Improved perioperative analgesia with ultrasound-guided ilioinguinal/iliohypogastric nerve or transversus abdominis plane block for open inguinal surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials
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Abstract. [Purpose] Ultrasound-guided ilioinguinal/iliohypogastric (II/IH) nerve and transversus abdominis plane (TAP) blocks have been increasingly utilized in patients for perioperative analgesia. We conducted this meta-analysis to evaluate the clinical efficacy of ultrasound-guided II/IH nerve or TAP blocks for perioperative analgesia in patients undergoing open inguinal surgery. [Subjects and Methods] A systematic search was conducted of 7 databases from the inception to March 5, 2015. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) comparing the clinical efficacy of ultrasound-guided vs. landmark-based techniques to perform II/IH nerve and TAP blocks in patients with open inguinal surgery were included. We constructed random effects models to pool the standardized mean difference (SMD) for continuous outcomes and the odds ratio (OR) for dichotomized outcomes. [Results] Ultrasound-guided II/IH nerve or TAP blocks were associated with a reduced use of intraoperative additional analgesia and a significant reduction of pain scores during day-stay. The use of rescue drugs was also significantly lower in the ultrasound-guided group. [Conclusion] The use of ultrasound-guidance to perform an II/IH nerve or a TAP block was associated with improved perioperative analgesia in patients following open inguinal surgery compared to landmark-based methods.
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INTRODUCTION

Open inguinal surgery can lead to high levels of intra- and post-operative pain. Currently available perioperative pain management options include oral or intravenous analgesics, surgical wound infiltration, and single-shot caudal blocks. However, these treatments may yield suboptimal pain control or may be limited by the significant risk of side effects. Of the commonly used oral analgesics, acetaminophen has only mild analgesic properties and has a prolonged time to onset1, 2).
Opioid medications are associated with somnolence, nausea, vomiting, and respiratory depression. The potential risks of caudal blocks include subcutaneous infiltration, blood vessel puncture, and dural penetration3–6. Recently, ilioinguinal/iliohypogastric (II/IH) nerve or transversus abdominis plane (TAP) blocks have attracted interest as viable alternatives3 to provide effective perioperative analgesia for open inguinal surgery. Importantly, it may provide a similar duration of analgesia as a caudal block. It uses a lower dose of local anesthetic agent (0.3 ml/kg of 0.25% bupivacaine) than that used in a caudal block (1 ml/kg)9.

There are two main techniques for II/IH or TAP blocks: the landmark-based method or the use of ultrasound guidance. Traditional landmark-based II/IH block using a fascial ‘click’ technique has a low accuracy rate and is associated with increased procedural risks. It is difficult to identify the correct fascial plane, which may lead to the need for multiple attempts1. A failure rate of 28–45% has been reported, even in experienced hands5,6. Weintraud et al.6 reported a mere 14% accuracy rate when local anesthetic was deposited using a landmark-based approach and subsequently imaged under ultrasound to document the location of the fluid collection. No optimal injection site along the course of the II/IH nerve has been identified to improve the accuracy rate largely because of the fact that none of the sites studied have anatomical features that would make the ‘clicking’ prominent enough to be reproducible7. In addition, landmark-based techniques are associated with a substantial risk of colonic or small bowel punctures, pelvic hematoma8, and femoral nerve palsy9.

Ultrasound guidance in regional anesthesia has gained popularity in recent years10,11 and has become popular in soft tissue evaluation12–14 and guided injection15. It has emerged as an excellent modality to visualize the TAP, II/IH nerves, vessels, and needle, which may be beneficial in reducing the risk of intraneural, intravascular, or intraperitoneal injections. In addition, a lower dose of local anesthetic is possible because the needle placement can be confirmed. This negates the use of additional volume to offset for inaccurate needle placement6,16. The disadvantages of an ultrasound-guided procedure include the required special equipment, training, and increased cost. The cost-benefit justification requires assessment of efficacy with ultrasound guidance under the landmark-based approach. Multiple randomized controlled trials have been conducted, but often with a small sample size, heterogeneous designs, and conflicting outcomes. Therefore, we conducted this systematic review and meta-analysis to summarize the current evidence, as well as to evaluate the clinical efficacy of ultrasound-guided II/IH or TAP block for perioperative analgesia in pediatric and adult patients undergoing inguinal surgery.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

The study protocol was finalized in advance of any data collection. It defined objectives, search strategy, inclusion/exclusion criteria, data extraction, outcomes of interest, and analytical approaches. The reporting of this systematic review complies with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement17,18.

Comprehensive searches were performed on PubMed, Ovid MEDLINE, Ovid EMBASE, Ovid Cochrane CENTRAL, Web of Science, and Scopus from the inception of the database through March 8, 2015. Each concept used a combination of controlled vocabulary (MeSH and EMTREE) combined with text words for each database that uses subject heading (PubMed, MEDLINE, EMBASE, CENTRAL). Web of Science and Scopus depend primarily on text words only. The subject headings included inguinal canal, hernia, inguinal, inguinal hernia and the text words inguinal, ilioinguinal, or iliohypogastric. Similarly, the concept of pain and ultrasound guidance included nerve block, pain, and postoperative and the text words ultrasound, echogram, ultrasound, and ultrasonography. Each search was imported into EndNote (Thomson Reuters ResearchSoft), which is a bibliographic database manager. Duplicates were removed.

We included randomized controlled trials (RCTs), which compared the clinical efficacy of II/IH nerve or TAP block using ultrasound guidance vs. landmark-based technique for perioperative analgesia in patients following open inguinal surgery. Case series and case reports were excluded. Articles focusing on the therapeutic effect of ultrasound-guided II/IH nerve block for chronic inguinal pain were excluded. Articles focusing on the comparison of ultrasound-guided II/IH nerve or TAP block and wound infiltration were also excluded.

Two reviewers (Y.W. and M.T.), working independently and in duplicate, reviewed titles and abstracts, followed by full texts in order to exclude irrelevant studies. All conflicts were discussed and resolved with a third author (W.Q.). The same two reviewers extracted study details from the full text studies using a standardized pilot-tested form. The following data were extracted: the author, year of publication, study location, sample size, patient characteristics (gender, age), general anesthesia, regional anesthesia, timing of regional anesthesia, the surgery performed, and outcome measures, including the number of patients receiving additional analgesia during surgery and the pain scores of patients during day-stay. The reference sections of all articles were used to identify additional relevant articles.

We used the Cochrane risk of bias tool to assess the methodological quality of the included RCTs in terms of sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting, and other sources of bias19.

For the continuous outcomes (pain scores), we combined the standardized mean difference (SMD) from the included studies using the Der Simonian and Laird random-effect models20. We also calculated the odds ratio (OR) for dichotomized outcomes and the pooled OR using the Der Simonian and Laird random-effect models.

We used the I² statistic to measure the heterogeneity across the included studies. An I² > 50% suggests high heterogene-
Although we planned to assess publication bias by visually inspecting funnel plots and by conducting the Egger regression asymmetry test, we were unable to conduct these tests because of the limited number of included studies. All statistical analyses were conducted using STATA version 12.1 (Stata Corp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

**RESULTS**

We identified 139 articles, which included four RCTs conducted between 2005 and 2014 that were eligible for this review. The characteristics of the enrolled studies are described in Table 1. Patients of all ages were included. All patients underwent open surgeries, including inguinal hernia repair, orchidopexy, hydrocelectomy, and hydrocele repair. All patients received general anesthesia that was maintained by Halothane or Sevoflurane in nitrous oxide and oxygen. All patients were randomized into one of two technique groups: ultrasound-guided group and landmark-based group. All ultrasound-guided procedures were performed with a high frequency linear probe. The procedures of the control group were performed using a landmark-based technique. All II/IH nerve or TAP blocks in both groups were performed before surgery.

All of the studies reported a low risk of bias in terms of incomplete outcome data and selective outcome reporting. However, patients and care providers were not blinded to half of the included studies and the remaining studies did not report the blinding at all. We were also unable to evaluate publication bias because of the small number of studies included in the analyses. In summary, the risk of bias within the studies was medium as a result of potential publication bias and unknown quality.

Four studies were included in the meta-analysis of which blocked the II/IH nerve and blocked the TAP using either an ultrasound-guided or landmark-based technique. A total of 513 patients were randomized into either one of the two technique groups for II/IH nerve or TAP block: ultrasound-guided group (n = 245) or landmark-based group (n = 259). Two hundred patients were children who were evenly randomized into either group. Of the 313 adult patients, 154 were in the ultrasound-guided group and 159 were in the landmark-based group.

Patients who received an ultrasound-guided ilioinguinal/iliohypogastric nerve block were significantly less likely to have...
intraoperative additional analgesia with an OR = 0.21 (95% CI: 0.09 to 0.49; p < 0.001; I² = 0.0%). In day-stay units, the pain score of the ultrasound-guided II/IH nerve or TAP block group was significantly lower than that of the control group with SMD = −0.96 (95% CI: −1.68 to −0.24; p < 0.001; I² = 88.3%). The use of rescue drugs was also significantly lower in the ultrasound-guided group (OR = 0.16; 95% CI: 0.06, 0.40; p < 0.001, I² = 10.2%). We found no significant difference in the number of satisfied patients (p = 0.84) (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

This meta-analysis shows that ultrasound-guided II/IH nerve or TAP block is associated with reduced use of intraoperative additional analgesia, reduced pain in day-stay units, and reduced use of rescue drugs. This improved efficacy is most likely associated with improved proximity of needle placement and local anesthetic agent deposit to II/IH nerves. Landmark-based II/IH nerve block has a low success rate largely because of the highly variable course of the II/IH nerves as shown by cadaver studies. In contrast, ultrasound-guided II/IH nerve block could target the II/IH nerve with more accuracy because of the high-resolution imaging of the soft tissue. The abdominal wall layers in addition to the II/IH nerves themselves can often be detected on real-time ultrasound. The real-time visualization of the injectant flow assists with the final adjustment of the needle position for optimal distribu-

---

**Table 1.** The characteristics of the enrolled studies

| First author      | Publish year | Country   | Sample size | Patients age | Conventional nerve block group | Ultrasound-guided II/IH nerve or TAP block | Timing of anesthesia | Surgery           | Study design | Study conclusion |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Willschke et al.7) | 2005         | Austria   | 100         | 1 month–8 years | Landmark II/IH nerve block: 0.25% levobupivacaine 0.3 ml/kg | US-guided II/IH nerve block: 0.25% levobupivacaine 0.19ml/kg | Before surgery | Ingual hernia repair, orchidopexy or hydrocele repair | RCT           | Ultrasound-guided II/IH nerve blocks can be achieved with significantly smaller volumes of local anaesthetics |
| Aveline et al.25) | 2011         | France    | 273         | 31–83 years | II/IH block by the loss-of-resistance technique: 0.5% levobupivacaine (1.5 mg/kg) | Ultrasound-guided TAP block: 0.5% levobupivacaine (1.5 mg/kg) | Before surgery | unilateral open inguinal hernia repair | RCT           | Ultrasound-guided TAP block provided better pain control than 'blind' II/IH block after inguinal hernia |
| Nan et al.24)     | 2012         | China     | 100         | 4–8 years | Landmark II/IH nerve block: 0.8% lidocaine and 0.25% levobupivacaine 0.3 ml/kg | US-guided II/IH nerve block: 0.8% lidocaine and 0.25% levobupivacaine 0.2 ml/kg | Before surgery | Unilateral inguinal hernia repair, hydroceleotomy, or orchidopexy | RCT           | US-guided II/IH nerve block can lower the quantity of local anesthetic and alleviate the medicinal toxicity |
| Demirci et al.23) | 2014         | Portugal  | 40          | 18–80 years | Landmark-II/IH nerve block: 20 ml of 0.5% levobupivacaine | IH/II nerve block with the US guided: 20 ml of 0.5% levobupivacaine | Before surgery | Unigual hernia repair | RCT           | US guided II/IH nerve block in adult are more effective than landmark technique |

**Table 2.** Comparison between ultrasound-guided and landmark-based II/IH or TAP blocks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>ES</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>I²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*Need intraoperative additional analgesia</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.09, 0.49</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Painscores during day-stay</td>
<td>SMD</td>
<td>−0.96</td>
<td>−1.68, −0.24</td>
<td>88.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Need rescue drug</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.06, 0.40</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Satisfaction</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.49, 2.38</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05
tion of the local anesthetic solution to the nerves lying under the fascia of the transversus abdominis muscle. This includes the II/IH nerves.

The significant decrease in intra-operative analgesia is of great value for patients undergoing open inguinal surgery. Fentanyl and morphine are commonly used for additional intraoperative and post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) pain control. They are associated with common side effects including nausea, vomiting, and respiratory depression\(^2\). The lowest effective amount of local anesthetics for a peripheral block is particularly important in pediatric patients because of an increased risk of toxicity secondary to a higher level of the unbound fraction of the local anesthetic in a younger age. With ultrasound guidance, successful peripheral nerve blocks have been reported with a lower dose of local anesthetics, compared with non-guided techniques\(^2\). During the last two decades, ultrasonography has also been widely used to evaluate the soft tissues of the musculoskeletal system\(^2\).

Ultrasound guidance in regional anesthesia has been recognized as a cost-effective modality and is recommended as the preferred nerve block technique in larger anesthetic departments. Access to equipment and training has dramatically improved over the past few decades as a result of regional anesthesia fellowship programs, as well as workshops offered by various academic societies and groups. While the application of ultrasound guidance in perioperative pain control is expanding, this study provides evidence in support of using ultrasound-guided II/IH nerve or TAP blocks in managing perioperative open inguinal surgery pain.

Our study has several limitations. Although we conducted a comprehensive search of five databases, only four studies were included in this review. This small number of studies limited the statistical power of detecting significant finding. In addition, we were unable to test potential publication bias as a result of the small number of studies. Overall, using the Grades of Recommendation Assessment Development and Evaluation (GRADE) framework, the overall quality of the evidence is moderate because of the likelihood of publication bias.

In summary, the findings of this meta-analysis suggest that ultrasound-guided II/IH nerve or TAP blocks are associated with improved perioperative analgesia in patients following inguinal surgery compared with landmark-based techniques. While large medical centers may have already adopted the use of ultrasound for guidance in nerve blocks, it should be noted that more anesthesia practices may benefit from ultrasound-guided II/IH nerve or TAP block techniques in the management of perioperative pain associated with open inguinal surgeries.
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