Cell Growth of Ovarian Cancer Cells is Stimulated by Xenoestrogens through an Estrogen-Dependent Pathway, but Their Stimulation of Cell Growth Appears not to be Involved in the Activation of the Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinases ERK-1 and p38
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Abstract. Although endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) may interfere with the endocrine system(s) of our body and have estrogenicity or androgenicity, the exact mechanism(s) underlying their detrimental effects is not clearly understood. Thus, in this study, we evaluated the effects of EDCs on proliferation and regulation of transcription of estrogen receptor (ER)-positive BG-1 ovarian cancer cells, and their possible mechanisms were further examined. Treatment with bisphenol A (BPA), nonylphenol (NP), octylphenol (OP) and methoxychlor (MXC) for 24 h resulted in an increase of cell proliferation. Treatment with BPA, NP, OP and MXC increased the estrogen response element (ERE) activity. The increase of cell proliferation and activation of ERE were reversed in the presence of an estrogen receptor antagonist, ICI 182780. These results suggest that ER is involved in EDC-mediated pathway in ovarian cancer cells. Based on this, we further investigated the involvement of EDCs in activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) in relation to cell growth. BPA rapidly induced activation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) 1/2 and p38 MAPK at 15 min, but the effect of BPA (10 μM) on stimulation of cell growth was not blocked by pretreatment with inhibitors of MEK (PD98059) or p38 (SB203580) in a dose-dependent manner. Taken together, EDC-induced proliferation is mediated by a genomic effect through ERs and ERE, but EDC-activated MAPK is unlikely to be involved in EDC-induced cell growth in estrogen-responsive ovarian cancer cells.
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Ovarian carcinoma is one of the most frequent gynaecologic cancers, following breast, lung and colorectal cancer [1]. Although the biological causes of ovarian cancer remain unknown, hormonal factors such as estrogen or gonadotropins have been implicated in the etiology of ovarian cancer [2]. In addition, it has been reported that endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) may increase the risk of cancer incidence [3, 4]. However, the data associated with the effect of EDCs on ovarian cancer cells are scarce.

Since EDCs are known as environmental chemicals that interfere with the hormonal balance of vertebrates and invertebrates, they are considered to be important in physiology and the endocrine system [5, 6]. EDCs are released from industrial products such as plastics, pesticides, detergents and other synthetic products. It has been proposed that EDCs may increase human health risks and have potentials to affect the immune system and development of vital organs [7–9].

Bisphenol-A (BPA), alkylphenols, dichloro-diphenyl-trichloro-ethane (DDT), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and phthalates are mainly highlighted among EDCs. BPA, a compound used in polycarbonate and epoxy resins, has been implicated as a potent risk factor for women’s health [10]. BPA has been widely used in plastic products, such as drinking water containers, dental sealants and coating materials to prevent corrosion on the inner surfaces of metal food containers, and is released from plastic containers under normal conditions of use; the leaching of BPA is facilitated by high temperatures [11]. Exposure to BPA is even possible by contact with swallowed dust [12], and even this type of exposure exerts xenoestrogen action [13]. Methoxychlor (MXC), a synthetic pesticide, has been used as a replacement for DDT and is considered to be a xenoestrogen that disrupts the reproductive system [14]. In addition, 4-alkylphenol ethoxylates (APEs) are widely used as surfactants in lubricant oil additives, phosphate antioxidants and rubber products [15], and they have been shown to have an estrogenic effect [16]. Furthermore, the metabolites of APEs, such as nonylphenol (NP) and octylphenol (OP), exhibit an estrogenic effect at low concentrations [5, 17]. They are known as toxic contaminants and can be found in indoor/outdoor air and in the aquatic environment [18, 19].

Based on these previous studies, we further examined the effects...
of EDCs on cell proliferation and the activation of estrogen response element (ERE) in an E2-dependent ovarian cancer cell line, BG-1. In addition, possible mechanisms of EDCs involved in cell proliferation were subsequently investigated.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cells and cell culture**

BG-1 and A2780 human ovarian cancer cells were provided by Dr. KS Korach (National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, NIH, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA) and obtained from ECACC (Porton Down, UK), respectively. Cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 mixture (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS (HyClone, Logan, UT, USA), 100 U/ml penicillin G and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Life Technologies, Rockville, MD, USA) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2–95% air.

**Preparation of plasmid constructs**

The reporter plasmid ERE2-tk109-Luc was provided by Dr. JL Jameson (Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism, and Molecular Medicine, Northwestern University Medical School, Chicago, IL, USA) as previously used [20]. The study of transfection was performed using plasmid DNAs prepared using Plasmid Maxi Kits (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s suggested procedure. The concentration of DNA was measured by absorbance at 260 nm, and the integrity of plasmid DNA was determined by agarose gel electrophoresis. Purified plasmid DNAs were then dissolved 0.1 × TE (1 mM Tris-Cl; pH 7.5, 0.1 mM EDTA).

**Transient transfection and reporter assay**

Transient transfection was performed using FuGENE 6.0, according to the manufacturer’s procedure (Roche Diagnostics, Laval, Quebec, Canada). To correct for the different transfection efficiencies of various luciferase constructs, the Rous sarcoma virus (RSV)-lacZ plasmid was co-transfected into the cells. BG-1 cells were seeded at a density of 4 × 10⁴ into six-well tissue culture plates in 2 ml phenol red-free DMEM (Life Technologies) containing 10% charcoal-dextran-treated FBS (HyClone) before the day of transfection. One μg of the ERE promoter-luciferase construct, 0.5 μg RSV-lucZ and an indicated amount of expression plasmids were dissolved in 100 μl phenol red-free DMEM containing 3 μl FuGENE 6.0. The DNA mixture was incubated for 45 min at room temperature and then applied to the cells. Incubation of the cells with transfection medium continued for approximately 24 h at 37°C in 5% CO₂. After 24-h transfection, the cells were treated with various concentrations of 17β-estradiol (E2), BPA, MXC, OP, or NP and collected 24 h later. In order to block the genomic estrogen signaling pathway, the cells were pretreated with ICI 182780 (100 nM; Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA) and treated with E2 and xenoestrogen. In order to block the effect of E2 and p38 MAPK, the cells were pretreated with PD98059 or SB203580 for 1 h and then treated with E2 and xenoestrogen. The control culture

**Immunoblot analysis**

An immunoblot assay was performed to identify the expression of ERα and ERβ in BG-1 cells. Thirty μg of total protein was run on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was immunoblotted using a mouse monoclonal antibody for ERα (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) and ERβ (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA). The membrane was immunoblotted using the actin antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). To investigate the effect of xenoestrogen on activation of MAPK, approximately 1 × 10⁶ cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and lysed in 100 μl of ice-cold RIPA buffer (containing 1 × PBS [pH 7.4], 1% NP 40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 10 mg/ml phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 30 mg/ml aprotinin and 10 mg/ml leupeptin) for 15 min on ice. The protein concentration was determined using the Bradford assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Mississauga, ON, Canada). The protein solution was then subjected to electrophoresis on a 10% SDS-PAGE and electrotransferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Hybond C; Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Oakville, ON, Canada). The membrane was immunoblotted with a rabbit polyclonal antibody for phosphorylated p38 MAPK (Biosource International, Camarillo, CA, USA), a mouse monoclonal antibody for phosphorylated extracellular signal-regulated kinase ERK 1/2 (New England Biolabs, Pickering, ON, Canada) and a protein molecular marker (New England Biolabs). After washing three times with TBS-T (0.1% Tween-20 in TBS) for 15 min, the signals were detected with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) and were visualized using an ECL chemiluminescent system (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). Alternatively, the membrane was probed with a mouse monoclonal p38 MAPK antibody (Biosource International) and pan ERK1/2 (New England Biolabs), which detects the total p38 MAPK and ERK1/2 levels, respectively.

**Thymidine incorporation assay**

A proliferation assay was performed using the [³H]thymidine incorporation assay as previously described [21]. Briefly, BG-1 cells (4 × 10⁴) were plated in 24-well dishes in 0.5 ml of medium as described above. After incubation for 24 h, the cells were washed once with no FBS media and incubated in 5% charcoal-dextran treated FBS for 1 day. The cells were then treated with E2 or xenoestrogen in a different time-dependent manner for 24 h. Following treatment, the cells were incubated with medium containing 1 μCi [³H]thymidine (0.5 Ci/mmol; Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) and collected 24 h later. In order to block the genomic estrogen signaling pathway, the cells were pretreated with ICI 182780 (100 nM; Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA) and then treated with E2 and xenoestrogen. In order to block the effect of ERK1/2 and p38 MAPK, the cells were pretreated with PD98059 or SB203580 for 1 h and then treated with E2 and xenoestrogen. The control culture
was treated with vehicle. The cells were precipitated with 0.5 ml
trichloroacetic acid (10%) for 20 min at 4°C. The precipitate was
washed in methanol twice and solubilized in 0.5 ml sodium
hydroxide (0.1 N). The radioactivity was measured in a Tri-Carb
Liquid Scintillation Analyzer (Model 2100TR; Packard Instrument
Com., Meriden, CT, USA).

**Data analysis**

Data are presented as means ± SD. The data were analyzed by
ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test. P<0.05
was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Confirmation of expression of ERα and ERβ in BG-1 cells**

Since the ovarian adenocarcinoma cell line BG-1 is well known
for its expression of ERα [22], we first confirmed expression of
ERα by Western blot analysis using an antibody targeting ERα in
BG-1 cells. ERα is expressed in BG-1 cells, but is not expressed in
the ERα-negative ovarian cancer cell line A2780 (Fig. 1). We fur-
ther confirmed the expression of ERβ in BG-1 cells and
demonstrated that ERβ protein is also expressed in BG-1 cells.

**Proliferative effect of EDCs on ovarian cancer cells**

To determine the effect of E2 and EDCs on cell proliferation,
BG-1 cells were treated with increasing doses of E2 and EDCs.
Treatment with EDCs (10⁻⁸, 10⁻⁷, 10⁻⁶ and 10⁻⁵ M) for 24 h
resulted in a significant increase in cell proliferation at certain
concentrations in a dose-dependent manner compared with the control
(Fig. 2A–E). An increasing effect on cell proliferation was shown in
the treatments with OP (over 10 nM) and NP (over 100 nM) as
demonstrated in Figs. 2A and B. In addition, treatment with BPA
and MXC resulted in a significant proliferative effect in a dose-
dependent manner (over 100 nM BPA and over 1 μM MXC) as
shown in Figs. 2C and D. After treatment with E2 as a positive
control, cell proliferation was dose-dependently increased with the
10⁻¹¹, 10⁻⁹ and 10⁻⁷ M concentrations of E2 (Fig. 2E). These results
indicate that the EDCs induced an estrogenic effect in terms of
stimulation of cell growth as E2 did in an E2-dependent ovarian
cancer cell line.

To investigate the relevance of the estrogen-induced signal path-
way in the cell proliferation, the cells were pretreated with ICI
182780 (ICI; 0.1 μM), an ERα and ERβ antagonist, for 20 min and
were then treated with EDCs (10⁻⁵ M) or E2 (10⁻⁷ M). ICI 182780
pretreatment reversed E2-induced cell proliferation in these cells as
seen in Fig. 3. In addition, pretreatment with ICI 182780 signifi-
cantly attenuated EDC-induced cell proliferation (Fig. 3). These
results suggest that the ER pathway is involved in EDC-induced
cell proliferation in this estrogen-responsive cell line, BG-1.

**Effect of EDCs on ERE activity**

The ability of EDCs to activate ER-mediated transcription in
BG-1 cells was further measured. The cells were transfected with
the reporter plasmid ERE-tk-Luc and then treated with BPA, MXC,
OP and NP at two increasing concentrations (10⁻⁶ and 10⁻⁵ M) and
E2 (10⁻⁷ M) as a positive control. Under these conditions, BPA
stimulated the transcriptional activity of ERE in a dose-dependent
manner (Fig. 4A). OP and NP also increased ERE activity at simi-
lar level for ERE recruitment as that observed in BPA, but their
effects do not appear to be dose-dependent as seen in Fig. 4A.
Although a high concentration of MXC (10⁻⁵ M) induced signifi-
cant ERE activity, treatment with MXC produced much less ERE
activity compared with the other EDCs. These results suggest that
EDCs, similar to E2, have stimulating effects on ERE activity and
activate the ER-mediated pathway at the transcriptional level.
To further confirm that the EDC-induced effect on the activity of ERE
is mediated via ERs, the cells were pretreated with ICI 182780 (0.1
μM), an antagonist of ER. Treatment with ICI 182780 blocked E2
(10⁻⁷ M)- and EDC (10⁻⁵ M)-induced ERE activity in BG-1 cells as
demonstrated in Fig. 4B. The antagonistic effect of ICI 182780
suggests that EDC-induced ERE promoter transcriptional activity
may be mediated by ERs.

**Activation of MAPK by BPA**

To investigate whether EDCs induce activation of mitogen-acti-
vated protein kinase (MAPK) in ovarian cancer cells, BG-1 cells
were treated with increasing concentrations of BPA for 15 min.
The phosphorylation of ERK1/2 and p38 was examined using anti-
bodies targeting phosphorylated-ERK1/2 (P-ERK1/2) and
phosphorylated-p38 (P-p38). Treatment with BPA at increasing
concentrations induced activation of ERK1/2 and p38 MAPK in a
dose-dependent manner and maximally increased level was
observed at 1 μM (Fig. 5). The total-ERK1/2 (T-ERK1/2, activated
plus inactivated forms) and total-p38 levels were not affected by
 treatment with BPA. These results indicate that BPA induced the
activation of ERK1/2 and p38 via a non-genomic pathway (within
15 min) in the ovarian cancer cells as shown in Fig. 5.

**Effect of BPA-induced MAPK activation on cell proliferation**

To further elucidate the relevance of the ERK1/2 and p38 signal-
ning pathways in xenoestrogen- and E2-induced cell growth of
BG-1 cells, specific inhibitors, PD98059 (an MEK inhibitor) or
SB203580 (a p38 inhibitor), were added 1 h prior to E2 or BPA
treatment in a dose-dependent manner. Treatment with PD98059
or SB203580 alone at the doses of 10⁻⁶ and 10⁻⁵ M did not alter the
cell growth of BG-1 cells as shown in Figs. 6A and B. However,
treatment with BPA (10⁻⁵ M) or E2 (10⁻⁷ M) in the presence of
these inhibitors at these doses resulted in stimulation of cell growth in BG-1 cells that was similar to that of the BPA alone treatment (Fig. 6). Co-treatment of BG-1 cells with PD98059 or SB203580 at a high concentration (10^{-4} M) resulted in a non-specific reduction in cell growth compared with the untreated controls as shown in Fig. 6.

**Discussion**

EDCs are considered to be xenoestrogens that mimic the effect(s) of estrogen, but a previous study demonstrated that some EDCs do not act through ERs, suggesting that a non-genomic effect is part of the mechanism of EDCs [23]. In addition, it has been reported that ERs are not involved in MXC-induced mRNA expression [14]. To investigate whether EDCs act through ER-ERE complex, we transiently transfected BG-1 cells with an ERE-luciferase reporter construct. As expected, treatment with E2 and BPA increased the transcriptional activity of ERE in a dose-dependent manner, and treatment with MXC had less effect on ERE activity compared with the other treated groups. OP and NP also increased ERE activity significantly, but did not show a dose-dependent response, indicating that the level of EDC-induced ERE activity and the mechanism involved in EDC-induced ERE activity varies in different types of EDCs. Subsequently, we further investigated the direct involvement of ERs in EDC-induced ERE activation. Pretreatment with ICI 182780 completely abolished E2, BPA, OP and NP-induced ERE activity in BG-1 cells, suggesting that EDC-induced ERE promoter activity appears to be mainly
mediated by ER-ERE complex in ovarian cancer cells.

Previous reports have also shown that EDCs might present human health risks and result in cell transformation, which may increase the risk of cancer incidence [3, 4, 8]. Furthermore, EDCs, i.e., BPA, OP, NP and MXC, are known to exhibit estrogenic activities and increase the growth of ovarian cancer cells [24]. In order to examine whether these EDCs have a proliferative effect on ovarian cancer cells, an E2-dependent ovarian cancer cell line, BG-1 cells, was treated with EDCs. Treatment with BPA, OP, NP and MXC increased cell growth in these cells. These results are consistent with the previous reports showing that E2 and EDCs induced cell growth in BG-1 cells [25]. However, no significant difference was observed in the groups of BPA, MXC, OP and NP at lower doses compared to E2-treated group, suggesting that they weakly mimic the effect of E2 activity on cell proliferation in ovarian cancer cells due to the lower binding affinity of EDCs to ERs (1000–10,000-fold) than E2 [26, 27]. ERs account for most of the EDC-induced mechanism causing endocrine-related disorders [28], suggesting that ERs are involved in the EDC-induced growth in ovarian cancer cells. ERs, nuclear transcription factors, play an important role in mediating the genomic action of the steroid hormone E2 [29]. It has been reported that EDCs exert their actions genomically [30] or non-genomically [31]. It has also been reported that ERs in the plasma membrane are involved in the non-genomic effect of E2 [32] and that ERα mediates the non-genomic signaling pathway of E2 in an ERα positive cell line, BG-1 [33].

To investigate whether ERs are mediated in EDC-induced cell proliferation in ovarian cancer cells, the cells were pretreated with ICI 182780, an estrogen antagonist, prior to BPA, OP, NP and MXC treatment. Pretreatment with ICI 182780 significantly blocked EDC/E2-induced proliferation in BG-1 cells.

The expression of ERα and ERβ, two types of ERs, is different in most tissue types [34], and these two receptors differentially activate target genes under different circumstances [35]. It is known that ERα accounts for the main mRNA expression of ERs in ovarian cancer cell lines. On the other hand, ERβ is dominantly expressed in normal ovaries [36] and is involved in induction of apoptosis or anti-tumoral effects in ovarian cancer cells [37]. Previous studies indicate that the EDC-induced estrogenic effect is mediated through ERα in an animal model [38], suggesting that
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**Fig. 3.** The effect of ICI 182780 (ICI) on xenoestrogen-induced cell proliferation in BG-1 cells. BG-1 cells were treated with BPA (10⁻⁵ M), MXC (10⁻⁵ M), OP (10⁻⁵ M), NP (10⁻⁵ M) or E2 (10⁻⁷ M) in the presence or absence of ICI 182780 (10⁻⁷ M) for 24 h. The proliferative index was measured by a thymidine incorporation assay. Values are means ± SD for three individual experiments, each with triplicate samples. a, P<0.05 compared with control; b, P<0.05 compared with each xenoestrogen treatment only.
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**Fig. 4.** Regulation of human ERE-tk luciferase vector activity by estrogenic compounds in the absence or presence of ICI 182780. The BG-1 cells were transiently transfected with ERE-tk-Luc and treated with BPA, MXC, OP and NP in a dose-dependent manner (A). In addition, the cells were treated with vehicle (control), 1 μM of E2; 10 μM of BPA, MXC, OP and NP; and/or co-treated with ICI 182780 (B). The RSV-Lac Z vector was co-transfected to normalize for varying transfection efficiencies. Values represent means ± SD from duplicate assays in three separate experiments. a, P<0.01 compared with control; b, P<0.05 compared with each xenoestrogen treatment only.
high concentrations of EDCs may increase cell growth by binding to ERα. However, further research is required to clarify the precise roles of ERα and ERβ in EDC-induced cell proliferation.

In the previous studies, BPA, OP and NP induced activation of ERK1/2 in MCF-7 cells [39]. A recent study indicated that E2 induced activation of p38 via non-genomic action [40]. However, there is little information available concerning the non-genomic effect of EDCs on ovarian cancer cells, and the issue of whether EDCs exert a non-genomic effect in ovarian cancer cells remains obscure. Thus, the MAPK activation was examined by immunoblot analysis using a phospho-specific ERK1/2 and p38 MAPK antibody following treatment with BPA. Treatment with BPA induced the activation of ERK1/2 and p38 in a dose-dependent manner, suggesting that a non-genomic effect may also be involved in EDC-induced cellular responses in ovarian cancer cells. However, activation of ERK1/2 and p38 MAPK appears to be decreased at high concentration of BPA (10 μM), suggesting that BPA might be involved in induction of MAPK phosphatase at this concentration. To elucidate the signaling pathway involved in this increasing proliferation as a result of EDCs, BG-1 cells were pretreated with xenoestrogens for 15 min in a dose-dependent manner. Lanes 1 and 2 contain the extracted proteins of the control and vehicle. The total levels of ERK1/2 and p38 (T-ERK1/2 and T-p38) and phosphorylated levels of ERK1/2 and p38 (P-ERK1/2 and P-p38) were analyzed by immunoblot assay.

growth in estrogen-responsive ovarian cancer cells. Further research is necessary to explain the possible role of the EDC-induced MAPK activation in ovarian cancer cells.

Based on the results presented herein, EDC-induced ovarian cancer cell growth is mediated by ERs. In addition, ERE activation is involved in EDC-induced ER activity, suggesting that EDCs may share the components of a common pathway with E2 to induce cell proliferation; however, EDC-activated MAPK is unlikely to be involved in EDC-induced cell proliferation in estrogen-responsive ovarian cancer cells, BG-1.
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**Fig. 5.** Dose-dependent effect of EDCs on the activation of ERK1/2 and p38 in an ovarian cancer cell line. BG-1 cells were cultured and treated with xenoestrogens for 15 min in a dose-dependent manner. Lanes 1 and 2 contain the extracted proteins of the control and vehicle. The total levels of ERK1/2 and p38 (T-ERK1/2 and T-p38) and phosphorylated levels of ERK1/2 and p38 (P-ERK1/2 and P-p38) were analyzed by immunoblot assay.

**Fig. 6.** The effect of ERK1/2 and p38 MAPK activation by E2 or BPA on ovarian cancer cell proliferation. BG-1 cells were pretreated with PD98059 or SB203580 prior to treatment with E2 (100 nM) or BPA (10 μM) [-BPA → without BPA; +BPA → with BPA; -E2 → without E2; +E2 → with E2]. To quantify DNA synthesis, a [3H]thymidine incorporation assay was performed as previously described. Each experiment was repeated 3 times (n=3). The values are presented as means ± SD of three individual experiments. a, P<0.05 vs. PD98059 (1 μM) treatment; b, P<0.05 vs. SB203580 (1 μM) treatment; c, PD98059 (10 μM) treatment; d, P<0.05 vs. SB203580 (10 μM) treatment; e, PD98059 (100 μM) treatment; f, P<0.05 vs. control.
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