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ABSTRACT

Background: The rate of aspergillosis has decreased due to improvements in therapy. The rate of mucormycosis, however, has gradually increased in recent years. Both aspergillosis and mucormycosis produce histologically similar hyphae, pointing to the need for an objective tool to distinguish between them. Methods: Three aspergillosis cases and three mucormycosis cases were selected from autopsy cases in our hospital. Representative histological images were captured and hyphal angles in extravascular and intravascular lesions were calculated. Results: For both extravascular and intravascular lesions, the average hyphal angle of aspergillosis was acute, and the standard deviation was less than that of mucormycosis. In aspergillosis, the average hyphal angle for extravascular lesions was acute, and the standard deviation was less than that for intravascular lesions. However, for mucormycosis, there was no significant difference in both the average and standard deviation of the hyphal angles. Conclusion: Surgical pathologists should carefully examine the histological characteristics of the fungus to correctly identify specimens and be able to administer proper therapies.
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Introduction

An effective drug for controlling aspergillosis was developed in the 1990s. The drug, however, exhibited little effect on mucormycosis. Recently, clinicians have recognized that mucormycosis occurring in agranulocytic individuals has a strong negative impact when they are immunocompromised. There is no definitive analytical tool for mucormycosis, such as beta-D-glucan or an antibody for a mucormycosis-specific antigen. In general, fungal cultures are specific, but are less sensitive and need a long time. In addition, fungi causing mucormycosis consist of multiple taxonomic clusters, and precise identification of species is difficult. Furthermore, in cases of invasive fungal infection, patients are often in a deteriorated state, due to, for example, hematopoietic malignancy.

Although there is limited capability for definitively identifying mucormycosis, the early stage can be detected through protocol computed tomography in febrile neutropenia. Some of these early-stage patients can recover via adequate treatment and surgical resection. Surgical pathologists, therefore, should make considerable efforts to extract information that could help identify the species based on histopathological specimens. It is also important to develop objective guidelines to avoid subjectivity among surgical pathologists. In this study, we examined the morphological difference between aspergillosis and mucormycosis, and found that their hyphal angles are different. Additionally, since it is important to analyze the difference between lesions, we observed both intravascular and extravascular lesions.

In the present study, we aimed to develop and evaluate an image-analyzing system to differentiate Mucorales from Aspergillus and other filamentous fungi using Grocott’s
methenamine silver (GMS)-stained formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded (FFPE) sections. This system may serve as a basic algorithm for developing an automated image analysis system for the differential diagnosis of mycosis caused by Mucorales to enable accurate, rapid, and standardized diagnoses.

**Materials and methods**

This study was approved by the ethical committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Toho University (No. #A17123).

**Patients**

We reviewed our autopsy files and selected three aspergillosis and three mucormycosis cases. All the cases were acute myeloid leukemia cases with a status of post-systemic chemotherapy and a final peripheral leukocyte count of less than 1000/μL. The subjects of this study were all autopsy cases. In our hospital, all autopsies are performed with written permission that includes the consent for research.

**Image analysis**

We developed the software named “Check-Angle”. At first, we captured representative images of both intravascular and extravascular lesions. Next, we put the start and finish of each fungus. After this procedure, this software automatically made the extension line. Repeating this procedure, this software also automatically measured the angle of each hypha, calculated the mean of all hyphae, and calculated the difference of the angle between the mean hypha and each hypha. The minimal hyphal angle was 17 degrees, and the maximum was 91 degrees. For all cases, we examined and compared the intravascular and the extravascular lesions. If the difference from the mean angle was an obtuse angle, we calculated its supplement to get an acute angle, and used this angle for analysis.

**Statistical analysis**

We used the Mann-Whitney U test to evaluate the differences between angles and the F test to evaluate the differences between standard deviations.

**Results**

Each resulting angle was classified into one of four groups:

---

Fig. 1. GMS staining of aspergillosis cases. a and b; c and d; and e and f are from the same cases. a, c, and e are extravascular lesions, and b, d, f are intravascular lesions. Bar indicates 0.1 mm, and the magnification is × 20.
extravascular lesion of aspergillosis (AE), extravascular lesion of mucormycosis (ME), intravascular lesion of aspergillosis (AI), and intravascular lesion of mucormycosis (MI) (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). We analyzed and compared the aspergillosis cases and the mucormycosis cases (Fig. 3, Table 1). For both the extravascular and intravascular lesions, the average hyphal angle of aspergillosis was acute, and the standard deviation was less than that of mucormycosis. For aspergillosis, the average hyphal angle for extravascular lesions was acute, and the standard deviation was less than that of intravascular lesions. However, for mucormycosis, there was no significant difference in both the average and standard deviation of hyphal angles (Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

Invasive aspergillosis can now be controlled because of improvements in therapeutic drugs for hematopoietic malignancies, especially in supportive therapies. Recently, however, there has been an increase in frequency of mucormycosis, for which there are no positive analytical tools or effective drugs. It is very important to differentiate aspergillosis and mucormycosis because they have different therapeutic strategies. Aspergillosis and mucormycosis can likely be differentiated through improvements in standard clinical
microbiological approaches using sputum or bronchioalveolar lavage and staining behavior. However, especially for surgical specimens, degeneration may interfere with fungal growth, and typical morphology may not be observed. We previously reported in situ hybridization tools to identify and differentiate fungi\(^{4-6}\); however, not all laboratories use these tools. An improved method, therefore, is needed for use in general specimens.

Based on our experience, it is possible to differentiate between aspergillosis and mucormycosis using the hyphal angle of the fungus. To our knowledge, however, there is no research on the quantitative calculation of hyphal angles. In invasive fungal infection cases, fungi infect the lungs first, then invade into vessels and cause sepsis. In our study, we focused on the difference between extravascular lesions and intravascular thrombi and found that hyphae in aspergillosis cases had stronger directional characteristics compared to mucormycosis cases. In addition, we found that there was no difference between extravascular lesions and intravascular lesions in mucormycosis cases. In contrast, for aspergillosis cases, the extravascular lesions had stronger directional characteristics than mucormycosis cases. In general, both extravascular and intravascular lesions can be observed in histological specimens. Careful examination of both extravascular and intravascular lesions from the same case may enable identification of the fungus in the specimen. In addition, the histological image analysis procedure described here can potentially serve as a basic algorithm for developing an automated image analysis system for the differential diagnosis of mucormycosis, enabling accurate, rapid, and standardized diagnoses.
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**Table 1.** Analysis of each aspergillosis and mucormycosis cases. A1, A2, and A3 are aspergillosis cases; and M1, M2, and M3 are mucormycosis cases. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to evaluate the differences between angles and the F test to evaluate the differences between standard deviations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extravascular lesions</th>
<th>Intravascular lesions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t-test</td>
<td>F-test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1-M1</td>
<td>(p &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1-M2</td>
<td>(p &lt; 0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1-M3</td>
<td>(p &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-M1</td>
<td>(p &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-M2</td>
<td>(p &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-M3</td>
<td>(p &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3-M1</td>
<td>(p = 0.047)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3-M2</td>
<td>(p = 0.107)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3-M3</td>
<td>(p = 0.255)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 4.** Distribution of four groups.

AE: extravascular lesion of aspergillosis, ME: extravascular lesion of mucormycosis, AI: intravascular lesion of aspergillosis, and MI: intravascular lesion of mucormycosis. Bar represents the mean plus or minus standard deviation. Error bar indicates 95% confidence interval.
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