Validating an Empirical Mathematical Model for Dynamic Contrast-enhanced MR Imaging of Hand and Wrist Synovitis in Rheumatoid Arthritis: Correlation of Model Parameters with Clinical Disease Activity
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**Purpose:** To evaluate the feasibility of an empirical mathematical model (EMM) to fit dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI) data of hand and wrist synovitis and whether parameters of EMM are significantly correlated with clinical disease activity in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

**Methods:** Thirty-one consecutive patients with RA prospectively underwent Institutional Review Board (IRB)-approved DCE-MRI scans with temporal resolution of 20 s using a 1.5T system. ROIs were placed where the highest signal increase was observed and the kinetic curves were analyzed using an EMM: \[ \Delta S(t) = A(1 - e^{-\alpha t}) e^{-\beta t} \]
where \( \Delta S \) is relative enhancement, \( t \) is time from when the signal increase was first observed, starting from baseline (\( \Delta S = 0 \)), \( A \) is the upper limit of signal intensity, \( \alpha (s^{-1}) \) is the rate of signal increase, and \( \beta (s^{-1}) \) is the rate of signal decrease during washout. The initial slope of the kinetic curve \((A\alpha)\), the initial area under the curve \((AUC30)\), the time at which the kinetic curve reached its peak \((T_{peak})\) and the signal enhancement ratio \((SER)\) defined as the change in signal intensity between the initial and delayed time points \((t = 60\) and \(300\ s\), respectively) were calculated. RA magnetic resonance imaging scores (RAMRIS) with and without contrast media were evaluated. These parameters or scores were compared with the Disease Activity Score (DAS) 28-erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR).

**Results:** A showed a significant correlation with DAS28-ESR \((r = 0.58; P = 0.0005)\). \( \beta \), AUC30 and \( T_{peak} \) were also significantly correlated with DAS28-ESR with a lesser degree \((r = 0.49; P = 0.0051, r = 0.50; P = 0.0038\) and \( r = -0.51; P = 0.0028\), respectively), whereas \( \alpha \), A, SER and RAMRIS were not.

**Conclusion:** EMM could fit the DCE-MRI data of hand and wrist synovitis. AUC30 obtained from the uptake phase of the kinetic curve as well as \( A \), \( \beta \) and \( T_{peak} \) obtained throughout the kinetic curve might be effective to predict the clinical disease activity.
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**Introduction**

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic autoimmune disease involving small joints in the skeleton, particularly of the hand, wrist, and feet. Synovitis is present from the early stages of the disease, and the degree of synovitis is known to correlate with future development of bone and joint destruction, leading to poor functional outcomes.¹ ² Furthermore, the degree of synovitis in small joints, especially hand and wrist, has been reported to reflect clinical disease activity throughout the whole body.³ Therefore, detection of synovitis and monitoring of the degree of synovitis of hand and wrist might be important for appropriate management of this disease.

MRI can directly visualize the synovium and plays a major role in clinical practice for patients with RA.⁴–⁶ RA magnetic resonance imaging score (RAMRIS) has been provided by outcome measures in rheumatology Clinical Trial⁷ ⁸ to evaluate the features of synovitis, bone erosion, and bone marrow edema with pre- and post-contrast T₁- and T₂-weighted fat saturated sequence images. RAMRIS is a
well-established system with good correlations to the extent of clinical disease activity\textsuperscript{9,10}; however, RAMRIS is a semi-quantitative scoring system in which synovitis is subjectively evaluated by grading synovial volume (scored 0–3) and the ability to monitor the degree of synovitis is not sufficient.

Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI) is an alternative method to quantify the degree of the synovitis.\textsuperscript{5} DCE-MRI data might reflect the degree of synovitis because the signal changes obtained by DCE-MRI are influenced by various vascular-physiological changes, such as blood flow, blood volume, and synovial permeability.\textsuperscript{11,12} Although many previous studies have reported the usefulness of DCE-MRI, no consensus has yet been obtained about DCE-MRI analysis. Signal intensity obtained 35–55 s after injection of contrast media has been reported to be significantly correlated with clinical Disease Activity Scores (DAS) and histological findings,\textsuperscript{5,13}; however, this method of using the signal intensity at a single time point could lose some of the richness of data associated with the time enhancement curve. Kinetic curve-shape analysis is another approach to classify the enhancement effect into several patterns, in which fast enhancement followed by a delayed washout pattern reflects the presence of synovitis.\textsuperscript{14} Although this approach could facilitate detection of synovitis, it might be difficult to monitor the degree of synovitis quantitatively.

Recently, Fan et al.\textsuperscript{15} developed an empirical mathematical model (EMM) for the analysis of DCE-MRI data. EMM fits multiple data points throughout the contrast phases and can extract a few quantitative parameters that reflect uptake and washout behavior of contrast media. EMM facilitates relatively easy post-processing and has been applied to various conditions, including breast and prostate cancer,\textsuperscript{15-18} but not RA. We hypothesized that fitting EMM to DCE-MRI data throughout the contrast phases (until the washout phase) of hand and wrist synovitis in patients with RA could reveal the most appropriate parameters to reflect clinical disease activity.

While, DAS 28-erythrocyte sedimentation rate (DAS28-ESR) is one of the clinical DASs in patients with RA.\textsuperscript{19} DAS28-ESR has been used to verify the parameters of contrast enhancement of DCE-MRI in patients with RA.\textsuperscript{5} Therefore, we selected the DAS28-ESR as a standard parameter to verify the efficacy of EMM for DCE-MRI of hand and wrist synovitis.

The purpose of our study was to evaluate the feasibility of EMM to fit DCE-MRI data of hand and wrist synovitis and to evaluate whether EMM parameters are significantly correlated with clinical disease activity or DAS28-ESR in patients with RA.

**Materials and Methods**

**Patients**

Our Institutional Review Board approved this prospective study, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to enrollment. Inclusion criteria were: (a) written informed consent, (b) RA diagnosis, (c) clinically active inflammation with DAS28-ESR higher than 2.6 and (d) evaluation of disease activity using MRI (patients newly diagnosed with RA and those who needed treatment change because their prior treatment was ineffective). RA diagnosis was performed for all patients according to the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) 1987 criteria\textsuperscript{20} or the 2010 ACR/European League against Rheumatism (EULAR) classification criteria for RA.\textsuperscript{21} Exclusion criteria was previous history of hand and wrist fracture or surgery. Between April 2017 and May 2018, 31 consecutive patients were enrolled in this study and underwent MRI scans at our hospital. The purposes of their MR scans were evaluation of disease activity for newly diagnosed RA (n = 12) and change of treatment (n = 19) including switch of biological drugs (n = 10). In addition to age, symptom duration and types of medication, the following clinical and laboratory parameters were collected: ESR (mm/h), C-reactive protein (CRP) level (mg/dL), metalloproteinases (MMP) 3 (ng/mL), tender joint count, swollen joint count, and Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) (0–100). DAS is a measure of disease activity based on a 28-joint count, which is performed on the number of joints with tenderness upon touching (TEN28), the number of swollen joints (SW28), ESR, and VAS. DAS28-ESR was calculated as follows:\textsuperscript{19}

\[
\text{DAS28-ESR} = 0.56\sqrt{\text{TEN28}} + 0.28\sqrt{\text{SW28}} + 0.7\ln(\text{ESR}) + 0.014(\text{VAS}).
\]

All these clinical and laboratory data were obtained within 2 weeks before the MRI scans. RA diagnosis and evaluation of DAS28-ESR were performed by an experienced orthopedic surgeon (9 years of experience in RA diagnosis) prior to the MRI scans.

**MRI acquisition**

All imaging was performed using a 1.5T Optima MR430s Extremity scanner (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA). Patients were placed in a sitting position with the hand centered in the magnetic field in an Optima 430s extremity coil (GE Healthcare). Protocols included pre- and post-contrast fat-suppressed T\textsubscript{1}-weighted images (T\textsubscript{1}WI) and DCE-MRI scanning: pre- and post-contrast fat-suppressed T\textsubscript{1}WI was acquired with following sequence (TR/TE [ms] = 600/7.1; FOV = 100 mm; slice thickness = 5 mm; matrix size = 256 × 192; bandwidth = 61 Hz; flip angle = 90°; number of slices = 20; temporal resolution = 238 s; fat suppression method, chemical shift selective [CHESS]; axial orientation for hand and wrist, respectively) and the DCE-MRI was acquired using a 3D fat-suppressed T\textsubscript{1}-weighted gradient-echo sequence (TR/TE [ms] = 72/4; FOV = 160 mm; slice thickness = 5 or 6 mm; matrix size = 258 × 128; bandwidth = 80 Hz; flip angle = 70°; number of slices = 8; phase = 20; temporal resolution = 20 s; fat suppression method, spoiled gradient echo [SPGR]; coronal orientation) with meglumine gadoterate (Magnescope, Fuji Pharma Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) administered intravenously at a dose of 0.2 mmol/kg body weight,
followed by a 20 mL saline flush at a rate of 2 mL/s. The post-contrast DCE-MRI acquisition (scan time of 20 s) started 10 s after the beginning of contrast injection: 20 post-contrast series of DCE-MRI were repeatedly acquired until 410 s after the beginning of contrast injection (Fig. 1).

**Analysis of lesion enhancement using DCE-MRI and EMM**

Each set of MRI data was analyzed independently by two radiologists, J.O. and N.M. (13 and 2 years of experience in musculoskeletal MR imaging) who were blinded to the clinical information. The DCE-MR images were transferred to a clinical workstation (Aquarius NET, TeraRecon Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA) for placement of ROIs and analysis of signal intensity changes. The oval or round ROIs were manually placed inside three joints perceived to have the highest signal increase independently by the two radiologists, J.O. and N.M. (Figs. 2a and 3a). The last phase of DCE-MR images (the 20th post-contrast phase) was used to place ROIs in the same manner as our prior studies. When the number of enhancing joints was <3, ROIs were placed in only one or two joints. Care was taken for the ROI to occupy at least three pixels. The ROIs were copied to all DCE-MR phases to obtain the average signal intensity of the ROIs, and signal change (or increase rate of enhancement, ΔS) was calculated as follows (Figs. 2b and 3b):

\[
\Delta S = \frac{SI_{\text{post}} - SI_{\text{pre}}}{SI_{\text{pre}}} \times 100
\]  

where \(SI_{\text{pre}}\) and \(SI_{\text{post}}\) indicate the average signal intensity of each ROI obtained before and after injection of contrast media, respectively.

We analyzed the kinetic curves obtained from the ROIs using the EMM following the method of Jansen et al., in which three parameters were used (Figs. 2b and 3b):

\[
\Delta S(t) = A(1 - e^{-\alpha t})e^{-\beta t}
\]  

where \(t\) is time (s) from when the signal increase was first observed, starting from baseline (\(\Delta S = 0\)). \(A\) is the upper limit of signal intensity (%), \(\alpha\) (s\(^{-1}\)) is the rate of signal increase,
and $\beta$ (s$^{-1}$) is the rate of signal decrease during washout. The goodness-of-fit of parameter $R^2$ was calculated for each ROI. Then, we obtained the following parameters to analyze the kinetic curve in detail.

(a) The initial slope of the kinetic curve was calculated as the multiplied product of $A$ and $\alpha$: $A \alpha$.

(b) The initial area under the curve (AUC$_{\text{g}}$) was calculated by integration of the kinetic curve, as follows:

$$
\text{AUC}_g = \frac{A}{\beta} \left[ 1 - e^{-\beta t} + \frac{e^{-(\alpha + \beta) t}}{\alpha + \beta} - 1 \right] \tag{3}
$$

where $\gamma$ is the time over which signal intensity was integrated. In this study, we used $\gamma = 30$ s following the method of Jansen et al.$^{22}$

(c) The time at which the kinetic curve reached its peak ($T_{\text{peak}}$) was the point at which the derivative of Eq. (2) was zero. Then, $T_{\text{peak}}$ can be calculated as follows:

$$
T_{\text{peak}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right) \tag{4}
$$

When $\beta < 0$, the curve did not reach the peak within the duration of the experiment. In this case, we used the last point as the peak intensity.

(d) Signal enhancement ratio (SER) was defined as the signal intensity change ($\Delta S$) at the initial time point ($t = 60$) relative to the delayed time point ($t = 300$). SER was calculated as follows (Figs. 2b and 3b):

$$
\text{SER} = \frac{\Delta S_{t=60}}{\Delta S_{t=300}} \tag{5}
$$

In this study, we used the time point ($t = 60$) as the initial time point following the method of the previous study.$^{12}$ The time when the signal increase was first observed ($t = 0$) was ranging from 47 to 62 s after the injection of contrast media and we used the time point ($t = 300$) as the delayed time point. A SER value $>1.0$ indicates that the signal intensity decreases with respect to its value at the first time point, SER $= 1.0$ indicates that the signal intensity plateaus after the first time point, and SER $<1.0$ indicates that the signal intensity continues to rise. All empirical mathematical model (EMM) parameters from the three ROIs were averaged to obtain patient’s representative parameters. The EMM parameters were compared with DAS28-ESR.

**Conventional imaging assessment using RAMRIS system**

Synovitis was assessed at seven regions (three wrist sites and the second to fifth metacarpophalangeal [MCP] joints) in the hand and scored from 0 to 3 in each region (total score, 21) by the same two radiologists, J.O. and N.M.. To evaluate the synovitis without contrast media, the synovial volume of distal radioulnar joint, radiocarpal joint, and intercarpal-carpometacarpal joint of wrist and the second to fifth MCP joints of finger was scored from 0 to 3 with reference to RAMRIS image atlas using pre-contrast $T_1$-weighted images (scores without contrast media). Then, the contrast-enhanced synovial volume of the joints was scored from 0 to 3 with reference to RAMRIS.
image atlas using pre- and post-contrast \( T_1 \)-weighted images (scores with contrast media). The RAMRIS scores without and with contrast media were compared with DAS28-ESR.

**Statistical analysis**

The relationships between each EMM parameter or RAMRIS score and patient’s DAS28-ESR were analyzed using Spearman’s correlation analysis. Interobserver reliability of results of EMM and RAMRIS scores from two readers were assessed using interclass correlation coefficient (ICC). An \( r \)-value of 1.0 indicated perfect agreement; 0.81–0.99, almost perfect agreement; 0.61–0.80, substantial agreement; 0.41–0.60, moderate agreement; 0.21–0.40, fair agreement; and 0.20 or less, slight agreement.\(^2\) Statistical analyses were performed using commercial software (JMP Pro 13, SAS Institute, Cary NC, USA). \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant. After Bonferroni correction for nine multiple comparisons, the critical value became \(<0.0055 (0.05/9)\).

**Results**

Patients’ characteristics are shown in Table 1. The median age was 66 years (range 46–81 years). Medication prior to enrollment in this study was prednisolone (n = 6, 5 [2–8.5] mg/day), methotrexate (n = 8, 6 [2–10] mg/week), Tumor Necrosis Factor (TNF)-\( \alpha \) inhibitor (n = 3), Tocilizumab (n = 4), and Abatacept (n = 3). The median DAS28-ESR was 4.7 (range 2.7–6.6). EMM accurately fit the DCE-MRI curves, with \( R^2 > 0.90 \) for all cases. Of the relationships between each EMM parameter and patient’s DAS28-ESR, \( A \) was significantly correlated with DAS28-ESR (\( r = 0.58; P = 0.0005 \)). \( \beta \), AUC30 and \( T_{\text{peak}} \) were also significantly correlated with DAS28-ESR, but less strongly (\( r = 0.49; P = 0.0051, r = 0.50; P = 0.0038 \) and \( r = -0.51, P = 0.0028 \), respectively), whereas \( \alpha, A\alpha \) and SER were not (\( P = 0.044, 0.027 \) and 0.0095 respectively) (Table 2). There was no significant correlation between the RAMRIS scores without or with contrast media and DAS28-ESR (Table 2). In terms of agreement between readers, the ICCs of \( A, \beta \) and \( T_{\text{peak}} \) were 0.71, 0.63 and 0.75, respectively, indicating substantial agreement. The ICC of AUC30 was 0.91, indicating almost perfect agreement.

**Table 1** Patients’ characteristics (n = 31)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Number or median (range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>66 (46–81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom duration median (month)</td>
<td>13 (0–28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication (n = 19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prednisolone (n = 6) (mg/day)</td>
<td>5 (2–8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate (n = 8) (mg/week)</td>
<td>6 (2–10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-( \alpha ) inhibitor (n = 3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tocilizumab (n = 4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abatacept (n = 3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease activity (median [range])</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swollen joint count (0–28)</td>
<td>4 (0–13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tender joint count (0–28)</td>
<td>4 (0–9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS (0–100)</td>
<td>44 (15–86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR (mm 1st h)</td>
<td>32.5 (2–80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/mL)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.02–14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP3 (mg/mL)</td>
<td>94.6 (14.1–1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS28-ESR</td>
<td>4.7 (2.7–6.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAS, Visual Analogue Scale; DAS, Disease Activity Score; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; MMP, metalloproteinases.

**Table 2** Spearman’s correlation coefficients between EMM parameters of DCE-MRI or RAMRIS scores and DAS28-ESR scores and ICCs of the parameters (n = 31)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EMM parameters of DCE-MRI</th>
<th>Values(^a)</th>
<th>( r )</th>
<th>( P )</th>
<th>ICC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A ) (% s(^{-1} ))</td>
<td>232 ± 71.2</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.71 (0.36, 0.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha ) (s(^{-1} ))</td>
<td>5.41 ± 3.97 ( \times 10^{-2} )</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.31 (−0.51, 0.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta ) (s(^{-1} ))</td>
<td>2.86 ± 3.77 ( \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>0.63 (0.19, 0.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A\alpha ) (% s(^{-1} ))</td>
<td>13.8 ± 13.4</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.78 (0.51, 0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC30</td>
<td>3130 ± 1830</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.91 (0.82, 0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{\text{peak}} ) (s)</td>
<td>207 ± 145</td>
<td>−0.51</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.75 (0.46, 0.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SER</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.210</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>0.73 (0.19, 0.90)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RAMRIS</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scores without contrast media (median, range)</td>
<td>7 (0–20)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.44 (−0.19, 0.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scores with contrast media (median, range)</td>
<td>10.5 (1–21)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.76 (0.47, 0.89)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^{a} \)Values are mean ± SD. Values in parentheses are 95% confidence limits. \(^{b} \)Statistically significant. ICC, interclass correlation coefficient; RAMRIS, rheumatoid arthritis magnetic resonance imaging score; DAS, Disease Activity Score; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; SER, signal enhancement ratio.
Discussion

Dynamic contrast-enhanced-MRI is a method to acquire kinetic curves, which are related to perfusion, capillary permeability, and diffusion of contrast media from blood vessels to the extracellular space. To quantitatively analyze kinetic curves, EMM was developed on the basis of physiology-related mathematical analysis, and it provides parameters that represent the characteristics of contrast uptake and washout. We showed that EMM could fit the DCE-MRI data of hand and wrist synovitis in patients with RA. In this study, A had the highest correlation coefficient with DAS28-ESR among the parameters ($r = 0.58; P = 0.0005$). As $A$ is the upper limit of signal intensity, it represents the same idea as the maximum relative enhancement (MRE) in conventional non-model analysis. Some previous studies that used non-model analysis showed the correlation of MRE with several clinical DASs and histological vascularity, and our study reinforced their results. While, there was no significant correlation between the RAMRIS scores without or with contrast media and DAS28-ESR. Our results were consistent with the results reported previously.

There are several metrics such as DAS28-ESR, DAS28-CRP, clinical disease activity index and simplified disease activity index etc. for scoring the clinical disease activity. DAS has been developed to measure the clinical disease activity and widely used in clinical trials in patients with RA. Some studies reported that DAS28-CRP underestimates disease activity and overestimates the treatment response compared with DAS28-ESR. Therefore, we used DAS28-ESR to verify the efficacy of EMM for DCE-MRI of hand and wrist synovitis in patients with RA in this study.

There was no significant correlation between DAS28-ESR and $\alpha$ as well as $\Delta \alpha$ in our study. On the other hand, there was a significant correlation between DAS28-ESR and AUC30. $\alpha$ is the rate of signal increase and $\Delta \alpha$ is the initial slope of the kinetic curve, both of them are parameters obtained by the uptake phase of the kinetic curve changing prominently after the injection of contrast media and might be susceptible to the temporal resolution of DCE-MRI. Our DCE-MRI protocol included 20 s scans repeatedly acquired after the injection of contrast media, and 20 s scans might be insufficient to accurately evaluate the uptake phase of the kinetic curve. While, AUC30 was calculated by integration of the kinetic curves. Although AUC30 might be affected by the error of $A$ and $\alpha$ due to the insufficient temporal resolution, it might be less susceptible to the temporal resolution of DCE-MRI than $\alpha$ and $\Delta \alpha$.

In this study, we defined SER as the signal intensity change at the initial time point (60 s) relative to the delayed time point (300 s). SER reflects the shape of the kinetic curve’s washout phase. The characteristic curve-shape types of DCE-MRI data include the following four patterns: slow and gradual increase, fast enhancement followed by persistent enhancement phase, fast enhancement followed by plateau phase, and fast enhancement followed by plateau phase. All four types are characterized by initial enhancement, whereas only one curve pattern shows washout in a delayed phase. In 2007, Lavini et al. introduced a new semi-quantitative approach to analyze the curve-shape type in DCE-MRI of the knee in patients with RA. They used a large ROI covering the entire synovium in the knee and drew curve-shape maps pixel-by-pixel according to curve-shape type. They showed that “fast enhancement followed by washout phase” pattern was observed more often in patients with active RA compared with non-RA patients or patients with inactive RA. This means that the curve shape in the delayed phase or SER can distinguish between active and inactive synovitis related to RA. In this study, $\beta$ was significantly correlated with clinical DASs in patients with RA, while SER tended to correlate with DAS28-ESR but was not significant ($r = 0.46; P = 0.0095$). Their previous reports using pixel-by-pixel method have been performed for the knee to distinguish between active and inactive synovitis. While synovitis in small joints, such as those in the hand and wrist, is more frequently involved in RA than the knee, and the degree of synovitis in small joints has been reported to be associated with clinical disease activity. The difference of the area of synovitis might affect the results, leading to the difference between our study and the previous reports. Furthermore, the curve-shape type analysis inevitably involves the delayed phase data about 6–7 min after the injection of contrast media to analyze the curve pattern. In our study, we showed that $A$ and AUC30 were significantly correlated with clinical disease activity (DAS28-ESR). $A$ was a parameter obtained throughout the kinetic curve of DCE-MRI in this study, while AUC30 is calculated by integration of the kinetic curve from $t = 0$ to 30 (the uptake phase) immediately after the injection of contrast media. Although the total scan time of DCE-MRI necessary to calculate $A$ has not yet been known, AUC30 might be acquired in a shorter time than $A$. These results indicate that the uptake phase DCE-MRI might be sufficient to evaluate the clinical disease activity, and that it would be possible to simplify the protocol of the DCE-MRI or reduce the total scan time by omitting the delayed phase of DCE-MRI. DCE-MRI with higher temporal resolution (<20 s) might improve the accuracy of EMM parameters such as $A$ and AUC30 obtained from the uptake phase. We suppose that EMM parameters from the uptake phase might substantially represent the vascular-physiological changes of synovitis in patients with RA. Furthermore, the interobserver reliability of $A$ and AUC30 of EMM was high as well as that of RAMRIS scores with contrast media, and these EMM parameters were considered to be adequate for clinical use. Further study is needed to evaluate the optimal scanning protocol to evaluate clinical disease activity in patients with RA in the future.

Previous studies reported the significant difference of $T_{\text{peak}}$ between malignant and benign lesions in breast, head and neck area. Malignant lesions might have strong and
rapid enhancement, whereas benign lesions might have weak and slow enhancement, leading to the significant difference of $T_{\text{peak}}$ between the two groups. In the same way as malignant and benign lesions, active synovitis might have strong and rapid enhancement, whereas inactive synovitis might have weak and slow enhancement.

Patients with active synovitis have been reported to have higher clinical disease activity or DAS28-ESR than patients with inactive synovitis. Thus, it was unsurprising that our results showed significant negative correlation between $T_{\text{peak}}$ and DAS28-ESR ($r = -0.51; P = 0.0028$). Regarding the calculation of $\beta$ and $T_{\text{peak}}$, $\beta < 0$ means that the curve did not reach the peak within the duration of the DCE-MRI protocol and we used the last point as the $T_{\text{peak}}$ in such cases. To calculate $\beta$ and $T_{\text{peak}}$ more precisely for all patients, the total scan time of this study was not enough; however, longer scan time may be difficult in clinical practice.

Several limitations of this study should be acknowledged. First, the number of patients included in this study was small and the study population was not uniform. Our study included both patients with newly diagnosed RA and patients who had a history of treatment for RA including DMARDs (disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs) and prednisolone. Further evaluation is needed to confirm the relationship between EMM parameters acquired by DCE-MRI and clinical disease activity in patient subgroups. Second, selection of the ROI with the strongest enhancement was subjective, although the interobserver reliability was high for the significant parameters. Third, this was a single-center study using a single MR system. A multi-center study and data from different MR systems are needed to confirm our results. Fifth, we used DCE-MRI protocol with 20 s scans. Studies to evaluate the appropriate temporal resolution for DCE-MRI of hand and wrist synovitis will be needed.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, it is feasible to apply EMM to fit the DCE-MRI data of patients with RA. Among the parameters, AUC30 obtained from the uptake phase of the kinetic curve as well as $A$, $\beta$ and $T_{\text{peak}}$ obtained throughout the kinetic curve might be effective to predict the clinical disease activity. Our preliminary results demonstrated the potential of EMM to assess DCE-MRI of hand and wrist synovitis in patients with RA.
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