The effects of prone bridge exercise on trunk muscle thickness in chronic low back pain patients
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Abstract. [Purpose] This study aimed to investigate the effects of prone bridge exercise on trunk muscle thickness. [Subjects and Methods] Thirty-seven chronic low back pain patients participated in this study. Each participant was randomly assigned to one of three exercise groups, namely, a prone bridge exercise group, supine bridge exercise on a Swiss ball group, and supine bridge exercise group. The thicknesses of the transverse abdominis (TrA), internal oblique (IO), and external oblique (EO) were measured using ultrasound. [Results] After eight weeks of training, the three groups showed significant increases in the thicknesses of the TrA, IO, and EO. Among the groups, TrA and IO showed significantly different muscle thicknesses. [Conclusion] The prone bridge exercise significantly affected the thicknesses of the TrA, IO, and EO unlike the supine bridge exercises. Based on the results of this study, the prone bridge exercise is a more effective method to improve trunk stability than conventional supine bridge exercises.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic low back pain (CLBP) is one of the common health problems in modern society, with 70–80% of the population having experienced back pain at least once in their lifetime1). Picavet and Haxes2) reported that 27% of the European population suffers from CLBP. People with CLBP show more muscle atrophy, especially of the lumbar deep muscles3, 4). Movement limited by low back pain causes morphological changes in the lumbar muscles that induce decreased muscle strength and endurance5). Decreased muscle strength and endurance negatively affect lumbar stability and eventually cause functional limitations6).

Back pain in the lumbar area weakens the lumbar flexors and extensors6). Specifically, aside from the erector spinae and other abdominal muscles, the transverse abdominis of low back pain patients is weaker than that of healthy people7). Back pain diminishes activity levels and results in muscle atrophy, with decreased muscle strength and a decreased sectional area of the muscles around the spine. As endurance and flexibility decrease due to these reasons, the range of back movement becomes limited8).

Recent studies on and treatments for CLBP have been focused on increasing trunk stability to minimize recurrent episodes and maximize prevention. The main topics of these studies are trunk stability and the muscles involved in spine alignment and posture9). Trunk muscles are co-activated to stabilize the trunk. Therefore, the co-activation of the trunk muscles is necessary to achieve trunk stability and to prevent and treat back pain10–12). Many studies have suggested trunk stability exercises in different positions with or without an unstable platform device to strengthen the co-activation of trunk muscles13, 14). Bridge exercises are the most commonly used among the trunk stability exercises with different positions. Back pain patients are comfortable in assuming the bridge position and pain is reduced. Moreover, bridge exercises activate the superficial and deep trunk muscles at an appropriate ratio and strengthen the gluteus and lower leg muscles15). In previous studies, prone bridge exercises activated the rectus abdominis, external oblique, and internal oblique more than supine bridge exercises16), and prone bridge exercises on a Swiss ball activated the rectus abdominis and external oblique more than supine bridge exercises17).

This study aimed to compare the effects of conventional supine bridge exercises and prone bridge exercises on trunk muscle thickness to determine which type of exercise is more efficient.
SUBJECTS AND METHODS

A total of 37 patients (13 males and 24 females) with CLBP participated in this study. For patients with chronic lumbar pain, basic physical therapy (H/P, ICT, and U/S) was performed in 40-minute sessions, three times a week, along with a bridge exercise. All the patients met the following inclusion criteria: older than 20 years old; more than 6 months since diagnosis of CLBP by X-ray, CT or MRI; no history of vestibular disease, neurologic disease, or spine surgery; no medicine for balance-related impairments; and able to do exercises. Their mean age, weight, and height were 41.2 ± 8.3 years, 61.5 ± 7.6 kg, and 163.8 ± 5.9 cm, respectively (Table 1). The subjects understood the experimental purpose and methods and agreed voluntarily to participate in the study. All the participants read and signed an informed consent form approved by the local ethics committee of the Catholic University of Daegu.

All participants were randomly assigned to one of the three exercise groups (supine bridge exercise, supine bridge on a Swiss ball exercise, and prone bridge exercise). First, the participants in the supine bridge exercise group (Exercise 1) performed the bridge exercise in the supine position with their knee joints at 90 degrees, both arms spread at about 30 degrees, and both hands on the ground. They kept their head and neck in a straight position, with their eyes looking at the ceiling. Second, the participants in the supine bridge on a Swiss ball exercise group (Exercise 2) performed the same exercise as the Exercise 1 group, but they placed their legs on a Swiss ball. Lastly, the prone bridge exercise group (Exercise 3) performed the bridge exercise in a prone position with their elbows at 90 degrees, their bodies supported by their forearms and toes, their neck slightly extended, and their eyes looking toward the front. The subjects performed three sets of five reps for each exercise, which consisted of 30 s work and 30 s rest periods, on three days each week. Three exercise groups (supine bridge exercise, supine bridge on a Swiss ball exercise, and prone bridge exercise) showed significant changes in TrA, EO, and IO thicknesses after exercise compared with the thicknesses before the exercises (p<0.05). The thicknesses of the TrA and IO showed significant differences among the groups (p<0.05). Among the groups, the prone bridge exercise group showed the highest increase in muscles thicknesses of the TrA, IO, and EO, followed by the supine bridge exercise on a Swiss ball group and the supine bridge exercise group (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

A bridge exercise was selected to improve trunk muscle stability among patients with CLBP. To investigate an effective method of bridge exercise, the existing traditional bridge exercise was performed along with a prone bridge exercise, and the trunk muscles’ thicknesses were subsequently measured. The purpose of trunk stability exercises is to protect the spine from recurrent micro-damage of muscles, pain due to spine instability, and degenerative changes in the spine12). Muscle strengthening exercises are performed for the prevention and treatment of musculoskeletal diseases and for the improvement of exercise capacity14). Generally, patients with low back pain show decreased muscle strength of the spine extensors and abdominals. Specifically, the strength of the TrA is weaker in individuals with low back pain than in those without22).
The strength of the deep muscles around the lumbar spine in patients with low back pain is also unbalanced. Patients with low back pain demonstrate decreased proprioception, which causes position sense impairments and eventually spine instability. As a result, patients with low back pain experience recurrent back pain23). For this reason, many studies have been conducted to improve deep muscle strength. However, to date, minimal research has been conducted on direct measurement of deep muscles. Ultrasound can be used to show deep muscle thickness even when muscles contract for certain movements24, 25. The reliability of ultrasound was proved in previous studies26).

This study used ultrasound to measure the effect of eight weeks of bridge exercises on muscle thicknesses. The results show that the thicknesses of the TrA, IO, and EO increased significantly after prone bridge exercise, followed by supine bridge exercise on a Swiss ball and then supine bridge exercise. Interestingly, the muscle thicknesses of the TrA, IO, and EO after prone bridge exercise increased significantly more than after conventional bridge exercise. With prone bridge exercise, the deep muscles, TrA, and IO are activated more to stabilize the trunk and make it thicker. Increased muscle thickness means increased muscle activation. Subjects with low back pain are known to have postural control impairments; therefore, a postural control program should be considered part of their rehabilitation plan27. Trunk stability plays an important role in postural control, and the functions of the TrA, IO, and EO are crucial for trunk stability28).

In this study, prone bridge exercise significantly increased the thicknesses of these muscles compared with other bridge exercises. Therefore, prone bridge exercise could be suggested as a method of improving postural control for patients with back pain. The reasons for the significant improvement of muscle thicknesses with prone bridge exercise compared with the other bridge exercises can be suggested biomechanically and physiologically. Biomechanically, the prone position has a smaller base of support compared with the other bridge exercises. Therefore, to maintain postural control, increased muscle activation is required29). Moreover, the prone bridge exercise raises the individual to resist gravity during the process of the spinal flexion pattern. That is, as the elbows and toes touch the ground, the trunk muscles are required to counteract gravity through co-contraction. Physiologically, the prone bridge position, with the upper and lower extremities acting as a bridge, activates the muscles placed transversely first (e.g., the TrA and then the IO and EO) for trunk stability. This is why increased muscle activation is induced.

The subjects in this study reported difficulty in lifting their pelvis during supine bridge exercises when pain worsened. However, they reported easiness in assuming the prone bridge posture compared with the supine bridge postures. Accordingly, patients with acute back pain, not only those with CLBP, can also perform the prone bridge exercise safely. In conclusion, prone bridge exercise is the more efficient for improving trunk stability and increasing trunk muscle thicknesses compared with the supine bridge exercises in patients with CLBP. A limitation of this study is that we only measured the thicknesses of the IO, EO, and TrA muscles. As the study measured only muscle thickness, the study results are hard to generalize. Moreover, bridge exercises mobilize many muscles in the trunk and extremities, and other factors can affect muscle thickness. Hence, further studies will be required to examine muscle activity with electromyography.

Table 2. Comparison of thickness of trunk muscles among groups (Unit: mm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Exercise 1</th>
<th>Exercise 2</th>
<th>Exercise 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IO*</td>
<td>Pre 4.97±1.01</td>
<td>5.14±1.60</td>
<td>5.93±1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post 6.01±0.90</td>
<td>6.28±1.53</td>
<td>7.45±1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>change −1.03±0.35***</td>
<td>−1.14±0.49***</td>
<td>−1.52±0.70**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrA**</td>
<td>Pre 2.62±0.48</td>
<td>2.53±0.67</td>
<td>3.30±0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post 2.93±0.48</td>
<td>3.07±0.72</td>
<td>4.20±0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>change −0.30±0.12**</td>
<td>−0.54±0.18***</td>
<td>−0.89±0.39**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO</td>
<td>Pre 3.77±1.08</td>
<td>3.57±1.06</td>
<td>4.04±0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post 4.71±1.02</td>
<td>4.57±1.00</td>
<td>5.22±0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>change −0.94±0.86*</td>
<td>−0.99±0.39**</td>
<td>−1.17±0.65**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05, ** p<0.001

IO: internal oblique, TrA: transverse abdominis, EO: external oblique

1 significantly different compared with exercise 1
2 significantly different compared with exercise 2
3 significantly different compared with exercise 3

The strength of the deep muscles around the lumbar spine in patients with low back pain is also unbalanced. Patients with low back pain demonstrate decreased proprioception, which causes position sense impairments and eventually spine instability. As a result, patients with low back pain experience recurrent back pain23). For this reason, many studies have been conducted to improve deep muscle strength. However, to date, minimal research has been conducted on direct measurement of deep muscles. Ultrasound can be used to show deep muscle thickness even when muscles contract for certain movements24, 25. The reliability of ultrasound was proved in previous studies26).

This study used ultrasound to measure the effect of eight weeks of bridge exercises on muscle thicknesses. The results show that the thicknesses of the TrA, IO, and EO increased significantly after prone bridge exercise, followed by supine bridge exercise on a Swiss ball and then supine bridge exercise. Interestingly, the muscle thicknesses of the TrA, IO, and EO after prone bridge exercise increased significantly more than after conventional bridge exercise. With prone bridge exercise, the deep muscles, TrA, and IO are activated more to stabilize the trunk and make it thicker. Increased muscle thickness means increased muscle activation. Subjects with low back pain are known to have postural control impairments; therefore, a postural control program should be considered part of their rehabilitation plan27. Trunk stability plays an important role in postural control, and the functions of the TrA, IO, and EO are crucial for trunk stability28).

In this study, prone bridge exercise significantly increased the thicknesses of these muscles compared with other bridge exercises. Therefore, prone bridge exercise could be suggested as a method of improving postural control for patients with back pain. The reasons for the significant improvement of muscle thicknesses with prone bridge exercise compared with the other bridge exercises can be suggested biomechanically and physiologically. Biomechanically, the prone position has a smaller base of support compared with the other bridge exercises. Therefore, to maintain postural control, increased muscle activation is required29). Moreover, the prone bridge exercise raises the individual to resist gravity during the process of the spinal flexion pattern. That is, as the elbows and toes touch the ground, the trunk muscles are required to counteract gravity through co-contraction. Physiologically, the prone bridge position, with the upper and lower extremities acting as a bridge, activates the muscles placed transversely first (e.g., the TrA and then the IO and EO) for trunk stability. This is why increased muscle activation is induced.

The subjects in this study reported difficulty in lifting their pelvis during supine bridge exercises when pain worsened. However, they reported easiness in assuming the prone bridge posture compared with the supine bridge postures. Accordingly, patients with acute back pain, not only those with CLBP, can also perform the prone bridge exercise safely. In conclusion, prone bridge exercise is the more efficient for improving trunk stability and increasing trunk muscle thicknesses compared with the supine bridge exercises in patients with CLBP. A limitation of this study is that we only measured the thicknesses of the IO, EO, and TrA muscles. As the study measured only muscle thickness, the study results are hard to generalize. Moreover, bridge exercises mobilize many muscles in the trunk and extremities, and other factors can affect muscle thickness. Hence, further studies will be required to examine muscle activity with electromyography.
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