Inhibitory Effects of Ketoconazole, Cimetidine and Erythromycin on Hepatic CYP3A Activities in Cats
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ABSTRACT. Inhibitory effects of ketoconazole (KTZ), cimetidine (CIM), and erythromycin (ERY) were examined on CYP3A activities. Midazolam 1'- and 4-hydroxylation (MDZ1'H and MDZ4H) were used to determine CYP3A activities in hepatic microsomes obtained from cats (n=4). The results showed that, all the examined drugs inhibited the reactions in a noncompetitive manner. The inhibitory constants (Ki) of KTZ were 2.80 ± 0.70 and 115 ± 28 μM for MDZ1'H and MDZ4H, respectively. Those of CIM were 3.13 and 3.27 mM and of ERY were 3.14 and 6.41 mM for MDZ1'H and MDZ4H, respectively. Mechanism-based inhibition was also examined in this study. KTZ significantly reduced MDZ reactions in a time-dependent manner; while CIM and ERY did not. Also, the effects of KTZ and CIM on the pharmacokinetics of quinidine (QUN) were studied. KTZ or CIM (10 mg/kg/day, for one week) was given orally to cats (n=5). QUN (2 mg/kg, i. v.) was injected 2 hr after the last dose of KTZ or CIM. The analysis of the obtained pharmacokinetic parameters showed that, KTZ significantly reduced total body clearance of QUN by 35%, while CIM did not. These results suggest that, KTZ inhibits CYP3A activities (both in vitro and in vivo), but CIM does not. In clinical practice, therefore, KTZ may result in inhibition based drug-drug interaction with CYP3A substrates in cat patients, whereas CIM and ERY are unlikely to lead to interaction involving CYP3A substrates.
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The cytochrome P450 (CYP)-mediated drug metabolism is one of most important elimination pass ways in drug elimination. Of the CYP enzymes, CYP3A is the most important subfamily in human, because it catalyses biotransformation of approximately half of currently available drugs in clinical practice [1, 8]. The reduction in CYP3A activities, therefore, may result in serious adverse effects in drug therapy, because of increased bioavailability and decreased clearance of drugs.

Consequently, many researchers extensively studied the possible inhibitory effects of drugs on CYP3A activities [11, 25, 27]. As a result, many drugs were classified as a CYP3A inhibitor, includingazole antifungal agents [17, 26, 32], cimetidine (CIM) [4, 9, 15], and macrolide antibiotics [32] in humans or dogs. Many investigators have also demonstrated drug-drug interaction between CYP3A inhibitors and CYP3A substrates. In human, Yamano et al. [30] reported increasing plasma concentrations of midazolam (MDZ) with concomitant administration of CIM, itraconazole or erythromycin (ERY). They also reported that CIM, itraconazole and ERY decreased hepatic intrinsic clearance of MDZ by 30%, 60%, and 80%, and hepatic clearance by 20%, 50%, and 70%, respectively. In dogs concomitant use of ketoconazole (KTZ) decreased the total body clearance (Cltot) of nifedipine by 71% [10], that of MDZ by 71% [11], and that of quinidine (QUN) by about 60% [13].

Although it is well known that cats have quite low or negligible activities of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase, information about CYP3A-mediated drug metabolism is limited in cats. However, Shah et al. [22] have recently reported that 1'- and 4-hydroxylation of MDZ is mainly catalysed by CYP3A also in cats. Based on intrinsic clearance of the reaction, they suggested that CYP3A activities in female cats are similar to those in humans but lower than those of dogs. They also found that, these activities in male cats are higher than female cats and humans activities but similar to those in dogs [11].

Multiple drug therapy is frequently applied to cat patients. In addition, cats patients are often prescribed drugs that are used in human medicine. Of these drugs, some have been demonstrated to be CYP inhibitors in human. Therefore, there are possibilities of drug-drug interaction also in cats. Veterinary practitioners, therefore, should pay much attention to such drug-drug interaction. However, there are only a few reports describing potential drug-drug interaction in cats [23].

Although KTZ, CIM, and ERY were reported as CYP3A inhibitors in human, they are extensively used in many countries for treatment of cats without any restrictions due to lack of information about effects of these drugs on CYP enzyme activities. In the present study, therefore, we examined the inhibitory effects of KTZ, CIM, and ERY on CYP3A activities using feline hepatic microsomes. We also examined effects of multiple oral doses of KTZ and CIM on pharmacokinetics of QUN.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Chemicals:** MDZ, 1'-hydroxymidazolam and 4-hydroxymidazolam were purchased from Daiichi Chemicals Co., Ltd (Tokyo, Japan). Glucose-6-phosphate, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, and ERY were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, Mo, U.S.A.). KTZ, quinidine sulfate and CIM were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Co., Ltd (Osaka, Japan).

**Animals:** Nine female cats (short hair, 1–2.5 years old, weighing 2.2 to 3.0 kg) were obtained from Iffa Credo (France). Each cat was housed separately in a stainless-steel cage with a 12-hr light/dark cycle. Stable temperature and relative humidity were maintained at 19–22°C and 40–70%, respectively. The cats were given food (Science diet, feline maintenance, Hill’s pet Nutrition, Topeca, KS, U.S.A.) once a day and allowed access to water ad libitum. The experiments in this study were conducted in accordance with the guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals and approved by the committee of animal experiment, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology.

**Preparation of feline liver microsomes:** Four cats were deprived food overnight before the experiment. They were deeply anesthetised by intravenous injection of pentobarbital sodium (Nembutal®; Dainippon Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd, Osaka, Japan) at a dose of 25 mg/kg body wt., exsanguinated from a cannula inserted into the carotid, and then the liver was immediately isolated. The liver was instantly guinicate from a cannula inserted into the carotid, and then stored at –80°C until preparation of microsomes.

Microsomal fractions were prepared from the liver specimens as described by van der Hoeven and Coon [24]. The obtained microsomal suspension was stored at –80°C until used. The protein concentrations were determined by the method of Bradford [2] using a commercially available dye reagent (Bio-Rad Protein Assay®; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., CA, U.S.A.). CYP content was determined by the method described by Omura and Sato [18]. The obtained values were comparable to those described previously [22].

**Enzyme assay:** The reactions of MDZ 1’-hydroxylated (MDZ1’H) and 4-hydroxylated (MDZ4H) were used to determine the CYP3A activities in the microsomes, as these reactions are mainly catalysed by CYP3A in cats [22]. The reaction proceeded at 37°C in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing NADPH-generating system (0.5 mM bNADP®, 5 mM glucose-6-phosphate, 1.5 U/ml glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, and 5 mM MgCl2) and 0.3–0.5 mg microsomal protein in a total assay volume of 0.25 ml. There was a 5 min preincubation at 37°C before the reaction was started by the addition of MDZ. The concentrations of MDZ in the assay system ranged from 15 to 307 μM. The reaction proceeded at 37°C for 10 min and stopped by adding 0.25 ml of acetonitrile. The sample was placed on ice for 5 min and then centrifuged at 10,000 g for 2 min. The supernatant was filtered with a 0.45 μm filter (Chromatodisk 4P, Biofield Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), and 50 μl of the filtrate was immediately analysed to determine the concentrations of MDZ metabolites.

**Reversible inhibition test:** KTZ, CIM and ERY were dissolved in ethanol, and 10 μl of the solution was added in the assay system just before the addition of MDZ. The concentration of KTZ was 1 μM for MDZ1’H and 0.1 mM for MDZ4H in the assay system. The concentrations of CIM and ERY were 2.4 and 10.9 mM, respectively, in the assay system.

**Mechanism-based inhibition test:** After the preincubation of the assay system for 5 min, each inhibitor was added, and then the sample was incubated for 0, 10, 20 or 30 min before substrate was added at a concentration of 123 μM. The concentrations of each inhibitor in the assay system were the same as those in the reversible inhibition test.

**Determination of MDZ metabolites:** The hydroxylated metabolites of midazolam were determined using a reversed phase HPLC with UV-detection as described by Kuroha et al. [12]. The analytical column was C18 column (TSK-gel®; ODS-120T, 5 μm particle size, 250 × 4.6-mm i.d., Tosoh Co., Tokyo, Japan). Column effluent was monitored at 254 nm wavelength using an UV-detector (SPD-6A, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). The mobile phase was a mixture of 100 mM acetate buffer (pH 4.7), acetonitrile and methanol (59.4:35:5.6, v/v/v). The flow rate of the mobile phase was 1 ml/min. The detection limits of 1’- and 4-hydroxymidazolam were 3.6 and 2.5 ng/ml, respectively, at a signal-to-noise ratio of 3. The recovery of 1’-hydroxymidazolam was 101 ± 1.4% (CV=1.2%) at 1 μg/ml (n=4). The intra-day CV values were 1.4% and 5.4% at 0.1 and 1 μg/ml, respectively (n=4). The inter-day CV values ranged from 1.2% to 2.4% and from 2.1% to 5.7% at 0.1 and 1 μg/ml, respectively (3 days, 4 determinations/day). The recovery of 4-hydroxymidazolam was 102 ± 3.4% (CV=2.4%) at 1 μg/ml (n=4). The intra-day CV values were 1% and 3.9% at 0.1 and 1 μg/ml, respectively (n=4). The inter-day CV values ranged from 0.2% to 0.5% and from 2.6% to 8.5% at 0.1 and 1 μg/ml, respectively (3 days, 4 determinations/day).

**Enzyme kinetic analysis:** Lineweaver-Burk plots were used to determine the type of inhibition by the inhibitors and to obtain initial values for a nonlinear least squares regression. Since the plots suggested noncompetitive inhibition for each inhibitor, the following equations were used to analyse the enzyme kinetics of MDZ4H in absence or existence of inhibitors,

\[ v = \frac{V_{\text{max}} \times S}{K_m + S} \]  

Eq (1)  

\[ v = \frac{V_{\text{max}} \times S}{(K_m + S)(1 + \frac{I}{K_i})} \]  

Eq (2)
Where $V_{max}$ and $K_m$ represent maximal reaction velocity and Michaelis-Menten constant, respectively. $S$ and $I$ are concentrations of the substrate and inhibitors, respectively. $K_i$ is the inhibitory constant (dissociation constant of inhibitors). The kinetic profile of MDZ1'H was consistent with Michaelis-Menten kinetics with uncompetitive substrate inhibition [11], and therefore the following equations were fitted to MDZ1'H kinetics in absence or existence of inhibitors,

$$v = \frac{V_{max} \times S}{K_m + S \left(1 + \frac{S}{K_i}\right)} \quad \text{Eq (3)}$$

$$v = \frac{V_{max} \times S}{(1 + \frac{I}{K_i}) \left(K_m + S \left(1 + \frac{S}{K_i}\right)\right)} \quad \text{Eq (4)}$$

Where $K_i$ represents substrate inhibition constant.

Effect on QUN pharmacokinetics

Study design: In this study, the inhibitory effects of one week treatment of KTZ and CIM on pharmacokinetics of QUN were examined in five cats. QUN was chosen as a CYP3A substrate because it is one of CYP3A substrates in other animal species [13] and showed low hepatic extraction profiles in cats in preliminary experiments. For control, QUN was intravenously injected at 2 mg/kg 4 weeks before the start of KTZ or CIM treatment. KTZ tablets (Nizoral®) were obtained from Jansen Pharmaceutica (Titusville, NJ), and CIM tablets (Tagamet®) from Dainippon Sumitomo Pharma (Osaka, Japan). These tablets were grinded into small pieces, weighed, and then orally administered to cats. KTZ was administered at 10 mg/kg just before feeding once daily. CIM was administered three times a day for a week, following equations, 
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The kinetic profile of MDZ1’H was consistent with Michaelis-Menten kinetics with uncompetitive substrate inhibition [11], and therefore the following equations were fitted to MDZ1’H kinetics in absence or existence of inhibitors.

$$v = \frac{V_{max} \times S}{K_m + S \left(1 + \frac{S}{K_i}\right)} \quad \text{Eq (3)}$$

$$v = \frac{V_{max} \times S}{(1 + \frac{I}{K_i}) \left(K_m + S \left(1 + \frac{S}{K_i}\right)\right)} \quad \text{Eq (4)}$$

Where $K_i$ represents substrate inhibition constant.

Two curves, which were obtained with or without inhibitors in each reaction, were simultaneously analysed by use of the fitting program MULTI [29] to calculate $V_{max}$, $K_m$ and $K_i$ for MDZ4H and these parameters and $K_s$ for MDZ1’H.

Determination of plasma QUN concentrations: Plasma concentrations of QUN were determined by the HPLC with a fluorometric detector (RF-553; Shimadzu Corporation) as described by Edstein et al. [6] with slight modifications. After thawing, 0.2 ml plasma was spiked with 0.8 ml acetonitrile. The mixture was vortexed for a few seconds and then centrifuged (16,000 g, 3 min). The supernatant (0.5 ml) was transferred to a clean pear-shaped flask and evaporated at 40°C to dryness under a reduced pressure using a rotary evaporator (Rotavapor®, R-114, Shibata Scientific Technology Ltd. Tokyo, Japan). The residue was reconstituted in 0.5 ml mobile phase. After filtration with the 0.45 μm filter, 50 μl of the filtrate was injected into an HPLC C8 column (LiChroCART®, 5 μm particle size, 250 mm × 4.6 mm i. d., Kanto Chemical Co., Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Excitation and emission wavelengths were 340 and 425 nm, respectively. The mobile phase was a mixture of 0.4% triethylamine (pH 2.5, adjusted with 7 M phosphoric acid) and acetonitrile (88:12, v/v), flow rate was 1 ml/min. The detection limit was 1 ng/ml at a signal-to-noise ratio of 3. The recovery of QUN was 97.7 ± 3.4% (n=5) at 1 μg/ml. Intra-day CV values were 2.8% and 3.0% at 0.1 and 1 μg/ml, respectively. The inter-day CV values ranged from 2.2% to 3.4% and 1.9% to 3.5% at 0.1 and 1 μg/ml, respectively (3 days, 5 determinations/day).

Pharmacokinetic analysis: One compartment open model was used to analyse the pharmacokinetics of QUN. The plasma concentration at time 0 hr ($C_{p(0)}$) and elimination rate constant ($K_{el}$) in the following equation were calculated using the nonlinear fitting program, MULTI [29],

$$C_p(t) = C_{p(0)} e^{-K_{el}t} \quad \text{Eq (5)}$$

where $C_p$ and $t$ represent plasma concentration and time after QUN administration, respectively.

The area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC) and of its first moment curve (AUMC) were obtained as a sum of the area from 0 to last sampling time by trapezoidal method and that from the last sampling time to infinity by integration. The elimination half-life ($t_{1/2}$), apparent volume of distribution ($V_d$), total body clearance ($Cl_{tot}$) and mean residence time ($MRT$) were calculated using the following equations,

$$t_{1/2} = \frac{0.693}{K_{el}} \quad \text{Eq (6)}$$

$$V_d = \frac{\text{Dose}}{C_p(0)} \quad \text{Eq (7)}$$

$$Cl_{tot} = \frac{\text{Dose}}{\text{AUC}} \quad \text{Eq (8)}$$

$$MRT = \frac{\text{AUMC}}{\text{AUC}} \quad \text{Eq (9)}$$

Statistical analysis: When mean values from 2 groups were compared (in vitro results), the statistical significance
was tested using a 2-tailed paired $t$-test. In case of more than 3 groups (pharmacokinetic results), it was tested using a repeated one-way ANOVA and Sheffe’s multiple comparisons as a post-hoc test. The difference was considered significant when $P<0.05$.

RESULTS

Effect on MDZ-hydroxylation

Reversible Inhibition: Representative of Lineweaver-Burk plots of MDZ4H is shown in Fig. 1. The plots indicated that KTZ, CIM and ERY inhibited the reaction by a noncompetitive manner, because 2 lines from with or without inhibitor crossed on the X-axis. Since MDZ1'H was inhibited by MDZ itself as shown in Fig. 2, we could not demonstrate the inhibitory mode of the inhibitors by Lineweaver-Burk plots. However we assumed noncompetitive inhibition to calculated Ki value, because the curves in Fig. 2 suggested that the inhibitors decreased $V_{\text{max}}$. The theoretical lines calculated using enzyme kinetic parameters of Table 1 and equations 3 and 4 fitted well with the observed data (Fig. 2), suggesting that the KTZ, CIM and ERY non-competitively inhibited MDZ1'H.

As shown in Table 1, KTZ had the smallest Ki value compared with CIM and ERY, indicating its inhibitory effect is the most potent among the examined drugs in this study. However, the extent of inhibitions on MDZ1'H and MDZ4H were quite different. The $K_i$ value of KTZ for MDZ4H was 40-fold higher compared with that for MDZ1'H. In contrast, the mean $K_i$ values of CIM and ERY were quite high and in the millimolar range.

Irreversible inhibition (mechanism-based inhibition): Figure 3 shows the effect of exposure time with KTZ, CIM, and ERY on MDZ1'H and MDZ4H. In case of KTZ, the reaction velocity significantly decreased by 10, 20 and 30 min exposure, suggesting that KTZ inhibited the enzyme reactions by mechanism-based inhibition. Whereas in the case of ERY, the decreases were small even though high concentrations were used. Compared with KTZ, CIM showed similar decreases in the reaction, but its concentration was quite high.

Effect on QUN pharmacokinetics: The multiple oral treatment of KTZ (10 mg/kg, once daily for a week) altered the pharmacokinetics of QUN which was concomitantly administered (Fig. 4). As shown in Table 2, $AUC$ increased by about 1.6 fold (1.962 to 3.158), elimination $t_\text{1/2}$ was prolonged by about 1.6 fold (1.50 to 2.35 hr), and the $Cl_{\text{tot}}$ decreased by 35% (1.031 to 0.673 L/h/kg). These changes were statistically significant ($p<0.05$). On the other hand, the oral treatment of CIM (10 mg/kg, three times a day for a week) altered neither plasma concentration-time profiles (Fig. 4) nor the pharmacokinetic parameters of QUN (Table 2).

---

Fig. 1. Lineweaver-Burk plots of midazolam 4-hydroxylation (MDZ4H) with or without ketoconazole (KTZ), cimetidine (CIM) and erythromycin (ERY). Representatives from 4 cat microsomes are shown. Closed and open circles indicate the reactions with and without inhibitors, respectively. The units of substrate (S) and reaction velocity (V) are $\mu$M and nmol/min/mg protein, respectively.
DISCUSSION

In the present study, KTZ, CIM, and ERY inhibited both MDZ1’H and MDZ4H catalysed by CYP3A in a noncompetitive manner in cat hepatic microsomes. Competitive inhibitions of these inhibitors have also been described in other animal species [12, 25, 28]. MDZ hydroxylation was most potently inhibited by KTZ with $K_i$ values of 2.8 $\mu$M for MDZ1’H and 115 $\mu$M for MDZ4H. On the other hand, $K_i$ values of CIM and ERY were quite higher for both MDZ1’H and MDZ4H reactions. Based on $K_i$ values, the inhibitory effects of KTZ may be substantial but those of CIM and ERY may not be substantial in clinical conditions. It is, therefore, suggested that concomitant administration of CYP3A substrate drugs with KTZ may result in drug-drug interaction in clinical condition in cats as in humans and dogs but CIM and ERY may not. McAnulty et al. [16] have reported that KTZ resulted in twice elevation in serum concentrations and about 60% decrease in the mean systemic clearance of cyclosporine in cats with renal transplantation. This finding may support our suggestion. Moreover, other researchers also reported drug interaction of KTZ with...
Table 1. Michaelis-Menten kinetic parameters for midazolam hydroxylation in presence or absence of ketoconazole, cimetidine and erythromycin in hepatic microsomes from cats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitors</th>
<th>$V_{max}$ (nmol/min/kg)</th>
<th>$K_m$ (μM)</th>
<th>$K_i$ (mM)</th>
<th>$V_{max}$ (nmol/min/kg)</th>
<th>$K_m$ (μM)</th>
<th>$K_i$ (mM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KTZ</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.09</td>
<td>22.74 ± 9.21</td>
<td>0.0028 ± 0.0007</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.07</td>
<td>29.38 ± 5.90</td>
<td>0.115 ± 0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIM</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.05</td>
<td>51.30 ± 44.33</td>
<td>3.13 ± 1.79</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.01</td>
<td>75.73 ± 30.16</td>
<td>3.27 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERY</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.10</td>
<td>43.70 ± 28.49</td>
<td>3.14 ± 0.69</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.06</td>
<td>42.42 ± 17.01</td>
<td>6.41 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value is represented by mean ± SD (n=4). For each inhibitor, two reaction velocity-substrate concentrations curves were simultaneously analysed using a nonlinear least squares fitting program to estimate the kinetic parameter values including $V_{max}$, $K_m$ and $K_i$.

Fig. 3. Time-dependent inhibitory effects of ketoconazole (KTZ), cimetidine (CIM), and erythromycin (ERY) on 1’- and 4-hydroxylation of midazolam. Each point and vertical bar is represented by mean ± SD from four microsomes. X-axis shows incubation time of reaction mixture including microsomes, NADP and inhibitor before adding the substrate. Open circles represent reaction without inhibitors. Closed circles represent the reactions with KTZ (at 1 μM for 1’-hydroxylation and at 100 μM for 4-hydroxylation), CIM (at 2.4 mM) or ERY (at 10.9 mM). The concentrations of midazolam were 123 μM. The inhibitory potency was expressed as percentage of catalytic activity in the absence of KTZ, CIM or ERY. Asterisk indicates significant difference in reactions between with and without inhibitors when P<0.05.
The Ki values for MDZ1’H and MDZ4H have been reported. MDZ4H seems to be quite different among animal species. The values in dogs were 0.082 mM for MDZ1’H and 0.38 M for MDZ4H, respectively [11]. Comparing with cyclosporine in human [19] and dog [5, 14] and with midazolam in human [3, 7] and dog [10].

The extent of inhibitory effects of KTZ on MDZ1’H and MDZ4H seems to be quite different among animal species. The Ki values for MDZ1’H and MDZ4H have been reported to be 0.0037–0.18 μM and 0.047 μM in humans [25–27]. The values in dogs were 0.082 mM for MDZ1’H and 0.38 μM for MDZ4H, respectively [11]. Comparing with humans Kᵢ values, the values in cats were 16 to 700 and 2,000 fold higher for MDZ1’H and MDZ4H, respectively. On the other hand, the values were 34-fold and 300-fold higher, respectively, when compared with those of dogs. It is, therefore, suggested that KTZ could inhibit the hepatic CYP3A activities in cats at much less significantly than those in humans and dogs.

Since Yamano et al. [30] and Zhao et al. [31] reported mechanism-based inhibitory effects of itraconazole, CIM, and ERY on hepatic CYP3A activities in humans, we also examined this inhibitory mode in cats. As KTZ time-dependently inhibited MDZ1’H and MDZ4H with statistical significances, it may be suggested that KTZ inhibited these reactions by mechanism-based inhibition. This inhibitory effect might be substantial in feline patients, because the decrease in MDZ1’H was brought by a quite small concentration (1 μM) of KTZ. Although CIM and ERY also decreased the reactions time-dependently, the decreases were small even though extremely high concentrations (millimolar range) were used.

In order to clarify whether the inhibitory effects of the CYP3A inhibitors, which we obtained from the in vitro studies, are observed in vivo, we also examined inhibitory effects of KTZ and CIM at clinically used dosage [20, 21] on QUN pharmacokinetics in cats. The multiple oral treatment of KTZ using the clinical dose (10 mg/kg, once daily) for one week significantly altered elimination profiles of QUN in cats (Table 2). Plasma QUN concentrations after a week treatment of KTZ were significantly higher than before treatment (Fig. 5). The elimination t₁/₂ prolonged by 1.6-fold, and Cltot decreased by 35% (Table 2). In contrast, the multiple oral treatment of CIM using a clinical prescription (10 mg/kg, three times a day) for one week did not alter the elimination profiles of QUN (Table 2). In this study, we did not examine the effect of ERY on QUN pharmacokinetics in cats. However, it may be suggested that the drug also does not alter the QUN pharmacokinetics because of the following reasons: Kᵢ values of ERY was higher and its mechanism-based inhibition is lower than CIM.

In conclusion, the results in the present study suggest that cats.

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 4.** Plasma concentrations against time curves of quinidine after intravenous injection at 2 mg/kg to cats obtained before and after oral treatment with ketoconazole (KTZ) or cimetidine (CIM) using a clinical prescription for a week. Each point and vertical bar is represented by mean ± SD from five cats. Open circles represent plasma concentrations obtained 4 weeks before the treatment with inhibitors. Closed circles and closed squares represent those obtained after treatment with KTZ and CIM, respectively. In case of after treatment with inhibitors, quinidine was intravenously injected at 2 hr after the final dose of KTZ or CIM. Asterisk indicates significant difference in concentrations between with and without treatment with inhibitors when P<0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Without treatment</th>
<th>KTZ treatment</th>
<th>CIM treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kₑₑ (hr⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.449 ± 0.063³⁻⁴</td>
<td>0.306 ± 0.065⁴⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.407 ± 0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$AUC_{0-\infty}$ (µg h/ml)</td>
<td>1.962 ± 0.21⁷⁻⁸</td>
<td>3.158 ± 0.89³⁹</td>
<td>1.770 ± 0.89⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vᵣ (L/kg)</td>
<td>2.546 ± 0.322</td>
<td>2.237 ± 0.493</td>
<td>2.877 ± 1.02⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t₁/₂ (hr)</td>
<td>1.500 ± 0.35²⁻³</td>
<td>2.353 ± 0.53⁹</td>
<td>1.780 ± 0.40⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT (hr)</td>
<td>2.411 ± 0.26⁴⁻⁸</td>
<td>3.364 ± 0.78⁰</td>
<td>2.627 ± 0.60²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cltot (L/h/kg)</td>
<td>1.031 ± 0.12⁰⁻¹</td>
<td>0.673 ± 0.18¹⁻²</td>
<td>1.399 ± 0.71⁶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value is represented by mean ± SD (n=5). Kₑₑ, elimination rate constant; $AUC_{0-\infty}$, area under the curve from time 0 hr to infinity; Vᵣ, apparent Volume of distribution; t₁/₂, elimination half-life; MRT, mean residence time Cltot, total body clearance. KTZ or CIM was orally administered at 10 mg/kg body weight once a day and three times a day for a week, respectively. Quinidine was injected at 4 weeks before the start of dosing and 2 hr after the final dosing of the inhibitors. Superscripts indicate significant differences between values with the same superscripts at p<0.05.
concomitant coadministration of KTZ with CYP3A substrates may result in drug-drug interaction in feline patients. Although it has been suggested that CYP3A activities are lower in female cats than male cats [22], the drug-drug interaction may be substantial even in male cats. Therefore, veterinary practitioners should pay much attention to potential adverse effects in feline patients, if they co-medicate KTZ with CYP3A substrates, such as cyclosporine. On the other hand, there may be much less possibilities of drug-drug interaction, when CYP3A substrates are given to feline patients treated with CIM and/or ERY.
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