A Vague Understanding of the Biology and Epidemiology of Echinococcosis by Dog Owners in Hokkaido, an Endemic Island for *Echinococcus multilocularis* in Japan
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**ABSTRACT.** A questionnaire survey was conducted by giving 14 statements about echinococcosis to 2,070 dog owners residing in Hokkaido in order to evaluate their understanding about the biology and epidemiology of *Echinococcus multilocularis*. Analysis of the answers revealed that dog owners understood the disease superficially, and there were several points of confusion in their understanding, especially regarding differences in the modes of transmission and disease development in dogs and humans. The results suggest the need for the proper education of dog owners to perform proper prophylactic measures against the disease.
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*Echinococcus multilocularis* is distributed in the northern hemisphere, including Hokkaido, Japan. If humans accidentally ingest the parasite eggs and become infected, the parasite metacestodes develop in the liver and occasionally in other organs and cause a lethal disease, alveolar echinococcosis [10]. The parasite is primarily maintained in the sylvatic cycle, with foxes serving as definitive (final) hosts and voles serving as intermediate hosts. In endemic regions, dogs can also become a final host, serving as a potential infectious source to humans [1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 11]. Therefore, prophylaxis for dog infection is of high importance for risk management of the infection to humans, especially for dog owners [6].

In Hokkaido, the prevalence of infection in foxes has been approximately 40% during the last two decades [9]. By 2007, 531 human patients had been identified (data from the Hokkaido government). However, the routes of infection to humans have not been completely clarified [3, 10]. One possible route of infection that should be considered is via infected pet dogs. In our survey conducted from 1997 to 2007 to determine the prevalence of infection in pet dogs, 0.4% of the dogs examined (n=4,768) excreted taeniid eggs that were identified as *E. multilocularis* eggs by PCR examination of egg DNA [8]. To control echinococcosis, the Hokkaido government has been conducting surveys and countermeasures, including annual surveys on the prevalence of infection in foxes and other animals, development of diagnostic and therapeutic measures for human patients, and education of residents through schools and publications.

In this study, we conducted a simple questionnaire survey of dog owners who requested us to test their dogs for *E. multilocularis* infection in order to assess how precisely dog owners who have a potentially high risk of infection understand the biology and epidemiology of echinococcosis.

From 1997 to 2004, the questionnaire was conducted by giving 9 or 14 statements on the biology and epidemiology of echinococcosis to 2,070 dog owners residing in Hokkaido, who were asked to answer whether each statement is Right, Wrong, or Unknown (Table 1). More than 50% of the dog owners answered statements S1 to S8 correctly. Most of those statements contained descriptions of the basic biology and epidemiology of echinococcosis in Hokkaido, and the dog owners seemed to understand well the current situation of the disease in Hokkaido and the general mode of transmission. In contrast, less than 50% of the dog owners answered statements S9 to S14 correctly. In particular, less than 20% answered S13 and S14 correctly and more than 50% answered incorrectly.

Statements S4, S12, and S14 were related to the transmission of the parasite to dogs. Among the dog owners who answered S4 correctly, the percentages of owners that answered S12 correctly and incorrectly were 48.2% and 32.3%, respectively. For statement S14, the percentages were 24.2% and 60.4%, respectively. The results indicate that most dog owners understood that dogs get the infection by ingesting infected rodents; however, their understanding was vague, and many dog owners thought that dogs also get the infection from foxes, presumably by ingesting the parasite eggs excreted from foxes. One possible reason for this misunderstanding could be confusion regarding the two different modes of transmission to dogs and humans.

Regarding their understanding of the transmission to humans, 69.1% of the dog owners understood that humans...
get the infection by ingesting the parasite eggs. However, this understanding was also vague, and 26.1% of the dog owners believed that the disease could be transmitted from human to human (see Table 1, S10). Moreover, 22.4% of the dog owners thought that the disease could be transmitted from infected pigs to humans (see Table 1, S11). In Hokkaido, approximately 2,000 infected pigs (prevalence: 0.2%) are detected annually during meat inspections (data from the Hokkaido Government). However, like humans, pigs get the infection by ingesting the parasite eggs and then develop lesions in the liver, thus serve as accidental intermediate hosts. Therefore, pigs never excrete the parasite eggs and transmission from pigs to humans never occurs. The surveyed dog owners misunderstood another important aspect of the parasite life cycle: transmission never directly occurs between intermediate hosts, as from human to human or from pig to human.

Further confusion was elucidated by the answers to statement S13; 50.5% of the dog owners thought that dogs develop parasitic legions in the liver, indicating that the dog owners thought that dogs develop the same legions as humans. The surveyed dog owners misunderstood another important aspect of the parasite life cycle: transmission never directly occurs between intermediate hosts, as from human to human or from pig to human.

In conclusion, this study revealed that dog owners residing in Hokkaido, an endemic area of the disease, who have a risk of infection by their dogs superficially understood the biology and epidemiology of E. multilocularis. Their understanding about the difference in the mode of disease transmission to dogs and humans was not completely clear, leading them to misbelieve that dogs can get the infection in the same way as humans. This vague understanding was presumably due to the complicated nature of the parasite life cycle in that two different hosts play different biological roles, namely, final and intermediate hosts are required for completing the life cycle of the parasite. From the point of view on a risk management, the understanding on it is of primary importance for individual dog owner to perform effective prophylactic measures against parasite infection in his/her dogs. In order to do so, it is paramount that dog owners precisely understand the parasite life cycle and the events related to the risk of infection of dogs and humans. In this context, the role of veterinary practitioners is very important. We hope that the results of this simple questionnaire survey will be used by veterinary practitioners as a reference for explaining and enlightening dog owners of the disease process, then contribute to the performance of proper prophylactic measures against parasite infection by dog owners.
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