The Dose-dependent Effect of an 830-nm, 450-mW Low-Level Laser Therapy on the Myofacial Trigger Point of the Upper Trapezius Muscle: A Randomized, Double-Blinded, Clinical Trial
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Abstract. [Purpose] The purpose of this study was to evaluate the dose-dependent effect of treatment with an 830-nm, 450-mW GaAlAs laser on changes of the pressure-pain threshold (PPT) of the myofascial trigger point (MTrP) of the upper trapezius (UT) muscles of seated workers, in comparison with a placebo GaAlAs laser. [Subjects] Twenty-four seated workers (10 males, 14 females) with UT pain agreed to participate in this study. [Methods] The subjects were given treatment either with a placebo GaAlAs laser or an active GaAlAs laser according to a double-blinded, randomized procedure. [Results] The placebo group did not show significant changes in PPT after 1 min, 2 min and 5 min of low-level laser therapy (LLLT). The active group did not show significant changes in pressure-pain threshold (PPT) after 1 min and 2 min of LLLT. However, significant changes in PPT were apparent after 5 min of LLLT. [Conclusion] We suggest that a higher energy, such as 1929 J/cm², may be necessary to produce an immediate effect on PPT when treating the MTrP of the UT with an 830-nm, 450-mW GaAlAs laser.
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(INTRODUCTION

Fifty-seven percent of office workers complain of neck and shoulder pain¹). Due to static contractions in a continuous sitting position, ischemia in the trapezius muscle and an increase of intramuscular tissue pressure result in stiffness, dullness, pain and increase in muscle hardness²). However, drugs and exercises to treat the musculoskeletal problems of office workers have not been always useful³). Low-level laser therapy (LLLT) is the irradiation of light within the output range of 1–500-mW and wavelength of 600–1000 nm⁴). In a clinical setting, LLLT has been reported to be effective in pain control of musculoskeletal disorders⁵) and the reduction of drug usage⁶,⁷). However, some studies propose that there are no beneficial effects of LLLT in musculoskeletal pain control⁸). This may be explained by the incorrect application and dosage of LLLT⁹). The clinical efficiency of LLLT remains controversial and additional studies about the optimum dosage for immediate effect on musculoskeletal pain control using LLLT with a wavelength of 830 nm and a 450-mW output are required.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the dose-dependent effect of an 830-nm, 450-mW GaAlAs laser on the pressure-pain threshold (PPT) changes of the myofascial trigger point (MTrP) of the upper trapezius (UP) muscle of seated workers, in comparison with a placebo GaAlAs laser.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

The subjects of this study were 10 males [age: 25.4 ± 1.6 years (mean ± SD); height: 174.2 ± 5.2 cm; body weight: 66.4 ± 4.0 kg] and 14 females [age: 23.7 ± 3.2 years (mean ± SD); height: 161.7 ± 6.0 cm; body weight: 50.5 ± 4.6 kg] who were seated workers with pain of the UP. Subjects were selected in a screening process to prove the presence of MTrP in the UP by a physical therapist with 10 years of palpation experience. Inclusion criteria of this study were: suffering from pain in the UP for more than 6 months, and a PPT level of UP below 3 kg. Exclusion criteria of this study were: a history of fracture of the shoulder joint or the cervical spine; a history of heart disease, pacemaker use, psychological disorders, or epilepsy; and pregnancy or use of therapeutics such as drugs and/or shoulder exercises. Prior to the start of the study, all subjects understood the purpose of this study and spontaneously signed consent forms, in accordance with the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki.)
The subjects were given either active GaAlAs laser (SC-laser CTLS-8; EINS MEDICAL, Busan, Korea: 830 nm, 450 mW, 0.3 cm spot diameter, 0.07 cm² spot size, 35.71 W/cm²) or a placebo GaAlAs laser treatment according to a double-blinded and randomized procedure. The laser treatment parameters are shown in Table 1.

The randomization procedure of the LLLT was carried out using simple cards, A (active LLLT) or B (placebo LLLT), which subjects received from an observer who was not participating in the study. The simple cards selected were delivered to a physical therapist who operated the laser in the active or placebo mode. Both the subjects and the investigator were blind to the type of LLLT mode. The laser probe was placed on the skin contact angle of 90° on the MTrP in the dominant UT during LLLT administration. LLLT were administered at intervals of one week for 1 min, 2 min, and 5 min, respectively, to eliminate the carryover effect of LLLT. Both subjects and the laser operator put on protective goggles to protect their eyes and for protection from blindness during the LLLT.

The PPT of the subjects was evaluated using an algometer (Pain Test-Model FPK; Wagner Instruments, Greenwich, CT) before and after the LLLT in a relaxed sitting position by the same investigator. The algometer is a clinically used instrument with a high reliability of assessment of tenderness11,12). The algometer increased pressure by 0.1 kg per second on the MTrP of subject’s dominant UT until they indicated that the pressure had become painful13).

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS statistical package (version 14.0, SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Repeated one-way ANOVA was used for the active and placebo groups to analyze changes in PPT and MTrP of the UT. The comparative analysis was post-hoc tested by the Bonferroni’s correction. The statistical significance level used in the analysis was p<0.05.

RESULTS

The PPT of placebo and active groups are shown in Table 2. The placebo group did not show significant changes in PPT after 1 min, 2 min and 5 min of LLLT (p>0.05). However, the active group did not show significant changes in PPT after 1 min and 2 min of LLLT (p>0.05). However, a significant change in PPT was seen after 5 min of LLLT (p<0.05).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we failed to find differences between the active and placebo groups after 1 min and 2 min of LLLT (830 nm, 450 mW, GaAlAs laser) in PPT of the MTrP of the UT. However significant changes were seen in PPT after 5 min of LLLT in the active group. LLLT has been used for noninvasive physical therapy in the treatment of musculoskeletal disorders since it induces photochemical and photobiological effects in cells and tissues which increase the natural healing process rather than having a thermal effect14). LLLT has been suggested to improve cellular respiration in MTrPs by regulating microcirculation15) and to provide analgesia by increasing adenosine triphosphate production related with cell level changes15,16). To produce these effects, the parameters such as wavelength, power density, output frequency, energy density and duration of LLLT are varied15,17).

Energy density seems to be the most important parameter15). Tunér and Hode14) proposed that an energy density of 4–10 J/cm² is necessary for deep region pain treatment using a GaAlAs laser. However, this energy density was not necessary for an immediate PPT change. Although high dosages such as 386 J/cm² (1 min application) and 771 J/cm² (2 min application) were delivered in this study, there were no immediate effects. In addition, because the thickness of the subcutaneous layers and the UP was at least 1 cm18,19), the dosage actually received in the painful area may have been diminished to one tenth of the ordinal intensity14). However, the higher energy density of 1,929 J/cm² (5 min application) produced an immediate effect on PPT. These results suggest that a higher energy density may be necessary to produce an immediate effect on PPT when applying an 830-nm, 450-mW GaAlAs laser to the MTrP of muscles more than 1 cm thick.

This study had a few limitations. First, it was difficult to detect differences between dosages due to the small sample size. Second, time slots such as 3 min and 4 min were not used. Third, the results of the 1 min and 2 min of LLLT do not necessarily mean that LLLT is not effective in reducing pain because the follow-up was too short-term. Fourth, pain scale beyond the PPT has not been evaluated. Further, longer follow-up studies with many patients with relatively large MTrPs are needed to find the optimum dosage for therapeutic efficacy of an 830-nm, 450-mW GaAlAs laser.

### Table 1. Laser parameters used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laser parameters</th>
<th>Treatment time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (J)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy density (J/cm²)</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Comparison of PPT of the upper trapezius muscle in the placebo group (N=12) and active group (N=12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>PPT (kg, mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>1 min</th>
<th>2 min</th>
<th>5 min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Placebo LLLT</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.42</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.48</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.51</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active LLLT</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.43</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.38</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.46</td>
<td>2.14 ± 0.64*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05; LLLT; Low level laser therapy, PPT; Pressure-Pain Threshold.
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