Mutual interaction between Dinh An estuary and Tran De estuary, Mekong River, Vietnam
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Interaction between estuaries of a pair is tremendously important since evolution of an estuary will significantly impact to other and again. Lack of knowledge about the relation of them could probably result in unexpected consequences to one when implementing certain approaches to exploit, operate, maintain or protect other. The aim of this study is to investigate mutual interaction between Dinh An estuary and Tran De estuary of Mekong River in Vietnam under variations of upstream conditions. River discharge, water level, suspended sediment and salinity concentration were collected in the study area in 2009. Estuarine, Coastal and Ocean Modeling System with Sediment (ECOMSED) was used as an application of three-dimensional numerical model. Then sensitive numerical experiments were performed to figure out response of Dinh An and Tran De estuary to change of upstream discharge rate. We confirmed that redistributed portion of the upstream discharge has high responsibility to mutual interaction of hydrodynamics, salinity intrusion and sediment transport characteristics of both Tran De and Dinh An estuary.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Tran De - Dinh An is the biggest estuarine pair of Mekong River. The pair accounts for 45% of total fresh water of Mekong River discharging to the East Sea1. Dinh An estuary is a unique entrance of main international navigation route connecting Cambodia and Mekong Delta to other nations. Tran De estuary is not important as Dinh An but it assumes certain roles. There is a big fish port located in this estuary, where many fishing vessels docking and fishermen make their business after long period of catching fish on the ocean. In recent decades, due to fast pace of river morphology, small islands at the upstream are developing that could probably make river discharge rate of Dinh An and Tran De change; subsequently, influencing on evolution of two estuaries (Fig. 1).

The objective of this study is to clarify mutual interaction between Dinh An and Tran De estuary under various upstream conditions by employing a three-dimensional numerical model so-called Estuarine, Coastal and Ocean Modeling System with Sediment (ECOMSED). In this paper, we will show the relative responsibility of upstream discharge rate on hydrodynamics, salinity distribution and sediment transport of these two estuaries.

2. OBSERVATION DATA

Fig. 1 shows locations of observation stations in the study area. QDA and QTD are two cross transects of river discharge stations in Dinh An and Tran De estuary respectively. Solid squares are locations of water level stations, suspended sediment concentration (SSC) stations are symbolized by solid circles. Hourly river discharge and water level were simultaneously measured at both QDA and QTD in 15 days and nights, from 9 am September 15th 2009 to 8 am September 30th 2009 in high-flow season by using RD Instrument Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) 600 Hz and measures. SSC was collected in the same period with river discharge, each day 2 samples were taken at depth of 20 cm under the
water surface at 7 am and 1 pm. Tra Kha (at Dinh An estuary) and Tran De (at Tran De estuary) also marked as solid square signs in Fig. 1 are two national water quality stations. Such stations only work in several months in low-flow season (from November to next-year April) to measure surface salinity concentration. In high-flow season (from May to October), water in the river is fresh water so they are not necessary to operate. In general, salinity concentration is collected every three hours in February; from March to April the data is sparsely collected depending on water intake plan of cultivation fields.

3. NUMERICAL MODEL

A three-dimensional numerical model ECOMSED was used in this study. ECOMSED is open-source code distributed by HydroQual, Inc.\(^2\). The model uses finite difference method with orthogonal curvilinear grid approach. ECOMSED comprises of hydrodynamics, surface wave, sediment transport and water quality module. It has been widely applied within oceanographic, coastal and estuarine environments spheres.

(1) Hydrodynamics governing equations

The model is based on the solution of the three-dimensional incompressible Reynolds equations, subjects to the assumptions of Boussinesq and of hydrostatic pressure:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + V \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + W \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + V \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} + W \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} &= -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( K_H \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} \right) \\
\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} + V \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} + W \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} &= \frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( K_H \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(2) Sediment transport equation

Sediment transport is based on the advection dispersion calculation in hydrodynamics model:

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + V \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + W \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} = A_H \frac{\partial C}{\partial y}
\]

where \( C \) is suspended sediment concentration (mg l\(^{-1}\)); \( W_S \) is settling velocity of the cohesive sediment (m s\(^{-1}\)).
each model and their grid structure. Two models have same offshore boundaries so-called North, South and East. Upstream boundary of the large model is Can Tho station, and those of the small model are head of Dung island, lower location of division point. The grids of the models have 400x250 and 250x250 horizontal grid cells respectively. Two models have same grid structure with horizontal grid size of 270x270 m and 11 vertical layers in sigma coordinate. Bathymetry data was collected from many sources. River bathymetry was obtained from previous projects conducted by Southern Institute of Water Resources Research, Vietnam (SIWRR) (unpublished). Offshore bathymetry is the 30-s Global Bathymetry and Elevation Data SRTM30 PLUS\(^3\). Such data was smoothed and interpolated in each grid cell of the grids.

The large model used hourly river discharge, temperature and SSC at Can Tho observation station for river boundary. Saline intrusion is not reached therefore 0 psu was always chosen for salinity concentration at this boundary in every simulation. Three offshore boundaries used 6 tidal harmonic constants of S2, M2, N2, K1, P1 and O1 constituents. Such constituents were extracted from Global Inverse Solution 7.2 of global ocean tides OSU TOPEX/Poseidon model\(^4\). Temperature and salinity data of offshore boundaries and initial condition were taken from HYCOM/NCODA\(^5\). Wind direction and magnitude of Vung Tau observation station were used as wind forcing for all domain in simulation period. The result of the large model was then used as upstream boundary conditions for the small one.

(2) Model parameters
The internal time step of simulations was 40s. The Manning number and roughness height of bed of all domains were 0.028 and 0.001 m respectively. The horizontal mixing constant of Smagorinsky closure model was \(C_S=0.5\). The vertical eddy diffusivity was adopted from Mellor and Yamada turbulence closure model level 2.5 with background mixing constant was \(10^{-4}\) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\). In sediment transport model, target of simulation was only cohesive sediment class. The deposition rate was calculated by using formulation of Krone\(^6\). Then averaged density of bed was 500 kg m\(^{-3}\), the settling velocity of sediment was \(2.5\times10^{-4}\) m s\(^{-1}\), the critical shear stresses for erosion and deposition were 1.0 dynes cm\(^{-2}\). Wave parameters used in determining wave-induced bottom shear stress were computed by formulas of Donelan\(^7\).

(3) Validation of numerical model
Model was validated with observed salinity concentration of Tra Kha and Tran De stations in February 2009, river discharge and SSC of QDA and QTD stations in September 2009. Fig. 3, Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 show results of validation of the models. It can be seen that simulated result of discharge well agreed with observed data. Simulated salinity and SSC are although imperceptibly diverged from observed data,
the phases and peaks are nearly equal. Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE) and RMSE-observations standard deviation ratio (RSR) indexes\(^5\) indicate well performed model in all calculations (Table 1).

### 5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### (1) Relations of hydrodynamics and salinity

Fig. 6 demonstrates discharge rate of Tran De and Dinh An at cross transect QT and QD in Fig. 1. The rate depends on the value of upstream discharge before the division point. Dinh An accounts for 57.43 %, 66.57 %, 68.37 % and 68.59 % of the upstream discharge (Qup) corresponding to range of 0-7000 m\(^3\)/s, 7000-14000 m\(^3\)/s, 14000-21000 m\(^3\)/s and 21000-28000 m\(^3\)/s respectively. It is obvious that discharge rate is significantly prone to Dinh An when the range of upstream discharge is large. The averaged values for Dinh An and Tran De branches in 2009 are 65.24% and 34.76% of upstream discharge.

Fig. 7 shows correlation of discharge at QT and QD; and Fig. 8 presents correlation of salinity concentration at Tra Kha and Tran De station. Although QT and QD have clearly linear relation with \(R^2=0.98\), it is hard to find out the same situation in salinity concentration. Generally speaking, salinity concentration at Tran De is slightly greater than at Tra Kha. This result may be caused that not only discharge rate but also tide variation is governing salinity distribution of these estuaries.

#### (2) Response of downstream to sensitive change of upstream discharge rate

To investigate the effect of upstream discharge rate on interaction of hydrodynamics, saline intrusion and sediment transport between Dinh An and Tran De, a series of numerical experiments were simulated for 5 cases of the discharge rate as shown in Table 2. The period of simulations is one month of Feb. 2009. From experiment E2 to E5, all offshore boundary conditions were kept same as actual case E1, and river boundaries were controlled.

As shown in Fig. 9, averagely vertical velocity at QDA and QTD is sensitively subject to variation of discharge rate. When the rate of upstream discharge has bias toward Dinh An (E2 and E3), velocity magnitude at this estuary is raised; and then, velocity magnitude at Tran De is declined. This trend is to oppose in E4 and E5. The rate of increase/decrease of the velocity magnitude is proportional with added/subtracted amount of upstream water discharge. Changing velocity amplitude of Tran De seems greater than Dinh An in all experiment cases. Fundamentally, velocity, erosion and deposition in an
open channel have close relationship. St-Onge et al proved that erosion is accelerated when velocity of flow is intensified, low velocity makes favorable condition for suspended sediment to settle down. Salinity concentration at downstream of each branch significantly shifts in experiments which have large change of upstream discharge. Besides, the shifting value is not stable during simulation period. In experiment E3, the discharge at Dinh An is risen, it makes salinity concentration in this estuary reduce maximum of 5.4 psu at Tra Kha and increase the same amount at Tran De when compared with experiment E1. On the contrary, in experiment E5, salinity concentration in Tran De and Tra Kha drops and heightens maximum of 5.8 psu and 3.8 psu respectively. In addition, the results reveal that Tran De is more sensitive with change of discharge than Dinh An (Fig. 10 and Fig. 11).

SSC in Dinh An and Tran De mouth is proportional with changing rate of upstream discharge and velocity magnitude but has inverse relation with salinity concentration. Fig. 12 shows time series of surface SSC in experiment E1, E3 and E5 at Dinh An and Tran De station. Supplemented or lost amount of upstream river discharge in each branch makes increase or decrease in SSC at vicinity of the mouths (like at Dinh An and Tran De station). Mechanism of such phenomena is to suppose that when the upstream discharge of a branch is gained, it encourages erosion of river bank and river bed in this branch due to strengthened velocity. Subsequently, SSC in water column is higher than in the actual condition. Such amount of SSC is then transported to the mouth; under condition of estuarine circulation, it deposits there (corresponding to Dinh An branch in E2 and E3, Tran De branch in E4 and E5). In contrast, if upstream discharge of a branch is smaller than in the actual case, sediment is easy to settle down because velocity intensity is not great enough to carry them out. Therefore, suspended sediment is partly deposited at upstream river part of this branch before flowing to the ocean and it makes SSC in water column near the mouth smaller than actual condition (associating with Dinh An branch in E4 and E5, Tran De branch in E2 and E3). As the result of above sediment dynamics, morphology of estuaries is subject to change. Fig. 13 and Fig. 14 show bed thickness change in experiment E1 as well as differences in bed thickness change between experiment E1 with E3 and E1 with E5 respectively; in which, positive value is deposition and negative one is erosion. When comparing morphology of experiment cases with real condition of Feb. 2009, Dinh An branch is more eroded in river part along with more deposited by
sediment near the mouth in experiment E3. In the same time, sediment deposition exceeds in river part of Tran De and more erosion is found at the mouth. The results are opposite in experiment E5 when Tran De discharge is increased. Results of experiment E2 and E4 (not shown) are similar to E3 and E5 respectively but the degree of change is smaller.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We applied ECOMSED model to simulate comprehensively mutual interaction of Dinh An-Tran De estuary pair of Mekong River under circumstance of upstream river discharge variation. The model outputs were compared with observed data and shown a good agreement. Simulations of one month of Feb 2009 predicted that current velocity and SSC in the river mouths are roughly proportional to amount of added/deducted upstream river discharge, salinity intrusion of each estuary is remarkably declined when fresh water is inclined to such estuary. Erosion and deposition of sediment are also reallocated by the discharge rate induced intensified/weakened velocity. Further study should simulate in longer term to see seasonal effects on evolution of these estuaries.
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