Effects of a bacterial probiotic on ruminal pH and volatile fatty acids during subacute ruminal acidosis (SARA) in cattle
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ABSTRACT

Effects of a bacterial probiotic (BP) on ruminal fermentation and plasma metabolites were evaluated in four Holstein cattle (body weight, 645 ± 62 kg; mean ± SD) with induced subacute ruminal acidosis (SARA). SARA was induced by feeding a SARA-inducing diet, and thereafter, 20, 50 or 100 g per head of a commercial BP was administered for 7 consecutive days during the morning feeding. Cattle without BP served as the control. The 24-hr mean ruminal pH in the control was lower, whereas those in the BP groups administered 20 or 50 g were significantly higher compared to the control from days 2 to 7. Circadian patterns of the 1-hr mean ruminal pH were identical (6.4–6.8) among all cattle receiving BP. Although the mean minimum pH in the control on day -7 and day 0 was < 5.8, the pH in the treatment groups on day 7 was > 5.8 and significantly higher than that of the control group (> 5.2). Ruminal volatile fatty acid (VFA) concentrations were not affected by BP treatment; however, the BP groups had lower lactic acid levels compared with the control group at 20:00 on day 7. Additionally, non-esterified fatty acid levels decreased from 8:00 to 20:00 in all BP groups on day 7. These results suggest that administration of 20 to 50 g of a multi-strain BP for 7 days might improve the low pH and high lactic acid level of the ruminal fluid in SARA cattle.
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Probiotics composed of various microbial components are known to improve ruminal fermentation by activating rumen microbiota [6, 12, 17, 26] and directly increasing ruminal performance and dry matter intake in dairy cattle [4, 28]. Among bacterial probiotics (BPs), lactic acid bacteria (LAB), including *Lactobacillus plantarum* (*L. plantarum*) and *Enterococcus faecium* (*E. faecium*), are the most frequent bacterial species used in ruminants [7, 28]. Repeated administration of a BP could be the optimal solution for countering decreases in ruminal bacteria, particularly in animals with digestive disorders [12, 14]. Current research on the microbial composition and functional diversity of ruminant digestive ecosystems suggests that consecutive BP supplementation improves the performance of animals by altering their ruminal microbiota and increasing their digestion capability [1, 17, 26]. BP reduces organic acid accumulation and might decrease the risk of subacute ruminal acidosis (SARA) [19, 22]. However, there is little information on the effects of a multi-strain BP containing LAB on SARA in cattle.

SARA occurs when dairy cattle are fed large quantities of rapidly fermentable carbohydrates that exceed the buffering capacity of the rumen [22]. When ruminal volatile fatty acids (VFAs) and lactate accumulate, the ruminal pH is decreased [11, 22]. In cattle, if the ruminal pH decreases below 6.0, fiber digestibility is decreased, and animals may show clinical signs of SARA [16, 19]. To diagnose SARA, continuous pH measurements of the ruminal fluid using automated pH measurement systems developed for this purpose are required [10, 24]. In addition to ruminal pH, VFA and lactic acid concentrations are important indicators of ruminal fermentation for diagnosing SARA in dairy cattle [2, 5, 14].

To prevent and treat SARA, the use of live yeast, such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, as a probiotic has been studied in cattle fed high-concentrate diets. *S. cerevisiae* increases the pH and decreases lactic acid concentrations in the rumen [3, 8, 25]. However,
preventing and treating SARA are still challenges in clinical veterinary medicine. Various
BP products have been investigated for their ability to modulate rumen fermentation
characteristics in cattle fed high-concentrate diets [7, 12, 17], although the effects of BP on
SARA in cattle have not been studied extensively. We previously reported the effects of a
BP containing *L. plantarum, E. faecium* and *Clostridium butyricum* on the ruminal
components of weaned calves [20]. In this study, we examined the effects of different doses
of a multi-strain BP on ruminal pH and VFA, lactic acid, ammonia nitrogen (NH₃-N) and
plasma metabolite concentrations in cattle with SARA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Animals and treatment:** All procedures in this experiment were conducted following
protocols approved by the Iwate University Laboratory Animal Care and Use Committee.
Four primiparous non-lactating, rumen-cannulated healthy Holstein cattle, weighing 645 ±
62 (mean ± SD) kg, were housed in a stanchion barn at the Cattle Research Center of Iwate
University. During the experimental period, the cattle were fed a SARA-inducing diet 2
weeks before and 1 week after first administration of BP. The SARA-inducing diet was
composed of a mix of orchard grass and timothy hay with an equivalent amount of flaked
barley and corn. Each cattle was fed 5.5–6.5 kg dry matter twice daily. The ratio of
roughage-to-concentrate was 3:7, and the percentages of total digestible nutrients, crude
protein, neutral detergent fiber, non-fiber carbohydrates and starch in the dry matter were
adjusted to 75.1, 12.2, 37.7, 42.4 and 37.0%, respectively. Cattle were fed daily at 9:00 and
17:00 and allowed free access to fresh water. Cattle were assigned randomly to a 4 × 4
Latin square experimental design containing three treatments and a control. The BP
(Miyarisan Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) included *L. plantarum* strain 220 (9 ×
$10^6$ colony-forming units (CFU)/g), *E. faecium* strain 26 ($9 \times 10^5\text{ CFU/g}$) and *C. butyricum* strain Miyari ($9 \times 10^4\text{ CFU/g}$) was administered as a daily single dose of 20, 50 or 100 g per head for 7 consecutive days. Cows fed the SARA-inducing diet without BP served as a control. Based on the effects of the treatment, the experimental design consisted of 2 weeks of an adaptation period to the respective BP treatment during which cattle were fed only hay. The BP was stored at 4°C, and each dose was mixed with their diet during the morning feeding. No clinical disorders were observed in the cattle during the experimental period.

**Ruminal pH measurements:** Ruminal pH was measured with a radio transmission pH measurement system (YCOW-S; DKK-TOA Yamagata, Yamagata, Japan). The pH sensor was calibrated with pH 4 and 7 buffer solutions at the start of each experiment and was placed in the ventral sac of the rumen via the rumen cannula, as the ventral sac of the rumen has more stable pH values than the other ruminal site [10]. Ruminal pH was recorded continuously every 10 min throughout the experimental period. The pH sensors remained in the ventral sac of the rumen throughout the trial.

**Ruminal fluid sampling and VFA, lactic acid and NH$_3$-N assays:** Ruminal fluids were collected from the same location as the pH sensor through the rumen cannula at 8:00, 11:00, 14:00, 17:00 and 20:00 on 7 days before (day -7) and 7 days after (day 7) the first BP administration (day 0). These sampling times (8:00–20:00) were chosen based on our previous report [23]. Samples for the VFA, lactic acid and NH$_3$-N measurements were filtered immediately through two layers of cheesecloth. For the VFA analysis, 10 mL of ruminal fluid was added to 2 mL of 25% metaphosphoric acid in 3 N sulfuric acid. Total VFAs and three individual VFAs (acetic acid, propionic acid and butyric acid) were separated and quantified with gas chromatography (Model 135, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).
using a packed glass column (3% Thermon-3000) on a Shimalite TPA 60–80 support (Shinwa Chemical Industries Ltd., Kyoto, Japan). For the lactic acid analysis, the ruminal fluid was centrifuged immediately at 2,000 × g for 15 min, and concentrations in the supernatant were determined using a commercially available kit (F-kit; D-lactate/L-lactate, J. K. International Co., Tokyo, Japan). To measure NH$_3$-N concentrations, ruminal fluid was analyzed using the steam distillation method with an NH$_3$-N analyzer (Kjeltec Auto Sampler System 1035 Analyzer, Tecator, Sweden).

**Blood sampling and plasma metabolite profiles:** Blood samples were collected from the jugular vein into 10-mL evacuated serum-separating tubes and tubes containing sodium fluoride (BD Vacutainer, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Blood samples were collected at the same time as the ruminal fluid samples (days -7 and 7), centrifuged (1,500 × g, 15 min, 4°C) immediately to separate the serum and plasma, and preserved at -80°C until the analysis. Glucose (GLU), non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) and β-hydroxybutyrate acid (BHBA) in plasma, as well as total cholesterol (T-Chol), triglycerides (TG) and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) in sera were analyzed using an automated biochemistry analyzer (Accute, Toshiba Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

**Statistical analysis:** Quantitative data are expressed as means ± standard errors (SEs). The main effects included the SARA challenge and BP treatment, day of the experiment, and hours after administration and feeding. Diurnal measurements of the ruminal pH were analyzed as the 24-hr mean pH from day -7 to day 7. The pH data collected in 10-min intervals were summarized as a 1-hr mean from 9:00 to 8:00 of the following day to assess circadian changes. The minimum and maximum pH in one day was determined for days -7, 0 and 7. Graph Pad Prism ver. 5.01 (La Jolla, CA, USA) was used for the statistical
calculations, and two-way repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Bonferroni post hoc test were used to evaluate the differences between the treatment and control groups. \( P \)-values < 0.05 were considered to be significant.

**RESULTS**

**Ruminal pH:** SARA was successfully induced in cattle fed the SARA-inducing diet. According to representative pH data on 3 days after beginning the diet, the 1-hr mean ruminal pH decreased rapidly and slowly after the morning and evening feedings, which was indicative of SARA (Fig. 1). Considerable disparities in the ruminal pH among the treatment and control groups were observed and continued throughout the treatment period (Fig. 2). The 24-hr mean ruminal pH in the control was generally lower, whereas that in the BP groups receiving 20 or 50 g was significantly higher from days 2 to 7 compared with the control. The 24-hr mean pH in the 100 g group was also significantly higher from days 2 to 4 compared with the control; however, it decreased on days 5 to 7. Among the treatment groups, the 20 g BP group maintained a constant pH (6.4–6.5) from days 3 to 7. Circadian changes in the 1-hr mean ruminal pH were almost identical among the treatment groups on days 0 and 7. However, the 1-hr mean pHs in the treatment groups were slightly higher than those in the control group on day 7 (Fig. 3). Additionally, the mean minimum pH in the control on day 7 was < 5.0, which was significantly lower than in the treatment groups (Fig. 4). The mean maximum pH approached > 6.8 in the treatment groups, which did not differ from that in the control.

**Ruminal VFA, lactic acid and NH\(_3\)-N concentrations:** Total VFA increased from 8:00 to 20:00 in the treatment and control groups on days 0 and 7 (Fig. 5). However, no difference was observed in the total or individual VFA concentrations between the treatment and
control groups. The acetic acid-to-propionic acid ratio (A:P) was almost identical among the treatment groups. Lactic acid concentrations remained stable in the treatment groups, and the concentrations at 20:00 on day 7 were significantly lower than that in the control. No difference was observed in NH$_3$-N concentrations among the treatment groups, although the NH$_3$-N concentrations in the 50 g and 100 g groups at 8:00 on day 7 were significantly higher than that in the control.

**Blood metabolite profiles**: GLU concentrations decreased from 8:00 to 20:00, but were almost the same among the treatment and control groups (Fig. 6). NEFA levels were affected by feeding time and were significantly lower at 20:00 compared with at 8:00 in the treatment groups on days 0 and 7. Furthermore, NEFA level in the 50 g group at 20:00 on day 7 was significantly lower than that in the control. In contrast, BHBA and BUN concentrations were higher and lower, respectively, in all groups from 8:00 to 20:00. BHBA concentrations in the 20 g and 50 g at 17:00 and 20:00, and BUN concentrations in the treatment groups at 8:00 were significantly higher than that in the controls, respectively. T-Chol and TG concentrations were unaffected by BP treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

SARA occurs when the ruminal pH decreases due to a combination of overproduction of VFA and lactic acid and a decrease in VFA absorption in the rumen [2, 22]. SARA is diagnosed when the pH in the ruminal fluid is < 5.6 for at least 3 hr per day [5, 17, 21]. In this study, the ruminal pH measured continuously indicated that SARA was successfully induced during the experimental period, especially during the first week of the experiment, by feeding a SARA-inducing diet.

Studies have shown that the main ruminal bacteria affecting the ruminal fermentative
capacity are classified as lactic acid-producers and consumers [1, 15], and both of these bacterial groups have been used as BPs [12]. Ghorbani et al. (2002) [12] reported that a BP containing both lactate-consuming (*Propionibacterium*) and lactate-producing (*Enterococcus*) bacteria activated ruminal fermentation and reduced the risk of acidosis in cattle. In another study, a BP consisting of *L. plantarum* and *E. faecium* induced changes in the ruminal pH of cows fed a high-grain diet [17]. However, the mechanism of the effects of BP on ruminal fermentation is unclear, and the effects of BP on SARA in cattle are unknown. In this study, the 24-hr mean ruminal pH was higher in the BP-treated groups compared with in the control group during SARA challenge. This was indicative of increased ruminal fermentation in the cows, as reported previously [12, 27, 28]. Chiquette et al. (2012) [7] examined the effects of administering BP in SARA-challenged cows and found no effects on ruminal pH when BP was administered as a single strain; however, ruminal pH increased compared over that in the control group when using a combination of *E. faecium* and yeast. We used the same BP in a previous study on weaned calves fed a high-concentrate diet, and the mean ruminal pH was significantly higher in the treatment group compared with in the control group [20]. In this study, different doses of a multi-strain BP were administered to SARA-challenged cows, and the 24-hr mean ruminal pH was notably higher on days 3 to 7, whereas the minimum pH increased on day 7 in the treatment groups.

BP appears to increase the ability of ruminal bacteria to metabolize lactic acid and regulate ruminal pH [20, 21]. It has been hypothesized that the functionality and efficacy of BPs can be determined based on their effects on the predominant rumen microbiota [7, 12]. In this study, cattle receiving lower BP doses (20 g per head) had a constant mean pH of 6.4–6.6. In contrast, cattle receiving higher BP doses (100 g per head) had higher mean pH
on days 2 and 3, which decreased on days 5 to 7. Previous reports have indicated that changes in rumen bacterial composition and diversity, increased activities of lactate-consuming bacteria and greater lactate absorption affect ruminal pH [13, 15]. The higher BP dose might increase LAB numbers in the rumen, which could be related to increased ruminal fermentation capacity, higher carbohydrate fermentation and increased ruminal pH [22]. Therefore, ruminal LAB might have been overly increased due to the higher BP dose, causing the ruminal fermentation and pH to decrease on day 5. These results are consistent with previous reports that lower BP doses improve gastrointestinal tract microbiota in calves [26]. Furthermore, weaned calves administered lower BP doses showed a higher mean ruminal pH compared with controls [20]. Based on our results, administration of an extremely high dose (100 g per head) of LAB could cause decreased ruminal fermentation and ruminal pH.

Decreases in ruminal pH are related to VFA production and lactic acid accumulation after feeding a high-grain diet [2, 18]. In this study, although ruminal VFA concentrations were not affected by BP treatment, they were affected by feeding time. This is in agreement with previous reports in which ruminal VFAs were not affected by probiotics including LAB [6, 20]. Administration of LAB probiotics is thought to help rumen microbiota adapt to the presence of lactic acid [12] and prevent lactate accumulation in the rumen [22]. Russell et al. (1992) [21] reported that lactate-consuming bacteria increased only when lactic acid accumulated and ruminal pH decreased. Based on these results, LAB probiotics might affect ruminal pH by increasing the activity of lactate-consuming bacteria [7]. In our previous study, significantly lower lactic acid concentrations were observed in the ruminal fluid of weaned calves receiving BP compared with the control [20]. In this study, lactic acid concentrations were stable in the treatment groups; however, lactic acid was higher and
correlated with a lower mean ruminal pH in the control group. Gradually increasing ruminal pH might be due to time after feeding and the absorption capacity of the rumen [9]. BPs may prevent a decline in ruminal pH by increasing the lactic acid consumption by some microbes [4, 6]. A combination of certain probiotic bacteria that synthesize lactic acid may sustain a tonic level of lactic acid in the rumen, stimulating rumen microbial communities that consume lactic acid and reducing acidity, causing the ruminal pH to remain constant and stable.

Conversely, the NH$_3$-N concentrations in the ruminal fluid of the BP groups remained stable in this study, although significant difference was observed at 8:00 on day 7 between the BP-treated and control groups. BP has no effect on the NH$_3$-N concentrations in the rumen [7, 12]. Decreases in NH$_3$-N levels after morning feeding in this study were in agreement with the previous study, which found that NH$_3$-N concentrations decreased with decreasing ruminal pH [7, 13]. Furthermore, NEFA levels decreased after the morning feeding in this study. It has been reported that NEFA levels are lower in steers with SARA compared with in the control [5]; therefore, the decrease in NEFA levels indicates a more efficient use of dietary energy and greater dry matter intake in the BP-treated groups.

In conclusion, repeated administration of a BP comprised of *L. plantarum*, *E. faecium* and *C. butyricum* improved the 24-hr mean ruminal pH in cattle with experimentally induced SARA at doses of 20 or 50 g per head. Diurnal patterns of the 1-hr mean ruminal pH were identical among the treatment and control groups. Ruminal VFA was not affected by BP treatment; however, lactic acid was lower in the treatment groups than in the control group. Based on these results, BP might affect ruminal pH by increasing lactate consumption and decreasing lactic acid concentrations, resulting in a consistently higher ruminal pH in SARA cattle. These results suggest that repeated administration of a
multi-strain BP might reduce the risk of SARA in cattle and that consecutive treatment with 20 or 50 g of a BP containing LAB during high-concentrate feeding might reduce the incidence of SARA in dairy cattle.
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**FIGURE CAPTIONS**

**Fig. 1.** Circadian changes in the 1-hr mean ruminal pH at control day (n = 4; ○) and 3 days after beginning the SARA-inducing diet (n = 4; ●). Cattle satiated with hay served as the control. The 1-hr mean pH in cattle fed SARA-inducing diet decreased after the morning and evening feedings, which was indicative of successfully induced SARA. Data are means ± SE. * Significant difference compared with a control on the same day (P < 0.05). The arrows indicate the feeding times.

**Fig. 2.** Changes in the 24-hr mean ruminal pH in cattle administered 20 g (n = 4; ●), 50 g (n = 4; ◆) or 100 g (n = 4; ■) of a bacterial probiotic for 7 consecutive days. Cattle not administered the probiotic served as a control (n = 4; ○). Data are means ± SE. * Significant difference compared with a control on the same day (P < 0.05). The first day of probiotic administration was regarded as day 0.

**Fig. 3.** Circadian changes in the 1-hr mean ruminal pH on days 0 and 7 in cattle administered 20 g (n = 4; ●), 50 g (n = 4; ◆) or 100 g (n = 4; ■) of a bacterial probiotic for 7 consecutive days. Cattle not administered the probiotic served as a control (n = 4; ○). Data are means ± SE. * Significant difference compared with a control at the same time (P < 0.05). The arrows indicate feeding times.

**Fig. 4.** Box plots of the maximum and minimum ruminal pH on days -7, 0 and 7 in the treatment groups administered 20 g (n = 4; light gray boxes), 50 g (n = 4; gray boxes) and 100 g (n = 4; dark boxes) of a bacterial probiotic for 7 consecutive days. Cattle not
administered the probiotic served as a control (n = 4; white boxes). The median and quartiles are displayed in the box. The upper and lower bars represent the maximum and minimum values, respectively. * Significant difference compared with a control on the same day (P < 0.05). † Significant difference compared with the same group on day 7 (P < 0.05).

**Fig. 5.** Circadian changes in the ruminal VFA, A:P ratio, lactic acid and NH$_3$-N concentrations on days 0 and 7 in cattle administered 20 g (n = 4; ●), 50 g (n = 4; ◆) or 100 g (n = 4; ■) of a bacterial probiotic for 7 consecutive days. Cattle not administered the probiotic served as a control (n = 4; ○). Data are means ± SE. * Significant difference compared with a control on the same day (P < 0.05).

**Fig. 6.** Circadian changes in the blood GLU, NEFA, BHBA and BUN concentrations on days 0 and 7 in cattle administered 20 g (n = 4; ●), 50 g (n = 4; ◆) or 100 g (n = 4; ■) of a bacterial probiotic for 7 consecutive days. Cattle not administered the probiotic served as a control (n = 4; ○). Data are means ± SE. * Significant difference compared with control on the same day (P < 0.05).
Ruminal pH vs Time of day for SARA cattle and control cattle.

Fig. 1
Ruminal pH

Days after first administration

Fig. 2
Fig. 3

Day 0

- 20g
- 50g
- 100g
- Control

Day 7

Ruminal pH

Time

Fig. 3
Fig. 4
**Fig. 6**

- **Day 0 Glucose**
- **Day 7 Glucose**
- **NEFA**
- **NEFA**
- **BHBA**
- **BHBA**
- **BUN**
- **BUN**

- **Time**

**Day 0 Glucose**

- **NEFA**
- **BHBA**
- **BUN**

**Day 7 Glucose**

- **NEFA**
- **BHBA**
- **BUN**

*Note: Figures illustrate changes in glucose, NEFA, BHBA, and BUN levels over time for Day 0 and Day 7.*