Dihydropyrimidine Dehydrogenase Activity and Thymidylate Synthase Level Are Associated with Response to 5-fluorouracil in Human Colorectal Cancer
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Summary: In the recent studies associated with the modulation of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and the development of new antifolates, attentions have been focused on the expression of the target enzymes, thymidylate synthase (TS) and dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD), that affect tumor sensitivity and resistance to drugs. In order to evaluate predictability of therapeutic efficacy by intratumoral enzyme activity, we investigated the role of TS content and DPD activity in tumor sensitivity to 5-FU. Surgical specimens were obtained from 51 patients with colorectal cancer and used to measure TS content and DPD activity. TS content and DPD activity in tissues were measured by [3H]-FdUMP binding assay and radioenzymatic assay, respectively. The sensitivity to 5-FU in tumor specimens was determined by collagen-gel droplet embedded-drug sensitivity test (CD-DST). The TS content and DPD activity did not correlate with Dukes’ staging. There was no correlation between TS content and DPD activity in any tumors. Simple linear regression analysis showed that neither DPD activity (r=-0.267, p>0.05) nor TS content (r=-0.277, p>0.05) in tumors had a significant correlation with 5-FU effectiveness independently. Four out of 24 patients, highly responsive to 5-FU, showed low levels in both DPD and TS. The patients with high value in either DPD activity or TS content proved not to respond to 5-FU. In conclusion, these results demonstrate that both tumor DPD activity and TS content are the factors predicting 5-FU responsiveness in colorectal cancer.
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INTRODUCTION

For the past forty years, 5-FU [1] has remained the agent of choice in the treatment of patients with advanced colorectal cancer. However, the response rates are generally less than 20% and a number of combination therapies have been investigated to improve the effectiveness of 5-FU [2]. There is thus a potential interest in early identification of individual tumor response to 5-FU.

Intracellular activation of 5-FU involves several enzyme pathways leading to at least three well-identified cellular targets, i.e., TS inhibition, production of F-RNA, and misincorporation of FdUTP into DNA, but it has been mainly displayed by inhibition of TS, a rate-limiting enzyme in de novo DNA biosynthesis, and by 5-fluoro-2'-deoxyuridylate (FdUMP), an active metabolite of 5-FU, forming an inactive ternary complex with 5, 10-methylenetetrahydrofolate [3-7]. Consequently, Spears et al. [8,9] have suggested that the response to 5-FU is influenced by the activity of TS in the tumor as well as by other factors such as an intracellular endogenous nucleotide, 2'-deoxyuridylate (dUMP), and reduced folates. More recently, several reports have demonstrated the significance of the TS expression level as one of prognostic factors of cancer patients after surgery [10-16]. Meanwhile, DPD is the first and rate-limiting
enzyme of a chain of reactions which regulate 5-FU catabolism [17]. The underlying differences of DPD activity in tumors induce the difference in FU degradation prior to 5-FU engagement in the anabolic pathway. Some authors have thus shown that intratumoral DPD activity or mRNA expression level is one of the good predictors for clinical outcome after 5-FU-based chemotherapy [18-20]. From these finding, both TS level and DPD activity in tumors may be potential factors controlling the sensitivity to 5-FU.

In this report, we describe the correlation between the TS level or DPD activity in tumors and their sensitivity to 5-FU in vitro in human colorectal cancer.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

Evaluation of DPD and TS level was conducted in 51 consecutive patients undergoing surgery for colorectal cancer. This study was approved by the local ethical committee, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients. Immediately after resection, portions of various tumors and adjacent normal tissues (10 cm from the tumor) were removed by an experienced gastrointestinal pathologist and frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Chemicals

5-FU was purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan; [6-14C]5-FU (56 mCi/mmol) was from American Radiolabeled Chemicals, Inc., MO, USA, and all other chemicals used were of commercially available products of the highest quality.

Analysis of DPD activity

Enzyme solution was prepared as described by Naguib et al. [17]. Frozen tissues were weighed and homogenized in the buffer A (20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 8.0, and 1 mM mercaptoethanol). The homogenate was centrifuged at 100,000 g for 60 min at 4 ºC. The cytosolic fraction was retained for use in DPD activity assay. The protein level in cytosol was determined by the Bradford assay (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany). In brief, a reaction mixture consisting of 250 µl of [14C]5-FU, buffer A and cytosol in a final volume of 125 µl was incubated for 45 min at 37 ºC in a shaking water bath. DPD activity was determined by measuring the sum of the products, dihydrofluouracil (DHFU), 2-fluoro-β-ureidopropionate (F-β-UPA), and F-β-Ala, formed from [6-14C] 5-FU, as described by Naguib et al. [17,21]. The mixture after the reaction was added to 25 µl of 0.36 mM KOH, allowed to stand at room temperature for at least 30 min to hydrolyze the DHFU formed, mixed with 25 µl of 0.36 mM HClO4 for neutralization and centrifuged (14,000 rpm, 5 min). A 5 µl aliquot of the supernatant was applied to a TLC plate (silica gel 60 F254, Merck, Germany), and developed with a mixture of ethanol and 1 M ammonium acetate (5:1, v/v). Each product was visualized and quantified using an imaging analyzer (BAS-2000, Fujix, Tokyo, Japan). The Rf values for 5-FU, DHFU, and F-β-UPA and F-β-Ala were 0.79, 0.58, and 0.34, respectively.

TS level

TS level was measured as described by Spears et al. [8-10]. Three volumes of 0.2 M tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.4, cooled to 4 ºC and containing 20 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 15 mM cytidylate, and 100 mM NaF were added to the tumor tissue. The tissue was then cut into pieces with scissors, homogenized and sonicated. Cytosol was prepared by centrifugation at 105,000×g for 60 min and used for the TS assay. To measure free TS, 50 µl of buffer A (600 mM NH4HCO3, 100 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 100 mM NaF and 15 mM cytidylate, pH 8.0) and 50 µl of cytosol were added to the mixture to be incubated for 20 min. Incubation was done at 30 ºC with 7.8 pmol of [6-3H]FdUMP enzymatically synthesized from [6-3H]5-FU (New England Nuclear, USA) in 50 µl of 5 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, plus 25 µl of a cofactor solution (50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, containing 20 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 100 mM NaF, 15 mM cytidylate, 2% bovine serum albumin, 2 mM tetrahydrofolic acid, 16 mM sodium ascorbate, and 9 mM formaldehyde). Total TS was assayed by preincubating buffer A with cytosol for 3 hrs at 26 ºC. Incubated mixtures in triplicate were centrifuged at 2,000×g for 15 min after the addition of cold (4 ºC) 10 N perchloric acid to remove unbound FdUMP. Each precipitate was washed 3 times with 0.5 N perchloric acid. The final precipitates were dissolved in 0.5 ml of formic acid and ACS-11 scintillator (Amersham, Buckinghamshire, UK). The radioactivity level was also measured.

CD-DST

The fresh specimens used in this study were obtained from 24 patients with a diagnosis of colorectal cancer. The specimens were freshly resected and each weighed at least 0.3 g. Each fresh surgical specimen was minced finely using a scalpel and sus-
suspended in 0.1% Hanks’ balanced saline solution (EZ) (Nitta Gelatin, Japan) at 37 °C for 3 hrs. After digestion, each sample was centrifuged at 900 g for 3 min and filtered through a 80-μm-pore nylon mesh. The recovered cells were washed in HBSS and suspended in PCM-1 medium (Nitta Gelatin) in a CO2 incubator at 37 °C for 24 hrs. Collagen was then dissolved using a cell dispersion enzyme, and only the viable cells were collected by centrifugation. The collected viable cells were dispersed at a density of 1×10^5 cells/ml in collagen solution, which was a mixture of type I collagen (Nitta Gelatin), 10× F-12 medium and reconstitution buffer at a ratio of 8:1:1. Three droplets of the collagen-cell mixture were placed in the wall of a six-well multiplate on ice, and allowed to gel in a CO2 incubator at 37 °C for 1 hr. The final concentration was about 3×10^4 cells per collagen gel droplet. Three milliliter of DF medium (Nissui, Tokyo, Japan), containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, NY, USA) was overlaid, and then incubated in a CO2 incubator at 37 °C overnight. 5-FU was added at final concentrations of 1.0 μg/ml and incubated for 24 hrs. After removal of the medium containing the anticancer drugs, each sample was washed with HBSS, overlaid with 4 ml serum-free media (PCM-2; Nitta Gelatin), and incubated for 7 days at 37 °C with replacement of the media on the fourth day of incubation. After 7 days of incubation, neutral red (Nitta Gelatin) was added to each well at a final concentration of 50 μg/ml, and the colonies in the collagen gel droplets were stained for 2 hrs. Each colony was fixed in 10% neutral formalin buffer, washed with water and dried in air. Quantification of the total volume of the tumor colonies, utilizing differences in the growth morphologies between tumor cells and fibroblasts, was conducted by an image analysis method described previously [22-26]. Evaluation of the anticancer drug effects was based on the ratio of the total volume of tumor colonies in the drug treated group (T) to that of the colonies in the untreated group (C). After culture for 7 days, the anticancer drugs was considered effective when T/C% was less than or equal to 50%, borderline when it was greater than 50% and less than or equal to 60%, and not effective when it was greater than 60%.

**Statistical analysis**

As TS and DPD levels exhibited asymmetrical distributions, nonparametric tests were applied for statistical analysis. The Wilcoxon paired test was performed for intrapatient analysis of TS and DPD activity in tumoral and nontumoral tissues. The level of statistical significance was p<0.05.

**RESULTS**

Table 1 shows the relationships between histopathological findings and the enzyme activities for the primary colorectal cancer. The enzyme activities were assessed in 51 consecutive patients (32 males, 19 females) with colorectal cancer. The tumors were located in the colon (29/51) or rectum (22/51).

### TABLE 1.

**Clinical characteristics of 51 patients with colorectal cancer and enzyme activities for the colorectal cancer**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>DPD (pmol/mg/min)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>TS (pmol/g)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>103.9±111.4</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
<td>5.0±2.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>49.5±32.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.7±3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;65</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>99.6±116.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>5.2±3.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥65</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>64.2±51.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5±2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>91.6±116.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>4.5±2.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectum</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>73.1±51.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.9±8.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dukes' classification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>115.6±47.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>5.0±2.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>85.4±46.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.2±3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>78.4±122.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0±8.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>55.9±82.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.6±2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All data are means ± SD.
Fig. 1. Correlation between TS content and DPD activity in colorectal tumor.

Fig. 2. Correlation between 5-FU sensitivity and TS content or DPD activity.

Fig. 3. Plotting DPD activity versus TS content. Open squares indicate T/C ≤ 50%, and closed squares indicate T/C > 50%.

They were primarily at Duke’s stage A (6/51), B (16/51), C (26/51) or D (3/51). TS content and DPD activity did not correlate with age, tumor location or Duke’s stage, but DPD activity was higher in men (median 103.97 pmol min/mg) than in women (median 49.5 pmol min/mg) (p < 0.05).

There was no correlation between TS content and DPD activity in the tumors (Fig. 1). Simple linear regression analysis showed that neither DPD activity (r = −0.267, p > 0.05) nor TS content (r = −0.277, p > 0.05) had a significant correlation with 5-FU effectiveness independently (Fig. 2). The four patients (16.7%; 4/24) who were highly responsive to 5-FU showed low levels in both DPD and TS (Fig. 3).

DISCUSSION

This study is an interesting analysis to predict the sensitivity of colorectal cancer to 5-FU chemotherapy using TS level and DPD activity. The CD-DST in vitro chemosensitivity using three-dimensional culture is suitable for primary culturing, which is difficult to conduct by monolayer culture. This method can be performed with a small number of cells, is free of the fibroblast effects, and has been reported to...
be clinically useful in evaluating the chemosensitivity of various types of fresh surgical cancer specimens [24-26]. The CD-DST method has already been reported to be useful in reproducing the in vivo phenomena, since cells in vitro show similar sensitivity to the clinically reported results [24]. The CD-DST method overcomes technical and theoretical limitations of the conventional chemosensitivity testing. Since in vitro sensitivity to various anticancer drugs was similar to the clinical response rate and predictable in a high proportion of patients (91%), the CD-DST method may be clinically useful.

TS is an enzyme catalyzing the transformation from deoxyuridine to deoxythymidine, which is a rate-limiting stage in de novo thymidine nucleotide synthesis, and known to be a target enzyme for cytotoxicity of 5-FU. 5-FU is metabolized in cells and transformed to fluorodeoxyuridine monophosphate (FdUMP). It is firmly bound to the foliate-binding site of TS, inhibits the enzyme activity, and consequently inhibits DNA synthesis [7,10,11].

On the other hand, DPD is the rate-limiting enzyme for 5-FU catabolism [17]. The increased DPD activity in colorectal cancer tissues tends to be associated with low sensitivity to 5-FU. Rapid enzymatic degradation from 5-FU to F-β-alanine seems to inhibit the activation route by phosphorylation and consequently decreases the sensitivity. Many investigators have reported that the levels of these enzymes influence the effectiveness of 5-FU. For example, high intratumoral TS mRNA and protein expression level is reportedly associated with resistance to 5-FU or poor prognosis in patients with gastric, colorectal or breast cancer [10-16]. In addition, basic experiments and clinical trials in colorectal, gastric, or head and neck cancer showed that patients with high intratumoral DPD mRNA expression level or activity were sensitive to 5-FU [18-20,27].

These enzymes have been reported to be expressed independently of each other [19,27], and our results also showed that intratumoral TS level did not correlate with DPD activity. We thus consider it quite likely that the sensitivity to 5-FU is regulated by the combination of the two enzymes, not by TS or DPD alone. In fact, there have been reports that the sensitivity to 5-FU is not correlated with DPD or TS [18,20,27,28], which is presumably attributable to the possible regulation of the sensitivity by these enzymes combined. Our study also indicated that neither DPD nor TS was independently correlated with the sensitivity to 5-FU, but that the patients who had low activities in both the enzymes were highly sensitive to 5-FU. In the experiment using human tumor cell lines, Beck et al. have also shown that the cell lines which are low in both TS and DPD levels are more sensitive to 5-FU than those which have a high level in either of them [27].

Salonga et al. have also reported that low intratumoral DPD gene expression is associated with tumor response to 5-FU-based chemotherapy in colorectal cancer patients, but that low gene expression of all the three, TS, DPD and thymidine phosphorylase, in tumor can identify the responding patient more precisely [19].

Our results provide some interesting suggestion. The quantification of TS level and DPD activity is useful in identifying 5-FU-responsive patients and selecting appropriate drugs. For example, CPT-11 is effective in patients who have high TS level and low DPD activity. Saltz et al. have reported that patients with high TS mRNA expression level are also high intoptoisomerase 1 mRNA expression, and that such patients respond to CPT-11 [29]. On the other hand, patients who are low in TS level and high in DPD activity may benefit from TS inhibitions not metabolized by DPD such as raltitrexed [30] or the modulation of 5-FU with DPD inhibitions like eniluracil [31,32]. Seventeen percent of patients judged as responsive by CD-DST showed low levels in both DPD and TS, and this in vitro sensitivity rate coincided with the response rate of colorectal cancer to 5-FU in general clinical setting. Meanwhile, 5-FU-resistant tumors proved to be high in TS or DPD activity, or in both of them.

We conclude that DPD activity and TS level are promising and independent markers of sensitivity to 5FU in human colorectal cancer. The present data will encourage the coupled measurement of DPD and TS in tumor patients before 5-FU treatment in order to establish their prognostic relevance.
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