Optimization of Sintering Temperature for Maximizing Dimensionless Figure of Merit of La-Doped Strontium Titanate Thermoelectric Material in the Combination of Combustion Synthesis with Post Spark Plasma Sintering

Asami Kikuchi^{1,*}, Lihua Zhang², Noriyuki Okinaka³, Tsuyoshi Tosho⁴ and Tomohiro Akiyama³

¹Graduate School of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-8628, Japan

²University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, P. R. China

³Center for Advanced Research of Energy Conversion Materials, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-8628, Japan

⁴Thermoelectric Device Development Inc., Noboribetsu 059-0003, Japan

This paper describes thermoelectric properties of La-doped SrTiO₃ prepared by using a combination of combustion synthesis (CS) with post spark plasma sintering (SPS), on which effects of sintering temperature were mainly examined. In experimental, combustion-synthesized (CSed) samples (Sr_{1-x}La_xTiO₃, $x = 0.08$) were spark-plasma-sintered (SPSed) at temperatures from 1513 to 1663 K and the thermoelectric properties of sintered Sr_{0.92}La_{0.08}TiO₃ were measured from room temperature to 1073 K. In experimental sintering temperature range, sintering temperature didn't heavily affect the average grain size of sintered SLTO in the case that sintering temperature ranges from 1543 to 1603 K. However, when sintering temperature rose over 1603 K, the average grain size of sintered SLTO was dramatically affected and it increased with sintering temperature. With increasing sintering temperature, the effects of phonon scattering at grain boundaries decreased therefore, thermal conductivity of all sintered samples increased with sintering temperature. With increasing sintering temperature, electric conductivity of sintered SLTO increased and absolute value of Seebeck coefficient of sintered SLTO decreased because sintering at higher temperature caused the more oxygen defects which generate the larger carrier density. In conclusion, the product sintered at 1573 K showed the maximum of the dimensionless figure of merit of 0.16 at 1005 K. [doi:10.2320/matertrans.M2010102]

(Received March 19, 2010; Accepted July 21, 2010; Published September 8, 2010)

Keywords: thermoelectric materials, perovskite oxide, combustion synthesis, spark plasma sintering

1. Introduction

The last decade has seen a big surge in research on thermoelectric oxide materials, with primary focus on improving the thermoelectric properties: electrical conductivity, σ [Scm⁻¹], Seebeck coefficient, α [μ VK⁻¹], and thermal conductivity, κ [Wm⁻¹K⁻¹]. SrTiO₃,¹⁻⁴ a typical transition-metal perovskite oxide, is one of the most important ceramics and many researchers have studied thermoelectric properties of SrTiO₃-based materials.⁵⁻⁹

There have been many investigations on fabrication of SrTiO₃ ceramics: wet-chemical method,¹⁰ solid-state reaction (SSR) method and sol-gel method, which have already been widely used in the production of many materials. However, these conventional methods involve many processes therefore they are time and energy consuming. Zhang *et al.*^{1,11} have proposed a combination of combustion synthesis (CS)¹² and spark plasma sintering (SPS)¹³⁻¹⁸ for the synthesis of thermoelectric materials. CS is one of chemical reaction method using the energy of exothermic reaction from raw materials. The principle of the CS is that once one end of the starting mixture is ignited, the exothermic sustainable reaction initiates and causes a combustion wave to propagate through the sample, and the desired product is finally formed without additional energy in pre-calcining step. In addition to energy saving, the CS can produce a non-equilibrium material when strong exothermic reaction makes rapid heating with quenching of the product. The obtained product is homogeneous with high purity.¹²

As compared to conventional techniques such as hot-pressed sintering, SPS ensures a very rapid heating rate

and mass transfer speed, and allows the samples to be fabricated in a short period time at a relatively lower temperature. Consequently, SPS is the most suitable for fabrication of thermoelectric materials.¹⁸ Sintering conditions, particularly sintering temperature affect its microstructures and properties seriously.¹⁹⁻²¹ X. Dong *et al.*²⁰ reported that the effect of sintering temperature on crystal structure and electrochemical performance of synthesized La_{0.80}Mg_{0.20}Ni_{3.75} alloy, and found that the density of the samples increases with increasing the sintering temperature. However, the effects of sintering temperature on the thermoelectric properties have never been researched thus far. To build up the fabricating method using CS with post SPS for thermoelectric materials, it is essential to survey the effects of sintering temperature on thermoelectric properties. Therefore, in this study, we investigated the effects of sintering temperature on the thermoelectric properties and microstructures of polycrystalline La-doped SrTiO₃.

2. Experimental Procedure

Polycrystalline samples of La-doped SrTiO₃ (SLTO) were prepared from SrCO₃ (99.9% purity, Kanto Chemical, Tokyo, Japan), TiO₂ (99.9% purity, Kanto Chemical, Sakado, Japan), Ti (99.9% purity, Kojundo Chemical, Sakado, Japan), NaClO₄ (98.0% purity, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, US10), and La₂O₃ (99.9% purity, Kojundo Chemical, Sakado, Japan).²² After combustion-synthesis, the obtained products were pulverized into powders in a planetary ball mill (Pulverisette 6, Fritsch, Idor-Oberstein, Germany) operated at 350 rpm for 40 min in air. The particle size of the obtained powders was within 5 μ m.

*Graduate Student, Hokkaido University

The obtained powders were filled in a cylindrical graphite die (inner diameter = 15 mm) and pressed by using a graphite punch at 37.45 MPa.²²⁾ Then, pulverized powders were sintered by SPS (SPS-511S, Sumitomo Coal Mining, Tokyo, Japan) at a heating rate of 30 Kmin⁻¹ and a holding time of 15 min in vacuum. During sintering, the mechanical pressure was maintained at 34 MPa by using plungers. In this study, sintering was carried out at different holding temperatures. The oxygen defect content δ in the sintered samples was determined by measuring the weight of the samples before and after heating in a furnace at 1373 K for 12 h in air. Heating was repeated twice. δ was calculated assuming that the samples were oxidized to Sr_{0.92}La_{0.08}TiO_{3.00} in furnace. The phase composition and morphology of the products were analyzed by using an X-ray diffractometer (Miniflex, Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) and a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (JSM-7000F, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). Lattice parameter of synthesized powders before SPS was calculated from X-ray diffraction (XRD) data obtained from another X-ray diffractometer (X'Pert Pro MPD, Royal Philips Electronics,

Eindhoven, Netherlands). σ and α were simultaneously measured by using a Seebeck coefficient/electric resistance measuring system (ZEM-3, ULVAC-RIKO, Yokohama, Japan) from room temperature to 1073 K in He atmosphere. κ was calculated as

$$\kappa = DC_p d \quad (1)$$

where D , C_p and d are the thermal diffusivity, heat capacity and experimental density, respectively. The densities of the samples were measured by Archimedes method, and the thermal diffusivity and heat capacity were measured by the laser flash thermal constant analyzer (TC-7000, ULVAC-RIKO, Yokohama, Japan) from room temperature to 1105 K in vacuum.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Reaction analyses and crystal structure of combustion-synthesized and spark-plasma-sintered SLTO

The equation of the CS reaction is given as follows:²²⁾

In eq. (2), x denotes the La-doping content and a denotes the TiO₂ content. In our study, x and a are equal to 0.08¹⁾ and 0.25,¹²⁾ respectively. The average particle size of the ground powders before SPS is found to be less than 5 μm from the SEM image.²²⁾ The average grain size of SLTO sintered at 1513, 1543, 1573, 1603, 1633, and 1663 K was approximately 1.32, 4.31, 3.61, 2.32, 6.63, and 23.5 μm , respectively.²²⁾ The average grain size of sintered SLTO decreased above 1573 K, but it increased again above 1603 K. In experimental sintering temperature range, sintering temperature didn't heavily affect the average grain size of sintered SLTO in the case of sintering temperature ranges from 1543 to 1603 K. However, when sintering temperature rose over 1603 K, the average grain size of sintered SLTO was dramatically affected and it increased with sintering temperature.

Table 1 lists the lattice parameters of synthesized powders before SPS calculated from XRD data and comparison of sintering temperatures and bulk density after SPS. The value of δ for samples sintered at various sintering temperatures is

Table 1 Lattice parameters of synthesized powders before SPS calculated from X-ray diffraction (XRD) data and comparison of sintering temperatures and bulk density after SPS. The value of δ for samples sintered at various sintering temperatures is also shown in this table.

Holding temperature [K]	Lattice parameter before SPS [nm]	Bulk density after SPS (g/cm ⁻³)	(%T.D.)	The value of δ [—]
1513	0.3903	5.03	96.27	0.0097
1543		5.15	98.57	0.034
1573		5.14	98.49	0.030
1603		5.09	97.56	0.026
1633		5.16	98.91	0.053
1663		5.09	97.55	—

T.D. = 5.24 gcm⁻³

Fig. 1 X-ray diffraction patterns of SLTO before and after sintering at different temperatures, together with the data of reagent SrTiO₃ for comparison.

also shown in this table. As shown in Table 1, density of all samples reached more than 96.27% of the theoretical density (T.D.) (T.D. = 5.24 gcm⁻³). Lattice parameter of CSed SLTO powders was 0.3903 nm. In this study, the samples were sintered at different temperatures from 1513 to 1663 K. However, bulk SLTO was not formed at a sintering temperature of 1663 K, because of the formation of many inter-crystalline cracks. Thus, we concluded that sintering of SLTO was successfully carried out at temperatures from 1513 to 1633 K.

Figure 1 shows XRD patterns of SLTO before and after sintering at different temperatures, together with the data of reagent SrTiO₃ (99.9% purity, Kojundo Chemical, Sakado, Japan) for comparison. All peaks in this figure very well correspond to that of reagent SrTiO₃. This result indicates that SLTO with high purity was prepared by CS. There is no difference between the XRD patterns obtained before and

Fig. 2 Temperature dependence on (a) electric conductivity,²²⁾ (b) Seebeck coefficient²²⁾ and (c) thermal conductivity with various sintering temperatures.

after SPS, indicating that secondary-phase has not appeared during sintering. Also, we confirmed that the SEM image²²⁾ didn't show secondary-phase in SPSed products.

3.2 Temperature dependence of thermoelectric properties of CSed and SPSed SLTO with various sintering temperatures

Figures 2(a), (b) and (c) show the temperature dependence of σ , α and κ of CSed and SPSed SLTO for various sintering temperatures, respectively. As temperature increased, σ decreased and the absolute value of α increased, indicating a metallic behavior. In the experimental temperature range, with an increase in the sintering temperature, σ increased and the absolute value of α decreased due to an increase in the carrier density. When a sample was sintered at high temperature in abutting contact with carbon in vacuum, a lot of oxygen inside particles were liberated. As a result, the sample changed into the nonstoichiometric compound of $\text{Sr}_{0.92}\text{La}_{0.08}\text{TiO}_{3-\delta}$, where δ is the oxygen defect content. Oxygen defects generate electrons as carriers. As shown in Table 1, the largest value of δ is 0.053 in a sample sintered at 1633 K, and the smallest value of δ is 0.0097 in a sample sintered at 1513 K. The value of δ of the samples sintered at different temperatures also shows a similar tendency with

Fig. 3 Temperature dependence on dimensionless figure of merit with various sintering temperatures.

electric conductivity of the samples. As shown in Fig. 2(a), electric conductivity of samples sintered at 1543, 1573, and 1603 K were almost equal values. Therefore, the δ values were also almost equal. Thus, an increase in the sintering temperature leads to an increase in the oxygen defect content, thereby resulting in high σ . Thus, σ increased and the absolute value of α decreased with an increase in the sintering temperature. Thermal conductivity of CSed and SPSed SLTO increased with sintering temperature. With an increase in sintering temperature, average grain size of CSed and SPSed SLTO increased, which caused the decrease of the effects of phonon scattering at grain boundaries. Therefore, thermal conductivity of CSed and SPSed SLTO increased with an increase in sintering temperature.

Figure 3 shows temperature dependence on dimensionless figure of merit with various sintering temperatures. In the temperature range considered, ZT of samples sintered at more than 1543 K showed peaks at around 1000 K. ZT of sample sintered at 1513 K showed very low values due to its low electric conductivity shown in Fig. 2(c). Among the CSed and SPSed SLTO, sample sintered at 1573 K showed the maximum ZT of 0.16 at 1005 K. These results indicate that for production of SLTO by the combination of CS and SPS, sintering should be done at 1573 K.

4. Conclusions

We successfully synthesized La-doped SrTiO_3 by the combination of CS with post SPS and figured out the effects of sintering temperature on the thermoelectric properties of polycrystalline $\text{Sr}_{0.92}\text{La}_{0.08}\text{TiO}_3$ and following results were obtained:

(1) Spark-plasma-sintering of $\text{Sr}_{0.92}\text{La}_{0.08}\text{TiO}_3$ was successfully carried out at 1513 to 1633 K in temperature. $\text{Sr}_{0.92}\text{La}_{0.08}\text{TiO}_3$ powders were not sintered well at 1663 K due to the formation of many intercrystalline cracks.

(2) High-temperature sintering resulted in introduction of a lot of oxygen defects which produced electrons as carriers. Thus, samples sintered at higher temperature showed large

electric conductivity. However, high-temperature sintering also caused an increase in thermal conductivity because of the increase in average grain size, therefore the most optimum sintering temperature maximized ZT was 1573 K.

(3) With increasing temperature, ZT showed an increasing tendency and showed peaks at around 1000 K. The maximum ZT of 0.16 at 1005 K was obtained from the sample sintered at 1573 K.

REFERENCES

- 1) L. Zhang, T. Tosyo, N. Okinaka and T. Akiyama: *Mater. Trans.* **48** (2007) 1079–1083.
- 2) H. Muta, K. Kurosaki and S. Yamanaka: *J. Alloy. Compd.* **368** (2004) 22.
- 3) T. Maekawa, K. Kurosaki, H. Muta, M. Uno and S. Yamanaka: *J. Alloy. Compd.* **387** (2005) 56.
- 4) H. Muta, K. Kurosaki and K. Yamanaka: *J. Alloy. Compd.* **392** (2005) 306.
- 5) T. Okuda, K. Nakanishi, S. Miyasaka and Y. Tokura: *Phys. Rev. B. Matter.* **63** (2001) 113104-1.
- 6) H. Muta, K. Kurosaki and S. Yamanaka: *J. Alloy. Compd.* **350** (2003) 292.
- 7) H. Obara, A. Yamamoto, C. H. Lee, K. Kobayashi, A. Matsumoto and R. Funahashi: *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **43** (2004) 540.
- 8) S. Ohta, T. Nomura, H. Ohta and K. Koumoto: *J. Appl. Phys.* **97** (2005) 034106-1.
- 9) S. Ohta, T. Nomura, H. Ohta, M. Hirano, H. Hosono and K. Koumoto: *J. Appl. Phys. Lett.* **87** (2005) 092108-1.
- 10) P. Balaya, M. Ahrens, M. L. Kienle, J. Maier, B. Rahmati, S. B. Lee and W. Sigle: *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **89** (2006) 2804.
- 11) L. Zhang, T. Tosho, N. Okinaka and T. Akiyama: *Mater. Trans.* **48** (2007) 2088–2093.
- 12) H. Ishikawa, K. Oohira, T. Nakajima and T. Akiyama: *J. Alloy. Compd.* **454** (2008) 384.
- 13) H. Simizu, M. Yoshinaka and K. Hirota: *Mater. Res. Bull.* **37** (2002) 1557.
- 14) T. Nishimura, M. Mitomo, H. Hirotsuru and M. Kawahara: *J. Mater. Sci. Lett.* **14** (1995) 1046.
- 15) I. J. Shon, Z. A. Munir, K. Yamazaki and K. Shoda: *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **79** (1996) 1875.
- 16) J. J. Petrociv and R. E. Honnell: *J. Mater. Sci.* **25** (1990) 4453.
- 17) Y. Liu, Y. Lin, Z. Shi, C.-W. Nan and Z. Shen: *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **88** (2005) 1337.
- 18) Y.-h. Lin, Z. Shi, C.-W. Nan, Y. Liu and J. Li: *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **90** (2007) 132.
- 19) Q. Hu, P. Luo and Y. Yan: *J. Alloy. Compd.* **459** (2008) 163.
- 20) X. Dong, F. Lti, L. Yang, Y. Zhang and X. Wang: *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **112** (2008) 596.
- 21) R.-C. Chang, S.-Y. Chu, Y.-P. Wong, C.-S. Hong and H.-H. Huang: *J. Alloy. Compd.* **456** (2008) 308.
- 22) A. Kikuchi, L. Zhang, N. Okinaka, T. Tosho and T. Akiyama: *Mater. Trans.* **50** (2009) 2675–2679.