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Duodenal hypersensitivity to acid in patients with functional dyspepsia—pathogenesis and evaluation

Manabu Ishii1, Hiroaki Kusunoki2, Noriaki Manabe3, Tomoari Kamada1, Motonori Satō1, Hiroshi Imamura1, Akiko Shiotani1, Jiro Hata3 and Ken Haruma1

1Division of Gastroenterology Department of Internal Medicine; 2Division of General Medicine; 3Division of Endoscopy and Ultrasound Department of Clinical Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Kawasaki Medical School, Japan

Received November 28, 2009; Accepted December 10, 2009

Abstract

Functional dyspepsia (FD) is a subcategory of the functional gastrointestinal disorders according to the Rome III classification of functional gastroduodenal disorders. FD is characterized by the presence of symptoms that are believed to be associated with gastroduodenal lesions, particularly epigastric pain or burning, postprandial fullness, or early satiation, without the evidence of organic disease likely to explain the onset of these symptoms. Generally, multiple factors are considered to be involved in the onset of dyspeptic symptoms in patients with FD. Among these factors, acid is thought to be more important because proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) and histamine 2 (H2)-receptor antagonists have been proposed to be effective therapies for a subset of patients with FD. Although manometric methods, scintigraphic methods, electrogastrography and ultrasonography have been used to evaluate enterokinesis, a practical method for evaluating duodenal hypersensitivity to acid has not been reported. Recently, we attempted to evaluate duodenal hypersensitivity to acid and gastric motility by duodenal acidification using transnasal endoscopy. Using this method, we could simultaneously evaluate both dyspeptic symptoms and gastric motility in healthy volunteers. Furthermore, we evaluated duodenal hypersensitivity to acid in healthy volunteers and in patients with FD, and we reported that duodenal acidification induced dyspeptic symptoms more significantly in patients with FD than in healthy volunteers.
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Introduction

Functional dyspepsia (FD) is a subcategory of the functional gastrointestinal disorders according to the Rome III classification of functional gastroduodenal disorders. FD is characterized by the presence of symptoms that are believed to be associated with
gastroduodenal lesions, particularly epigastric pain or burning, postprandial fullness, or early satiation, without the evidence of organic disease to explain the onset of these symptoms at least 6 months before diagnosis (Tach et al., 2006). Furthermore, FD is divided into 2 subtypes postprandial distress syndrome (PDS) and epigastric pain syndrome (EPS). The diagnostic criteria for PDS include the presence of 1 or both of the following symptoms several times in a week: bothersome postprandial fullness occurring after ordinary-sized meals, and early satiation that prevents finishing a regular meal. The diagnostic criteria for EPS include the presence of all of the following symptoms: moderately severe pain or burning localized to the epigastrium at least once per week, and intermittent pain, not generalized or localized to other abdominal or chest regions, not relieved by defecation or passage of flatus, and not fulfilling the criteria for gallbladder and sphincter of Oddi disorders.

The results of a Swedish population-based study, indicated that the prevalence rates of FD, PDS, EPS, and PDS accompanied with EPS were 15.7%, 12.2%, 5.5%, and 1.7%, respectively (Aro et al., 2009). The results of a Norwegian population-based study, showed that the lifetime prevalence rate of FD was 23% in men and 18% in women (Johnsen et al., 1988), and that in the United States, was 29% (Shaib et al., 2004).

Different factors such as delayed gastric emptying (Stanghellini et al., 1996; Sarnelli et al., 2003), hypersensitivity to gastric distension (Bradette et al., 1991; Mearin et al., 1991; Barbera et al., 1995; Tack et al., 2001), impaired gastric accommodation to a meal (Tach et al., 1998), abnormal duodenojejunal motility (Holtmann et al., 1996; Wilmer et al., 1998), duodenal motor and sensory dysfunction (Samsom et al., 1999; Schwarz et al., 2001), and duodenal hypersensitivity (Schwartz et al., 2001) have been implicated in the pathogenesis of FD. Among these factors, acid is thought to be more important because proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) and histamine 2 (H2)-receptor antagonists have been proposed to be effective therapies for a subset of patients with FD.

**Hypersensitivity to acid in patients with FD**

Lee et al. (2004) reported that acid infusion into the duodenal bulb induced dyspepsia in healthy volunteers, and the symptoms of dyspepsia are more readily observed in patients with FD than in healthy subjects (Samsom et al., 1999). Increased duodenal acid exposure plays a role in the onset of dyspeptic symptoms in patients with FD having prominent nausea (Lee et al., 2004). A recent study indicated that acid infusion into the stomach predominantly induced dysmotility-like dyspeptic symptoms in healthy Japanese control subjects (Miwa et al., 2007). PPIs and H2-receptor antagonists have been proposed as effective therapies for treating FD (Delaney et al., 2005; Veldhuyzen van Zanten et al., 2005; Kinoshita et al., 2005; Seno et al., 2005). Guidelines for the management of dyspepsia suggest that PPI therapy is more effective than a placebo or H2-receptor antagonists in relieving the symptoms of patients with uninvestigated dyspepsia (Talley et al., 2005).

**Duodenal acid and gastroduodenal motility**

Duodenal acidification suppresses antral contractions. Matsunaga et al. (1994) reported
that intragastric acidification and intraduodenal acidification at pH 1.0 inhibited spontaneous phase III activity in dogs. Simrén et al. (2003) reported that after acid infusion in healthy volunteers, antral contractions were lesser and the number of contractions in the proximal duodenum was greater than those before the infusion. It has been shown that the greater the concentration of acid in the duodenum, the greater is the inhibition of gastric emptying (Hunt et al., 1972). Duodenal pH influences interdigestive gastric motility in humans. Lowering of the duodenal pH prevents the occurrence of the gastric phase III (Woodtli et al., 1995), and, in animals, duodenal acidification induces gastric relaxation by exerting an inhibitory effect on the stomach (Lu et al., 1999). Duodenal acidification has an inhibitory effect on gastric emptying (Danzer et al., 2004; Raybould et al., 1993; Cooke, 1974; Mearadji et al., 1999; Parkman et al., 1998), and hydrochloric acid (HCl) may restrict gastric outflow by inducing tonic occlusion of the duodenum (Parkman et al., 1998).

**Pathphysiological mechanism of acid-sensing system**

Visceral organs receive dual innervation from primary afferents commonly referred to as sympathetic afferents (splanchnic nerves) and parasympathetic afferents (vagus nerves). Lamb et al. (2003) reported that electromyographically recorded visceromotor responses increased after HCl administration in rats, but vagotomy and pretreatment with capsaicin abolished these responses. Their findings indicated that vagal pathways are involved in mediating signals for the noxious stimulation of the stomach. Further, Scicho et al. (2005) reported that gastric acidification increased the expression of phosphorylated extracellular signal-regulated kinase-1 and -2 (p-ERK1/2) in the dorsal root ganglion neurons via N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors. They suggested that sympathetic pathways are involved in mediating signals for noxious stimulation of the stomach. Noxious mechanical stimulation showed that most of the increased p-ERK1/2 neurons coexpressed transient receptor potential vanilloid receptor 1 and acid-sensing ion channel 3 (Sakurai et al., 2008).

Transient receptor potential vanilloid receptor 1 and the acid-sensing ion channel 3 are largely involved in the acid-induced nociception in mammals (Ugawa et al., 2002), but it is still unknown which receptors of the peripheral sensory pathways encode and integrate an acid-induced nociceptive event in the gastric mucosa and the duodenal mucosa. Akiba et al. (2002) reported that the capsaicin pathway is an acid-sensing pathway that promotes hyperemia and mucus secretion in response to luminal acid in the duodenum.

**New method for evaluating duodenal hypersensitivity to acid and gastric motility**

As discussed above, duodenal hypersensitivity to acid is one of the more important factors in the pathogenesis of FD. Although manometric methods, scintigraphic methods, electrogastrography and ultrasonography have been used to evaluate enterokinesis, a practical method for evaluating duodenal hypersensitivity to acid has not been reported. Transnasal endoscopy is a recently developed, non-invasive and nondiscomforting method for examination of the upper gastrointestinal tract (Yagi et al., 2005; Murata et al., 2007). We developed a new
method for evaluating duodenal hypersensitivity to acid and gastric motility by duodenal acidification using transnasal endoscopy (Ishii et al., 2008).

The detailed experimental protocol is described below. All subjects underwent transnasal endoscopy as required in the left lateral decubitus position in the morning after overnight fasting. The infusion of air into their stomachs was minimized in order to observe their gastric motility. An infusion tube (outer diameter 1.5 mm) was then introduced by transnasal endoscopy until the tip was located in the duodenal bulb. The subjects changed their body position to the supine position, and their antral contractions and dyspeptic symptoms were evaluated before and after a duodenal infusion of pure water (36.5°C, 100 ml) and acid (36.5°C, 0.1 N HCl, 100 ml). The images of transnasal endoscopy were recorded from the beginning until the end on a DVD and were analyzed after finishing the examination. We infused 100 ml of pure water and acid at a rate of 20 ml/min. The use of an electronic infusion pump, ensured that the subjects were blinded to the nature (acid or pure water) of the infusion. The acid infusion was started 5 min after the infusion of pure water was finished. The severity of each symptom was assessed by each subject using a 10-cm visual analogue scale every 2 min. The symptoms assessed were as follows: a heavy feeling in the stomach, bloating, nausea or feeling sick, belching, a dull pain in the stomach, cramping pain in the stomach, a pricking pain in the stomach, tickling or tingling sensation in the throat, a sour or bitter taste, a feeling that something is stuck in the throat, and a burning sensation in the chest. The symptom severity scales were set at 0 cm before the duodenal infusion of water and acid. The maximum severity scale was calculated as the mean of the individual maximum values. We evaluated the differences between the maximum severity scales in the infusion of pure water and acid. Antral contractions beginning every 2 min before the duodenal infusion of water were counted every 2 min until the end of the examination. The macroscopic waves of gastric peristalsis propagating from the gastric body to the antrum were counted. The motility number was defined as the mean number of antral contractions in 1 min. We evaluated the differences between the motility numbers before and after the infusion of pure water and those before and after acid infusion. We compared the changes in the symptom severity scales, the maximum severity scales of each subject, the number of antral contractions, and the motility number between the acid and pure water infusion. Using this method, we showed that the maximum severity scale of a heavy feeling in the stomach, and other symptoms was significantly greater after the acid infusion than that the pure water infusion in healthy volunteers (Table 1). During pure water infusion, no changes were observed between the motility numbers. On the other hand, the motility number significantly decreased after duodenal acidification (before vs. after: 2.93 ± 0.12 times vs. 1.11 ± 0.23 times, P<0.0001) (Fig. 1).

**Duodenal hypersensitivity to acid in healthy volunteers and patients with FD**

Using this method, we evaluated duodenal hypersensitivity to acid in healthy volunteers and patients with FD (Ishii et al., 2009). In this study, we infused the patients with 20 ml of HCl at a rate of 20 ml/min. We found that duodenal acidification induced dyspeptic symptoms more significantly in patients with FD than in healthy volunteers.
Table 1  Maximum severity on the 10-cm visual analogue scale after infusion of 0.1 mol/l hydrochloric acid (HCl) or pure water (n=14)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Maximum severity scale (cm) (Mean ± SEM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 mol/l HCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy feeling in the stomach</td>
<td>4.76 ± 0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea or feeling sick</td>
<td>4.65 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloating</td>
<td>3.66 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belching</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cramping pain in the stomach</td>
<td>3.39 ± 0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dull pain in the stomach</td>
<td>4.05 ± 0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pricking pain in the stomach</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tickling or tingling in the throat</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sour or bitter taste</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling that something is stuck in the throat</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burning sensation in the chest</td>
<td>2.67 ± 0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. The motility number was defined as the mean number of antral contractions in 1 min. The differences between the motility number in the infusion of water (before and after) and acid (before and after) were evaluated. During water infusion, no changes were observed in the motility number: 2.68 ± 0.23 (mean ± standard error of mean (SEM) times in 1 min before the infusion of water and 2.79 ± 0.06 times after its infusion. On the other hand, during acid infusion, significant differences were observed between the motility number: 2.93 ± 0.12 times in 1 min before the infusion of acid and 1.1 ± 0.23 times after its infusion (P<0.0001, paired t-test). Each set of points represents an individual healthy volunteer.

Conclusion

In conclusion, using transnasal endoscopy, we could induce dyspeptic symptoms and inhibit antral motility by direct infusion of acid into the duodenum. Further, this method enabled the evaluation of duodenal hypersensitivity to acid in healthy volunteers and in patients with FD.

Using this method, we intend to investigate a correlation between duodenal hypersensitivity to acid and the effectiveness of PPI therapy for the treatment of FD.
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