The effect of whole body vibration therapy on the physical function of people with type II diabetes mellitus: a systematic review
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Abstract. [Purpose] To review and assess the effectiveness of whole body vibration therapy on the physical function of patients with type II diabetes mellitus. [Subjects and Methods] A computerized database search was performed through PubMed, Medline, EMBASE, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, the Physiotherapy Evidence Database, and the reference lists of all relevant articles. The methodological quality was evaluated using the Physiotherapy Evidence Database scale. [Results] Five articles (four studies) with a combined study population of 154 patients with type II diabetes qualified for the inclusion criteria. Our review shows that whole body vibration therapy may have a positive impact on the muscle strength and balance of people with type 2 diabetes mellitus, whereas the effect on their mobility is still under discussion. [Conclusion] There was no sufficient evidence to support the premise that whole body vibration therapy is beneficial for the physical function of people with type II diabetes. Larger and higher-quality trials are needed.

Key words: Type II diabetes, Vibration, Systematic review

INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is one of the most common metabolic diseases worldwide. It is characterized by the impaired secretion or action of insulin and subsequent hyperglycemia1). People with T2DM often complain of fatigue and exhibit limitations of bodily functions2). This can profoundly affect a person’s engagement in activities of daily living and reduce quality of life. T2DM can be treated with medications, with or without insulin, but it requires a multi-disciplinary approach. An active lifestyle, proper weight management, good nutrition, and avoidance of tobacco use can prevent or delay the onset and development of T2DM3).

Appropriate physical exercise, in addition to diet modification and medications, has been recommended as one of the three main components of diabetic management4). There is some evidence supporting the benefits of exercise performed by individuals with T2DM, such as aerobic exercise and resistance training5, 6). However, patients with T2DM are often extremely frail and unmotivated, which leads to poor compliance with physical training.

Whole-body vibration (WBV), a new physical therapeutic modality, was initially developed for use in the training of elite athletes. Now, there are numerous studies about the influence of WBV on different population subsets, such as patients with cerebrovascular accidents7, 8), chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases9), and osteoarthritis10). These studies have revealed that WBV may have positive effects on physical functions, like mobility and balance. The effect of WBV is thought to be
mediated by muscle contraction, facilitation of sensory inputs, and stimulation of proprioceptive receptors\textsuperscript{11}. In recent years, an increasing number of studies have examined whether or not WBV is truly beneficial for people with T2DM\textsuperscript{12–19}, but the evidence still shows inconsistencies. The aim of this review was to systematically assess randomized controlled trials featuring the application of WBV aiming to improve the physical function of people with T2DM.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

A literature search for relevant studies was conducted on MEDLINE (1966 to Jul 2015; via Ovid), the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (The Cochrane Library, Issue 7 of 12 Jul 2015), PubMed (1966 to Jul 2015), Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro) (1992 to Jul 2015; via website), and EMBASE (1980 to Jul 2015; via Ovid). The keywords used for searching were diabetes mellitus or diabetes or DM and vibration or whole body vibration or WBV or biomechanical stimulation and randomized controlled trial or clinical trial or controlled clinical trial or trial or randomized or placebo. The reference lists of each selected article were manually searched to identify other potentially relevant papers. The latest search was performed on 18th December, 2015, on Pubmed to update the study. Studies that met the requirements using the following criteria were considered for review: (1) randomized controlled trials (RCTs) concerning the effect of WBV, with or without physical activity, on people diagnosed with T2DM; (2) publication in English; (3) WBV aiming improve the physical function (e.g. mobility) of people with T2DM; and (4) the intervention in the control arm of the study included a sham WBV intervention, exercise, or other conventional treatment modalities. Articles were excluded if they were: (1) studies conducted on patients with other primary diagnoses (e.g. stroke); (2) reports published as conference proceedings; or (3) published in books. Two authors independently assessed each study’s risk of bias according to the Physiotherapy Evidence-Based Database (PEDro) scale\textsuperscript{20}. The PEDro scale consists of 10 quality ratings, each receiving either a yes or no. The ten items examined were random allocation, concealment of allocation, baseline equivalence, intention to treat analysis, adequacy of follow-up, between-group statistical analysis, mediation by muscle contraction, facilitation of sensory inputs, and stimulation of proprioceptive receptors\textsuperscript{11}. In recent years, an increasing number of studies have examined whether or not WBV is truly beneficial for people with T2DM\textsuperscript{12–19}, but the evidence still shows inconsistencies. The aim of this review was to systematically assess randomized controlled trials featuring the application of WBV aiming to improve the physical function of people with T2DM.

RESULTS

The initial database searches retrieved a total of 244 articles, of which 40 records were excluded due to duplication. After reading the titles and abstracts, 15 full articles were retrieved. Ten were subsequently eliminated for the following reasons. three of them did not have any outcome measures related to physical function, two were pre-post studies, three were case studies, one was a conference abstract without full text, and the other was not related to our topic. Only five articles (4 studies) that met all the eligibility criteria were included in this review (Table 1, Fig. 1). The assessment of the methodological quality is provided in Table 2. Only one study\textsuperscript{16} was considered to be a good quality trial (PEDro 6–7), and the rest were considered to be fair (PEDro 4–5).

Four articles (three studies)\textsuperscript{16–19} assessed balance and mobility using the timed up and go test (TUG). Their results showed that the WBV groups demonstrated a significant treatment effect when compared to the control groups. This was regardless of the treatment duration, parameters of WBV, and characteristics of the participants (WMD, –0.79; 95% CI, −1.16 to −0.43; p=0.0001, p for heterogeneity<0.00001), with strong evidence for statistical heterogeneity (I\(^2\)=93%) (Fig. 2). Subgroup analysis was done for further analysis Meta-analysis of the TUG results revealed a significant improvement in favor of six-week WBV for patients with diabetic neuropathy. (WMD=−1.41, 95%CI=−1.84 to −0.98, p<0.00001, p for heterogeneity=0.29, I\(^2\)=12%).

DISCUSSION

This review assessed the effect of WBV on the functional performance of people with T2DM. Although a majority of these studies reported a favorable effect following WBV therapy, some inconsistencies were found in this review.

Five articles (four studies) explored the different aspects of the application of WBV therapy for people with T2DM. Baum K et al.\textsuperscript{15} compared whole body vibration with exercise (WBVE) therapy with other kinds of exercise training (WBV plus exercise vs. exercise); del Pozo-Cruz J et al. and del Pozo-Cruz B et al.\textsuperscript{17, 18} focused on the effect of WBVE (WBV plus exercise vs no physical training); and Lee K et al.\textsuperscript{19} analyzed both of these. The main outcome measures related to physical function were muscle strength, balance, and mobility.

Three studies assessed the muscle strength using different outcome measures\textsuperscript{16, 18, 19}. Using the five times sit-to-stand (FTSTS) test, Lee K et al.\textsuperscript{16} reported a positive influence on the lower limb strength of patients with diabetic neuropathy who underwent six-weeks of WBV with a balanced exercise program compared to a control group. del Pozo-cruz B et al.\textsuperscript{18} also reported a significant improvement in the 30s sit-to-stand (30s-STS) test in favor of WBV. Kordi Yoossefinjad A et al.\textsuperscript{19} reported that the isometric strengths of both the Tibialis anterior and quadriceps, which were measured using a back-leg-chest dynamometer, improved after a six-week WBV intervention compared to a control group. Muscle strength should be the primary outcome assessed, and muscle strength improvement is the most likely outcome to be influenced by...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample size for analysis</th>
<th>Duration of diabetes (yrs)</th>
<th>Age of participants (yrs) (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>WBV frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>WBV amplitude (mm)</th>
<th>Treatment duration and session of WBV</th>
<th>Outcome measure related to physical function</th>
<th>Time point</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baum K et al. 2007&lt;sup&gt;15&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>E1/E2/E3=13/13/14 unclear</td>
<td>63.3 ± 5.9/62.9 ± 7.3/62.2 ± 4.0</td>
<td>30/1–9 w 35/10–12 w</td>
<td>E1: Stretching; E2: Strength; E3: WBV+E (eight exercises)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 set/session (1–6 w)</td>
<td>2 set/session (7–9 w)</td>
<td>3 sets/session (10–12 w) 2</td>
<td>Maximal torque of quadriceps; Endurance capacity</td>
<td>Baseline 12 w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee K et al. 2013&lt;sup&gt;16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>E1/E2/C=19/18/18</td>
<td>76.31 ± 4.78/74.05 ± 5.42/75.77 ± 5.69</td>
<td>30 (6 w)</td>
<td>E1: WBV+BE, E2: BE, C: no any physical training</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 set/session (3–6 months) with 1 min intervals</td>
<td>3 sets/session for 6 w</td>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>Postural sway</td>
<td>Baseline 6 w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>del Pozo-Cruz J et al. 2013&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>E/C=19/20</td>
<td>71.60 ± 8.45/66.80 ± 10.83</td>
<td>16 (9–12 w)</td>
<td>E: WBV+Exercise (eight exercise), C: standard care</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 sessions/w (Id between each session) for 12 w</td>
<td>WBB system TUG</td>
<td>Baseline 12 w</td>
<td>WBV improved the balance of participants with T2DM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>del Pozo-Cruz B et al. 2014&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>E/C=19/20</td>
<td>71.60 ± 8.45/66.80 ± 10.83</td>
<td>16 (9–12 w)</td>
<td>E: WBV+Exercise (eight exercise), C: standard care</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 sessions/w (Id between each session) for 12 w</td>
<td>TUG 6-MWT 30 s SST</td>
<td>Baseline 12 w</td>
<td>WBV exhibited significantly greater improvements on 6-MWTand 30s-SST, but no in TUG.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kordi Yoosefinejad A et al. 2015&lt;sup&gt;19&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>E/C=10/10</td>
<td>57 ± 1.8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>E: WBV, C: no any physical training</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30 s/session (1–2 w) 45 s/session (3–4 w) 1 min/session (5–6 w)</td>
<td>TUGT UST Balance evaluation Isometric strength</td>
<td>Baseline 6 w</td>
<td>WBV enhanced muscle strength and balance of patients with diabetes type 2-induced peripheral neuropathy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WBV: whole body vibration; WBVE: whole body vibration exercise; OLS: one leg stance; BBS: Berg balance scale; FRT: functional reach test; FTSTS: five times sit-to-stand; WBB: Wii Balance Board; TUG: Time Up and Go test; 6MWT: Six Minute Walking Test; 30s-STS: The 30-s Sit-to-Stand
vibration-induced muscular reflex\textsuperscript{11). All the results indicate that WBV with or without exercise training has positive effects on the lower extremity muscle strength of people with T2DM.

The improvement of muscle strength may contribute to the enhancement of balance and mobility, but some inconsistencies were still found in this respect.

Various balance assessments were mentioned in the included studies, including a Wii balance board\textsuperscript{17}, the Berg balance scale (BBS), the functional reach test (FRT)\textsuperscript{16}, and the one leg stance (OLS)/unilateral stance test (UST)\textsuperscript{16, 19}. Most studies reported a positive results in the different balance assessments in favor of WBV, except the study by Kordi Yoosofinejad A et al.\textsuperscript{19}, which reported that there was no significant difference between the WBV group and the control group according to the
UST. del Pozo-Cruz J et al.\textsuperscript{17} reported that WBV therapy improved balance performance when the participants’ eyes closed, which meant that WBV may have stimulated proprioceptive responses, and helped the subjects to acquire a better balance performance\textsuperscript{21, 22}. The TUG can assess a person’s mobility, and it requires both static and dynamic balance\textsuperscript{23}. Lee K et al.\textsuperscript{16} and Koedi Yoosefinejad A et al.\textsuperscript{19} reported a significant improvement in the TUG time after WBV therapy, but del Pozo-Cruz B et al.\textsuperscript{18} reported an inconsistent result. The meta-analysis of the present study found that the pooled result showed a significant improvement in favor of a six-week WBV for patients with diabetic neuropathy. A possible explanation for this may be that the participants in the studies by Lee et al.\textsuperscript{16} and Koedi Yoosefinejad A et al.\textsuperscript{19} all suffered peripheral neuropathy, which may be the major reason behind the deterioration in mobility and dynamic balance, and hence, the changes were more obvious. In addition, the optimal outcome measure of choice for people with T2DM, with or without neuropathy, is not well studied, and the sensitivity and reliability of the TUG test of people with T2DM without neuropathy may have some bias. Besides the problem with balance that people with T2DM have, issues concerning falls caused by limited balance deserve more attention. In the studies reviewed, only Lee et al.\textsuperscript{16} discussed the possible effects of vibration on the risk of fall, which is strongly related to community mobility and social participation. Instead of achieving normal physical function, the activity and participation of people with T2DM deserve more attention\textsuperscript{24}. An example worthy of further research would be instrumental activities of daily living. Patients with T2DM frequently suffer progressive functional degeneration in many aspects\textsuperscript{25}, and taking this fact into consideration, there is still a lack of evidence to support the long-term effects of WBV therapy on the functional capacity of patients with T2DM. Adequately prolonged follow-up studies are required.

In addition, numerous studies did not follow the recommendations of the International Society of Musculoskeletal and Neuronal Interactions\textsuperscript{26}. This may have resulted in bias due to that missing information relating to the intervention parameters and treatment plans. It is, therefore, strongly recommended that future authors reporting on WBV therapy comply with these recommendations. There were three limitations of this systematic review and meta-analysis. First, our meta-analysis is used a only small number of RCTs focused on this area, therefore the conclusions should be interpreted with caution. Second, the protocol of WBV and the participants varied greatly, so more quantitative analyses could not be performed. Third, exclusion of non-English language studies and some missing and unpublished data may have resulted in bias. As a novel, effective, safe, and alternative approach, WBV therapy may be made available in rehabilitation programs for the management of T2DM. However, variability in the parameters of WBV therapy can be found, including differences in the levels of vibration and the duration of the interventions. Moreover, the characteristics of each patient should also be taken into consideration, such as whether they have diabetic neuropathy or not. Overall, the evidence for the application of WBV therapy for the physical function of patients with T2DM is still inconclusive. More trials using a more specific therapy regimen for WBV therapy for people with T2DM are needed.
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