signed an informed consent approved by the University Institutional Review Board before participating in the study. Table 1 summarizes the subject characteristics.

A custom-built standard dimension staircase of three steps including the top platform (rise height = 17 cm, tread depth = 28 cm, width = 90 cm) was used for the study. Two force platforms (AMTI, Newton, MA, USA), one mounted in the third step of the staircase as counted from the top platform and one in the level walkway (2 m in length and 1.22 m in width) directly in front of the staircase, were used to measure the three components of GRFs during stair descent. The top platform and each step in the staircase was independently constructed using a solid steel frame (vertical steel frames had a width and depth of 8 and 4 cm) that was securely bolted to the ground to ensure a mechanically stiff structure. GRFs were recorded from the first force platform on the third step and the second force platform on the ground. Amplified force platform signals were sampled online at a rate of 1,000 Hz for 20 seconds (AMTI). The COP trajectory variables were analyzed using BioAnalysis v2.0 software (AMTI). The secondary cognitive task was a Stroop task, and response times to the Stroop task while descending stairs were measured with a stop watch (Casio, Tokyo, Japan).

For each trial, subjects stood in a predetermined position on the top platform. Subjects were then required to descend the step on the staircase of three steps at a self-paced speed, with the right limb in response to auditory cues and continue for several strides after striking the first force platform on the third step followed by the second force platform on the floor. Subjects were instructed to place only one foot on each step (foot-over-foot). The analysis of COP trajectory variables was performed for the initial ground contact of the left foot on the second step down (first force platform) and the initial ground contact of the right foot on the floor (second force platform). This portion of data analysis was used because it represents a ‘steady-state’ step\textsuperscript{63}.

Subjects first completed a normal stair descent to familiarize themselves with the experimental protocol. Then, a modified Stroop task was presented at 5 meters in front of the subject at eye level while initiating the stair descent. The Stroop task consisted of reading off colors from a printout of 15 words describing colors (five lines of three words) that were printed in colors different from color expressed. For example, the word “red” was printed in green ink, and subjects were required to say the color of the word, “green”, rather than the actual word itself. A set of three different words was presented to each subject. Each subject completed two practice trials and five successful experimental trials under the following conditions: 1) performing the Stroop task while standing, 2) descending

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26.8 ± 2.8</td>
<td>177.2 ± 7.3</td>
<td>71.4 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22.9 ± 2.4</td>
<td>165.4 ± 8.2</td>
<td>54.6 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± standard deviations.
stairs, and 3) descending stairs while performing the concurrent secondary Stoop task.

Differences between normal stair descent and stair descent while simultaneously performing the concurrent secondary Stoop task for COP trajectory variables and stance time were tested using the paired t-test. Values of \( p<0.05 \) were considered statistically significant. The dependent variables included the response time, COP displacement in the anteroposterior (A-P) direction, COP displacement in the mediolateral (M-L) direction, length of the COP path, and the average COP velocity. The A-P (M-L) COP was defined as the distance between the minimum and maximum A-P (or M-L) COP positions during the time that either the right or the left foot was in contact with the force platform. The length of the COP path was defined as the length of the total distance traveled by the COP during the time either the right or the left foot was in contact with the force platform. The average velocity of the COP was defined as the COP path length divided by the time that either the right or the left foot was in contact with the force platform.

The paired t-test was used to examine the differences between the response times of the two different types of Stoop tasks (standing and descending). The response time to a Stoop task was analyzed from the onset of the auditory cue to completion of identification of the print colors of a set of three different words in the modified Stoop test. SPSS 14.0 KO statistical software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the statistical analyses.

**RESULTS**

Participants’ response times and the changes in the COP trajectory variables and stance times with and without performance of the secondary Stoop task were analyzed. The secondary Stoop task response time for the descending stairs condition was significantly longer than the secondary Stoop task response time during static standing \( (p<0.01) \) (Table 2). The response time for the stair descent condition was 141% greater than that for standing. The mean values of the response times for each condition are summarized in Table 2.

A significant difference was also noted in the stance time for descending stairs with and without performance of the secondary Stoop task for both the left and right feet \( (p<0.01) \) (Table 3). The stance time with the secondary Stoop task condition was 123% greater than that without performance of the secondary Stoop task for both the left and the right feet.

There were also significant differences in the M-L and A-P displacements of COP and the length of the COP path as well as the average velocity of COP \( (p<0.01) \) for stair descent with and without performance of the secondary Stoop task for both the left and right feet (Table 3). The M-L and A-P displacement of COP and the length of the COP path as well as the average velocity of COP in stair descent with the secondary Stoop task were significantly greater than in stair descent without performance of the secondary Stoop task \( (p<0.01) \). The M-L and A-P displacements of COP of both the right and the left feet while descending stairs performing the secondary Stoop task were 137% and 117% greater than their respective values in stair descent without the secondary Stoop task.

The length of the COP path and the average velocity of COP for both feet while descending stairs performing the secondary Stoop task were also increased (119% and 115%, respectively), as compared with the values for both feet while descending stairs without the secondary Stoop task. The mean values for the stance times and COP trajectory variables for each condition are summarized in Table 3.

**DISCUSSION**

This study investigated the effects on young adults of a concurrent secondary response time task while descending stairs. The current study revealed that, overall, participants had slower response times and increases in stance times, as well as increases in M-L and A-P displacements of the COP, the total length of the COP path, and the average velocity of the COP while descending stairs performing the concurrent secondary Stoop task. Changes in these variables suggest that stair descent while performing the secondary Stoop task was more challenging for the participants than the stair descent without the secondary Stoop task.

It is thought that the ability to attend to one task declines when a second task is added. That is, either or both could suffer in performance speed or quality, or only one task would be executed while the second task would be put off.  

### Table 2. Means (±SD) for response times (ms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Response times*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>2187 ± 400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stair Descent</td>
<td>3076 ± 514</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*significant difference between standing and stair descent \( (p<0.05) \).

### Table 3. Mean values (±SD) of the COP variables (cm) and the stance time (cm/sec) of the left and right feet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variables</th>
<th>Stair Descent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No Stoop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-L displacement (L)*</td>
<td>7.77 ± 2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-L displacement (R)*</td>
<td>6.71 ± 1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-P displacement (L)*</td>
<td>14.56 ± 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-P displacement (R)*</td>
<td>12.93 ± 1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPL (L)*</td>
<td>77.7 ± 23.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPL (R)*</td>
<td>73.3 ± 18.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPV (L)*</td>
<td>116.42 ± 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPV (R)*</td>
<td>119.9 ± 12.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stance time (L)*</td>
<td>693 ± 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stance time (R)*</td>
<td>638 ± 41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*significant difference between with and without performance of the secondary Stoop task \( (p<0.05) \). SD: Standard deviation; M-L: mediolateral; A-P: anteroposterior; COP: center of pressure; COPL: total length of the center of pressure path; COPV: average velocity of the center of pressure; L: left; R: right.
Thus, interference between the simple response task and stair descent in the present experiment was expected because of the competition for attention between the two tasks. The results show that the secondary Stroop task interfered with stair descent and vice versa.

The mean response time for descending stairs with the secondary Stroop task was significantly longer than the mean response time for standing. This result suggests that the response time increases as a more demanding task is performed. This result is in accordance with the results of previous studies\(^{24,38-40}\), which reported that divided attention during obstacle crossing degraded the obstacle avoidance capabilities of both older and young adults. In one of these studies, younger and older adults had longer reaction times when vision and/or proprioception were perturbed than when vision and surface conditions were normal, and the older adults were more affected by the absence of vision\(^{39}\). Another study observed that older women had slower verbal reaction times than younger women and longer reaction times in a sitting position than in a standing position\(^{41}\). Kim\(^{42}\) also demonstrated that the response time for obstacle crossing when a secondary Stroop task was provided was significantly longer than the response time in static standing only.

The participants showed significantly greater M-L and A-P displacements of COP and longer length of the COP path, as well as the faster average velocity of COP while descending stairs performing the secondary Stroop task, than in the stair descent without the secondary Stroop task. The finding of increased COP displacement in the M-L direction and length of the COP path is similar to a previous report that the M-L displacement of COP and the length of the COP path were increased in subjects performing gait initiation or obstacle crossing with a concurrent secondary task\(^{42}\). In the current study, subjects appeared to have less postural control, which was manifest as an increase in the amplitude of the displacements of COP in the M-L and A-P directions when performing the stair descent with the concurrent secondary Stroop task. These results suggest that under such a dual-task paradigm, subjects are required to allocate more attention to multiple tasks simultaneously than in a single task, and stair descent with the concurrent secondary cognitive task may have required complete attention, since subjects had poorer performance in both the primary task and the secondary task.

The average velocity of COP during a task is a postural activity index, which represents the amount of postural activity required to maintain postural stability during the certain task\(^{43,44}\). It has been reported that moving the COP faster to the maximal COP positions at which individuals can maintain posture without falling, can be considered as an important indicator of risk of falling\(^{45}\). In the current study, the subjects who performed stair descent with the secondary cognitive task demonstrated enhanced average velocity of COP, as compared with the stair descent task without the secondary cognitive task, which could be interpreted as reduced postural performance and an increased risk of falling.

It is important to maintain appropriate scaling of gait parameters while descending stairs to ensure safe and efficient foot placement on the surface of the stair. Thus, the stance limb must also provide a stable base from which to descend the stair. The subjects of the current study demonstrated significantly longer left and right stance times while performing the stair descent with the concurrent secondary cognitive task than during the stair descent without the secondary cognitive task. This increase in stance time may be attributed to overall increased activity of the gluteus medius to maintain pelvic obliquity\(^{46}\). Furthermore, a longer stance time may reflect the fact that participants tended to use a more cautious strategy when performing the secondary task.

The current study had several limitations. This study had a relatively low sample size of young adults. Furthermore, the exact timing and spatial events of gait parameters during the interference of the motor tasks with the concurrent secondary task were not investigated because only two force platforms were used. The collective analysis of kinematic and kinetic data would provide more insights on the interference between the primary motor task and the secondary cognitive task than the separate analysis of kinetic data. Finally, this study used a staircase of only three steps that may not have allowed simulation of true stair descent.

In conclusion, the results of the current study give an increased understanding as to how participants descend stairs when they have to attend to a concurrent secondary cognitive task. The results suggest that participants’ ability to descend stairs is lessened with the addition of a concurrent secondary attention demanding task, and addition of divided attention tasks places an apparently higher demand on balance control that may prove challenging for subjects at high risk of falling. Finally, it would be necessary to examine and/or compare a wide variety of geriatric populations and subjects with neurological and orthopedic deficits under various types of secondary tasks to fully appreciate the effects of all aspects of the secondary motor task performance performing more demanding or dynamic conditions. Moreover, the investigation of the effects of a wider range of dual tasks could assist in the identification of individuals at high risk of falling.
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