Hearing Preservation after Low-dose Gamma Knife Radiosurgery of Vestibular Schwannomas
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Abstract

The objective of the retrospective study was to evaluate the factors associated with hearing preservation after low-dose Gamma Knife radiosurgery (GKS) of vestibular schwannomas performed according to the modern standards. From January 2005 to September 2010, 141 consecutive patients underwent such treatment in Tokyo Women’s Medical University. Mean marginal dose was 11.9 Gy (range, 11–12 Gy). The doses for the brain stem, cranial nerves (V, VII, and VIII), and cochlea were kept below 14 Gy, 12 Gy, and 4 Gy, respectively. Out of the total cohort, 102 cases with at least 24 months follow-up were analyzed. Within the median follow-up of 56 months (range, 24–99 months) the crude tumor growth control was 92% (94 cases), whereas its actuarial rate at 5 years was 93%. Out of 49 patients with serviceable hearing on the side of the tumor before GKS, 28 (57%) demonstrated its preservation at the time of the last follow-up. No one evaluated factor, namely Gardner-Robertson hearing class before irradiation, Koos tumor stage, extension of the intrameatal part of the neoplasm up to fundus, nerve of tumor origin, presence of cystic changes in the neoplasm, and cochlea dose demonstrated statistically significant association with preservation of the serviceable hearing after radiosurgery. In conclusion, GKS of vestibular schwannomas performed according to the modern standards of treatment permits to preserve serviceable hearing on the side of the tumor in more than half of the patients. The actual causes of hearing deterioration after radiosurgery remain unclear.
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Introduction

At present, Gamma Knife radiosurgery (GKS) represents widely approved management option for vestibular schwannomas of small and medium size. Application of the modern treatment standards, particularly use of low-dose irradiation (marginal dose 11–13 Gy at 50% isodose line), results in 89–99% crude tumor control rates,1–15) which reported actuarial rates at 5 years and 10 years after GKS constitutes 93–95% and 86–95%, respectively.2,11–13) Approximately two-thirds of the neoplasms undergo more or less prominent shrinkage.8,11–15) Conformal and selective irradiation provided by the robotized devices, such as automatic positioning system (APS) and Perfexion™ (Elekta Instruments AB, Stockholm, Sweden), minimizes the risk of treatment-related complications, including trigeminal and facial neuropathy.

Nevertheless, possible deterioration of hearing after GKS of vestibular schwannomas represents the main concern for its application, particularly in younger patients. The actuarial preservation rates of serviceable hearing after radiosurgery constitute 47–77%, 28–64%, and 23–45% at 3 years, 5 years, and 10 years, respectively.2,6,12,16–22) Yang et al.23) performed a comprehensive analysis of 45 published studies incorporating 4,234 patients, who underwent GKS for vestibular schwannomas with a median follow-up time among different series of 35 months; serviceable hearing was preserved in 60.5% and 50.4% of cases if marginal dose was < 13 Gy or > 13 Gy (P = 0.0005). Systemic meta-analysis performed by Arthurs et al.8) revealed 60% hearing preservation rate among 1,850 included patients treated with a mean marginal dose of 12.6 Gy and followed in average 71 months after irradiation. In our recent investigation 77% of patients with serviceable hearing before irradiation maintained it at 3 years after GKS, whereas trend for hearing deterioration
was revealed as early as 6 months after treatment.\textsuperscript{15}

The objective of the present retrospective study was evaluation of factors associated with preservation of hearing after GKS performed according to the modern treatment standards.

Materials and Methods

From January 2005 to September 2010, 141 consecutive patients with vestibular schwannomas were treated according to the previously described concept of robotic Gamma Knife microradiosurgery.\textsuperscript{24} Shortly, on the day of treatment, Leksell G stereotactic frame (Eleka Instruments AB) was attached to the patient’s head under local anesthesia. Both computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were attained routinely under stereotactic conditions. Axial CT (slice thickness 1.0 mm) always included “bone window” images. The original MRI protocol included axial plain and gadolinium-enhanced constructive interference in steady state (CISS) images (slice thickness 0.5 mm) and modified time-of-flight (TOF) images (slice thickness 1.0 mm). Fusion of CT and MRI images was done within Leksell GammaPlan (Eleka Instruments AB). All patients were treated with the use of Leksell Gamma Knife model 4C with APS (Eleka Instruments AB). During treatment planning, the main emphasis was put on clear identification of the tumor borders and adjacent cranial nerves. Multiple small-sized isocenters were applied with a goal to attain both conformal and selective coverage of the lesion with 50\% isodose. Conformity and selectivity indexes\textsuperscript{25} were usually kept > 0.95 and > 0.90, respectively. All isocenters were preferentially located within the border of the neoplasm with minimal involvement of the adjacent anatomical structures (Fig. 1). Mean marginal dose was 11.9 Gy (range, 11–12 Gy). The doses for brain stem, cranial nerves (V, VII, and VIII), and cochlea were generally kept below 14 Gy, 12 Gy, and 4 Gy, respectively.\textsuperscript{24}

Out of the total cohort, 102 cases with at least 2 years follow-up after treatment were selected for the present retrospective analysis, which was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Tokyo Women’s Medical University (#3505; July 30, 2015). In total, 49 patients (48\%) had serviceable hearing before treatment, corresponding to Gardner-Robertson classes\textsuperscript{26} I (22 cases) or II (27 cases). According to Koos topographical classification,\textsuperscript{27} 14 (14\%) schwannomas corresponded to stage I (“intracanalicular”), 40 (39\%) were stage II (“protruding into cerebellopontine angle”), 26 (25\%) were stage III (“touching brain stem”), and 22 (22\%) were stage IV (“compressing brain stem”). Detailed evaluation of the local neuroanatomy with the use of Leksell GammaPlan revealed that 74 tumors (72\%) originated from the superior vestibular nerve, 19 (19\%) from the inferior vestibular nerve, and 3 (3\%) from the cochlear nerve, whereas in 6 cases (6\%) the exact nerve of tumor origin was not identified. In 33 cases (32\%), the internal acoustic canal was fully packed by the intrameatal part of the neoplasm extending up to fundus. Intra- or peritumoral cystic changes were noted in 14 cases.

Follow-up

The patients were discharged from the hospital next day after radiosurgery. Thereafter they were followed by attending neurosurgeon in the outpatient clinic of the Tokyo Women’s Medical University. Clinical
examinations and contrast-enhanced MRI were performed each 6 months during 2 years after treatment and yearly thereafter. Tumor volume during follow-up was calculated on gadolinium-enhanced T1-weighted images according to the following formula: largest length (cm) × largest height (cm) × largest width (cm) × 0.5. Enlargement or shrinkage of the neoplasm was defined as at least 10% change of its volume, compared to the baseline data determined at the time of radiosurgery. Deterioration of hearing was defined as downgrading of at least one Gardner-Robertson class. The length of follow-up varied from 24 months to 99 months (mean, 55 months; median 56 months).

Results

Overall, tumor growth control was attained in 94 cases (92%). Actuarial tumor growth control rates at 3 years and 5 years after GKS were 98% and 93%, respectively. Three patients (3%) required additional treatment after initial GKS (microsurgical resection, two cases; second GKS, one case). In 86 cases (84%), shrinkage of the lesion was noted and in 60 cases (59%) mass volume reduction was > 50%.

Temporary deterioration of the motor function of the facial nerve after irradiation was marked in one patient (1%). There was no one case of trigeminal neuropathy after radiosurgery.

Overall, deterioration of hearing was noted in 31 patients (30%). Out of 49 patients with serviceable hearing on the side of the tumor before GKS, 28 (57%) demonstrated its preservation at the time of the last follow-up (Fig. 2). Out of 21 patients who lost serviceable hearing after GKS, it had happened within 1, 2, and 3 posttreatment years in 6 (29%), 14 (67%), and 18 (86%) cases, respectively. In cases with and without extension of the intrameatal part of the neoplasm up to fundus, preservation of the serviceable hearing was attained in 40% and 60% of cases, respectively, however the difference did not reach statistical significance (odds ratio, 2.6; 95% confidence interval, 0.5–12.4). Similarly, no other investigated factor, namely Gardner-Robertson hearing class before irradiation, Koos tumor stage, nerve of tumor origin, presence of cystic changes in the neoplasm, and cochlea dose demonstrated statistically significant association with preservation of the serviceable hearing after GKS.

Discussion

Our concept of robotic Gamma Knife microradiosurgery was developed for highly conformal and selective management of the intracranial lesions with avoidance of excessive irradiation of the adjacent anatomical structures based on advantages of the robotized devices for GKS (APS and Perfexion\textsuperscript{15,24}). Treatment planning is mainly based on high-resolution CISS images, which provide superior stereotactic definition of the target, particularly identification of the cranial nerves.\textsuperscript{28} Local neuroanatomy is addressed in all details. Fused CT/MRI images are used routinely for avoidance of mislocalization errors caused by distortion artifacts.\textsuperscript{29} For dose planning multiple small-sized isocenters carefully positioned within the border of the neoplasm are used. With a specific goal to attain tumor shrinkage wide 80% prescription isodose area is created in the center of the mass, while keeping sufficiently low marginal dose for prevention of complications. In our experience such treatment strategy in cases of various benign skull base neoplasms provided tumor control and shrinkage rates of 98% and 75%, respectively,\textsuperscript{24} whereas in the present series these parameters were 92% and 84%. While it is still questionable whether volumetric response of vestibular schwannomas to GKS is related to dosimetric parameters,\textsuperscript{10} highly selective and conformal irradiation definitely results in reduction of treatment-associated morbidity, which in our series has been minimal. Applying similar radiosurgical principles others also marked improved clinical outcomes after management of vestibular schwannomas.\textsuperscript{3,30} Nevertheless, according to results of the present study it seems that applied advanced treatment concept has low impact on hearing preservation rates after GKS. It corroborates to results of Massager et al.\textsuperscript{10} who did not find associations between main indexes of dose distribution and audiological outcome.

The mechanisms of hearing deterioration after radiosurgery for vestibular schwannomas generally remain obscured. Among multiple associated factors,
dose delivered to the cochlea is usually considered as the most relevant.\textsuperscript{4,6,17,31–34} In the present series, the dose delivered to the cochlea was generally kept below 4 Gy and preservation of the serviceable hearing after GKS was attained in 57\% of patients within the median follow-up of 56 months. It well corresponds to results reported in the recent literature (Table 1).\textsuperscript{1–7,9,10,14,15,18,19,22,34} Of note, there is some evidence that impact of the cochlear dose on the hearing preservation after GKS of vestibular schwannomas may be not as strong as usually considered. First, in several studies applied multivariate statistical analysis did not reveal independent association between loss of serviceable hearing and cochlear dose.\textsuperscript{4,14,18,21,22} Second, in cases of other cerebellopontine tumors, such as meningiomas\textsuperscript{35} and facial nerve schwannomas,\textsuperscript{36} hearing loss after GKS is relatively rare despite frequent delivery of the cochlear doses in excess of 7–10 Gy, which is roughly 2–2.5 times greater than “safe dose” of 4 Gy recommended for optimal audiological outcome after radiosurgery of vestibular schwannomas.\textsuperscript{34} It suggests that hearing deterioration after irradiation might be, in fact, multifactorial, but its actual causes remain unclear.

Other previously reported favorable prognostic factors for hearing preservation after radiosurgery of vestibular schwannomas include younger age of the patient,\textsuperscript{3,4,6,14} initial symptom other than hearing deterioration,\textsuperscript{6} hearing function corresponding to Gardner-Robertson class I or AAO-HNS class A,\textsuperscript{3,4,7,12,14,17,19,21,22} absence of subjective hearing loss in patients with Gardner-Robertson class I hearing,\textsuperscript{27} normal results of pure tone audiometry,\textsuperscript{4,18,21,22,37} speech discrimination,\textsuperscript{4,22} and auditory brainstem response\textsuperscript{7,18} before treatment, smaller size of the tumor\textsuperscript{3,4,6,21,23} and its less prominent extension into the internal auditory canal,\textsuperscript{6,31,32} lower doses to the cochlear nuclei in the brainstem,\textsuperscript{33} and intracanalicular part of schwannoma,\textsuperscript{31,32} and absence of the transient tumor enlargement after irradiation.\textsuperscript{19} Administration of steroids at the time of hearing impairment after GKS was suggested as possible preventive measure for hearing loss, while it was not statistically proved.\textsuperscript{19} Anyway, in our patients no one evaluated factor demonstrated statistically significant association with the audiological outcome.

In the present study, special emphasis was put on evaluation of the interrelationships between the tumor extension within the internal acoustic canal and hearing preservation after GKS. Previously, Massager et al.\textsuperscript{31,32} found significant association of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year of publication</th>
<th>Marginal dose (Gy)</th>
<th>Length of follow-up (mos)</th>
<th>Maintenance of the serviceable hearing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pollock et al., 2006\textsuperscript{1}</td>
<td>mean, 12.2</td>
<td>12–62 (mean, 42)</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chopra et al., 2007\textsuperscript{2}</td>
<td>12–13 (median, 13)</td>
<td>median, 68; maximum, 143</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Régis et al., 2007\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>at least, 24</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kano et al., 2009\textsuperscript{4}</td>
<td>12–13 (median, 12.5)</td>
<td>6–40 (median, 20)</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myrseth et al., 2009\textsuperscript{5}</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamura et al., 2009\textsuperscript{6}</td>
<td>9–13 (median, 12)</td>
<td>36–132 (median, 48)</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al., 2010\textsuperscript{7}</td>
<td>11–15 (median, 12)</td>
<td>9–81 (mean, 36)</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delbrouck et al., 2011\textsuperscript{8}</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>at least, 12</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al., 2011\textsuperscript{9}</td>
<td>12–13 (median, 12)</td>
<td>6–48 (mean, 25)</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massager et al., 2011\textsuperscript{10}</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24–96 (mean, 43)</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han et al., 2012\textsuperscript{11}</td>
<td>11–20 (median, 12)</td>
<td>12–158 (mean, 55)</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yomo et al., 2012\textsuperscript{12}</td>
<td>9–14 (mean, 12.1)</td>
<td>7–123 (mean, 52)</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boari et al., 2014\textsuperscript{13}</td>
<td>11–15 (median, 13)</td>
<td>36–153 (mean, 59.9)</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacob et al., 2014\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td>12–13 (median, 12)</td>
<td>7–46 (median, 25)</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipski et al., 2015\textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>11–12 (mean, 11.5)</td>
<td>24–84 (median, 48)</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present series</td>
<td>11–12 (mean, 11.9)</td>
<td>24–99 (median, 56)</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mos: months.
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the audiological outcome with the volume of the intracanalicular tumor and amount of radiation energy (integrated dose) delivered to it. In their study, patients with intracanalicular tumor volume > 100 mm³ were 3.5 times more likely to experience hearing deterioration, whereas those who received < 1.5 mJ to the intracanalicular tumor were 16.7 times more likely to have preserved hearing. However, in their cohort cochlear dose was also significantly associated with the audiological outcome and there was strong correlation between mean cochlear dose and amount of radiation energy delivered to the intracanalicular tumor. Because of the limited number of cases the interrelations between three investigated variables (intracanalicular tumor volume, amount of radiation energy delivered to it, cochlear dose) could not be accurately evaluated with a multivariate statistical analysis. In the whole cohort of our patients hearing loss before treatment was associated with full packing of the internal acoustic canal by the intrameatal part of schwannoma (data not shown). Such tumor extension up to fundus was also associated with slightly worse preservation of the serviceable hearing after GKS, but the difference did not reach statistical significance.

Despite gradual deterioration of audiological function after irradiation, it should be noted that, at present, radiosurgery seemingly provides the best possible option for preservation of the serviceable hearing in cases of vestibular schwannomas. Régis et al. compared 47 patients with intracanalicular tumors under wait-and-see strategy to 34 individuals who underwent proactive GKS. The chances of maintaining functional hearing and avoiding further intervention were much higher in the treated group (60% vs. 14% at 5 years of follow-up). While in the surgical series hearing preservation has been reportedly attained in 50–63% of eligible patients with vestibular schwannomas, both retrospective and prospective comparisons of GKS and microsurgical resection demonstrated better audiological outcomes after radiosurgery. In the recent systematic review hearing preservation rates after observation, radiosurgery, and microsurgery for vestibular schwannomas were 58.9%, 60.2%, and 4.3%, respectively, whereas corresponding rates of tumor controls were 71.1%, 97.0%, and 94.3%. Therefore, importance of the hearing deterioration after GKS of vestibular schwannomas should not be overemphasized.

Conclusion

The present study demonstrated that low-dose GKS performed according to the modern standards of treatment allows to preserve serviceable hearing on the side of the tumor in more than half of patients with vestibular schwannoma within the median-range follow-up. It was not possible to identify any statistically significant prognostic factor for audiological outcome and the actual causes of hearing deterioration after irradiation remain unclear.
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