Non-contrast-enhanced T\textsubscript{1} Mapping of Dilated Cardiomyopathy: Comparison between Native T\textsubscript{1} Values and Late Gadolinium Enhancement
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Purpose: We sought to use non-contrast-enhanced T\textsubscript{1} mapping to determine the native T\textsubscript{1} values required to identify myocardial fibrosis in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM).

Methods: A total of 25 patients with DCM and 15 healthy controls were enrolled. All subjects underwent T\textsubscript{1} mapping using modified look-locker inversion recovery, and the patients underwent late gadolinium-enhancement (LGE) imaging. Basal and mid-ventricular levels were divided into eight segments and the T\textsubscript{1} value was measured in each segment. The T\textsubscript{1} values of septal segments with LGE were compared with those of the septal segments without LGE, the minimum T\textsubscript{1} value of each patient, and the T\textsubscript{1} values of the normal septal myocardium.

Results: Late gadolinium-enhancement was present in 12 septal segments (24.0%) from 10 patients (40.0%). T\textsubscript{1} values were significantly higher in septal segments with LGE than in those without (1373.7 vs. 1288.0 ms; \(P = 0.035\)) or in normal septal myocardium (1209.1 ms; \(P < 0.01\)). A receiver operating characteristic analysis revealed the appropriate cutoff value of 1349.4 ms for identifying LGE with a sensitivity of 75% and specificity of 92.1%. When the minimum T\textsubscript{1} value + 1.2 standard deviation (SD) was used as the threshold, the sensitivity was 75% and specificity was 89.5%.

Conclusion: Non-contrast-enhanced T\textsubscript{1} mapping can be used for assessment of myocardial fibrosis associated with DCM by using the appropriate threshold.
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Introduction

Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) is characterized by left ventricular dilation and impaired cardiac function in the absence of significant coronary artery disease.\textsuperscript{1} Dilated cardiomyopathy should be differentiated from coronary artery diseases, both of which can present with heart failure (HF), because the therapeutic strategies differ between them. Patients with coronary artery diseases may benefit from revascularization, whereas DCM is treated conservatively or heart transplantation. In the worst-case scenario, DCM progresses to serious HF associated with significant morbidity and mortality.\textsuperscript{2}

Cardiac (MR imaging is valuable for evaluating cardiac morphology and function. In addition, late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) cardiac MRI is able to detect myocardial damages, such as replacement fibrosis and inflammation, associated with various myocardial diseases, and the locations and patterns of LGE may suggest the etiologies of HF.\textsuperscript{3–6} Furthermore, LGE cardiac MRI assessment of myocardial damages provides additive risk stratification for various myocardial diseases.\textsuperscript{7–9} However, patients with HF often have associated (chronic kidney disease [CKD]),\textsuperscript{2,10} and gadolinium-based contrast agents cannot be used for patients with HF and end-stage CKD because of the risk of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis.\textsuperscript{11} Therefore, if non-contrast-enhanced cardiac MRI identifies myocardial fibrosis associated with DCM, it will be beneficial for the patients with DCM.

\(T_1\) mapping is another cardiac MRI technique for non-invasive characterization of myocardial tissue.\textsuperscript{12} This technique is able to detect and quantify diffuse myocardial fibrosis, edema, and inflammation,\textsuperscript{13,14} and some studies have shown that \(T_1\) mapping before and after gadolinium injection is able to measure the extracellular volume fraction.
of the myocardium.\textsuperscript{15,16} In recent studies, non-contrast-enhanced T\textsubscript{1} mapping was able to identify myocardial replacement fibrosis, which is concordant with LGE, in some types of cardiomyopathy during measurement of the native T\textsubscript{1} values of myocardium.\textsuperscript{14,17,18} However, only a few studies have investigated the correlation of LGE and non-contrast-enhanced T\textsubscript{1} mapping in non-ischemic myocardial diseases, such as DCM and myocarditis.\textsuperscript{14,19} Acute myocarditis induces a marked increase in the tissue water content, which can change myocardial T\textsubscript{1} values significantly,\textsuperscript{14} whereas the changes in native myocardial T\textsubscript{1} values may be smaller in DCM. Therefore, we sought to determine whether native T\textsubscript{1} values acquired by non-contrast-enhanced T\textsubscript{1} mapping could identify myocardial damages (i.e., LGE) in patients with DCM.

**Materials and Methods**

**Patients**

We enrolled 25 patients with DCM who underwent cardiac MRI including non-contrast-enhanced T\textsubscript{1} mapping between February 2011 and August 2015. They comprised 20 men and 5 women, ranging in age from 20 to 84 years (median, 64 years; interquartile range [IQR], 55.5–77.0 years). The final diagnosis of DCM was made from the combination of clinical findings, imaging findings, and histological studies (n = 12). Ischemic cardiomyopathy was excluded based on the presence of normal coronary arteries on coronary angiography. Myocarditis, stress-induced cardiomyopathy (takotsubo cardiomyopathy), severe valvular diseases, metabolic disorders, and hypertensive heart disease were excluded based on the patients’ clinical backgrounds and laboratory data. The patients with serious CKD were not included because of contraindication for gadolinium-based contrast agents. Fifteen healthy volunteers also underwent non-contrast-enhanced T\textsubscript{1} mapping as controls. All were men, ranging in the age from 22 to 63 years (median, 39 years; IQR, 31.7–46.5 years). They did not undergo LGE because of ethical constraints on the use of contrast media. The present study followed the ethics guidelines given by our Institutional Review Board, and informed consent for the cardiac MRI examinations was given by all the subjects. Because of the retrospective study design for the patients, the requirement for additional consent for the review of medical reports and images was waived.

**Magnetic resonance imaging**

The MRI examinations were performed using a 3T unit (Achieva 3T, Release 3.2.3; Philips Healthcare, Best, the Netherlands). A 6-channel cardiac multicoil was used for signal reception. Electrocardiographic-gated breath-hold steady-state free-precession (SSFP) cine images in the 2- and 4-chamber views were obtained. Thereafter, short-axis SSFP images encompassing the entire left ventricle were obtained using the following imaging parameters: TR, 4.3 ms; TE, 1.96 ms; flip angle, 55°; FOV, 360 × 360 mm; imaging matrix, 224 × 218; slice thickness, 8 mm; and interslice gap, 2 mm. Non-contrast-enhanced T\textsubscript{1} mapping was performed with a 3-(3)-5 modified look-locker inversion-recovery (MOLLI) sequence acquired with an inversion-recovery single-shot SSFP; three images were acquired after the first inversion pulse followed by three cardiac cycle interval, and five images were acquired after the second inversion pulse.\textsuperscript{20} Therefore, eight multicontrast images were acquired during 11 cardiac cycles in short-axis basal and mid-ventricular slices. The imaging parameters for MOLLI were as follows: TR, 2.4 ms; TE, 1.01 ms; flip angle, 35°; FOV, 380 × 309 mm; imaging matrix, 192 × 156; and slice thickness, 10 mm. The delay time was 159 ms with an inversion time increment of 350 ms. LGE imaging was performed approximately 10 min after a 0.15 mmol/kg gadolinium (gadopentetate dimeglumine) injection. LGE was acquired using a 2D T\textsubscript{1}-weighted segmented gradient-echo sequence with the following imaging parameters: TR, 6.2 ms; TE, 1.89 ms; flip angle 15°; FOV, 380 × 344 mm; imaging matrix, 256 × 175; and slice thickness, 10 mm. Look–lock T\textsubscript{1} scout imaging was performed to determine inversion time nullifying the myocardium, and phase sensitive technique was also used for LGE imaging in our clinical routine protocol. We used a parallel imaging technique (i.e., sensitivity encoding) with a reduction factor of 1.3–2 in all sequences. The imaging planes were matched between cine, LGE, and T\textsubscript{1} mapping.

**Imaging analysis**

The left ventricular (LV) functional parameters, such as LV mass, LV ejection fraction (LVEF), and LV end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes (LVEDV, LVESV), were measured on short-axis cine SSFP images using a workstation (View-Forum systems; Philips Healthcare). These functional parameters (except LVEF) were indexed to body surface area. Non-contrast-enhanced T\textsubscript{1} mapping was generated from eight MOLLI images and T\textsubscript{1} measurement using dedicated software (RelaxMaps, PRIDE; Philips Healthcare). Two independent observers (one with 7 years’ and the other with 4 years’ experience with cardiac MRI) manually placed ROIs on the anterior, septal, inferior, and lateral myocardium at the basal and mid-ventricular levels as large as possible, care was taken not to include LV cavity and epicardial fat within ROI, and we measured the T\textsubscript{1} values of the myocardium. Because a previous study demonstrated the absence of susceptibility artifacts and the high prevalence of fibrosis, we mainly investigated the interventricular septum.\textsuperscript{21} We also assessed the other segment to identify the minimal average T\textsubscript{1} value of each patient. When septal LGE was detected, the ROI was placed to include it. The observer with less experience in cardiac MRI measured the T\textsubscript{1} value again after an interval of 18 months in the same segments. Myocardial LGE was firstly identified on visual inspection by consensus of the two observers in the clinical routine and defined...
quantitatively when its mean signal intensity was two standard deviations (SDs) above that of the remote myocardium. The signal intensity was measured using dedicated software (AZE VirtualPlace; AZE, Tokyo, Japan).

All data were checked for normality using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Normally distributed data are presented as mean ± SD; non-parametric data are presented as median with IQR. First, we compared the clinical backgrounds and LV functional parameters of DCM patients with and without septal LGE. The comparison was made using an unpaired two-tailed Student’s *t*-test or Mann–Whitney *U* test. Next, the inter- or intraobserver correlation and variability for the native T₁ values were assessed using intraclass-coefficient and Bland–Altman analyses, respectively. The interobserver correlation and variability were assessed in the entire 200 myocardial and the 50 septal segments of 25 DCM patients. Intraobserver correlation and variability were assessed in 80 segments of 10 patients, who were selected randomly, with an 18 months interval. Third, we compared the T₁ values between the septal myocardium of DCM patients with and without LGE and the septal myocardium of the healthy volunteers. For this comparison, an analysis of variance with post hoc Bonferroni test was used. Fourth, we performed a receiver operator characteristic (ROC) analysis to determine the optimal threshold for identifying the septal LGE based on native T₁ values. We also set up T₁ values of the segment having minimum T₁ value and its 1–2 SD of each patient to identify the optimum threshold for detecting the presence or absence of septal LGE in patients with DCM. This method might be similar to the quantitative definition of LGE: comparison with the normal-appearing remote myocardial signal in each patient. A *P* < 0.05 was considered significant for all statistical analyses. The area under the curve (AUC) was estimated in the ROC analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (IBM SPSS Statistics v23.0; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**

Cardiac MRI studies were completed and the data were analyzed successfully for all of the 25 patients and 15 controls. Late gadolinium-enhancement was present in 10 of the 25 patients (40.0%) and 12 of the 50 basal or midventricular septal segments (24.0%). Of the 150 non-septal segments, only three segments (2%) showed LGE as expected. The septal LGE showed a mid-myocardial linear pattern in six segments (50.0%), and a patchy pattern at the right ventricular insertion areas in six segments (50.0%). Table 1 summarizes the patients’ clinical characteristics and cardiac function estimated by MRI. The New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class was significantly higher in patients with septal LGE than those without (*P* < 0.05). Left ventricular ejection fraction was significantly lower in the DCM patients with septal LGE (*P* < 0.01). Heart rate (HR) and LVESV index was higher in patients with septal LGE than in those without (*P* < 0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LGE negative (n = 15)</th>
<th>LGE positive (n = 10)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>66.0 (54.3–76.5)</td>
<td>64.5 (52.3–79.3)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11 (73.3)</td>
<td>9 (90.0)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>4 (26.7)</td>
<td>3 (30.0)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>9 (60.0)</td>
<td>7 (70.0)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA functional score*</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.63</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.63</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNP (pg/ml)</td>
<td>290.4 ± 348.4</td>
<td>410.5 ± 443.9</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-blocker</td>
<td>10 (66.7)</td>
<td>8 (80.0)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE/ARB</td>
<td>13 (86.7)</td>
<td>8 (80.0)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spironolactone</td>
<td>7 (46.7)</td>
<td>3 (30.0)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amiodarone</td>
<td>3 (20.0)</td>
<td>1 (10.0)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (bpm)</td>
<td>68.0 ± 10.1</td>
<td>81.7 ± 10.1</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)*</td>
<td>24.7 (17.1–31.9)</td>
<td>16.3 (13.7–19.4)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDV index (ml/m²)*</td>
<td>104.2 ± 34.2</td>
<td>131.3 ± 30.1</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVESV index (ml/m²)*</td>
<td>77.5 ± 33.3</td>
<td>108.8 ± 23.9</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass index (g/m²)</td>
<td>76.3 ± 24.1</td>
<td>89.6 ± 24.0</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LGE negative, patients without septal late gadolinium enhancement; LGE positive, patients with septal late gadolinium enhancement; NYHA, New York Heart Association; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; HR, heart rate; ACE/ARB, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDV, left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LVESV, left ventricular end-systolic volume. Values represent median (IQR); n (%), or mean ± SD. *The patients with septal LGE had higher NYHA score and HR, lower LVEF and higher LVESV index than those without.
Inter- and intraobserver variability for $T_1$-mapping measurement

There was excellent interobserver correlation between the native $T_1$ values of the entire myocardial segments determined by the two observers ($r = 0.88$, $[95\% CI, 0.84–0.90]$, $P < 0.01$). The intraobserver correlation was also high ($r = 0.87$, $[95\% CI, 0.80–0.91]$, $P < 0.01$). Bland–Altman analysis showed an average difference in estimates of $0.34 \pm 5.0\%$ for interobserver variability (Fig. 1) and $0.35 \pm 4.8\%$ for intraobserver variability in the entire LV myocardium. For the septal segments, the interobserver correlation was excellent ($r = 0.89$, $P < 0.01$), and an average difference was $-0.04 \pm -4.8\%$.

Relationship between native $T_1$ values and LGE

There were significant differences between the native $T_1$ values of septal segments of DCM patients with LGE and without LGE and those of the normal myocardium from the healthy volunteers ($P < 0.01$). The $T_1$ values were higher in septal segments with LGE ($1373.7 \pm 72.2$ ms, $1255.1–1460.7$ ms) than in those without ($1288.0 \pm 57.8$ ms, $1144.2–1419.3$ ms; $P = 0.035$) and in normal septal myocardium ($1209.1 \pm 56.4$ ms, $1015.6–1289.2$ ms; $P < 0.01$; Fig. 2). The $T_1$ values in septal segments of DCM patients without LGE were significantly higher than those of healthy volunteers ($P < 0.01$; Fig. 2).

Table 2 summarizes the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value (NPV) of each threshold for identifying septal LGE in patients with DCM. An ROC analysis showed that the AUC of the $T_1$ value for identifying LGE was 0.82, and the appropriate cutoff value was $1349.4$ ms with sensitivity of $75.0\%$ and specificity of $92.1\%$ (Fig. 3). When the minimum $T_1$ value + 1.2 SD of each patient was used as the threshold, the sensitivity and specificity were $75.0\%$ and $89.5\%$, respectively. Figure 4 shows the imaging results for a typical patient with DCM and septal LGE.

Discussion

The present study demonstrated that 1) patients with DCM and septal LGE had significantly lower LVEF and higher NYHA score, HR, and LVESV index than those without septal LGE, 2) the native $T_1$ values of the septal segments with LGE were significantly higher than those of patients without LGE and those of normal controls, and that the $T_1$ values of the septal segments of patients without LGE were higher than those of normal controls ($P < 0.01$), and 3) the threshold of $1349.4$ ms can be used to assess septal fibrosis (i.e., LGE) associated with DCM on non-contrast-enhanced $T_1$ mapping. Therefore, non-contrast-enhanced $T_1$ mapping may be useful for evaluating...
the severe myocardial damages associated with DCM, when using the appropriate threshold of the native T\textsubscript{1} values.

Non-invasive measurement of diffuse myocardial fibrosis using non-contrast-enhanced T\textsubscript{1} mapping is predictive of mortality and hospitalization induced by HF in patients with DCM.\textsuperscript{22} Tachi et al.\textsuperscript{23} demonstrated that the post-contrast T\textsubscript{1} value of the myocardium is correlated with LV dysfunction, while LGE is related to serious ventricular tachycardia in patients with DCM and LVEF < 35\%. Other studies suggest that the presence of LGE is an important marker for adverse LV remodeling and the severity of cardiac dysfunction.\textsuperscript{9,10} In our study, the patients with DCM and septal LGE had significantly lower LVEF and higher NYHA scores than those without LGE. Thus, the identification of myocardial LGE using non-contrast-enhanced T\textsubscript{1} mapping may be beneficial for patients with DCM and CKD, for whom gadolinium-based contrast agents are contraindicated.

In the present study, the native T\textsubscript{1} values of the septal segments with LGE were significantly higher than those of segments without LGE and those of normal controls. The threshold of 1349.4 ms provided the high specificity and NPV for septal LGE in DCM. These results indicate that non-contrast-enhanced T\textsubscript{1} mapping can presume the septal LGE, and rather it can identify the DCM patients without septal fibrosis, who may have better prognosis.\textsuperscript{8,9} We used the 3-(3)-5 MOLLI sequence\textsuperscript{20,23} and it could be affected by HR.\textsuperscript{24} The native T\textsubscript{1} values of the myocardium may be underestimated in the subjects with high HR. Nonetheless, the patients with septal LGE and higher HR showed a greater native T\textsubscript{1} value of the septum than those without LGE. In addition, we also compared the T\textsubscript{1} value of septal LGE with minimum T\textsubscript{1} value used as an internal reference in each patient, which might minimize the influence of HR. Consequently, the minimum T\textsubscript{1} +1.2 SD provided the sensitivity, specificity, and NPV comparable to those obtained with the threshold of 1349.4 ms. This finding may be related to the mechanism of LGE, which reflects T\textsubscript{1} or signal difference between the serious myocardial damages, including replacement fibrosis, and normal or less damaged myocardial tissues, but not the myocardial T\textsubscript{1} value itself. In our study, the difference in T\textsubscript{1} values appeared small between septal segments with LGE and segments with a minimum T\textsubscript{1} value (i.e., above 1.2 SD),

---

Fig. 3 Receiver operator characteristic curve for identifying septal late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) based on native T\textsubscript{1} values acquired by non-contrast-enhanced T\textsubscript{1} mapping. The appropriate threshold was defined as 1349.4 ms, and the area under the curve of this T\textsubscript{1} value for identifying LGE is 0.82. ROC, receiver operator characteristic.

Fig. 4 Imaging for a 60-year-old man with dilated cardiomyopathy and septal fibrosis. (A) Late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) was found in the mid-wall of the interventricular septum (arrow). (B) Non-contrast-enhanced T\textsubscript{1} mapping shows that the native T\textsubscript{1} value of the septal region including LGE (enclosed by a white line) is 1382.2 ms, which is more than 1349.4 ms and 1.2 standard deviation (SD) above that of the minimum T\textsubscript{1} value (enclosed by a green line, 1262.4 ms; SD, 62.0 ms) in this patient.
compared to the previous studies of myocardial infarction and myocarditis. Because even the myocardium without LGE could include diffuse or interstitial fibrosis in DCM, the difference in native T₁ values may be smaller between septal segments with and without LGE. Indeed, the native T₁ values of septal myocardium without LGE in patients with DCM were significantly higher than those of the normal controls.

There are some limitations to this study. First, the sample size was relatively small. However, we analyzed 320 ROIs including those of patients and healthy volunteers. Second, because myocardial T₁ values are largely dependent on the MRI scanners and protocols, the thresholds shown herein cannot be necessarily extrapolated to other institutions. Third, the observers’ experience in placing ROIs on the T₁ mapping might lead to slightly higher intra- and interobserver variability of native T₁ values in the present study (i.e., about 5.0%), compared to the previous studies (i.e., 2.0–4.8%). However, the mean differences in native T₁ values between septal LGE, septal myocardium without LGE of DCM, and normal septal myocardium were approximately 80–90 ms, which was larger than the 5.0% bias, approximately 60–70 ms. In addition, the minimum T₁ +1.2 SD could be used as the threshold for identifying septal LGE in each patient with DCM. Therefore, the present intra- and interobserver variability of native T₁ values might be tolerable for clinical non-contrast-enhanced T₁ mapping in patients with DCM. Fourth, the control subjects were all men and younger than the patients with DCM, although myocardial T₁ values do not vary with age in men. Fifth, we did not evaluate the prognosis of patients with DCM. However, the patients with septal LGE had more serious clinical symptoms and LV dysfunction than those without. Gulati A, et al. demonstrated that LGE is an independent predictor of the prognosis in the patients with DCM. Finally, we did not compare the MRI and histological findings in approximately half of our patients. In addition, the histological specimens were acquired from the endocardial myocardium of the basal inferior wall and not from the mid-wall of the interventricular septum, which we intensively investigated using non-contrast-enhanced T₁ mapping and LGE cardiac MRI.

Conclusion
Non-contrast-enhanced T₁ mapping can be used for assessment of septal myocardial damages (i.e., LGE) associated with DCM. In the present study, the T₁ threshold value of 1349.4 ms or the minimum T₁ value +1.2 SD provided high specificity and NPV for evaluating septal LGE in patients with DCM.
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