Dry cupping for plantar fasciitis: a randomized controlled trial
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Abstract. [Purpose] The purpose of this study was to determine the effects of dry cupping on pain and function of patients with plantar fasciitis. [Subjects and Methods] Twenty-nine subjects (age 15 to 59 years old, 20 females and 9 males), randomly assigned into the two groups (dry cupping therapy and electrical stimulation therapy groups), participated in this study. The research design was a randomized controlled trial (RCT). Treatments were provided to the subjects twice a week for 4 weeks. Outcome measurements included the Visual Analogue Pain Scale (VAS) (at rest, first in the morning, and with activities), the Foot and Ankle Ability Measure (FAAM), the Lower Extremity Functional Scale (LEFS), as well as the pressure pain threshold. [Results] The data indicated that both dry cupping therapy and electrical stimulation therapy could reduce pain and increase function significantly in the population tested, as all the 95% Confidence Intervals (CIs) did not include 0 except for the pressure pain threshold. There was no significant difference between the dry cupping therapy and electrical stimulation groups in all the outcome measurements. [Conclusion] These results support that both dry cupping therapy and electrical stimulation therapy could reduce pain and increase function in the population tested.
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INTRODUCTION

Adult heel pain is usually caused by plantar fasciitis1–4), the most common foot condition diagnosed and treated by healthcare providers5). The onset of pain is usually gradual and typically occurs at the plantar medial heel6). Most patients experience pain and tightness when taking their initial steps in the morning immediately after standing up from bed or after a period of prolonged rest such as sitting at the desk during the day1, 3, 5). The pain usually improves after walking for a short period of time but could intensify after prolonged weight-bearing activities, including standing, walking, or running. Plantar fasciitis affects millions of Americans every or 10 percent of the population of the United States5, 7). Economic costs from third-party payers relating to the treatment of plantar fasciitis have been estimated to range from $192 to $376 million per year8).

The plantar fascia is a thick fibrous aponeurosis formed from 3 bands of dense connective collagen fibers that attach proximally to the medial calcaneal tuberosity and fans distally to the flexor tendon sheaths and the base of the proximal phalanges4, 9, 10). This important structure provides the static and dynamic supports for the arch of the foot by transmitting forces between the heel and forefoot during weight-bearing activities. As the terminology of plantar fasciitis implies, plantar fasciitis has traditionally been considered an inflammatory process. However, recent findings suggest plantar fasciitis be a chronic degeneration causing marked thickening and fibrosis of the plantar fascia along with collagen necrosis, chondroid metaplasia, and calcification4, 10). Hence, it has been advocated that plantar fasciosism may be a more appropriate terminology compared to plantar fasciitis1–4). While the diagnosis of plantar fasciitis is usually based on patient history, risk factors,
and findings from physical examination \(^{11}\), because the chronic degeneration healing mechanism is poorly understood, treatment of plantar fasciitis is often difficult \(^{10}\). Little convincing evidence is available to support various approaches for treating plantar fasciitis \(^{11}\). For example, mobilization of ankle and foot joint was recently found no more effective than stretching and ultrasound alone in treating plantar fasciitis \(^{11}\). For chronic recalcitrant plantar fasciitis that lasts more than six months after conservative treatment, surgery is recommended \(^{11}\). Additionally, recent evidence has demonstrated that dry needling can significantly reduce plantar heel pain \(^{22}\). However, the revised Clinical Practice Guidelines released by the Orthopaedic Section of the American Physical Therapy Association (APTA) titled “Heel Pain—Plantar Fasciitis: Revision 2014” states that “trigger point dry needling cannot be recommended for individuals with heel pain/plantar fasciitis” \(^{60}\).

Cupping therapy has recently gained the attention of the media and the public at the Rio Olympics, with extensive media coverage of the dark red circles left on Olympians’ shoulders and backs, telltale signs of cupping therapy. Although cupping therapy may be a low-cost alternative to treat plantar fasciitis. To our knowledge, there is no research on the effectiveness of cupping therapy on pain and function for patients with plantar fasciitis. The purpose of this study was to determine the effects of dry cupping, a type of cupping therapy, on pain and function of patients with plantar fasciitis. The significance of this research project is that it may provide insight to physical therapy management of plantar fasciitis, particularly with regards to cupping therapy.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Twenty-nine subjects (age 15 to 59 years old, 20 females and 9 males) were recruited through a convenience sampling on the university campus using flyers posted on bulletin boards around campus and word of mouth. Data for body weight and height for each subject were not collected. The inclusion criteria included heel pain with a current or previous diagnosis of plantar fasciitis from a physician or with patient history, risk factors, and physical examination findings consistent with plantar fasciitis, and between 15 and 60 years of age. The exclusion criteria included contraindications to manual therapy or electrical stimulation, including tumors, recent fractures (<6 months), rheumatoid arthritis, prolonged history of steroid use, severe vascular disease, open wounds, recent surgery to ankle joint or rear foot region (<6 months), impaired sensation, pacemaker, and implants; inability to comply with treatment or the follow-up protocols; and currently undergoing other treatments for heel pain. Ethics approval for this study was sought and obtained from the Institutional Review Board at Youngstown State University. Written informed consent was obtained from each subject.

The research design was a randomized controlled trial. Subjects were randomly assigned to the dry cupping therapy (experimental) group (n=14, age 40.1 (SD 14.6) years old, 10 females and 4 males) or the electrical stimulation therapy (control) group (n=15, age 39.3 (SD 13.5) years old, 10 males and 5 females). There was no significant difference between the ages of the two groups (p=0.36).

The sample size was determined using G*Power 3.1 (version 3.1.9.2) with the following parameters: effective size of 0.5, alpha of 0.05, and power of 0.80. The total number of subjects was calculated to be 22.

Treatments were provided to the subjects twice a week for 4 weeks. In the dry cupping therapy group, a plastic cupping bell (Kangzhu 6-Cup Biomagnetic Chinese Cupping Therapy Set, Model B1 × 6, Kangzhu, Beijing, China) was applied to the painful site for 10 minutes in each session. A manual hand pump was used to create the vacuum for suction. The intensity of the vacuum was based on subject tolerance. In the electrical stimulation therapy group, the subjects were provided with electrical stimulation therapy, a therapeutic modality routinely used by physical therapists for pain management, using a cabinet, multi-current stimulator (Dynatron Solaris 709, Dynatronics, Salt Lake City, UT, USA). The electrodes were placed around the painful site, and pre-modulated interferential current electrical stimulation was conducted for 10 minutes. The intensity of the current was increased to patient tolerance at the sensory level. The carrier frequencies were 4,000 Hz and 4,000–4,150 Hz. The beat frequency was 80–150 Hz.

Outcome measurements included the Visual Analogue Pain Scale (VAS) (at rest, first in the morning, and with activities), the Foot and Ankle Ability Measure (FAAM), the Lower Extremity Functional Scale (LEFS), as well as the pressure pain threshold. Pressure pain threshold was measured 3 times using a hand-held digital dynamometer (Lafayette Manual Muscle Tester Model 01163, Lafayette Instrument Company, Lafayette, IN, USA) at the most painful spot in the painful area. The subjects were instructed to report to the investigator when they started to feel pain or discomfort while the investigator gradually increased the force applied to the painful area through the dynamometer. A familiarization trial was conducted for pressure pain threshold for each subject. The pressure pain threshold was determined as the mean of the three trials. Outcomes were measured at baseline and at each session for VAS or every other session for all other outcome measurements.

Changes in VAS, FAAM, LEFS, and pain threshold are reported as means and 95% confidence intervals (lower, upper
95% confidence interval). Student t-tests were used to determine whether there were statistically significant differences in the changes in the outcome measurements between the experimental and control groups. Significance was determined at α = 0.05.

RESULTS

The data indicated that both dry cupping therapy and electrical stimulation therapy could reduce pain and increase function significantly in the population tested. However, there was no significant difference between the dry cupping therapy and electrical stimulation groups in all the outcome measurements.

For the VAS, the mean changes in average score (at rest, first in the morning, and with activities) were −29.8 (−39.4, −20.1) mm in the dry cupping therapy group compared to −28.0 (−36.7, −19.2) mm in the electrical stimulation therapy group. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups (p = 0.39).

For the FAAM, the mean changes in score were 16.9 (7.8, 26.0) % in the dry cupping therapy group compared to 12.9 (8.2, 17.6) % in the electrical stimulation therapy group. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups (p = 0.27). The mean changes in patient perceived function were 12.3 (7.6, 17.0) % in the dry cupping therapy group compared to 14.3 (5.5, 23.0) % in the electrical stimulation therapy group. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups (p = 0.36).

For the LEFS, the mean changes in score were 19.6 (8.6, 30.7) % in the dry cupping therapy group compared to 11.4 (7.7, 15.1) % in the electrical stimulation therapy group. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups (p = 0.08).

For the pressure pain threshold, the mean changes in threshold were 4.6 (0.0, 9.1) lb in the dry cupping therapy group compared to 1.7 (−2.7, 6.0) lb in the electrical stimulation therapy group. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups (p = 0.19). All the within-group changes were significant except for the pain threshold, as all the 95% CIs did not include 0 except for the pain threshold.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study on the effectiveness of dry cupping therapy on the pain and function of patients with plantar fasciitis compared to electrical stimulation therapy. A recent Systematical Review on Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) identified that cupping therapy, along with acupuncture and acupressure, could be efficacious in treating pain and disability in patients with chronic neck pain or chronic low back pain. In addition, cupping therapy has been used in treating various painful disorders including low back pain, neck and shoulder pain, fibromyalgia, knee osteoarthritis, and carpal tunnel syndrome. The results from this study add plantar fasciitis to the list. For all the outcome measurements, the cupping group did slightly better than the control group, although no statistically significant difference was found between the two groups. This research supports the integration of cupping therapy in treating plantar fasciitis in physical therapy practice.

We found that dry cupping therapy and electrical stimulation therapy had a similar level of effectiveness in decreasing pain and improving function in patients with plantar fasciitis. Interestingly, the therapeutic mechanisms of dry cupping therapy may be different from that of electrical stimulation therapy. Dry cupping therapy is believed to decrease pain by using the local negative pressure to promoting blood flow while electrical stimulation therapy by stimulating large-fiber sensory neurons and decreasing nociceptive inputs to central nervous system through the mechanisms of the gate control theory.

Cupping therapy can be performed using several different techniques. The two primary types are dry and wet cupping. Wet cupping, also called bleeding cupping, involves controlled bleeding, and hence may be prohibited by the current physical therapy practice laws in the United States. Cupping therapy can also be achieved using various subtypes of techniques, including retention, moving, shaking, quick, and balance cupping. The vacuum required in cupping therapy for suction can be achieved using fire-heated air, manual hand pump, or electrical pump. In our study, dry cupping with retention technique and manual hand-pump was selected because it was easy to administer with relatively constant dosage and does not require expensive equipment. However, it should be noted that wet cupping is the most studied cupping technique in the literature, followed by dry (retained) cupping.

Institute of Medicine’s Relieving Pain in America: a Blueprint for Transforming Prevention, Care, Education, and Research calls for a culture transformation to better prevent, assess, treat, and understand pain. The Blueprint advocates that the clinicians should increasingly aim at tailoring pain care to each person’s experience, and self-management of pain by the patients should be promoted. Dry cupping is an ancient healing art that is easy to learn and suitable for self-management of pain. It does not require expensive equipment or a huge space to provide treatment for the patient.

The limitations of the research project include convenience sampling and the small sample size limited by our available resources. The convenience sampling significantly impacts the generalizability of the results. The subjects were mostly young volunteers, and the sample lacked diversity. In addition, double blindness was not possible to minimize subject and investigator biases. Future rigorous research with a larger sample size from physical therapy patients is necessary.
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