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The utilization of germplasm of the wild species in sweet-potato breeding has been conducted for the last three decades. Such attempts brought some remarkable achievements in improving root yield, starch content and resistance to the nematodes of sweet potato. Some wild plants in polyploid series may have many genes potentially important for further improvement of the agronomic traits. However, the genomic relationship between the wild relatives and hexaploid sweet potato (2n=6x=90) has been unrevealed. Meiotic studies were carried out on the hexaploids synthesized with diploids and tetraploids and on the F1 hybrids, when possible, with sweet potato. Chromosomes in pollen mother cells (PMC's) and root tip cells were fixed in Newcomer's solution and stained according to Feulgen reaction with Schiff's reagent. The present report was concerned with two kinds of the synthetic hexaploids. The first was the hexaploids derived from chromosome doubling of triploid hybrids between Ipomoea lacunosa (K61, 2n=30) and I. tilacea (K134, 2n=60). The synthetic hexaploid exhibited mostly regular meiosis with bivalents at the metaphase I stage (MI), and it was considered an allo- or segmental allo-hexaploid. The synthetic hexaploids were fertile, but failure in obtaining hybrids by crosses with sweet potato suggested a critical reproductive barrier between them. The second synthetic hexaploids with 2x I. trifida (K221, 2n=30) and 4x I. trifida (K233, 2n=60) showed the chromosome configurations characterized by the occurrence of tetravalents and hexavalents. Such multivalent associations, high in frequency and number per cell, suggested the presence of the genomes at least in quadruplicate. Similar pattern of the chromosome configurations was observed in a cultivar of sweet potato and the F1 hybrid between the synthetic hexaploid and sweet potato. Consequently, the genomic formula for sweet potato was proposed as B1B1B2B2B3B3, in which B1B1 was given to 2x I. trifida and B2B2B2B2 to 4x I. trifida. However, it is necessary to clarify the degree of homology between B1 and B2 genomes for more conclusive genomic constitution of sweet potato. A brief account was given for the taxonomic identification of the wild Ipomoea strains used in the present study.
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Introduction

Utilization of wild germplasm in sweet-potato breeding began in 1956 immediately after an introduction of the wild hexaploid plants (2n=90), designated K123, by Nishiyama from Mexico (Nishiyama and Teramura 1962; Nishiyama 1963, 1971). Subsequently, K123-derived lines have attracted much attention mainly because of their high starch yield and resistance to two major nematodes. Breeding methods and achievements with improved cultivars were briefly reviewed by KobaYashii (1978).

Besides K123, many wild plants related to sweet potato have been introduced from Mexico, Guatemala, Colombia, Ecuador and the United States, and they formed a series of diploids, triploids, tetraploids and hexaploids. Therefore, there is a possibility that genomes of the wild plants at the diploid and tetraploid levels are constituents of the genomic structure of sweet potato.
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For a long-range program in sweet-potato breeding with the germplasm of its wild relatives, it is essential to study further the genomic relationship between sweet potato and its wild relatives.

In the present report, cytological studies were carried out on the two kinds of synthetic hexaploids. The first was the hexaploids derived from chromosome doubling of triploid hybrids between *I. lacunosa* (K61, 2*n*=30) and *I. tiliacea* (K134, 2*n*=60). The second synthetic hexaploids were derived from chromosome doubling of triploid hybrids between 2*x* *I. trifida* (K221, 2*n*=30) and 4*x* *I. trifida* (K233, 2*n*=60).

There has been a long controversy between researchers in the taxonomic treatments of the wild *Ipomoea* plants. A brief account was given because it will help in understanding the species relationship to sweet potato better and in bringing together any information of each species.

**Materials and Methods**

The original *Ipomoea* strains used as the parent of the triploid hybrid and the first synthetic hexaploids are K61, a diploid strain of *I. lacunosa* introduced from Baton Rouge, Louisiana in 1955 and K231, a diploid strain of *I. trichocarpa* from Austin, Texas in 1959 and K134, a tetraploid strain of *I. tiliacea* from Mexico in 1955. All of them were introduced by I. Nishiyama. The other two original strains are the parent of the second synthetic hexaploids. K221 is a strain of 2*x* *I. trifida* collected by M. Kobayashi in Acapulco, Guerrero, Mexico in 1960, and K233 a strain of 4*x* *I. trifida* collected by M. Muramatsu in Veracruz, Veracruz, Mexico in 1959.

Flowering of the parents and hybrids was induced by grafting their scions onto young plants of semi-dwarf morning glory (*Kidachi-asagoon, Pharbitis nil*).

Cytological observations were made in root tip cells and PMC's. Root tips were pretreated in 0.002 mol 8-hydroxyquinolin at 12°C for 3 hours. The root tips and buds were fixed in a 12:5 mixture of Newcomer's solution and acetic acid, and stored under refrigeration. Hydrolysis was done in a 12:5:2 fresh mixture of Newcomer's solution, acetic acid and 3.6N hydrochloric acid. The root tips were hydrolyzed at 40°C for 1 hour, and the buds at 42°C for 10 minutes, then the materials were stained with Schiff's reagent. Preparations were made by temporary iron-acetocarmine squash method.

All strains and hybrids are currently maintained as true seeds or clones in Kyushu Agricultural Experiment Station, Ibusuki, Kagoshima.

**Results**

1. Synthetic hexaploids with *I. lacunosa* (K61) and *I. tiliacea* (K134)

As shown in Fig.1, the hexaploids were synthesized by colchicine treatment of sterile triploid hybrids between K61 (2*n*=30) and K134 (2*n*=60). A meiotic study was done on a plant (111) of the synthetic hexaploids. A total of 25 PMC's showed the number of bivalents ranged from 37 to 44, a mean of 41.3. Multivalent associations were infrequent with a mean of 0.3 trivalents and 0.8 tetravalents per cell. Univalents, ranged from 0 to 6, reduced the mean number of bivalent-equivalents to 43.2. On
the whole, the predominant bivalents suggested that diploidization took place or that three participating genomes were rather nonhomologous to each other (Table 1).

K 231 is a strain of *I. trichocarpa* closely related to *I. lacunosa*. The two species were found to share the genome A by Jones and Deonier (1965), and it was confirmed by the normal behavior of meiosis, having 15 bivalents, in the F₁ hybrid between the two species (Martin 1970). Chromosome pairing in a sterile triploid hybrid (102) between K 231 and K 134 showed a mean of 15.6 bivalents with a range from 14 to 17, and a mean of 13.7 univalents with a range from 11 to 17 in 11 PMC’s examined. 18 PMC’s of the autotetraploid of K 231 showed tetravalents ranged from 3 to 7 with a mean of 4.9 per cell, and 33.2 percent of the chromosomes were associated largely in tetravalents.

The present observations, and the meiotic data by Jones (1970) indicating that *I. tiliacea* is an allotetraploid species with normal meiosis of about 30 bivalents, suggest the probable genomic constitution of the synthetic hexaploid and its parental strains as shown in Fig. 1.

2. Synthetic hexaploids with 2x *I. trifida* (K 221) and 4x *I. trifida* (K 233)

As indicated in Fig. 2, the hexaploids were synthesized by colchicine treatment of triploid hybrids from the crosses of 2x *I. trifida* (K 221) × 4x *I. trifida* (K 233). The meiosis of two synthetic hexaploids, plant no.216 with 2n=92 somatic chromosomes and no.220 with 2n=90, were examined. A total of 22 cells in 216 exhibited various amounts of chromosomes associations at MI as listed in Table 2. The mean number of the chromosomes participating in multivalents was 42.7 with a standard deviation of 9.28. Among the multivalents, tetravalents were the most frequent with a range
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**Fig. 1.** Synthetic hexaploid (111) derived from *I. lacunosa* (K 61) and *I. tiliacea* (K 134), the triploid hybrid (102) between *I. trichocarpa* (K 231) and *I. tiliacea* (K 134), and an induced autotetraploid of K 231.

Table 1. Chromosome pairing at MI of the triploid hybrid (102) between *I. trichocarpa* (K 231) and *I. tiliacea* (K 134), an induced autotetraploid of K 231, and the synthetic hexaploid (111).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain no.</th>
<th>2n</th>
<th>No. of cells</th>
<th>Mean number per cell of</th>
<th>Mean number per cell of</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(11~17)</td>
<td>(14~17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4xK231</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0~1)</td>
<td>(16~24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0~6)</td>
<td>(37~44)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Roman numerals indicate number of associated chromosomes. II-EQ denotes bivalent-equivalents, and CPM chromosomes participating in multivalents.
from 2 to 10 (mean 6.1). The second most frequent were hexavalents, with a range from 0 to 6 (mean 2.6). However, trivalents and pentavalents were infrequent with means of 1.2 and 0.3 respectively. The number of bivalent-equivalents with a range from 43 to 46 (mean 44.6) indicated almost complete chromosome pairing in the synthetic hexaploid. The means of the univalents, bivalents, and multivalents in 8 cells of the plant no. 220 were similar to those of 216. And the mean number of the chromosomes participating in multivalents was 40.0 with a standard deviation of 8.16. Again, nearly complete chromosome pairing was implied by the mean number of bivalent-equivalents of 43.8.

Chromosome pairing in both of the hexaploids was characterized by the frequent occurrence of tetravalents and hexavalents. Such multivalents were traced back to

Table 2. Chromosome pairing at MI of the triploid hybrids (202), their parent K 221 and K 233, the synthetic hexaploids (216 and 220), an induced autotetraploid of K 221, an F1 hybrid (K 6843) of 216 × Kanto 48, and a sweet potato cultivar Kyushu 58.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain no.</th>
<th>2n</th>
<th>No. of cells</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>VI</th>
<th>II-EQ</th>
<th>CPM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K 221</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5xK 221</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>23.0±4.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K 233-1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>16.4±3.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K 233-1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>19.3±5.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202-1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>9.0±3.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202-2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>9.1±2.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202-3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>7.5±3.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>42.7±9.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>40.0±8.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K 6843</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>42.7±8.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyushu 58</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>39.1±5.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kanto 48 is a selected line from the single crosses with 4 native sweet potato varieties. Kyushu 58 is a selected line from the cross of (L-4-5×K 123)×Kanto 48.
bivalent and trivalent associations in the triploid hybrids between K 221 and K 233. Three triploid hybrids showed, as expected, the means of 13.0, 13.2 and 14.3 bivalents, and the means of 3.0, 2.9 and 2.5 trivalents. Consequently, the means of bivalent-equivalents were 16.0, 16.3 and 16.8 respectively.

Sixteen cells of the diploid parent K 221 showed normal metaphase with 15 bivalents. Two clonal strains of the tetraploid parent K 233 exhibited a similar chromosome pairing to each other, and the meiotic data showed the frequent occurrence of tetravalents up to a mean of 4.1 and 4.8 respectively. They showed almost complete chromosome pairing with a mean of bivalent-equivalents of 30.0. Thirty cells of a plant of autotetraploid K 221 showed a mean of 5.6 tetravalents and 29.8 bivalent-equivalents.

The present observation of chromosome pairing in K 233 confirmed the contention that the plant belonging to K 233 taxon is an autotetraploid by Jones (1970). Therefore, approximately 15 bivalents of the triploid hybrids are ascribed to autosynthetic pairing between the two genomes from K 233. Further, trivalents involving 7.5~9.1 chromosomes per cell showed that the genome from K 221 had some degree of homology to the other genome in duplicate.

All configurations at MI in the F1 hybrid (K 6843, 2n=93) from the cross between sweet potato cultivar (Kanto 48) and the synthetic hexaploid (216, 2n=92) are shown in Table 2. Univalents (mean 2.0) and trivalents (mean 0.4) were occasional and no pentavalent was observed. Tetravalents ranging from 2 to 9 (mean 5.3) and hexavalents ranging from 1 to 6 (mean 3.4) were observed in 30 cells analyzed. The overall number of chromosome pairing represented by the number of bivalent-equivalents ranged from 44 to 46, a mean of 45.3. Of 93 chromosomes 42.7 per cell were participating in multivalents, largely in tetravalents and hexavalents.

Table 2 includes chromosome pairing of a cultivar of sweet potato, Kyushu 58 (2n=94). Kyushu 58 is a selected line from the cross of (L~4-5×K123)×Kanto 48. It showed a wide range of chromosome associations from bivalent to hexavalent in 20 cells examined. Of 94 chromosomes 39.1 per cell were participating in multivalents. Although the mean of hexavalents of 2.2 was less than that of the hybrid (K 6843), there were no marked differences from those of the synthetic hexaploids. As a result, the F1 hybrid of sweet potato×synthetic hexaploid indicated an essentially similar pattern of chromosome pairing to those of the sweet potato and the synthetic hexaploid. As will be discussed later, these findings provide the possibility that the hexaploids derived from K 221 and K 233 have an equal genomic constitution to that of sweet potato.

Discussion

A synthetic hexaploid derived from triploid hybrids of I. lacunosa (K 61) and I. tiliacea (K 134) achieved almost normal meiosis having a mean of 43 bivalents and infrequent multivalents. Therefore, the synthetic hexaploid was thought to be allo- or segmental allohexaploid.

The frequent bivalents with a range from 14 to 17 (mean 15.6) of the triploid hybrid of K 231×K 134 seem to suggest that I. tiliacea K 134 has a genome homologous
to A genome from K231. However, the synthetic hexaploid did not from so frequent tetravalents as the autotetraploid K231.

Consequently, *I. tiliacea* K134 was assumed to have one modified genome A₁ and the other genome labelled T. As a result, the genomic constitution for the synthetic hexaploid is tentatively designated AA₁T. The genome A and A₁ are supposed to take place preferential pairing and it may cause the predominant bivalent formation in the synthetic hexaploid. Further study is necessary for genomic differentiation between A genomes in diploid *I. lacunosa* and *I. trichocarpa* and A₁ genomes in the tetraploid *I. tiliacea*. As shown in Table 1, diploidization in the first synthetic hexaploid was characteristic in contrast to chromosome pairing in another synthetic hexaploids and sweet potato.

In the present report, data of crossability was cited from the crossing experiments conducted as a part of sweet-potato breeding in Kyushu Agricultural Experiment Station, Ibusuki, Kagoshima. As no hybrids were obtained in crosses between *I. lacunosa* (K61) and sweet potato nor in crosses between *I. tiliacea* (K134) and sweet potato (K.A.E.S. 1963), an attempt to cross the synthetic hexaploids with sweet potato was of major interest. The hexaploid (111) and other individual plants were quite fertile, showing 48.6 percent of seed set in cross-pollination and 3.4 percent in self-pollination. Fifteen crosses with 750 flowers of sweet potato pollinated with the synthetic hexaploid pollen yielded no seeds, while 15 reciprocal crosses with 750 flowers produced 15 seeds. Of them 4 seeds germinated and all were matroclinous progenies (K.A.E.S. 1963). From the results of crossing, it is suggested that the synthetic hexaploids and their parent *I. lacunosa* and *I. tiliacea* are isolated by a reproductive barrier from sweet potato. Although plants of *I. lacunosa* and *I. tiliacea* are similar in morphology to sweet potato, they are wild gene pools that require a considerable amount of effort to utilize them in sweet potato breeding.

Earlier studies by Ting and Kehr (1953), Ting et al. (1957) and Jones (1965) on meiotic behavior of sweet potato illustrated highly regular metaphase with the occurrence of bivalents and rare multivalents. On the other hand, from the karyological identification of the pachytene chromosomes and the multivalent analysis at pachytene and metaphase I, Magbool et al. (1970) indicated that the three parental genomes are partly homologous and that two of the three genomes show closer homology to one another than to the third.

In the present observations, similar multivalent associations were seen in the second-synthetic hexaploids, sweet potato, and a F₁ hybrid between the synthetic hexaploid and sweet potato. The second synthetic hexaploids were derived from chromosome doubling of triploid hybrids between 2× *I. trifida* (K221) and 4× *I. trifida* (K233). By labelling the genome of K221 as B₁ and K233 as B₂, the genomic constitution of the synthetic hexaploid is written as B₁B₂B₂. Chromosome pairing of the synthetic hexaploids was characterized by frequent tetravalents formed by four genomes of K233 and hexavalents formed by two B₁ genomes of K221 and four B₂ genomes of K233. The degree of homology between B₁ and B₂ can not be estimated accurately at the level of hexaploidy. However, some degree of homology is suspected by the occurrence
of trivalents in the triploid hybrids.

The F₁ hybrid (K 6843, 2n = 93) between sweet potato (Kanto 48, 2n = c. 90) and the synthetic hexaploid (216, 2n = 92) represented the similar meiotic configurations of chromosomes with predominant tetravalents and hexavalents to those of sweet potato and the synthetic hexaploid. If one assumes that a genome in duplicate is non-homologous to a genome in quadruplicate in either of the hexaploid parent, sweet potato and the synthetic hexaploid, it will simplify the model for genomic constitution of their F₁ hybrid. Based on the above assumption, B₁ should be non-homologous to B₂ in the synthetic hexaploid. On the other hand, seven possible genomic constitution for the sweet-potato parent can be formulated, depending on the common genome and the degree of its duplication, as follows: B₂B₂B₂ in the case when there is no common genomes; B₁B₂B₂, B₁B₂B₂, B₂B₂B₂, or B₂B₂B₂ when B₁ or B₂ genome in common; B₁B₂B₂ or B₁B₁B₂ when both B₁ and B₂ genomes in common. When there is no common genome involved, for example, the F₁ hybrid having B₁B₁B₂B₂B₂B₂ will display a meiotic pattern with 30 bivalents and 30 univalents. Only in the case when the sweet-potato parent has the same genomes as B₁B₂B₂, the F₁ is expected to show 15 bivalents by B₁B₁ and 30 bivalent-equivalents by B₂B₂B₂B₂ and to form reasonably frequent tetravalents.

Next, if one assumes a genome in duplicate is completely homologous to a genome in quadruplicate, it is a condition of autohexaploidy for both of the parent. When the parent are made up of different genome, the F₁ hybrid shows chromosome pairing typical of autotriploidy for the respective genomes. When they are autohexaploids with respect to an identical genome, meiosis of F₁ is expected to show chromosome pairing with 45 bivalent-equivalents involving multivalents such as tetra- and hexavalents. As mentioned already, a possibility of autohexaploid structure of sweet potato as well as the synthetic hexaploid is not rejected until the chromosomal homology between B₁ and B₂ is analyzed at the lower ploidy level.

The fertility of the synthetic hexaploids and their hybrids is one important indicator of the functional genomic homology, for it may be a measure of the ability of the parent to exchange genes. As the synthetic hexaploids were self-incompatible, intercrosses were made between the plants in C₂ generation. Such 12 intercrosses showed 11.0 percent in seed set. In hybridization with sweet potato, 1,250 flowers yielded as low as 2.6 percent of seed set in 18 crosses with sweet potato as female parent, and 1,000 flowers an appreciable seed set of 10.2 percent in 20 crosses with sweet potato as male parent (K.A.E.S. 1969~1970). A series of the crossing data indicates that fertility of the synthetic hexaploids is partially retained and they are able to produce hybrids with sweet potato.

Regarding the fertility of F₁ hybrids of the synthetic hexaploids×sweet potato, 4,122 flowers of the F₁ hybrids in 12 back-crosses with sweet potato provided 27.4 percent of seed set (K.A.E.S. 1971~1972). The percentage in seed fertility indicates the F₁ hybrids are as fertile as sweet potato. The above crossing data involving the synthetic hexaploids are compatible with the cytological findings that the synthetic hexaploids are principally identical in genomic constitution to sweet potato.

There has been a long controversy in taxonomic treatments of the Ipomoea strains
Table 3. Identification of the parental *Ipomoea* strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K61</td>
<td>2x</td>
<td><em>Ipomoea</em> var. <em>triloba</em></td>
<td><em>I. lacunosa</em></td>
<td><em>I. triloba</em></td>
<td><em>I. lacunosa</em></td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K231</td>
<td>2x</td>
<td><em>I. lacunosa</em></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>I. lacunosa</em></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>I. trichocarpa</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K134</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td><em>I. gracilis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>I. gracilis</em></td>
<td><em>I. tiliacea</em></td>
<td>Feral sweet potato</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K221</td>
<td>2x</td>
<td><em>I. leucantha</em></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>I. leucantha</em></td>
<td><em>I. trifida</em></td>
<td><em>I. trifida</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K233</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td><em>I. littoralis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>I. littoralis</em></td>
<td><em>I. trifida</em></td>
<td>Feral sweet potato</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

as listed in Table 3. Species name used in the present study were those based on the identification by SHIOTANI and KAWASE (1980). K 231 was considered to be a strain of *I. trichocarpa* according to the analysis in numerical taxonomy of *Ipomoea* diploid strains (SHIOTANI and KAWASE 1970). A tetraploid strain K 134 from Mexico was first treated as *I. gracilis* by NISHIYAMA and TERAMURA (1962), but the authors identified K 134 with a tetraploid strain (K 270) of *I. tiliacea* from Puerto Rico. Recently, AUSTIN (1983) examined K 134 and treated it as a feral sweet potato.

The first tentative identification of K 221 and K 233 was based on the descriptions of Choisy's monograph in 1845 (TERAMURA et al. 1967). NISHIYAMA (1971) proposed a new taxonomy of sweet potato and its related taxa with using ranks of variety and form. Austin's taxonomic work was expected to clear up most of the areas of uncertain identification, but from the publication on *Ipomoea batatas* complex (AUSTIN 1978), it does not appear to have done so. According to his treatments, K 221 was thought to be a natural hybrid *I. ×leucantha* between *I. lacunosa* and *I. trichocarpa*. However, later AUSTIN (1983) examined the plant material of K 221 and identified it as *I. trifida*, and in addition he identified K 233 as a feral sweet potato.

Many wild *Ipomoea* plants, collected in Mexico and Guatemala, contained the diploids of K 221 type and tetraploids of K 233 type (MURAMATSU and SHIOTANI 1974). Measurements of floral organs in these plants were outside of the variation given by Choisy's description of either *I. leucantha* or *I. littoralis*, but they fell within the range of organ size in descriptions of *I. trifida* (H. B. K.) G. Don. by O'DONELL (1961) and AUSTIN (1978).
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サツマイモ近縁野生種から作出した合成6倍体

塩谷 信・川瀬 信男
（三重大学農学部，津市，〒514）

サツマイモ育種では、生産性の多様化をはかる方法の一環として、近縁野生種の育種的利用を進めてきた。その成果として、線虫抵抗性をもつ高収穫性の系統・品種育成をみるようにいった。サツマイモは6倍体（2n=30）で、一方、その近縁野生種には2倍体、4倍体、6倍体がある。これら野生種およびサツマイモの倍数性に関与しているゲノムについては、まだ十分解明されていない。本報告では、野生種をもってできた2種類の合成6倍体、それらの同種、およびサツマイモとの交雑から生じたF1雑種について、頻数分析第1分の時期の染色体対合を観察し、それぞれ植物のゲノム構成を明らかにしようとした。

第1の合成6倍体は Ipomoea lacunosa (K61, 2n=30) と I. tiliae (K134, 2n=60) との3倍体雑種の染色体倍加によってできている（Fig.1）。この6倍体では、低類度の1個、3個、4個染色体がみられた、平均41.3の2個染色体がみられた。そして平均43.20個染色体相当数があり、全体として異質または部分異質6倍体の染色体対合をみることができた（Table 1）。3倍体雑種としては I. trichocarpa (K231, 2n=30) とK134との雑種が供試された。I. lacunosa と I. trichocarpa は共通のゲノムAをもつことが明らかになっている。また Jones (1970) によれば、I. tiliae は異質4倍体としての染色体対合を示している。上のことから、I. tiliae のゲノム構成を A1A2TT として、合成6倍体に暫定的ゲノム AAAA1TT を与えた。この合成6倍体は高い稔性をもつが、サツマイモとの交雑からは雑種を得ることはできなかった（K.A.E.S. 1963）。

第2の合成6倍体は、2x I. trifida (K221, 2n=30) と 4x I. trifida (K233, 2n=60) との3倍体雑種の染色体倍加によるものである（Fig.2）。4倍体種のK233につれ染色体の出現頻度から同質4倍体と判定された（Table 2）。したがって、上記の3倍体雑種が1対のゲノム対合に相当する2個染色体は、K233由来の2つのゲノムの同種対合によると推定された。

Table 2 に示すように、合成6倍体は、3倍体雑種の対合型から期待されたとおりに、4個染色体（平均4.8と6.1）、また6個染色体（平均2.5と2.6）を示した。2倍体種K221からのゲノムを B1, 4倍体種K233からのゲノムを B2B2 として、合成6倍体に B1B2B1B2B1B2 のゲノム式を与えた。ただし、B1 × B2 ゲノム間にはかなりの相異性があると推察されるが、その程度については今後の研究が必要である。

第2の合成6倍体とサツマイモ（関東48号）とのF1雑種の染色体対合は合成6倍体のそれと大差はなかった。また、サツマイモ（九州58号）も4個や6個染色体を形成し、合成6倍体と同様な対合型を示した。

合成6倍体で4重になっているB2ゲノムとサツマイモで重になっているゲノムが相同な場合、あるいは非相同な場合、さらに2重になっていますゲノムについても、両者間で相異あるは非相同な場合を想定したとき、可能なすべての場合のそれぞれに応じて、F1雑種は特異な対合型を示す。そして、F1雑種の対合型が両親のそれらと同じ場合は両親のゲノム構成が等しいときに限られる。それゆえ、サツマイモも B1B2B1B2B1B2 のゲノム構成をもつと考えられる。

この結論は第2の合成6倍体の関与した交雑試験からも支持された。この合成6倍体はサツマイモと交雑可能であり、それらのF1雑種を生み得る可能性がある。その稔実率は、F1雑種のサツマイモへの戻し交雑では、サツマイモ系統・品種間の平均的な稔実率程度の値を示した（K.A.E.S. 1971～1972）。

本報告に供試した野生種系統の分類学上の同定については、見解を異にするいくつかの提案がなされてきたが、それらの諸観点を要約した（Table 3）。