Incidence of cachexia in patients with advanced gastrointestinal cancer at the beginning of rehabilitation intervention
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Abstract. [Purpose] We examined the incidence of cachexia at the beginning of rehabilitation in patients with advanced gastrointestinal cancer. [Participants and Methods] Patients with gastrointestinal cancer who were prescribed physical therapy and occupational therapy by their rehabilitation doctor and completed the survey item were targeted. We administered the cancer cachexia assessment and evaluated activities of daily living, and nutritional status in all participants. [Results] There were 15 patients in the normal group, 8 in the normal low-nutrition group, 16 in the pre-cachexia group, and 57 in the cachexia group. The cachexia group showed significantly lower nutritional status, and were less able to perform activities of daily living than the normal and pre-cachexia groups. [Conclusion] The incidence of cachexia among study participants was 17% in the pre-cachexia group and 59% in the cachexia group at the beginning of rehabilitation. Patients with advanced gastrointestinal cancer may have a higher incidence of cachexia at the beginning of rehabilitation.  
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INTRODUCTION

Approximately half of all cancer patients suffer from cachexia. Cachexia occurs in up to 80% of patients with advanced disease1, 2). Cachexia is frequently observed in patients with cancerous tumors such as lung cancer, pancreatic cancer, esophageal cancer, and stomach cancer, with a lower prevalence in patients with breast cancer and hematological malignancies3–7). The features of cancer cachexia include weight loss, impaired physical function, and skeletal muscle atrophy8). In addition, the activities of daily living (ADL) are compromised, and quality of life (QOL) is reduced9). Furthermore, cancer cachexia is considered the immediate cause of death of a large proportion of cancer patients10).

Rehabilitation may be carried out for advanced gastrointestinal cancer patients for the purpose of improving ADL, but some patients are already accompanied by cachexia at the beginning of rehabilitation. At the start of rehabilitation, selection of exercise therapy type and exercise load is required according to cachexia level. However, the proportion of patients with cachexia at rehabilitation intervention is not clear.

Our study examined the incidence of cachexia at the beginning of rehabilitation intervention in patients with advanced gastrointestinal cancer.
PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS

From January 2012 to December 2014, among 189 gastrointestinal medical patients who were prescribed physical therapy and occupational therapy by the doctor of rehabilitation medicine, patients where the survey item could be extracted were targeted. Patients in stages 1 and 2 were excluded.

Shikoku Cancer Center Ethics Committee approved the study, and written informed consent was obtained from each participant (Approval No. 2015-86).

The cancer cachexia assessment, ADL (Barthel Index; BI), and nutritional status were evaluated in all patients. Measurements of cachexia assessment, ADL, and nutritional assessment were performed at the start of rehabilitation.

For evaluation of cancer cachexia, Miki’s modified Glasgow Prognostic Score (mGPS)\(^{11}\) was used. In this study, we classified into 4 groups: a normal group, a normal low-nutrition group, a pre-cachexia group, and a cachexia group.

Nutritional status was evaluated by the Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index (GNRI)\(^{12}\). From these GNRI values, four grades of nutrition-related risk were defined: high risk (<82), moderate risk (82 to <92), low risk (92–98), and no risk (≥98).

Analysis of the ADL and nutrition among the groups was performed using Kruskal-Wallis tests, \(\chi^2\) tests, and Mann-Whitney U tests. The SPSS version 22.0 software (SPSS Inc., USA) was used for data analysis. The statistical significance level was set at \(p<0.05\) for Kruskal-Wallis tests, and the Bonferroni method was used to adjust the \(p\)-values for multiple pairwise comparisons (\(p<0.05/6=0.008\) corrected for 6 pairwise comparisons) for the test after the Kruskal-Wallis tests.

RESULTS

Ninety-six patients (58 males, 38 females) were targeted. At the time of the study, the average ± standard deviation (SD) age was 67.5 ± 11.0 years, height was 159.8 ± 8.3 cm, weight was 50.2 ± 11.0 kg, body mass index was 19.1 ± 4.5 kg/m\(^2\), time since hospital admission was 13.4 ± 13.0 days, and survival time was 212.05 ± 218.9 days.

The treatment was adjuvant chemotherapy for 46 patients and radiation therapy for 4 patients. The primary tumors were 31 colorectal cancers, 22 esophagus cancers, 12 pancreatic cancers, and 31 gastric cancers. The stage was patients in 21 in III and 75 in IV.

There were 15 patients in the normal group, 8 patients in the normal low-nutrition group, 16 in the pre-cachexia group, and 57 in the cachexia group. Characteristics of patients are outlined in Table 1.

The results of comparing ADL and nutritional status between the normal group, normal low-nutrition group, pre-cachexia group, and cachexia group are shown in Table 2.

Toilet use, bathing, mobility, stairs, and total score of Barthel index were significantly lower in the cachectic group than in the normal group (\(p<0.008\)). Grooming, toilet use, bathing, mobility, stairs, and total score of Barthel index were significantly lower in the cachectic group than in the pre-cachexia group (\(p<0.008\)).

GNRI was significantly lower in the cachexia group than in the normal and the pre-cachexia groups and significantly lower in the normal low-nutrition group than in the normal group (\(p<0.008\)).

DISCUSSION

Patients with pancreatic and gastric cancer have the highest prevalence and often develop the most severe degrees of cachexia\(^{3–5}\). In this study, the incidence of cachexia was 17% in the pre-cachexia group and 59% in the cachexia group at the beginning of rehabilitation in gastrointestinal patients who were referred to the Department of Rehabilitation. The cachexia group showed lower nutritional status compared to the non-cachexia and pre-cachexia groups. Because the patients in this study were stage III–IV, the proportion of patients with cachexia at the beginning of rehabilitation was considered to be high. At the beginning of rehabilitation in patients with advanced gastrointestinal cancer, it is necessary to evaluate the state of nutrition and cachexia and select exercise intensity in accordance with the possibility of pre-cachexia or cachexia. Also mGPS was useful for evaluating the cachexia level of advanced gastrointestinal cancer patients.

Muscle weakness\(^{13}\), reduced muscle oxidative capacity\(^{14}\), premature fatigue\(^{15}\), and diminished maximal oxygen consumption\(^{16}\) have been shown to occur as a result of cachexia. In our study, the cachexia group showed significantly lower results in toilet use, bathing, mobility, stairs, and total score of Barthel index compared with the normal group. In the cachexia group, items using lower limb muscular strength such as toilet use, bathing, mobility, and stairs showed a significant decrease

---

**Table 1.** Characteristics of patients (\(n=96\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Normal group ((n=15))</th>
<th>Normal low nutrition group ((n=8))</th>
<th>Pre-cachexia group ((n=16))</th>
<th>Cachexia group ((n=57))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (males/females)</td>
<td>11/4</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>3/13</td>
<td>30/27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>63.7 ± 11.2</td>
<td>64.8 ± 15.0</td>
<td>64.7 ± 13.4</td>
<td>69.6 ± 9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment (adjuvant chemotherapy/radiation therapy)</td>
<td>9/2</td>
<td>7/0</td>
<td>8/1</td>
<td>1/22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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compared with the pre-cachectic group. Muscle mass is highly correlated with muscle strength\(^{16}\). Several studies have shown that low muscle mass is associated with poor functional performance\(^{17, 18}\) and self-reported disability\(^{18}\). Although this study did not measure skeletal muscle mass and muscle strength, the cachexia group may have decreased skeletal muscle mass and muscle strength. Therefore, patients of this study may have experienced a decrease in ADL due to decreased skeletal muscle mass and muscle strength in addition to fatigue due to cancer progression.

There were some limitations associated with the present study. For cancer patients, not only ADL but also QOL is important. However, in this study, we could not analyze how much QOL had declined because QOL was not measured. In addition, we performed the evaluation only at the start of rehabilitation, and we did not consider the effect of rehabilitation. Further research is needed to determine these influences.
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