FULL PAPER

Bacteriology

Identification and antimicrobial susceptibility of microorganisms isolated from severe corneal ulcers of dogs in Thailand

Daneeya EKAPOPPHAN¹⁾, Athicha SRISUTTHAKARN¹⁾,
Walasinee MOONARMART²⁾, Ruangrat BUDDHIRONGAWATR²⁾ and
Norasuthi BANGPHOOMI^{1)*}

¹⁾Department of Pre-clinic and Applied Animal Science, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Mahidol University, 999 Phuttamonton 4, Salaya, Phuttamonton, Nakhon Pathom 73170, Thailand

²⁾Department of Clinical Sciences and Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Mahidol University, 999 Phuttamonton 4, Salaya, Phuttamonton, Nakhon Pathom 73170, Thailand

ABSTRACT. This study aims to determine the microbiological profile and risk factors associated with antimicrobial-resistant bacteria in canine severe corneal ulcers. Thirty-two corneal and conjunctival swabs were collected from dogs with diagnosed severe corneal ulcers that presented to Prasu-Arthorn veterinary teaching hospital in Nakhon Pathom, Thailand from June 2015 to June 2016. Microorganisms were identified by means of genotypic and phenotypic approaches. Of 32 ulcers sampled, 26 (81.3%) yielded culturable microorganisms with 24 bacterial isolates and 7 fungal isolates. The most commonly isolated bacteria were *Staphylococcus* spp. (45.8%, 11/24) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (20.8%, 5/24). Out of 11 staphylococcal isolates identified, 10 carried the *mecA* gene providing methicillin resistance. The extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL) encoding genes *bla*_{CTX-M} and *bla*_{VEB-1} were found in an *Acinetobacter lwoffii* isolate, and *bla*_{SHV} was found in a *P. aeruginosa* isolate. Based on the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) and European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) breakpoint criteria, minimum inhibitory concentrations values showed that all bacteria, except for staphylococci, were susceptible to current ophthalmic antibiotics. More than 50% of staphylococci were resistant to all generations of fluoroquinolones and fusidic acid. Chloramphenicol was highly active against staphylococci (81.3% susceptible). The width ($P=0.02$) and the depth ($P=0.04$) of ulcers predicted greater risk of yielding resistant bacteria. The identification of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria prompts practitioners to be prudent when choosing ophthalmic antibiotics for severe corneal ulcers.

KEY WORDS: antimicrobial susceptibility, canine severe corneal ulcer, ophthalmic antibiotic, risk factor

J. Vet. Med. Sci.
80(8): 1259–1265, 2018
doi: 10.1292/jvms.18-0045

Received: 30 January 2018
Accepted: 11 June 2018
Published online in J-STAGE:
20 June 2018

Severe corneal ulcer is a devastating ocular pathology that can lead to descemetocoele formation or corneal perforation. This pathology comprises deep stromal ulcers and malacic ulcers, both of which are ophthalmic emergencies [3, 25]. The etiologies of severe corneal ulcers can be either infectious or noninfectious. The noninfectious causes of corneal ulcers include physical and chemical traumas, while the infectious causes are mostly associated with bacterial infections and, to a lesser extent, viral and fungal infections [3]. Regardless of the primary cause, secondary bacterial infections commonly arise as the opportunistic bacteria and readily found in conjunctival sac of the dogs. Most bacteria recovered from canine ulcerative keratitis are Gram-positive bacteria with *Staphylococcus* spp. constituting the major population followed by β -hemolytic streptococci and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, the latter two are typically isolated from canine malacic ulcer [22, 32, 38].

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is of great concern in human and veterinary medicines. In veterinary ophthalmology, there have been reports on AMR bacteria isolated from both healthy and diseased ocular samples [10, 26, 35]. As ophthalmic antibiotics compose the mainstay treatment regimen for corneal ulcers, their use is inevitably affected by the emergence of AMR. Therefore, we conducted this study to identify the microorganisms isolated from severe corneal ulcers in dogs and to characterize the antimicrobials of the bacterial isolates by phenotypic and genotypic approaches in order to elucidate the AMR in ocular samples and raise concern regarding the appropriate use of ophthalmic antibiotics based on *in vitro* studies.

*Correspondence to: Bangphoomi, N.: norasuthi.ban@mahidol.edu

©2018 The Japanese Society of Veterinary Science

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives (by-nc-nd) License. (CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Case selection

This study was conducted at Prasu-Arthorn veterinary teaching hospital, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Mahidol University (Salaya campus, Thailand) from June 2015 to June 2016. The dogs were enlisted in our study when their corneal lesions received a score of 3 for at least 3 of our 5 initial criteria as described in the following section. Any cases that received topical ophthalmic antibiotics in the 2 months prior to the examination were excluded from our study. All of the owners were informed and asked to sign a consent form before the specimens were collected.

Clinical data collection

The medical record and ocular examination results were collected from each case. The ocular examinations consisted of slit lamp biomicroscopy (Kowa SL-14; Kowa, Tokyo, Japan), indirect ophthalmoscopy (Keeler, Windsor, U.K.), fluorescein staining (32K supply, Eye Surgical, Thailand), and Schirmer I tear testing (Schering-Plough Animal Health Co., NJ, U.S.A.). For the affected eye, the ulcer was scored following our initial criteria modified from Ledbetter *et al.* [18]: ulcer depth relative to the corneal depth: 0= $\leq$ 25%, 1=26–50%, 2=51–75%, 3= $\geq$ 76%; ulcer area relative to the corneal area: 0= $\leq$ 25%, 1=26–50%, 2=51–75%, 3= $\geq$ 76%; corneal edema area: 0= $\leq$ 25%, 1=26–50%, 2=51–75%, 3= $\geq$ 76%; anterior chamber reaction: 0=none, 1=mild aqueous flare, 2=moderate to marked aqueous flare, 3=hypopyon or hyphema; keratomalacia: 0=none, 1=mild, 2=moderate, 3=severe. The supporting criteria included other minor pathological changes associated with the eyes and adnexa. The neuro-ophthalmic examinations were performed in both eyes.

Microbiological sample collection and identification

The study protocol was approved by the Faculty of Veterinary Science-Animal Care and Use Committee (FVS-ACUC number MUVS-2015-28). The lesion was evaluated before the sample was collected. Corneal and conjunctival swabs were collected before the application of any ophthalmic solution. The samples were collected by sterile rayon-tipped swabs and stored in Amies transport media (Delta lab, Rubí, Spain).

Bacterial isolation was performed by inoculating each sample on MacConkey agar and 5% sheep blood agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, U.K.), and incubated at 37°C for 24 hr. The isolated colonies were initially classified based on Gram staining and, macroscopic and microscopic morphologies. The identification panel for Gram-positive bacteria was composed of a catalase test, a coagulase test, and culturing on mannitol salt agar. The species of each isolate was identified by 16S rRNA sequence analysis (Macrogen, Seoul, Korea). Standard biochemical tests and API-20E test kits (Biomérieux, La Balme Les Grottes, France) were used for Gram-negative bacteria.

For fungal isolation, the samples were submitted to the Laboratory of Veterinary Mycology, Mahidol University for fungal identification by conventional culture method.

Antimicrobial sensitivity testing

The antimicrobial minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were evaluated broth microdilution methods. The antibiotics chosen were based on which antibiotics are commercially available as topical ophthalmic preparations. The tested drugs consisted of gentamicin (GEN), tobramycin (TOB), chloramphenicol (CHL), polymyxin-B (PMB), ciprofloxacin (CIP), levofloxacin (LVX), moxifloxacin (MXF), and fusidic acid (FUS) (Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Tokyo, Japan). The interpretation of results was performed following the breakpoints recommended by Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [6] and European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) [8] when available. *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 was used as quality control strain.

Detection of *mecA*-mediated oxacillin resistance and Extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBL) encoding genes

All staphylococcal isolates were tested for methicillin resistance. Methicillin-resistant staphylococci (MRS) were screened by disk diffusion method using oxacillin (OXA, 1 μ g) on Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA) [40]. For all oxacillin-resistant isolates, *mecA* gene was confirmed by multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay [29]. The primers for detection of *mecA* and 16S rRNA gene were used, giving products of 537 and 886 bp, respectively. The primer sequences were as follow (5'→3'): *mecA* F, GGG ATC ATA GCG TCA TTA TTC; *mecA* R, AAC GAT TGT GAC ACG ATA GCC; 16S rRNA F, GTG CCA GCA GCC GCG GTA A; 16S rRNA R, AGA CCC GGG AAC GTA TTC AC. *S. aureus* ATCC 43300 (MRSA) and 25923 were used as a positive and negative control for *mecA* gene, respectively. All Gram-negative isolates were initially screened by phenotypic confirmatory tests, which were performed on MHA with ceftazidime (CAZ, 30 μ g) and cefotaxime (CTX, 30 μ g) with and without clavulanic acid (CLA, 10 μ g) (BD Diagnostics, Sparks, NV, U.S.A.) as described in CLSI guidelines [6]. The conventional PCR method was used to identify ESBLs encoding genes. The target genes in our study covered Bush-Jacoby functional group 1 and 2 and Ambler molecular class A, C and D [2, 4]. The selection of target genes was based on the genes that confer the resistance to antibiotics commonly used in veterinary medicine. Our targeted genes included *bla*_{TEM}, *bla*_{CTX-M}, *bla*_{SHV}, *bla*_{GES}, *bla*_{PER}, *bla*_{VEB-1} (class A), *bla*_{CMY} (class C) and *bla*_{OXA} (class D). The primers for detection of *bla*_{TEM}, *bla*_{CTX-M}, *bla*_{SHV}, *bla*_{GES}, *bla*_{PER}, *bla*_{VEB-1}, *bla*_{CMY}, and *bla*_{OXA} were used, giving products of 867, 593, 867, 827, 827, 643, 1243, and 885 bp, respectively [15, 20, 23].

Statistical analyses

All variables were categorized binomially, e.g., the lesions with the score of 3 were listed as severe versus the score of 0–2 as

Table 1. Isolation of microorganisms and clinical characteristics of corneal ulcers in dogs

Clinical factor	No. (%) of dogs with positive bacterial cultures (n=19)	No. (%) of dogs with positive fungal cultures (n=7)
Affected eye		
OD (right eye)	13 (68.4)	4 (57.1)
OS (left eye)	6 (31.6)	3 (42.9)
Ulcer depth >50%	18 (94.7)	4 (57.1)
Ulcer area >50%	12 (63.2)	4 (57.1)
Corneal edema >50%	15 (79.0)	5 (71.4)
Keratomalacia	14 (73.7)	5 (71.4)
Severity grade		
Mild aqueous flare	1 (5.3)	0
Moderate to marked aqueous flare	5 (26.3)	3 (42.9)
Hypopyon or hyphema	12 (63.2)	4 (57.1)
Keratomalacia with deep ulcer	8 (42.1)	2 (28.6)

non-severe. Univariate logistic regression was used to determine the influence of each variable on the cultured microorganisms. All variables with P -value ≤ 0.2 were further included in multivariable logistic regression model with backward elimination method. All statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics 24 with the alpha set to 0.05 and CI at 95%.

RESULTS

Patient and ulcer characteristics

There were 32 cases enlisted in our study, 27 of them (84.3%) were purebred, and Shih-Tzu (8/32, 25%) and poodle (7/32, 21.9%) presented as the major populations. Of 32 dogs, 16 (50%) were brachycephalic breeds and the rest were mesencephalic breeds. There were 17 (53.1%) male dogs. The age of the dogs in this study ranged from 1.5 to 12.3 years old (data not shown). The lesion characteristics of the enlisted cases based on our 5 initial criteria are summarized in Table 1.

Microorganism identification

There were 26 samples that yielded culturable microorganisms, including 19 bacterial samples and 7 fungal samples. Those 19 bacterial samples gave 24 culturable bacterial isolates, 5 of which were positive for 2 different isolates. The bacteria isolates consisted of 11 *Staphylococcus* spp. (45.8%), 5 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (20.8%), 2 *Streptococcus* spp. (8.3%), 1 *Micrococcus lactis* (4.2%), 1 *Escherichia coli* (4.2%), 1 *Serratia marcescens* (4.2%), 1 *Enterobacter cloacae* (4.2%), 1 *Aeromonas* spp. (4.2%), and 1 *Acinetobacter lwoffii* (4.2%). Eleven *Staphylococcus* isolates consisted of 5 *S. pseudintermedius*, 2 *S. sciuri*, 1 *S. warneri*, 1 *S. cohnii*, 1 *S. saprophyticus*, and 1 *S. epidermidis*. Among fungal samples, 5 of them yielded pure culture of *Candida* spp., while the rest were dematiaceous fungi.

Identification of Methicillin-resistant staphylococci and ESBLs-producers

In our study, the MRS was defined as any staphylococcal isolate that was OXA-resistant in the disk diffusion screening test and positive for *mecA* gene by PCR detection. There were 10 isolates of staphylococci (10/11, 90.9%) identified as MRS. There were 2 isolates defined as ESBL producers, an isolate of *P. aeruginosa* (1/5, 20%), which was positive for SHV-type ESBL gene, and an isolate of *A. lwoffii*, which was positive for CTX-M and VEB-1 type-ESBLs genes.

Antimicrobial sensitivity testing by broth microdilution

The MIC range and resistant isolates are summarized in Table 2. In staphylococci isolates, 6 out of the 11 isolates (54.6%) were resistant to CIP, LVX and MXF by CLSI and EUCAST. The numbers of resistant isolates to GEN, TOB and CHL by CLSI were lower than EUCAST (27.3 vs 81.8% for GEN, 27.3 vs. 90.9% for TOB and 18.2 vs. 36.4% for CHL). The breakpoint for FUS was only available from EUCAST, and 8 isolates (72.7%) were identified as resistant. All *P. aeruginosa* were susceptible to GEN, TOB, POL, CIP and LVX. In Enterobacteriaceae isolates, one *Enterobacter cloacae* was resistant to MXF and one *Serratia marcescens* was resistant to CHL by EUCAST.

Risk factors for isolated microorganisms

The univariable logistic regression is shown in Table 3. All 4 variables with P -value ≤ 0.2 were further analyzed with multivariable logistic regression. The ulcer area and ulcer depth ($P=0.04$) was significantly associated with carriage of AMR bacteria isolates. No statistically significant relationship was identified with fungal isolates.

Table 2. MIC range and percentage of resistant isolates (based on CLSI and EUCAST breakpoint) to selected ophthalmic antibiotics

Drug	<i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. (n=11)			<i>Streptococcus</i> spp. (n=2)			<i>P. aeruginosa</i> (n=5)			<i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> (n=3)			<i>A. hwoffii</i> (n=1)		
	MIC range (µg/ml)	% Resistant	CLSI EUCAST	MIC range (µg/ml)	% Resistant	CLSI EUCAST	MIC range (µg/ml)	% Resistant	CLSI EUCAST	MIC range (µg/ml)	% Resistant	CLSI EUCAST	MIC range (µg/ml)	% Resistant	CLSI EUCAST
Gentamicin	≤0.5–16	27.3	81.8	≤0.5–4	NA	NA	≤0.5–2	0	0	≤0.5	0	0	≤0.5	NA	NA
Tobramycin	≤0.5–16	27.3	90.9	2–8	NA	NA	≤0.5	0	0	≤0.5–4	0	0	≤0.5	NA	NA
Chloramphenicol	4–64	18.2	36.4	2–4	0	0	64	NA	NA	8–16	0	33.3 ^{a)}	≤0.5	NA	NA
Polymyxin-B	8–≥256	NA ^{c)}	NA	4–32	NA	NA	2	0	NA	≤0.5–≥256	NA	NA	2	NA	NA
Ciprofloxacin	≤0.5–64	54.6	54.6	≤0.5	NA	NA	≤0.5	0	0	≤0.5	0	0	≤0.5	NA	NA
Levofloxacin	≤0.5–16	54.6	54.6	≤0.5	NA	0	≤0.5	0	0	≤0.5	0	0	≤0.5	NA	NA
Moxifloxacin	≤0.5–4	54.6	54.6	≤0.5	NA	NA	1–2	NA	NA	≤0.5–1	NA	33.3 ^{b)}	≤0.5	NA	NA
Fusidic acid	≤0.5–≥256	NA	72.7	8–64	NA	NA	≥256	NA	NA	≥256	NA	NA	≥256	NA	NA

a) *Enterobacter cloacae* isolate was resistant to moxifloxacin. b) *Serratia marcescens* isolate was resistant to chloramphenicol. c) NA, not applicable.

Table 3. Risk factors associated with antimicrobial-resistant bacteria in severe corneal ulcers of dogs

Variables	AMR bacteria		Odds ratio (95% confidence interval)	P value	Fungi		Odds ratio (95% confidence interval)	P value
	+	−			+	−		
Sex								
Male	4	13	3.71 (0.82–16.84)	0.09	3	14	1.7 (0.31–9.22)	0.54
Female	8	7			4	11		
Age group								
1–10 years old	7	10	0.71 (0.17–3.03)	0.65	3	14	1.7 (0.31–9.22)	0.54
<1 or >10 years old	5	10			4	11		
Ulcer area								
0–75% corneal area	6	18	9 (1.14–57.12)	0.02*	5	19	1.27 (0.79–8.3)	0.81
>75% corneal area	6	2			2	6		
Ulcer depth								
0–75% corneal depth	2	11	6.11 (1.06–35.35)	0.04*	3	10	0.89 (0.76–4.85)	0.9
>75% corneal depth	10	9			4	15		
Corneal edema								
0–75% cornea	4	9	1.64 (0.37–7.25)	0.52	3	10	0.89 (0.16–4.85)	0.9
>75% cornea	8	11			4	15		
Keratomalacia								
Not present/Not severe	10	6	0.47 (0.08–2.81)	0.41	4	20	3 (0.5–17.95)	0.23
Severe keratomalacia	2	2			3	5		
Anterior chamber reaction								
Not present/Aqueous flare	2	14	5 (0.87–28.86)	0.07	3	9	0.75 (0.14–4.13)	0.74
Hypopyon/Hyphe	10	11			4	16		

Asterisks (*) indicate statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

In our study, the primary bacterial population identified was *Staphylococcus* spp., which is consistent with previous studies in the United States, Brazil, Taiwan, and China [22, 32, 36, 38]. Gram-negative isolates were composed of *P. aeruginosa* and 3 members of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family, constituted 32.2% of all isolated microorganisms. *P. aeruginosa* alone constituted 50% of all Gram-negative isolates or 20.8% of all bacterial isolates, higher rate than in the previous report [10].

Our study found that AMR was relatively low among Gram-negative isolates. There were 2 isolates identified as carriers of ESBL-genes, an isolate of *P. aeruginosa* with *bla*_{SHV} gene and an isolate of *A. lwoffii* with *bla*_{CTX-M} and *bla*_{VEB-1} genes. These families of ESBLs-genes belong to molecular class A or functional group 2, the largest group of β -lactamases. This is the first report of the detection of ESBLs encoding genes among the veterinary clinical samples in Thailand. Interestingly, all of the ESBLs encoding genes detected in our study were previously reported in human medicine in Thailand [5, 9, 13].

The origin of these AMR bacteria isolated from severe corneal ulcer remains inconclusive. The major resistance pattern observed among Gram-negative and Gram-positive isolates in our study was against β -lactam antibiotics which are not commonly available as ophthalmic preparations in Thailand, suggesting external sources. This speculation is supported by several studies that suggest the bacteria involved in ocular diseases may come from extraocular origins [24, 35, 37], since the prevalence of AMR in healthy conjunctival sac is reported to be as low as 1.6% [26].

Keratomycosis or fungal keratitis is commonly encountered in human medicine among patients with compromised cornea [14]. The studies on canine keratomycosis are rare, mostly consisting of sporadic case reports on patients who are either old or immunocompromised. In our study, the samples that yielded fungi ranged from the dogs from younger than 12 months old to older than 10 years old. However, the difference among age groups was not statistically significant and the chance of yielding fungal culture was not related to the severity of the lesions. In our study, *Candida* spp. constituted the major fungal isolates (5/8, 62.5%). Interestingly, in veterinary medicine, there is only a report of *Candida* spp. keratomycosis [27]. There are more reports on other yeast species, namely, *Malassezia pachydermatis* associated with corneal ulcers [19, 30, 31]. We cannot conclude whether our findings reflect a higher incidence of these genera and their roles in severe corneal ulcer, as there is no established prevalence available.

The MIC values were interpreted with the presumption that the concentration of antibiotics in ocular tissue is higher or equal to the serum concentration [16, 28]. In this study, we determine MIC values for ophthalmic antibiotics using breakpoints from CLSI and EUCAST. Most antibiotic susceptibility rates did not change. However, we found that the numbers of resistant *Staphylococci* isolates were higher when determined by EUCAST breakpoints with GEN and TOB. The impact of different CLSI and EUCAST interpretive breakpoint has been previously reported [12] and serve as an example to interpret resistant results with caution, as the variation between guideline settings need to be compatible. All isolates of *P. aeruginosa*, members of *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Streptococcus* spp., and *A. lwoffii* were susceptible to all aminoglycosides and second and third generation fluoroquinolones (FQs) antibiotics as defined by CLSI and EUCAST breakpoints. This finding is also consistent with the result obtained in previous studies [10, 22]. Interestingly, high percentages of resistance were observed among staphylococcal isolates which exerted resistance against all tested antibiotics, including FQs and FUS, which are the 2 major antibiotics used in cases of severe corneal ulcers in Thailand. FUS is a narrow spectrum antibiotic primarily effective against Gram-positive bacteria including MRS [7, 39]. Nevertheless, we found 8 MRSs that were resistant to FUS. Additionally, there are reports on the MRS that are also resistant to FQs [1, 21]. Moreover, a study in Korea found that *S. pseudintermedius* with *mecA* is approximately twice as resistant to FQs as the strain without *mecA* [11].

According to our studies, chloramphenicol (CHL) showed the least resistance among all isolates, including staphylococci. This finding is may be observed because CHL is not commonly used in Thailand, either systemically or topically. Nevertheless, the drug potency should be considered together with the ability to penetrate and retain its concentration in the cornea and aqueous humor for the best clinical efficacy. To this effect, fourth generation FQs appear to have superior efficacy [34]. As our study provided only the drug potency from *in vitro* studies, it may not truly represent the clinical efficacy. Moreover, the toxicity should also be considered, making CHL the less desirable choice [35].

The higher the severity of the lesions is, greater the risk of yielding AMR bacteria is, particularly with a wide area ulcer. An association between AMR bacterial isolates and increased severity of corneal ulcers has been reported, e.g., MRSA keratitis in dogs [35] and horses [17]. Although the reasons for this association warrant further investigation, there is evidence that the capacity of corneal cells to be invaded is related with invasive strains of bacteria, especially for *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa*. Interestingly, high rates of AMR bacteria were invasive strains [33].

In conclusions, antibiotics are the mainstay of the treatment regimen for corneal ulcers. The emergence of AMR bacteria poses a threat to the successful resolution. Wide and deep ulcers are associated with a greater chance of yielding AMR bacteria. Bacteria are the major microorganisms isolated from canine severe corneal ulcers but fungi are also present in notable numbers. *Staphylococci* constituted the major bacterial population isolated in our study. These bacteria also possess the multidrug and extensively drug-resistant characteristics. *mecA* and *bla* genes were detected in Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria in our study. According to our *in vitro* study, chloramphenicol remains the most effective antibiotic against MDR and XDR staphylococci. However, the true clinical efficacy of ophthalmic antibiotics depends on their potency coupled with their pharmacokinetics which merits further investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The authors are sincerely grateful for Dr. Areerat Kongcharoen (The veterinary teaching hospital, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Mahidol University) for providing clinical support and kindly helped us recruit the cases to our study. We also would like to thank Miss Orathai Thongjuay for providing excellent laboratory technical assistances. This study was supported by Faculty of Veterinary Science, Mahidol University.

REFERENCES

- Aldridge, K. E., Gelfand, M. S., Schiro, D. D. and Barg, N. L. 1992. The rapid emergence of fluoroquinolone-methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in a community hospital. An in vitro look at alternative antimicrobial agents. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **15**: 601–608. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Ambler, R. P. 1980. The structure of beta-lactamases. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **289**: 321–331. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Belknap, E. B. 2015. Corneal Emergencies. *Top. Companion Anim. Med.* **30**: 74–80. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Bush, K. and Jacoby, G. A. 2010. Updated functional classification of beta-lactamases. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **54**: 969–976. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Chanawong, A., M'Zali, F. H., Heritage, J., Lulitanond, A. and Hawkey, P. M. 2001. SHV-12, SHV-5, SHV-2a and VEB-1 extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in Gram-negative bacteria isolated in a university hospital in Thailand. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **48**: 839–852. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- CLSI. 2015. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Fifth Informational Supplement. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne.
- Collignon, P. and Turnidge, J. 1999. Fusidic acid in vitro activity. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **12** Suppl 2: S45–S58. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- EUCAST 2017. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Available at http://www.eucast.org/clinical_breakpoints/ [accessed on March 31, 2017].
- Girlich, D., Poirel, L., Leelaporn, A., Karim, A., Tribuddharat, C., Fennewald, M. and Nordmann, P. 2001. Molecular epidemiology of the integron-located VEB-1 extended-spectrum beta-lactamase in nosocomial enterobacterial isolates in Bangkok, Thailand. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **39**: 175–182. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Hindley, K. E., Groth, A. D., King, M., Graham, K. and Billson, F. M. 2016. Bacterial isolates, antimicrobial susceptibility, and clinical characteristics of bacterial keratitis in dogs presenting to referral practice in Australia. *Vet. Ophthalmol.* **19**: 418–426. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Kang, M. H., Chae, M. J., Yoon, J. W., Lee, S. Y., Yoo, J. H. and Park, H. M. 2014. Resistance to fluoroquinolones and methicillin in ophthalmic isolates of *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* from companion animals. *Can. Vet. J.* **55**: 678–682. [Medline]
- Kassim, A., Omuse, G., Premji, Z. and Revathi, G. 2016. Comparison of Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute and European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing guidelines for the interpretation of antibiotic susceptibility at a University teaching hospital in Nairobi, Kenya: a cross-sectional study. *Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob.* **15**: 21. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Kiratisin, P., Apisarnthanarak, A., Laesripa, C. and Saifon, P. 2008. Molecular characterization and epidemiology of extended-spectrum-beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates causing health care-associated infection in Thailand, where the CTX-M family is endemic. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **52**: 2818–2824. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Klotz, S. A., Penn, C. C., Negvesky, G. J. and Butrus, S. I. 2000. Fungal and parasitic infections of the eye. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **13**: 662–685. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Kojima, A., Ishii, Y., Ishihara, K., Esaki, H., Asai, T., Oda, C., Tamura, Y., Takahashi, T. and Yamaguchi, K. 2005. Extended-spectrum-beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from farm animals from 1999 to 2002: report from the Japanese Veterinary Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring Program. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **49**: 3533–3537. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Kowalski, R. P., Pandya, A. N., Karenchak, L. M., Romanowski, E. G., Husted, R. C., Ritterband, D. C., Shah, M. K. and Gordon, Y. J. 2001. An in vitro resistance study of levofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, and ofloxacin using keratitis isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Ophthalmology* **108**: 1826–1829. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Kuroda, T., Kinoshita, Y., Niwa, H., Mizobe, F., Ueno, T., Kuwano, A., Hatazoe, T. and Hobo, S. 2015. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* ulcerative keratitis in a Thoroughbred racehorse. *J. Equine Sci.* **26**: 95–98. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Ledbetter, E. C., Mun, J. J., Kowbel, D. and Fleiszig, S. M. 2009. Pathogenic phenotype and genotype of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates from spontaneous canine ocular infections. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **50**: 729–736. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Ledbetter, E. C. and Starr, J. K. 2016. *Malassezia pachydermatis* keratomycosis in a dog. *Med. Mycol. Case Rep.* **10**: 24–26. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Lim, K. T., Yasin, R., Yeo, C. C., Puthucheary, S. and Thong, K. L. 2009. Characterization of multidrug resistant ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates from hospitals in Malaysia. *J. Biomed. Biotechnol.* **2009**: 165637. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Limoncu, M. H., Ermertcan, S., Cetin, C. B., Cosar, G. and Dinç, G. 2003. Emergence of phenotypic resistance to ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin in methicillin-resistant and methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* strains. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **21**: 420–424. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Lin, C. T. and Petersen-Jones, S. M. 2007. Antibiotic susceptibility of bacterial isolates from corneal ulcers of dogs in Taiwan. *J. Small Anim. Pract.* **48**: 271–274. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Lin, D., Foley, S. L., Qi, Y., Han, J., Ji, C., Li, R., Wu, C., Shen, J. and Wang, Y. 2012. Characterization of antimicrobial resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from canine infections. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **113**: 16–23. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- LoPinto, A. J., Mohammed, H. O. and Ledbetter, E. C. 2015. Prevalence and risk factors for isolation of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus* in dogs with keratitis. *Vet. Ophthalmol.* **18**: 297–303. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Miller, W. W. 2001. Evaluation and management of corneal ulcerations: a systematic approach. *Clin. Tech. Small Anim. Pract.* **16**: 51–57. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Mouney, M. C., Stiles, J., Townsend, W. M., Guptill, L. and Weese, J. S. 2015. Prevalence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus* spp. in the conjunctival sac of healthy dogs. *Vet. Ophthalmol.* **18**: 123–126. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Nevile, J. C., Hurn, S. D. and Turner, A. G. 2016. Keratomycosis in five dogs. *Vet. Ophthalmol.* **19**: 432–438. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Oliveira, A. D., Höfling-Lima, A. L., Belfort, R. Jr., Gayoso, M. F. and Francisco, W. 2007. Fluoroquinolone susceptibilities to methicillin-resistant and susceptible coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* isolated from eye infection. *Arq. Bras. Oftalmol.* **70**: 286–289. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Poulsen, A. B., Skov, R. and Pallesen, L. V. 2003. Detection of methicillin resistance in coagulase-negative staphylococci and in staphylococci directly from simulated blood cultures using the EVIGENE MRSA Detection Kit. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **51**: 419–421. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Prado, M. R., Brilhante, R. S., Cordeiro, R. A., Monteiro, A. J., Sidrim, J. J. and Rocha, M. F. 2008. Frequency of yeasts and dermatophytes from

- healthy and diseased dogs. *J. Vet. Diagn. Invest.* **20**: 197–202. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Prado, M. R., Brito, E. H., Girão, M. D., Monteiro, A. J., Sidrim, J. J. and Rocha, M. F. 2004. Higher incidence of *Malassezia pachydermatis* in the eyes of dogs with corneal ulcer than in healthy dogs. *Vet. Microbiol.* **100**: 115–120. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Prado, M. R., Rocha, M. F., Brito, E. H., Girão, M. D., Monteiro, A. J., Teixeira, M. F. and Sidrim, J. J. 2005. Survey of bacterial microorganisms in the conjunctival sac of clinically normal dogs and dogs with ulcerative keratitis in Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil. *Vet. Ophthalmol.* **8**: 33–37. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Shen, E. P., Hsieh, Y. T., Chu, H. S., Chang, S. C. and Hu, F. R. 2014. Correlation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* genotype with antibiotic susceptibility and clinical features of induced central keratitis. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **56**: 365–371. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Scoper, S. V. 2008. Review of third-and fourth-generation fluoroquinolones in ophthalmology: in-vitro and in-vivo efficacy. *Adv. Ther.* **25**: 979–994. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Tajima, K., Sinjyo, A., Ito, T., Noda, Y., Goto, H. and Ito, N. 2013. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* keratitis in a dog. *Vet. Ophthalmol.* **16**: 240–243. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Tolar, E. L., Hendrix, D. V., Rohrbach, B. W., Plummer, C. E., Brooks, D. E. and Gelatt, K. N. 2006. Evaluation of clinical characteristics and bacterial isolates in dogs with bacterial keratitis: 97 cases (1993–2003). *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* **228**: 80–85. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Varges, R., Penna, B., Martins, G., Martins, R. and Lilenbaum, W. 2009. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Staphylococci* isolated from naturally occurring canine external ocular diseases. *Vet. Ophthalmol.* **12**: 216–220. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Wang, L., Pan, Q., Zhang, L., Xue, Q., Cui, J. and Qi, C. 2008. Investigation of bacterial microorganisms in the conjunctival sac of clinically normal dogs and dogs with ulcerative keratitis in Beijing, China. *Vet. Ophthalmol.* **11**: 145–149. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Werner, A. H. and Russell, A. D. 1999. Mupirocin, fusidic acid and bacitracin: activity, action and clinical uses of three topical antibiotics. *Vet. Dermatol.* **10**: 225–240. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Wu, M. T., Burnham, C. A., Westblade, L. F., Dien Bard, J., Lawhon, S. D., Wallace, M. A., Stanley, T., Burd, E., Hindler, J. and Humphries, R. M. 2016. Evaluation of oxacillin and cefoxitin disk and mic breakpoints for prediction of methicillin resistance in human and veterinary isolates of *Staphylococcus intermedius* group. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **54**: 535–542. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]