In-situ eddy current monitoring of structural components in nuclear power plants

Takashi KASUYA, Tetsuya UCHIMOTO and Toshiyuki TAKAGI

Institute of Fluid Science, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

TEL: x81-22-217-5248 FAX: x81-22-217-5248 e-mail: takagi@ifs.tohoku.ac.jp

Tetsuo SHOJI

Fracture and Reliability Research Institute, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8579, Japan

(Received 13, October 2004 Accepted 31, January 2005)

This paper discusses the feasibility of Eddy Current Monitoring (ECM) system as a in-situ evaluation method of crack propagation in a Boiling Water Reactor (BWR) environment. For the purpose, we review an ECM experiment conducted at a System Safety Benchmark Facility (SSBF) with a large-scale austenitic stainless steel pipe specimen. Several slits were prepared at the Heat Affected Zones (HAZs) and weld lines from the inner surface. After exerting the load, Eddy Current Testing (ECT) probes attached on the outer surface of the specimen provide the crack propagation signal. Numerical simulation of eddy current signals based on the experimental conditions indicate that this system is capable of monitoring the crack growth in BWR environments.

Keywords : Eddy Current Monitoring, Crack Propagation, Boiling Water Reactor

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, more economical and efficient condition-based maintenance methods have been required, and eddy current technique has been applied in some studies.¹ Especially, they are required in nuclear industry. Based on this viewpoint, we review a work on the in-situ Eddy Current Monitoring (ECM) system² and discuss its feasibility in a Boiling Water Reactor (BWR) environment.

II. EXPERIMENT

An ECM experiment was conducted at a System Safety Benchmark Facility (SSBF) located at Fracture and Reliability Research Institute of Tohoku University. The SSBF was designed and constructed to assess safety and reliability of welded pipes under BWR conditions. The large-scale pipe specimens made of stainless steels 304, 304L, and 316L, jointed by weld joints of nickel based alloy 182 was installed in SSBF. Several semi-elliptical slits were prepared

at the HAZs and weld lines from the inner surface of the pipe specimen. High temperature water of 288°C flows into the pipe specimen at the pressure of 9MPa. A cyclic tensile load from both edges of the pipe was applied for propagating crack.

Fig.1 shows the ECM system installed in the SSBF. The ECM system consists of the ECT probes and instrument. Each probe has a pair of sensor with two exciting coils and a pair of differential pick-up coil. This sensor structure assures high sensitivity of cracks in thick-walled materials.³

Fig.1 Schematic drawing of ECM system.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

After 2293 hours from start, the specimen leaked. Fig.2 shows the cross section at the weld line 316L-182-304. Figs.3(a) and 3(b) show the ECM signals observed by sensor A and B (in Fig.1) at the test frequency of 5kHz. It is shown that the both signals shift with phase changing at the last 4days.

Fig.3(c) shows the numerical eddy current signals based on reduced vector potential method.⁴ Two computed Lissajous figures are shown in Fig.3(c); smaller Lissajous figure represents circumferential scanning signals on the initial crack and larger one corresponds to propagated crack. Here, the probe scans on the outer surface of the pipe specimen. Initial eddy current signals of monitoring lie on the smaller Lissajous figure and two triangular points represent the eddy current signals to the initial cracks observed by sensor A and B. Similarly, two circular points on the larger Lissajous figure represent the eddy current signals to the propagated crack. The ECT signals of each sensor are displaced from triangular point to circular point in Fig.3(c). The displacements of ECT signals correspond to the experimental result in Figs.3(a) and (b). The difference of the magnitude is due to the amplifier in the EC instrument. It is shown that the changed directions of the sensor voltage are opposite to each other. This tendency corresponds with the experimental results. Therefore, it is confirmed that the ECM experimental signals of sensors A and B represent crack propagation.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this study, we have reviewed a work on the in-situ ECM system. Numerical simulation supports for the fact that the system is capable of monitoring the crack growth in a BWR environment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Specially Promoted Research (COE) (2) (11CE2003) by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan. We are grateful to T. Sato of Institute of Fluid Science, Tohoku University for his technical assistance.

Fig.2 Cross section at the weld line 316L-182-304.

Fig.3 Comparison between experimental and numerical ECM signals of 5kHz.

REFERENCES

- ¹ V.Zilberstein, D.Schlicker, K.Walrath, V.Weiss, N.Goldfine, MWM Eddy Current Sensors for Monitoring of Crack Initiation and Growth during Fatigue Tests and in Service, *Int. J. Fatigue*, Vol.23, Supplement 1, pp.477-485.
- ² T.Kasuya, T.Okuyama, N.Sakurai, H.Huang, T.Uchimoto, T.Takagi, Y.Lu and T.Shoji, In-situ Eddy Current Monitoring under High Temperature Environment, *Int.J.Appl.Electromagn*, (in press).
- ³ K.Sato, H.Huang, T.Uchimoto and T.Takagi, Development of Eddy Current Testing Probe for Thick-Walled Metal Plate and Quantitative Evaluation of Cracks, (in Japanese), *Trans. JSME (A)*, Vol.69, (2003), pp.455-462.
- ⁴ T.Takagi, H.Huang, H.Fukutomi and J.Tani, Numerical Evaluation of Correlation between Crack Size and Eddy Current Testing Signal by a Very Fast Simulator, *IEEE Trans. Magnetics*, Vol.34, No.5, 1998, pp.2581-2584.

Presented at 5th Japan France Seminar on Intelligent Materials and Structures (October 13-15, 2004)