322 Enhancement of Feed Drive Dynamics of NC Machine Tools by Actively Controlled Sliding Guideway

A. Matsubara, K. Lee, S. Ibaraki and Y. Kakino

Department of Precision Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University,
 Yoshida-honmachi Sakyo-ku Kyoto, Japan 606-8501

E-mail: matsubara@prec.kyoto-u.ac.jp, t60a0715@ip.media.kyoto-u.ac.jp,

ibarak@prec.kyoto-u.ac.jp, kakino@prec.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Summary

In this paper, we propose a simple sliding guideway with a hydraulically operated brake unit, which can control sliding friction force on a feed drive system. The sliding friction force is controlled by the brake force command generated from velocity information of a table and/or a servomotor. Several damping control laws are implemented in the brake force control unit. Structural vibration of ballscrew drives can be successfully controlled by this actively controlled sliding guideway in experiments.

Keywords: Feed drive, Hydraulic brake, Damping control, Servo system

1. Introduction

In order to meet the demand for high speed and high productive machining, high speed machining centers with high speed drives and high speed spindles have been developed. Recently, the development of high speed drives has been stagnant around the feed rate of 60-80m/min and the acceleration of 1.0-1.5G⁽¹⁾. Rather than getting higher speed, a higher contouring accuracy in various high speed motions has been considered as a more important issue. One of the major issues is vibration; such a high speed feed drive requires to employ guideways of linear roller or ball bearings, which tend to have a lower damping compared to conventional sliding guideways.

Higashimoto et al. introduced an electrically controllable viscous damper with Ferro Magnetic Fluid, which can provide a suitable damping capacity to a feed drive mechanism⁽²⁾. However, this method increases the coefficient of viscous damping in the motor drive and has an auxiliary mass, which requires an additional motor power. Ishiyama et al. proposed a table system of machine tools with electro rheological fluid but it is a passive control and thus causes more load on the motor⁽³⁾.

We propose a simple sliding guideway with a hydraulically operated brake unit, which can control sliding friction force on a feed drive system by changing the brake force according to velocity information of a table and/or a servomotor. By using the hydraulic brake, it is possible to design system compactly and the hydraulic pump for power source is usually equipped in the conventional machine tools. The experimental results show that structural vibration of ballscrew drives can be successfully controlled by this actively controlled sliding guideway.

2. System configuration

Figure 1 shows the schematic of actively controlled sliding guideway with a ballscrew drive and ball bearings. A rail of guideway is put between a pair of hydraulic brake units. The pressing force to the brake pad is controlled by hydraulic double acting cylinders, therefore friction force in the feed direction is controlled. The control objective is to supply an adequate damping force to the feed drive system without increasing static friction force. The reason of using the hydraulic brake is that high pressing force can be obtained in the compact configuration, which meets a demand in the design of actual NC machine drives.

Fig.1 Schematic of actively controlled sliding guideway

Fig.2 Control system of the actively controlled sliding guideway

Fig.3 Schematic view of the test stand

Figure 2 shows the control system of the actively controlled sliding guideway. The damping force commander generates a command input to be added finally to the table as damping force. The damping force command input is transformed into a pushing force of the brake unit (referred to as the brake force hereafter), and forwarded to the force feedback loop. The force control unit generates flow rate signals based on the error between commanded and measured values of brake forces. The brake force is measured by strain gauges attached to a supporting element of the brake pad.

3. Basic characteristics of active sliding guideway

3.1 Experimental setup

Figure 3 and 4 show a schematic view and a photograph of the test stand, respectively. A precision ballscrew coupled with a rotary servomotor is used as the main feed drive. The table is supported by a linear guideway, and the table position is detected with a linear scale for full-closed loop control as well as ideal damping control. For control hardware, a 32bit DSP board is used. Motion and friction control algorithms are programmed on a PC and sent to the DSP board. A torque input signal to the servomotor and a flow rate signal to the servo valve are sent to each amplifier through a 12bit D/A converter. Rotary encoder and linear scale signals are received through a 32bit counter board. Table 1 shows the specifications of the main components.

Fig.4 Photograph of the test stand

Table 1 Basic specification of the test stand

Servo motor	Rated power kW	0.2
	Rated torque Nm	0.64
	Maximum torque Nm	1.9
	Rated angular velocity rpm	3000
	Maximum angular velocity rpm	4000
	Inertial of rotor kg·cm ²	0.35
	Resolution of encoder pulse/rev	8192
	Coefficient of torque Nm	0.24
Ball screw	Diameter mm	20
	Lead mm	20
	Stroke mm	350
	Precision grade	precision
	Coefficient of torque	0.24
Linear scale	Resolution μm/pulse	0.5
Servo Valve	Rated pressure MPa	21
	Minimum working pressure MPa	0
	Maximum pressure MPa	21
	Rated flow rate L/min	5

3.2 Brake force control

Figure 5 shows the control block of brake force. The response of the brake force feedback loop dominates the performance of the active sliding guide. In Fig. 5,

F_{bi} : brake force command [N]

V_{si} : strain command [V]

F_b : brake force [N]

$K_b(s)$: force controller, $K_b(s) = K_{bp} \left(1 + \frac{K_{bi}}{s} + K_{bd}s \right)$

$G_b(s)$: transfer function from flow rate command signal to strain signals

κ : transfer coefficient from strain signal to force signal [N/V]

We employ a PID controller for force feedback controller. For the tuning of the PID control, Ziegler-Nichols method is used. By conducting several steps of tuning, we finally obtain a set of tuning parameters as $K_{bp}=3.5$, $K_{bi}=90$, $K_{bd}=0.0005$. Figure 6 shows a step response of the tuned brake force control. For the sudden change of commanded brake force from 70 to 480 N, the brake force control gives a quick response with a little vibration, where the rising time and the time delay are 2.4ms and 4.0ms respectively.

Figure 7 shows a frequency response of the brake force control. As can be seen in this figure, the 90 degrees phase crossing frequency is 410 rad/s.

3.3 Friction force characteristics

3.3.1 Main feed drive

First, the friction characteristics of the main ballscrew drive are measured. The motor current shows a dynamic friction force when the motion does not include any deceleration and acceleration. The table is fed at a constant speed and the motor current is measured. Figure 8 shows the experimental results. The figure indicates a combination of Coulomb and slight viscous frictions. As the table speed approaches to zero, the force characteristic changes from dynamic to static friction. Except for the static area, the friction force is modeled as follows.

$$F_d = F_v \dot{x}_t + F_c \quad (1)$$

where F_d : dynamic friction force [N]

F_v : coefficient of viscous friction [N·s/m]

F_c : Coulomb friction force [N]

$\dot{x}_t$: table speed [m/s]

Fig.5 Control block of brake force

Fig.6 Step response of the tuned brake force control

Fig.7 Frequency response of the brake force control

To identify the static friction force, another experiment is conducted. The torque command for servomotor is gradually increased, and the motor current at the moment when the table is started moving is measured, which is regarded as the static friction force F_s . From several experiments, F_s is identified as 41 N.

3.3.2 Sliding guideway

Second, the relationship between the brake force and friction increment in a feed direction must be investigated. In this paper, this friction increment is assumed to be a function of table speed and brake force:

$$\Delta F_d = g(\dot{x}_t, F_b) \quad (2)$$

The same experiments as shown in Section 3.3.1 are conducted, and the friction increment by brake is estimated by subtracting the motor torque without brake force from the one with a constant brake force at a constant speed.

Figure 9 shows the relationship between the measured brake force and friction force.

As seen in Fig.9, this relationship can be linearly modeled at each table speed. That is, Eq.(2) can be written as :

$$\Delta F_d = \mu(\dot{x}_t) F_b \quad (3)$$

where $\mu(\dot{x}_t)$ is the dynamic friction coefficient.

By identifying each slope of the linear relationship in Fig.9, the relationship between the dynamic friction force and the table speed is obtained as shown in Fig.10. This relationship can be modeled as a 4th order polynomial : $\mu(\dot{x}_t) = 0.985\dot{x}_t^4 + 1.49\dot{x}_t^3 - 0.996\dot{x}_t^2 + 0.361\dot{x}_t + 0.0365$ (4)

4. Experiments of damping control

In order to examine the influence of active sliding guideway to the dynamics of main feed drive, damping control is attempted on the test stand. Figure 11 shows the block diagram of the entire control system, which consists of a servo loop for main drive and a damping control block with the active sliding guideway. The main servo loop employs a conventional velocity (PI) and position (P) feedback loops. The damping control block is designed based on velocity feedback control. The motor velocity and table velocity are fed back to the servomotor and the brake force loop of sliding guideway with 2x2 gain matrix C_p . By selecting C_p , various damping control laws can be realized. In this paper, the following velocity feedback laws are attempted.

Fig.8 Relationship between the table velocity and friction force

Fig.9 relationship between the measured brake force and friction force

Fig.10 the relationship between the dynamic friction force and the table speed

(1) Direct Velocity Feedback (DVFB) to table: The table velocity is simply fed back to the brake force loop.

$$C_P = C_z \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

(2) Relative Velocity Feedback (RVFB) to table: The relative velocity between the motor and table velocity is fed back to the brake force loop.

$$C_P = C_z \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

(3) Direct Velocity Feedback (DVFB) to motor: For comparison, a conventional velocity feedback, which feedbacks motor velocity to servomotor torque is also tested:

$$C_P = C_z \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

Figure 12 show frequency responses from servomotor torque command to motor velocity obtained in the experiment. As the damping coefficient C_z increases, each gain peak at the resonance frequency can be lowered. This implies that the conventional motor torque feedback without the active sliding guideway is also

Fig.11 Block diagram of the entire control system

Fig.12 Frequency responses from servomotor torque command to motor velocity (experiment)

effective to increase the velocity loop gain. The difference between DVFB to table and DVFB to motor is that the gain valley at the anti-resonance frequency is recovered due to the table-side feedback.

A problem with DVFB to table is that the gain is lowered at lower frequencies, which implies that the motor is required to provide more power to cope with vicious damping in motion control. RVFB to table can address this problem, as it only provides the damping energy at resonance and anti-resonance frequencies. Thus, RVFB to table is effective to implement an ideal dynamics of feed drive.

5. Conclusion

We proposed an actively controlled sliding guideway in this paper. We investigated the relationship between the brake force and the friction force of the table to show that they have a linear relation in a constant speed. However, the coefficient of the friction is non-linear, which is modeled as a 4th order polynomial. The damping control laws of DVFB to table, RVFB to table and DVFB to motor were implemented in the brake force control unit. The experimental results show that RVFB to table reduces the resonance frequency and recovers the gain at the anti-resonance frequency by increasing the damping coefficient C_z , which was proved effective to reform the dynamics of feed drive. The other schemes have the similar effect but they cause other problems.

References

- (1) Y. Kakino and A. Matsubara, High Speed and High Acceleration Feed Drives System for NC Machine Tools, International J. of Japan Society for Precision Engineering, Vol.30, No.4 (1996), pp.295-298.
- (2) A. Higashimoto, T. Watanabe, Y. Kakino, Z. Lie, Y. Nakano and H. Maruyama, The Study of Improvement of Dynamic Characteristics of Position Control System by Viscous Controllable Fluid Damper-1st report, Trans. Jpn. Soc. Mech. Eng., (in Japanese), Vol.64, No.618, C (1998), pp.683-689.
- (3) M. Ishiyama, T. Aoyama and K. Edamura, Application of Electrorheological Fluid of the Dynamic Performance Enhancement of Machine Tool Table Systems, Trans. Jpn. Soc. Mech. Eng., (in Japanese), Vol.60, No.577, C (1994), pp.2964-2970.