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Reactivity of Anti-Nipah Virus Monoclonal Antibodies to Formalin-fixed, Paraffin-embedded Lung Tissues from Experimental Nipah and Hendra Virus Infections
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ABSTRACT. The immunohistochemical reactivity of seven clones of mouse monoclonal antibodies raised to Nipah virus antigens were investigated using formalin-fixed, paraffin embedded porcine and equine lung tissues from experimental Nipah and Hendra virus infection, respectively. Either microwave irradiation or enzymatic digestion effectively unmasked the viral antigens in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sections. Four clones showed positive reaction to both Nipah virus-infected porcine lung tissue and Hendra virus-infected equine lung tissue. Two clones (11F6 and 13A5) reacted with Nipah virus-infected porcine lung tissue, but not with Hendra virus-infected equine lung tissue. These Nipah virus-specific monoclonal antibodies may therefore be useful for immunohistological diagnosis of Nipah virus infection and for further research on Nipah virus pathogenesis.
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Nipah virus is a new paramyxovirus which emerged in Malaysia from 1998 to 1999 and caused fatal encephalitis in humans [1] and respiratory and neurological syndrome in pigs [13, 15]. Hendra virus is also a lethal zoonotic paramyxovirus which caused respiratory disease in humans and horses in Australia [11]. Nipah virus and Hendra virus contain cross-reactive antigenic domains that are not shared with any other members of the Paramyxoviridae. Phylogenetic analysis demonstrated that although Nipah virus and Hendra virus are closely related, they are distinct from any of the established genera within the Paramyxoviridae [3].

Immunohistochemistry is highly recommended for laboratory diagnostic tests of Nipah virus infection, because it is performed on formalin-fixed tissues in which the virus is inactivated [2]. The tissue tropism of Nipah virus in humans and pigs has been examined by polyclonal anti-Nipah virus antibody [5, 10, 16] and anti-Hendra virus antibody [1, 5, 10, 16]. Both Nipah and Hendra viruses induced syncytial cells in vascular tissues and they were primarily vasotropic and/or neurotropic, generating interstitial pneumonia or encephalitis [1, 4, 5, 11, 16]. Nipah virus in pigs was also epitheliotropic in respiratory epithelium [5, 10]. However, immunohistochemical detection of Nipah virus antigens on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues using monoclonal antibodies has not been reported. Monoclonal antibodies against formalin-inactivated Nipah virus isolated from the lung of a field pig have recently been characterized [7]. The purpose of the present study is to examine the immunohistochemical reactivity of these monoclonal antibodies to Nipah and Hendra virus antigens in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded porcine and equine lung tissues, respectively, and to establish a monoclonal antibody-based immunohistochemical detection method specific to Nipah virus antigens.

Seven monoclonal antibodies [7] were used as primary antibodies to Nipah virus antigens. Paraffin blocks of Nipah virus-infected porcine lung tissue and Hendra virus-infected equine lung tissue were provided by the Australian Animal Health Laboratory (CSIRO, Australia). Accommodation of virus-infected animals and necropsy were carried out at Biosafety Level 4. Two 6-week-old pigs were inoculated with 50,000 median tissue culture infective dose (TCID50) Nipah virus subcutaneously [10]. Seven and 8 days after inoculation, each pig was killed by intravenous injection of pentobarbital sodium and the lung tissues were fixed with 10% neutral buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. A 7-year-old horse was inoculated with 50,000 TCID50 Hendra virus [4] subcutaneously. Necropsy was conducted after 7 days post-inoculation and the lung tissue was fixed with 10% neutral buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin.

For immunohistochemical staining, 4 µm sections mounted on silane-coated glass slides were deparaffinized in xylene and alcohol. Endogenous peroxidase activity in sections was blocked by 3% H2O2 in PBS for 15 min. For antigen retrieval, sections were digested by 1 mg/ml of actinase E (Kaken Seiyaku Inc., Tokyo, Japan) in PBS for 5 to 20 min at 37°C, or heated by microwave [9] at maximum output (approximately 500W) in citrate buffer (pH6.0) (DAKO ChemMate™, Buffer for Antigen Retrieval, Dako-Cytomation, Denmark) in four cycles of 5 min each. Primary antibodies were applied for 30 min at room temperature. Optimal dilution of primary antibody (12A5, 18C4) and optimal antigen retrieval method were previously determined by titration experiments. Hybridoma culture supernatants of 11F6, 12D7, 13A5 and 13C4 were directly applied to sections. This step was followed by sequential application of goat anti-mouse IgG antibody conjugated with peroxidase (Histofine, Simple stain, Nichirei Inc.,
staining procedures were ascertained by the introduction of then counterstained in hematoxylin. The specificity of the according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Sections were (Histofine, Simple stain, Nichirei Inc., Tokyo, Japan) Tokyo, Japan), and diaminobenzidine chromogen (VMRD, Inc., Pullman, WA) or anti-respiratory syncytial virus antibody (18B2) ( ARGENE Inc., Massapequa, NY).

Six of seven monoclonal antibodies yielded intense positive staining in the Nipah virus-infected porcine lung tissues (Table 1). The positively stained cells included bronchial (Fig. 1A), bronchiolar, alveolar epithelial cells (Fig. 1B), vascular endothelial and smooth muscle cells (Fig. 1C). Immunohistochemical staining by use of serial sections confirmed that all six monoclonal antibodies reacted with the same cells. Although 11E11 has strong neutralizing activity [7], no positive staining was obtained with 11E11 using any antigen retrieval method or concentration of immunoglobulin. 11E11 may recognize an epitope within the protein molecule that is modified irreversibly by formalin fixation [14] and paraffin embedding. 12A5 reacted intensely without use of the antigen retrieval method. An antigenic structure recognized by 12A5 might be formalin-resistant and not modified after fixation [14]. No relationship was found between the optimal antigen retrieval method and the Nipah virus antigen retrieved by the antigen retrieval method. Further ultrastructural study may be necessary to examine the presence of viruses or nucleocapsids within vascular endothelia and smooth muscles and the pathogenesis of vasculitis [10].

The ultrastructural examination of Hendra virus-infected equine lungs showed that the Hendra virus replicates in syncytial cells of the vascular endothelia [6]. The distribution of Hendra virus antigens in the equine lung was consistent with that in the previous report using polyclonal anti-Hendra virus antibody and experimentally Hendra virus-infected pigs [10]. These results suggest that the monoclonal antibodies raised against Hendra virus identify the cells in which Hendra virus replicates. Further ultrastructural study may be necessary to examine the presence of viruses or nucleocapsids within vascular endothelia and smooth muscles and the pathogenesis of vasculitis [10]. The ultrastructural examination of Hendra virus-infected equine lungs showed that the Hendra virus replicates in syncytial cells of the vascular endothelia [6]. The distribution of Hendra virus antigens in the equine lung was consistent with that in the previous report using polyclonal anti-Hendra virus antibody and experimentally Hendra virus-infected horses [4]. The present results suggest that the monoclonal antibodies (12A5, 12D7, 13C4, 18C4) raised against Hendra virus cross-react with the viral antigens in the cells in which Hendra virus replicates.

All seven clones of monoclonal antibodies raised against Hendra virus did not react with ADV antigens or PRRSV

| Clone name | Concentration of immunoglobulin | Optimal antigen retrieval method | Immunohistochemical staining
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11E11</td>
<td>3.5 µg/ml</td>
<td>No effect</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11F6</td>
<td>Supernatant</td>
<td>Microwave</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13A5</td>
<td>Supernatant</td>
<td>Microwave</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18C4</td>
<td>0.8 µg/ml</td>
<td>Actinase E (20 min)</td>
<td>++ ±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13C4</td>
<td>Supernatant</td>
<td>Microwave</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12A5</td>
<td>0.2 µg/ml</td>
<td>unnecessary</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12D7</td>
<td>Supernatant</td>
<td>Actinase E (5 min)</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Immunohistochemical reactivity of anti-Nipah virus antibodies to Nipah virus and Hendra virus antigens in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded lung tissues

a) Clone names of monoclonal antibodies [7].
b) Purified immunoglobulins of 11E11, 12A5, and 18C4, and supernatant fluids of other monoclonal antibodies were applied to tissue sections.
c) Microwave = Tissue sections were heated in Citrate buffer (pH 6.0) by microwave in four cycles of 5 min each. Actinase E = Tissue sections were digested in PBS containing 0.1% actinase E at 37°C for 5 or 20 min.
d) Lung tissues of pigs experimentally infected with Nipah virus and lung tissues of a horse experimentally infected with Hendra virus were used for immunohistochemical examination.
++ = intense (dark brown) staining, + = weak (light brown) staining, ± = minimally detectable staining, – = no staining.
antigens in the lung tissues of pigs experimentally infected with ADV or PRRSV, respectively. Monoclonal antibodies against canine distemper virus nucleoprotein and respiratory syncytial virus did not react with the Nipah virus antigens in the experimentally Nipah virus-infected porcine lung tissues or Hendra virus antigens in the experimentally Hendra virus-infected equine lung tissue. These results support the validity of immunohistochemical procedures used in this study.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that the anti-Nipah virus monoclonal antibodies 11F6 and 13A5 can specifically detect Nipah virus antigens in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded lung tissues from experimentally-inoculated pigs. These Nipah virus-specific monoclonal antibodies may therefore be useful for immunohistochemical differential diagnosis of Nipah and Hendra virus infection and for further research on Nipah virus pathogenesis.
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