Introduction

The centers of origin of cultivated rice are located in a wide region including the Ganges plains, northern Myanmar, northern Thailand, Laos, northern Vietnam, and southwest and southern China (Chang 1976, Matsuo 1997). Asian cultivated rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) has been suggested to have a polyphyletic origin (Agrawal 2003), in which two distinct Groups, Indica and Japonica, were domesticated in the southeast part of South Asia and southern China, respectively (Huang *et al.* 2012). Lying between these two regions, Myanmar is a region of high genetic diversity in rice (Khin Myo Myint *et al.* 2012). Nakagahra and Hayashi (1977) and Nakagahra (1978) suggested that the center of diversity of Asian rice was located in mountainous regions in the northern part of Southeast Asia, such as Myanmar, Thailand, and Yunnan in China. Among them, rice has been cultivated in the plains of Southern, plateau of Eastern, mountains in Northern and hilly of Western, and some rice cultivars in Myanmar were adapted to ecosystems with rain-fed and irrigated-paddy cultivation, and others to upland conditions, including hillside slash-and-burn shifting culture, so that a very broad diversity of rice cultivars is available in the country (Khin Win 1991). As a cash crop with potential for export, rice is grown extensively in all regions of the country and under both lowland and upland conditions, and Myanmar has seen tremendous growth in rice exports.

Around 7,000 accessions are kept in the Seed Bank at the Department of Agricultural Research, Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Irrigation (MoALI), Yezin, Myanmar. Subsets of the accessions are also maintained at the Genetic Resources Center of National Agriculture and Food Research Organization (NARO), Japan, and at the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), the Philippines. Ye Tint Tun *et al.* (2006) indicated that the high genetic diversity could be seen in the materials grown on farms in Myanmar. Yamanaka *et al.* (2011) also found that many ethnic groups still maintained their preferred landraces, as a good example of on-farm conservation of genetic diversity in Myanmar.

Key Words: rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), germplasm, landrace, genetic variation, Myanmar.
In an analysis of esterase isozymes in rice, the highest genetic diversity was reported in areas covering from Myanmar, Thailand, Laos, and Yunnan, China (Nakagahra 1984). Shatta et al. (1993) analyzed the isozyme patterns of 1,582 rice accessions from Myanmar, following the classification system of Glaszmann (1987). They categorized 85.9% of the accessions into isozyme group I (corresponded to Indica Group), 3.4% into group V, 1.6% into group VI (correspond to Japonica Group), and 1.1% into group II. The remaining 8% could not be classified into any group, suggesting that these accessions were derived from hybridization among different varietal groups. Khin Aye et al. (2004) investigated around 1,000 rice accessions in Myanmar for diversity and distribution on the basis of phenol reactions, amylose content, alkali tests, and five grain traits (aroma, 1,000-grain weight, grain length, grain width, and length-to-width ratio). They found that 87.4% of accessions were Indica Group and 12.6% were Japonica Group. The variation in agronomic traits, genetic diversity, and presence of both Indica and Japonica Groups demonstrated that Myanmar was extremely rich in rice genetic resources. Wunna et al. (2015) surveyed cultivar used on hillsides, focusing on upland rice cultivation, and determined by sequence analysis of a dehydrin gene (OsLEA27). And they found a different sequence of the entire OsLEA27 in the accessions collected from Northern Myanmar, and estimating a recent increase in population size or selection pressure in this region.

Kono et al. (2000) investigated DNA polymorphism among 15 Japonica Group accessions (10 landraces and 5 improved cultivars) by using restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), random amplified polymorphic DNAs, amplified fragment length polymorphism, and simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers. The results indicated that the use of RFLP and SSR markers could facilitate the genetic analysis of temperate Japonica Group accessions. Using polymorphism analysis with microsatellite (SSR) markers, Okoshi et al. (2004) classified 73 Japanese landraces into two major groups, Indica and Japonica Groups, and into upland rice and paddy rice subgroups. Yamasaki and Ideta (2013) also analyzed 94 improved cultivars and 20 landraces, and detected a total of 706 alleles by the use of 134 SSR markers. The landraces had greater genetic diversity than the improved cultivars. Similarly, Kawasaki-Tanaka and Fukuta (2014) investigated the polymorphism of 324 Japanese rice accessions using 65 SSR markers distributed across the 12 rice chromosomes, and these were classified into Indica and Japonica Groups, and irrigated lowland and upland accessions. Thus, polymorphism data obtained by using DNA markers such as SSR markers is useful for discriminating between upland and irrigated lowland accessions, between Japonica and Indica Groups, and between landraces and improved cultivars. Ohm Mar Saw et al. (2006) also evaluated the genetic diversity of cultivated rice accessions from Myanmar by DNA markers including 12 RFLP markers, 6 sequence-tagged site (STS) markers, and 28 cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence (CAPS) markers. The materials included 110 accessions from six regions of Myanmar and 17 accessions from other countries. Most accessions from Myanmar were categorized into the Indica Group; the Japonica Group accessions were much less common. Many rice accessions have been collected and conserved at the Seed Banks in Myanmar, NIAS, Japan and IRRI, of which genetic characterization have been tried. But these were not systematic and the collected information was limited.

Studies of genetic diversity of rice germplasm in Myanmar have been based on patterns of isozyme or DNA marker polymorphism. These reports indicated that high diversity had been maintained in the rice germplasm, and that Indica Group cultivars were much more prevalent than Japonica Group (Khin Aye et al. 2004, Ohm Mar Saw et al. 2006, Shatta et al. 1993, Ye Tint Tun et al. 2006). However, as Myanmar has become a rice-exporting country, rice cultivation practices have been changing from traditional farms to modern systems intended for cash crop production. The genetic diversity in cultivated rice by using variations in DNA marker polymorphism was clarified to understand the present genetic diversity in Myanmar as the first step in this study.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

We used 175 accessions from upland and lowland ecosystems in five areas of Myanmar: Western (Chin state and Magway region: Hilly), Northern (Kachin state and Sagaing region: Mountainous), Southern (Mon state, and Ayeyarwady and Bago regions, Yangon region: Plains), and Northeastern (north Shan state and Mandalay region: Plateau) and Southeastern (south Shanstate: Plateau), in this study. Among them, 101 upland accessions (93 landraces and 8 improved cultivars) from the four upland areas; Western, Northern, North and South-eastern, were provided by the Seed Bank, Department of Agriculture Research, Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Irrigation (MoALI) located at in Yezin. The 74 lowland accessions (60 landraces and 14 improved cultivars) were collected from the delta and lowland plains in the Southern area and conserved by the Plant Biotechnology Center (PBC), Yangon, Myanmar. The local cultivars which have been maintained by farmer in each area were categorized as landrace, and cultivars imported from China, Malaysia and International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), and developed by cross breeding using these materials, and selected or induced by mutation breeding were as improved type.

Phenol reaction

In determining the differentiation between Indica and Japonica Groups, we investigated the phenol reaction of rice grain suggested by Walls (1965). Two replicates of 5 seeds each were soaked in distilled water for 18 h. And then
phenol solution (2%) was added and kept at room temperature (28°C). After 24 h, the seeds were scored for positive (black) and negative (no change) staining reactions by eye. Indica Group cultivars tended to show a positive reaction and Japonica Group cultivars show a negative reaction (Walls 1965).

**Genetic diversity of rice accessions based on polymorphism of SSR markers**

We tested 67 microsatellite primers (SSR markers), of which 65 showed polymorphism in the accessions used in this study. The number of alleles per locus detected by microsatellite primers varied from 2 to 15, with an average of 6.4 alleles per locus, and a total of 414 bands were found across all SSR markers and rice accessions used (Supplemental Table 1). These polymorphism data were used for cluster analysis (UPGMA), which identified two major cluster groups, designated I and II (Supplemental Fig. 1). In the PCoA, principal coordinates 1 and 2 accounted for 45.4% and 16.4%, respectively, of the total variance. Similar to the results obtained with the UPGMA tree, the principal coordinates plot revealed two clusters (groups I and II) on the PC1 axis (Fig. 1). Cluster group I contained 85 accessions (48.6% in total), mainly from upland ecosystems and including the Indica Group control, Kasalath, almost all of which showed a positive reaction to phenol. In contrast, cluster group II contained 90 accessions (51.4% in total) and including the irrigated lowland and Japonica Group control, Nipponbare, mainly from the lowland ecosystem, and almost all accessions showed a negative reaction to phenol. These results indicate that cluster group I which included many upland accessions corresponded to Indica Group, and group II which included many lowland accessions corresponded to Japonica Group. There was no significant difference in gene diversity between cluster groups I and II (Table 1), indicating that the levels of genetic diversity within the Indica and Japonica Groups’ accessions in Myanmar were relatively similar. A total of 12 lowland accessions in cluster group II showed positive phenol reactions and one upland accession in group I had a negative reaction (Fig. 1, Supplemental Fig. 1). And these accessions harbored introgressions from

![Fig. 1. Graphic representation of principal coordinates analysis of 175 rice accessions. △ Upland / negative phenol color; ▲ upland / positive phenol color, ○ lowland / negative phenol color, ● lowland / positive phenol color.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster group</th>
<th>No. of accessions (%)</th>
<th>No. alleles</th>
<th>Gene diversity</th>
<th>Expected heterozygosity</th>
<th>Percentage polymorphic %</th>
<th>PIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>85 (48.6)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>90 (51.4)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>175 (100.0)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PIC = polymorphic information content.
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Rice cultivation in lowland is distributing mainly in the Southern area of Myanmar. In the lowland, 3 (1.7%) group I and 50 (28.6%) group II accessions were classified as landraces, and 12 (6.6%) group I and 2 (1.1%) group II accessions were classified as improved. Thus, in the Southern region, landraces were basically cultivated with improved types. Among the upland areas, the Northeastern area was the only one from which improved accessions were obtained. Among the five areas, Southern (lowland) and Northeastern (upland) were the only two represented by improved cultivars, which included mainly group I and group II, respectively.

These findings indicate that the lowland and uplands ecosystems are adapted in Southern area and the other four areas, respectively, and different types improved cultivars are introducing in Northeastern and Southern areas, and Northeastern areas were complete different from the other three areas of upland ecosystem.

Table 2. Relationships among groups, areas, and rice cultivation ecosystems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecosystem</th>
<th>Landrace or Improved</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>No. of accessions (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>Group II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upland</td>
<td>Landrace</td>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>16 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Western</td>
<td>11 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Southeastern</td>
<td>29 (16.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Northeastern</td>
<td>12 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>68 (38.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>Northeastern</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>7 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td>68 (39.4)</td>
<td>32 (18.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowland</td>
<td>Landrace</td>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>3 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>12 (6.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 (8.6)</td>
<td>52 (29.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>Landrace</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (0.11)</td>
<td>6 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>85 (48.6)</td>
<td>90 (51.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Geographic distribution of rice accessions classified into groups I and II in each area of Myanmar. Circle size corresponds to number of samples.

Discussion

Nakagahra and Hayashi (1977) and Nakagahra (1978) suggested that the center of diversity of Asian rice was located in mountainous regions in the northern part of Southeast Asia, such as Myanmar, Thailand and Yunnan in China. Myanmar is located at the center of diversity for rice; in addition, it has heterogeneous geographical and ecological conditions such as hills and mountains. In particular, the mountainous regions in Myanmar are close to Assam, India, a hot-spot of genetic diversity of plants (Choudhury et al. 2014), and to Yunnan, China, where Japonica Group rice was domesticated (Huang et al. 2012). The present study clarified the genetic diversity of rice accessions from upland and lowland areas of five areas in Myanmar, assessed with SSR marker and phenol reactions. The SSR analysis of 175 accessions showed high genetic diversity (0.84), similar to that of the country-wide gene bank collection set (0.81) and accessions showed high genetic diversity (0.84), similar to that of the country-wide gene bank collection set (0.81) and the country-wide on-farm population set (0.83) investigated by Yamanaka et al. (2011). Wang et al. (2013) reported that the gene diversities varied from 0.46 to 0.53 among rice groups in Bangladesh based on the genotype data of 43 SSR makers. The value in Myanmar was higher than those of Bangladesh. Shatta et al. (1993), Khin Aye et al. (2004), and Saw et al. (2006) found high levels of genetic diversity conserved in rice accessions, and our results confirmed that high diversity of rice germplasm is still maintained in farmers’ fields in Myanmar.

Cluster analysis based on the polymorphism data from SSR markers and phenol reactions clearly differentiated the rice accessions into two groups I and II. Group I, with 85 accessions (48%), corresponded to Indica Group and was dominant in all upland regions except for the Northeastern. Group II, with 90 accessions (52%), corresponded to the Japonica Group and was dominant in the Southern (lowland) region. Throughout the analysis of isozyme patterns, Shatta et al. (1993) found that 85.9% of the rice germplasm...
in Myanmar belonged to the Indica Group, and Japonica Group accessions were infrequent. Through phenol reactions and alkaline tests, Khin Aye et al. (2004) similarly found that 87.4% of accessions were Indica Group, and 12.6% were Japonica Group. A possible cause for the apparent discrepancy between those studies and our results might be that we tested fewer accessions, and sampled more accessions from the Southern region, where lowland rice is grown.

The group I (correspond to Indica Group) accessions were dominant in the upland landraces of the Northern, Western, and Southeastern areas. Group II (correspond to Japonica Group) accessions were dominant in lowland landraces of the Southern and Northeastern areas. Thus, Indica Group’s accessions were generally grown in upland, and Japonica Group’s accessions are grown in lowland area of Myanmar. Indica and Japonica Groups’ cultivars are grown mainly in lowland and upland conditions, respectively (unpublished data) in the Philippines and Laos, and the situation of Myanmar was different from them.

Shatta et al. (1993) could not classify 8% of the rice germplasm in Myanmar into any isozyme group, and estimated that they had developed by hybridization among different varietal groups. Several rice accessions had complex genomes representing a combination between Indica and Japonica Groups’ cultivars in this study, and these results also suggested that the accessions in Myanmar were occurred by wide hybridization between Indica and Japonica Groups cross combinations and played a role in maintaining the high diversity of rice germplasm in this country.

We observed high levels of genetic diversity among upland and lowland accessions from five areas in Myanmar, through a combination of genome-wide SSR genotyping and conventional varietal group differentiation with phenol. Both upland and lowland landraces in Myanmar clustered into two major cluster groups corresponding to the Indica and Japonica Groups. In upland ecosystems, the cluster group I accessions were basically distributed among three of the four mountainous or plateau areas (i.e., all except the Northeastern). Cluster group II accessions were dominant in the Northeastern and Southern areas. In these two areas, improved cultivars have been introduced and grown alongside landraces, and the results were different from those in the other areas. Wunna et al. (2015) also indicated the modification of rice population in north area of Myanmar recently. The rice cultivation in Myanmar may be changed dramatically in the near future owing to the introduction of new cultivars as cash crops, which were found in Northeastern and Southern areas. The findings in this study will be useful for understanding the present situation of rice germplasm in Myanmar and its changes over time.

To understand the genetic diversity and variations of rice germplasm and apply the information and materials to rice breeding, the relationships among the differentiation of Indica and Japonica Groups’ accessions, and further data accumulations for agronomic traits, such as heading date, yield components, grain quality, resistance to disease and insects, and tolerance to abiotic stress, will need in Myanmar.
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