Association of Paranasal Sinus Osteoma and Intracranial Mucocele
—Two Case Reports—
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Abstract
Two young adult males presented with paranasal sinus osteoma associated with mucocele. A 20-year-old man presented with headache and seizure, and another 20-year-old man presented with headache, frontal deformity, and visual disturbances. Both patients underwent surgery and satisfactory results were obtained. Isolated paranasal sinus osteomas are benign and slow-growing tumors, but may become more aggressive in association with mucoceles. The higher aggressiveness of the lesions may be due to the presence of the mucocele. Calcification and ossification of the mucocele probably contributes to the unexpected enlargement of the osteoma.
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Introduction
Paranasal sinus osteomas are histologically benign and slow-growing true neoplasms, but sometimes cause secondary mucoceles due to occlusion of the sinus openings. Such secondary mucoceles can erode the bone and extend into the cranial cavity, resulting in life-threatening dangerous intracranial complications. The association of paranasal sinus osteoma with secondary mucocele extending intracranially is rare, with less than 20 reported cases.

Here we describe two new cases of paranasal sinus osteoma and secondary mucocele extending intracranially and discuss a new hypothesis for the pathogenesis of the association.

Case Reports
Case 1: A 20-year-old man presented with headache and sudden onset of convulsion. He was transferred to our department. He had no history of prior seizure. Neurological examination found no abnormalities. Cranial radiography demonstrated a right frontal calcified mass (Fig. 1C). Computed tomography (CT) revealed a right frontal calcified mass surrounded by a hypodense lesion causing slight midline shift. Magnetic resonance (MR) imaging showed a lesion occupying the right frontal lobe adjacent to the greater wing of the sphenoid bone and right orbital roof with hypointense and hyperintense regions (Fig. 1A, B). The inhomogeneously enhanced lesion showed hyper- and hypointense areas.

The patient underwent right frontal craniotomy for total removal of the intradural and extradural multiloculated cystic lesions. The cystic lesion was suctioned and the bony lesion was removed with an air drill. The dural defect was repaired with pericranium (Fig. 1D). The mucous membranes of the frontal sinus were curetted and the residual frontal sinus was packed with muscle. The final histological diagnosis was mucocele and osteoma (Fig. 2). The postoperative course was uneventful. Twelve months later the patient was doing well without any seizures.

Case 2: A 20-year-old man presented with progressive headaches, visual disturbances, and frontal asymmetry. He was admitted to our department. He was alert and had no focal neurological signs. Radiography and CT depicted a calcified mass
Fig. 1 Case 1. (A) Axial and (B) sagittal T1-weighted magnetic resonance images with contrast medium. Note midline shift due to osteoma and mucocele association. (C) Pre- and (D) postoperative lateral cranio-grams showing the osteoma and mucocele were removed totally via right frontal craniotomy.

Fig. 2 Case 1. Photomicrograph showing an island of apparently mature bone within the mucocele tissue. HE stain, ×50.

Fig. 3 Case 2. (A) Coronal CT scan. (B) Sagittal T1-weighted magnetic resonance image. (C) Pre- and (D) postoperative T1-weighted magnetic resonance images. Note the communication between the lesion and frontal sinus.

Lesion situated on the superomedial roof of the orbita and frontal bone (Fig. 3A). MR imaging showed a lesion on the involved orbital roof with hypointense cystic lesions extending through the interhemispheric fissure (Fig. 3B, C). No enhancement was observed after administration of contrast agent.

Right frontal craniotomy was performed. The cystic lesions with yellow mucinous material extending into the frontal lobe through dural defects were removed. The calcified mass lesion was totally removed and the dura was repaired with pericranium (Fig. 3D). The frontal sinus was curetted and packed with muscle. Histological examination showed that the wall of the cyst was mucocele, and the bony formation was osteoma (Fig. 4). The postoperative course was uneventful. Nine months later, a cranioplasty operation was performed to close the craniotomy defect.

Discussion

Osteomas and mucoceles both occur as isolated entities in the paranasal sinuses. In the case of the association of paranasal sinus osteoma and mucocele, the paranasal sinus osteoma has been considered to cause the mucocele by occlusion of the sinus openings.5,14) The osteoma represents a mechanical obstruction that forces the mucocele to expand towards the cranial cavity.1) In the absence of osteoma, the mucocele would develop towards the orbit, frontal nasal fossae, or ethmoid.1)
The cause of paranasal sinus osteomas is unknown, but developmental, traumatic, and infectious hypotheses have been proposed.\textsuperscript{17,18} The osteomas in our patients were located around the junction of the embryonic cartilaginous ethmoid and membranous frontal bones. Such a location indicates developmental causes. All reported lesions have been located at or around the frontoethmoidal junction (Table 1).\textsuperscript{1–8,11–14,16} Absence of signs of sinus obstruction in a previous case suggested a small ectopic sinus not visualized by radiological methods or by direct observation during the surgery, or an intracranial connection of the paranasal sinus that disappeared early in the patient’s life was the origin of the mucocele.\textsuperscript{13} We were not able to find any kind of obstruction in the involved frontal sinuses in either of our cases. Absence of anatomical continuity between the mucocele and the frontal sinus other than that created by the osteoma indicated that the growing orbitoethmoidal osteoma had isolated the developing mucocele from the ethmoidal sinus.\textsuperscript{13} We also found such findings in both our cases.

Isolated paranasal sinus osteomas are benign and slow-growing tumors, but are aggressive in the association with mucoceles. However, even partial removal of the lesions will prevent recurrence.\textsuperscript{1–8,11–14,16} We suggest that although paranasal sinus osteoma is the primary pathology, the aggressiveness of the association may be due to the mucocele component. Calcification of the mucocele material may result in unexpected enlargement of the osteoma. This may also explain the absence of

**Fig. 4** Case 2. Photomicrograph showing apparently normal surface epithelium (arrow), edematous, myxoid stroma (m), and apparently mature thick bone trabeculae (t). HE stain, ×100.

---

**Table 1** Summary of reported cases of intracranial mucoceles secondary to paranasal sinus osteomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (Year)</th>
<th>Age/Sex</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Symptoms and Signs</th>
<th>Compartment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cushing (1927)</td>
<td>35/M</td>
<td>orbitoethmoid</td>
<td>painful unilateral exophthalmos, failing vision</td>
<td>intradural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell and Gottschalk (1938)</td>
<td>26/M</td>
<td>frontal</td>
<td>headache, seizures, noise “like water running”</td>
<td>intradural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool et al. (1962)</td>
<td>3 cases</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arseni et al. (1973)</td>
<td>50/F</td>
<td>frontoethmoid</td>
<td>headache, nausea, vomiting, fits, hemiparesis, papilledema</td>
<td>intradural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaz et al. (1978)</td>
<td>15/F</td>
<td>ethmoid</td>
<td>headache, anosmia</td>
<td>extradural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hesselink et al. (1979)</td>
<td>2 cases</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunardi et al. (1993)</td>
<td>50/M</td>
<td>frontal</td>
<td>frontal deformity, recurrent sinusitis</td>
<td>extradural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holness and Attia (1994)</td>
<td>62/M</td>
<td>frontal</td>
<td>headache, sloshing sound, watery discharge, personality change</td>
<td>intradural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shady et al. (1994)</td>
<td>17/F</td>
<td>frontal</td>
<td>headache, fever, fatigue, vomiting, diplopia, papilledema, abducens palsy</td>
<td>intradural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koga et al. (1995)</td>
<td>67/F</td>
<td>frontoethmoid</td>
<td>dementia</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunori et al. (1995)</td>
<td>63/M</td>
<td>frontal</td>
<td>headache, dementia, incontinence, uncal herniation</td>
<td>intradural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manaka et al. (1998)</td>
<td>67/M</td>
<td>frontoethmoid</td>
<td>headache?</td>
<td>intradural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakajima et al. (2000)</td>
<td>46/M</td>
<td>ethmoid</td>
<td>seizure</td>
<td>intradural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Case 1</td>
<td>20/M</td>
<td>frontal</td>
<td>headache, seizure</td>
<td>intradural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Case 2</td>
<td>20/M</td>
<td>frontal</td>
<td>headache, frontal deformity, visual disturbance</td>
<td>intradural</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
continuity between the frontal sinus and the mucocele, and the aggressiveness of the association. Calcification of intracranial mucoceles is not rare\textsuperscript{8,15} and histological evaluation of the bone tissue in mucoceles has been controversial.\textsuperscript{10,15} However, histological assessment of the lesions in our cases showed that the mucocele materials contained bone islands (Figs. 2 and 4).

The association of paranasal sinus osteoma and intracranially extending mucocele is rare and the osteoma is regarded as the primary pathology. However, unexpected enlargement of the osteoma may be due to calcification of the mucocele rather than growth of the osteoma. The presence of osteoma-like bone islands within the mucocele supports this hypothesis.
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