Effect of sensorimotor stimulation on chronic stroke patients’ upper extremity function: a preliminary study
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Abstract. [Purpose] The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of an intensive sensorimotor stimulation program on the motor function of chronic hemiparetic patients. [Subjects and Methods] The subjects were three chronic stroke patients whose sensory function was intact, who had Mini-Mental State Examination – Korean version scores of more than 26, and manual muscle test scores of more than fair for affected shoulder and elbow. The research design was an A-B single subject experimental design. The intervention consisted of 4 baselines phase sessions, and 12 sensorimotor stimulation phase sessions. The sensory and motor stimulation was performed for 30 minutes per session. The efficacy of the program was evaluated by the Box and Block test, and the 10-second test. [Results] Box and Block test and 10-second test scores of each subject improved after the 8 weeks intervention. [Conclusion] The intensive sensorimotor stimulation program for the upper extremity may be an efficacious method for improving the function of the affected limb of chronic stroke patients. Key words: Chronic stroke, Sensorimotor stimulation, Upper extremities function

INTRODUCTION

Stroke is a transient or permanent alteration in the function of one or several areas of the brain, and is a consequence of a circulatory disorder. Patients who have suffered a stroke usually present motor and sensory impairments, cognitive and perceptive deficits, and emotional disorders1). About 55–75% of survivors 6 months after stroke cannot use the affected hand for their activities of daily living. Thus, Stroke has an important impact on their quality of life2, 3).

Neuroscientific evidence supports the premise that the sensory receptors in the fingers generate action potentials that communicate with multiple neural networks and specific neurons in the cerebral cortex that correspond with the hand and face4–9). There is also strong evidence that the development of sensorimotor skills in the hands results in substantial changes (expansion) in the corresponding regions of the cortex10, 11).

Recent studies have indicated there is a relation between sensory and motor functions which influences the recovery of motion after a stroke3). Sensorimotor training is popularly applied as a preventive or rehabilitative exercise method in various rehabilitation settings12). Sensorimotor training uses some combination of sensory input and motor activities to facilitate the expected normal motor response and promote motor skill development13). Sensorimotor stimulation is designed to produce an adaptive response14), which is defined as behavior of a more advanced, organized, flexible or productive nature than that occurring before the stimulation15). The goals of sensorimotor stimulation are to initiate desired movement, facilitate weak movement and inhibit undesired movement for purposeful and coordinated motor behavior16). In sensorimotor stimulation...
therapy, interventions rely on the use of exteroceptors and proprioceptors, but not interoceptors, since it is thought that behaviors are learned through exteroceptive and proprioceptive stimulation. There are various modalities for stimulating exteroceptors to enhance sensorimotor function\(^{15,17}\). Nevertheless, actual interventions in clinical settings that demonstrate restoration of motor function are those that focus on repetitive task-specific practice with feedback on performance, so little attention has been given to interventions involving sensorimotor stimulation, particularly in chronic hemiparesis\(^{18}\). Therefore, it may be of interest to develop new protocols for sensorimotor stimulation\(^{1}\).

The aim of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of an intensive sensorimotor stimulation program for the upper limb of patients with chronic hemiparesis due to stroke.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

This study was a preliminary study which was performed to direct future research, and to investigate the effectiveness of a sensorimotor stimulation program. Ethical approval was granted from the Institutional Review Board on Human Subjects Research and Ethics Committees, Soonchunhyang University, Cheonan, Korea. All participants provided their informed consent before participating in this study. The participants were chronic stroke patients whose sensory functions were intact, who had Mini-Mental State Examination – Korean version (MMSE-K) scores of more than 26, and manual muscle test (MMT) scores of more than fair for the affected shoulder and elbow.

Two females and one male participated in this study (Table 1). The participants were recruited from a regional rehabilitation hospital and their mean age was 58.3 years. The affected side of two of the subjects was the right side. Informed consent was obtained from the subjects before starting the evaluations and the interventions. The onset time of stoke was more than 6 months earlier and the participants were receiving conventional rehabilitation therapy for one hour at a rehabilitation center.

The research design was an A-B single subject experiment design. The intervention was performed twice a week and consisted of 5 baseline sessions of 5 minutes per session, followed by 12 treatment sessions lasting 30 minutes with evaluation sessions after sensorimotor stimulation. Each rehabilitation session was performed with the assistance of one therapist and was divided in two phases, a passive hand mobilization phase and a sensory stimulation phase. During the first 10 minutes, the patients’ hand were prepared with specific movements to reduce muscle tone, followed by passive mobilization of the metacarpophalangeal joints and lengthening of the hand muscles and hypothenar muscle groups and interossei muscles. Then, passive sensory training involving vibration and proprioception was conducted for 20 minutes. Vibration was provided by vibrating toothbrushes to stimulate the intrinsic and extrinsic muscles of the hand at a frequency of 127 Hz. Then, proprioceptive training was performed. For the proprioceptive training of the wrist joint, a therapist positioned the subject’s affected wrists at specific angles of motion (0, 30, and 60 degrees flexion and 30, and 60 degrees of extension), and the subjects were asked to report the wrist angle. Next, the finger joint of affected hand were moved to specific positions, and the subjects imitated the position using their non-affected hand. The Box and Block test (BBT) and 10-second test were used to evaluate hand function in this study. The BBT measures unilateral gross manual dexterity and is frequently used in research and rehabilitation involving both children and adults. This test consists of moving, one by one, the greatest number of blocks as possible from one compartment of a box to another of equal size, within 60 seconds. A 6-month test-retest reliability study was done (p=0.98 for the right hand and 0.92 for the left) and concomitant validity was measured using the Minnesota Rate Manipulation Test which gave a result of r=0.91. 10-second test consists of three types of tests. The examinee performs three types of hand-finger movements (the finger individual movement test: FIMT; the hand pronation and supination test: HPST; and the finger tapping test: FTT) as quickly as possible for 10 seconds, and the number of movements is counted. The intraclass correlation coefficients of the 10 second test ranged from 0.74 to 0.84 and the concomitant validity was measured using the Fugl-Meyer Motor Function Assessment which gave a result of r=0.88.

In this study, the descriptive data analysis was used to analyze the results.

**RESULTS**

In the BBT (Table 2), participant A’s mean score increased from 62.0 to 67.7 on the non-affected side, and from 39.0 to 47.3 on the affected side; participant B’s mean score increased from 55.8 to 64.4 on the non-affected side, and from 26.3 to 34.1 on the affected side; participant C’s mean score increased from 33.3 to 40.0 on the non-affected side, and from 18.3 to 28.6 on the affected side.

In the 10-second test (Table 3), participant A’s mean score increased from 3.0 to 4.2 in FIMT, from 11.3 to 14.3 in HPST, and from 25.0 to 32.2 in FTT; participant B’s score mean increased from 2.0 to 3.4 in FIMT, form 7.0 to 10.6 in HPST, and from 26.3 to 34.1 in FTT; and participant C’s score mean increased from 2.0 to 2.2 in FIMT, from 9.3 to 10.4 in HPST, and from 20.3 to 27.5 in FTT.
DISCUSSION

Sensory stimulation is required for accurate motor performance and more effective motor learning. Caliandro et al. showed the effectiveness of repetitive focal muscle vibration in the treatment of upper limb spasticity\(^1\), and the Functional Ability Scale of the Wolf Motor Function test score also showed there was significant improvement. Recently, Sim et al. showed that sensory stimulation enhanced performance of hand tasks in chronic stroke patients\(^2\). Passive range of motion therapy helps maintain range and flexibility and temporarily reduces hypertonia or resistance to passive movement. Hesse et al. examined with 8 stroke patients and found passive movement was as assessed by the Fugl-Meyer test\(^3\). In Volpe’s study, repetitive passive ROM exercise influenced the motor function (p=0.01) and power in the trained shoulder and elbow (p=0.0001)\(^4\).

The main finding of the present study was that somatosensory stimulation of the paretic hand immediately enhanced the hand function. Despite substantial advances in the development of more effective training protocols, the functional recovery process is accompanied by long-term motor disability\(^5\). Somatosensory input is required for learning and performance of skillful motor tasks\(^6\). When this input is reduced or absent, poor motor behavior ensures\(^7\). It is not surprising that after stroke, patients with somatosensory deficits suffer more persistent motor impairment than those without such deficits\(^8\).

In this study, we found that the scores of the BBT and 10-second test was increased after training with sensorimotor stimulation. These findings suggest that sensorimotor training is an effective therapeutic approaches which enhances the upper extremity function of chronic stroke patients. In most previous investigations, sensorimotor training included active movement training with sensory stimulation, but in this study only passive movement with sensory stimulation was conducted.

### Table 1. General characteristics of the subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Affected side</th>
<th>Onset time</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>K-MMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participant A</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Lt. MCA infarction</td>
<td>Rt. hemiplegia</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant B</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Lt. MCA infarction</td>
<td>Rt. hemiplegia</td>
<td>18 months</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant C</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Rt. BG intracerebral hemorrhage</td>
<td>Lt. hemiplegia</td>
<td>10 months</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Korean Mini-Mental State Examination

### Table 2. Box and Block test scores (value = number)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant A</td>
<td>AS*</td>
<td>40 41 38 37 44 44 44 45 45 50 44 47 51 48 53 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant B</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>27 21 24 33 31 28 29 32 33 33 36 33 36 36 40 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant C</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>60 59 50 54 58 59 55 63 62 61 63 63 65 68 68 80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AFFECTED SIDE, **NON-AFFECTED SIDE

### Table 3. 10-second test scores of affected side (value = number)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant A</td>
<td>FIMT*</td>
<td>3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant B</td>
<td>HPST**</td>
<td>12 12 11 10 11 12 13 13 14 13 15 15 15 16 17 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant C</td>
<td>HPST</td>
<td>7 7 7 7 8 8 9 10 9 11 11 11 11 12 13 14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIMT: FINGER INDIVIDUAL MOVEMENT TEST, **HPST: HAND PRONATION AND SUPINATION TEST, **FTT: FINGER TAPPING TEST
Therapist need to consider passive movement with sensory stimulation as an option in stroke rehabilitation. Some limitations of this study have to be considered, including the small sample size and the absence of and evaluating of long-term effects. The same study protocol should be performed using a larger population and a long observation time.
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