Radiographic Assessment on Magnetic Resonance Imaging after Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Foraminotomy
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Abstract

Percutaneous endoscopic lumbar foraminotomy (ELF) is a novel minimally invasive technique used to treat lumbar foraminal stenosis. However, the validity of foraminal decompression based on quantitative assessment using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has not yet been established. The objective of this study was to investigate the radiographic efficiency of ELF using MRI. Radiographic changes of neuroforamen were measured based on pre- and postoperative MRI findings. Images were blindly analyzed by two observers for foraminal stenosis grade and foraminal dimensions. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) and k statistic were calculated to determine interobserver agreement. Thirty-five patients with 40 neuroforamen were evaluated. The mean visual analog scale (VAS) score improved from 8.4 to 2.1, and the mean Oswestry disability index (ODI) improved from 65.9 to 19.2. Overall, 91.4% of the patients achieved good or excellent outcomes. The mean grade of foraminal stenosis significantly improved from 2.63 to 0.68. There were significant increases in the mean foraminal area (FA) from 50.05 to 92.03 mm2, in mean foraminal height (FH) from 11.36 to 13.47 mm, in mean superior foraminal width (SFW) from 6.43 to 9.27 mm, and in mean middle foraminal width (MFW) from 1.47 to 78 mm ($P < 0.001)$. Interobserver agreements for preoperative and postoperative measurements were good to excellent with the exception of SFW. In conclusion, foraminal dimensions and grades of foraminal stenosis significantly improved after ELF. These findings may enhance the clinical relevance of endoscopic lumbar foraminal decompression.
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Introduction

Lumbar foraminal stenosis is a common pathology causing radiculopathy and back pain. Open paraspinal microdecompression is now a widely used decompression technique that has a success rate of 72% to 83%. However, surgical outcomes of the technique are not as effective as those of intracanal surgery because of complications that include postoperative leg pain and dysesthesia. Moreover, excessive removal of the facet joint may lead to lumbar segmental instability or back pain. To reduce tissue damage, various minimally invasive endoscopic techniques have been developed for foraminal decompression. The percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy (PELD) technique for soft disc herniation has been developed with benefits that include minimal tissue traumatization and early recovery. The effectiveness of PELD has been verified through randomized controlled studies. Endoscopic decompression for foraminal stenosis, however, is in a nascent stage. Ironically, the transfaramin approach (through the “foraminal window”) is more difficult in foraminal decompression than in intracanalicular decompression. The approach to a severely stenotic neuroforamen and thorough decompression has been challenging. We have developed a more practical endoscopic technique to achieve full-scale foraminal decompression using a working channel endoscope. To our knowledge, there are no relevant studies on radiographic assessments with clinical data after endoscopic lumbar foraminal decompression. Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar foraminotomy (ELF) radiographically and the clinical outcomes. In this article, we report on postoperative changes in lumbar foraminal...
parameters on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) using both quantitative and qualitative methods.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study population**

This retrospective study was conducted after ethical approval from the institutional ethical committee and included 38 consecutive patients who underwent ELF between September 2011 and December 2012. During the two-year follow-up period, three patients (7.9%) were lost to follow-up. Therefore, clinical and radiographic data were collected from the remaining 35 patients (40 neuroforamens). Inclusion criteria for ELF were patients with symptomatic lumbar foraminal stenosis despite taking more than six weeks of conservative treatment. All patients underwent selective nerve root blocks that showed only transient effects. The radiographic criteria were moderate to severe foraminal stenosis with perineural fat obliteration or nerve root collapse.\(^{29,31,32}\) Patients with intracanicular stenosis, definitive segmental instability, or other pathologic conditions such as inflammation, infection, or tumor were excluded.

**Surgical technique**

The surgical procedure can be divided into three stages: a safe extraforaminal approach, endoscopic foraminal unroofing, and full-scale delicate foraminal decompression. The procedure is performed under local anesthesia. As premedication, 0.05 mg/kg midazolam is injected intramuscularly 30 minutes prior to surgery. In the operation room, 0.8 mg/kg Fentanyl is intravenously administered immediately before surgery, with additional doses if necessary. The level of the conscious sedation can be titrated so that the patient is able to communicate with the surgeon during the procedure. The patient is placed in prone position on a radiolucent table. The skin entry point and approach angle are determined according to the target point and patient’s body size.\(^{29}\)

**Extraforaminal approach under fluoroscopic guidance:** The most important objective of this initial step is safe landing of the final working sheath and endoscope in the targeted foraminal zone (Fig. 1A). The target point of the initial needling with an 18-gauge spinal needle is the surface of the facet joint or inferior pedicle, far from the exiting nerve root. Once firmly engaged with the facet, the needle is replaced by a guidewire. A tapered obturator is inserted over the guidewire, slid into the foramen to create adequate working space by manual pressure, and finally fixed in the foramen. This blunt dissection prevents nerve root damage and enables a safe working space by pushing the exiting nerve root away from the surgical field. After correct placement of the obturator in the foramen, a bevel-ended working sheath is inserted over the obturator and is placed on the undersurface of the facet joint.

**Endoscopic foraminal unroofing:** The main aspect of this step is foraminal unroofing, which refers to the removal of hypertrophic bone structures using specially designed burr and punches under full-endoscopic visualization (Fig. 1B). Initially, the surgeon must discriminate anatomical structures in the foraminal zone. After confirming the position of the exiting nerve root, the surgeon begins to remove the hypertrophic superior facet using endoscopic burr. This endoscopic unroofing should be continued until the foraminal ligament and ligamentum flavum are exposed. After the hypertrophic facet joint is undercut, foraminal structures such as the foraminal ligament, ligamentum flavum, perineural fat covering the exiting nerve root, ventral osteophyte, and disc surface can be seen clearly. The working cannula and endoscope can now be firmly engaged with the widened foraminal portion, allowing sophisticated exploration of the anatomy.

---

**Fig. 1** Schematic illustration of the surgical procedure showing the extraforaminal approach for foraminal decompression (A), foraminal unroofing by using a burr under endoscopic control (B), and full-scale foraminal soft tissue decompression by using various endoscopic instruments (C), resulting in free mobilization of the exiting nerve root (D).
Full-scale foraminal decompression: The final step is soft tissue removal with or without discectomy and confirmation of free mobilization of the exiting nerve root (Fig. 1C). Endoscopic forceps and micropunches can remove herniated disc, ligamentum flavum, and osteophytes. Flexible forceps and a curved probe can decompress and dissect in all corners of the endoscopic view. A bipolar coagulator (Trigger-Flex; Elliquence, LLC, Baldwin, NY, USA) can be useful for both tissue ablation and hemostasis with navigational access; discectomy is optional, depending on the requirement. After removal of thickened foraminal ligament and ligamentum flavum, the surgeon can see the dural sac and exiting nerve root. Decompressed nerves can rhythmically move depending on pulse, and the presence of remnant disc fragments can be determined by coughing or Valsalva maneuver. The last aspect of this procedure is exposure of the axillar zone and confirmation of free-mobilization of the dural sac and exiting nerve root. The exiting nerve root should be entirely decompressed from the proximal dural sac to the exit-zone on the final endoscopic examination (Fig. 1D).

Measurements

All pre- and postoperative MRI scans were obtained using the same machine with the same settings (Magnetom Avanto 1.5T; Siemens, Muenchen, Germany). Postoperative MRI was performed in all patients within 24 hours. Two expert evaluators who were independent and blinded to the clinical data measured the various parameters of neuroforamen. All measurements were performed using T1 and T2-weighted turbo spin-echo sagittal images (TR/TE, 640/11 for T1-weighted images and 4010/105 for T2-weighted images; slice thickness, 4 mm; slice gap, 0.4 mm; matrix, 512 x 307; field of view, 30 cm). Various dimensions of neuroforamen were automatically calculated using an electronic cursor via commercially available software (PiView Star; INFINITT, Seoul, Korea). The grade of foraminal stenosis was measured using the four-point MRI grading system at the most stenotic sagittal section.\(^{32,33}\)

Grade 0 refers to the absence of foraminal stenosis; grade 1 refers to mild foraminal stenosis showing perineural fat obliteration surrounding the nerve root in the two opposing directions (vertical or transverse). It involves contact with the superior and inferior portions of the nerve root or anterior and posterior portions of the nerve root. No evidence of morphologic change in the nerve root is shown. Grade 2 refers to moderate foraminal stenosis showing perineural fat obliteration surrounding the nerve root in the four directions without morphologic change in both vertical and transverse directions. Grade 3 refers to severe foraminal stenosis showing nerve root collapse or morphologic change (Fig. 2). Quantitative assessments of the neuroforamen were conducted on the basis of T1- and T2-weighted

---

Fig. 2. Grade of foraminal stenosis on T2-weighted sagittal lumbar magnetic resonance images.\(^{32}\) (A) Grade 0 refers to the absence of foraminal stenosis. (B) Grade 1 refers to mild foraminal stenosis showing perineural fat obliteration in two opposing directions (vertical or transverse). (C) Grade 2 refers moderate foraminal stenosis showing perineural fat obliteration in the four directions without morphologic changes in the nerve root. (D) Grade 3 refers to severe foraminal stenosis showing nerve root collapse or morphologic change in the nerve root.
sagittal MRI scans. Foraminal area (FA) refers to the cross-sectional area at the slice that shows the maximum stenosis on sagittal section; foraminal height (FH) refers to the maximum distance between the inferior margin of the pedicle of the superior vertebra and the superior margin of the pedicle of the inferior vertebra; superior foraminal width (SFW) refers to the maximum anteroposterior width in the superior parts of the foramen; middle foraminal width (MFW) refers to the width of the central part of the foramen measured at the level of the middle height of the disc; and posterior disc height (PDH) refers to the shortest distance between the adjacent superior and inferior end plates (Fig. 3). The clinical outcomes were evaluated using the visual analog scale (VAS) for pain, Oswestry disability index (ODI), and modified MacNab criteria.

Analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS, Version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and the level of significance was defined by $P < 0.05$. Interobserver agreement of the grade of foraminal stenosis was analyzed using kappa statistics. Comparison between pre- and postoperative foraminal parameters was analyzed using $t$-test, Wilcoxon’s signed rank test, and intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC).

Results

Demographics and clinical results
Study participants included 16 women and 19 men (35 patients) with a mean age of 59.2 years (range, 20–81 years). The operated levels were L3–4 (7), L4–5 (16), and L5–S1 (15) (total = 38 levels). Two patients underwent bilateral procedures, thus 40 neuroforamens were treated and evaluated. Patient demographics are summarized in Table 1. The mean preoperative VAS score for leg pain was 8.4 ± 0.6, which decreased to 3.2 ± 1.1 at 6 weeks, 2.5 ± 1.9 at 6 months, 1.9 ± 1.3 at 1 year, and 2.1 ± 1.5 at the final follow-up. The mean preoperative VAS score for back pain was 5.2 ± 0.9, which decreased to 3.0 ± 0.7 at 6 weeks, 2.2 ± 1.0 at 6 months, 2.1 ± 1.0 at 1 year, and 2.0 ± 1.2 at the final follow-up. The mean ODI improved from 65.9 ± 17.1 at baseline to 32.8 ± 18.1 at 6 weeks, 28.3 ± 16.8 at 6 months, 19.5 ± 16.1 at 1 year, and 19.2 ± 15.8 at the final follow-up. Based on modified MacNab criteria, the overall results were as follows: excellent in 14 patients (40.0%), good in 18 patients (51.4%), fair in 2 patients (5.7%), and poor in 1 patient (2.9%). Therefore, excellent or good results were obtained in 91.4% of patients and the rate of symptomatic improvement was 97.1% (Figs. 4 and 5). Of the two patients with fair outcome, one had grade 2 foraminal stenosis preoperatively, which decreased to grade 0 postoperatively. The other had grade 3 foraminal stenosis preoperatively, which decreased to grade 1 postoperatively. The patient with poor outcome had grade 3 foraminal stenosis.

Table 1  Patient demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients (no.)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M:F</td>
<td>19:16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (year)</td>
<td>59.2 (20–81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operated level ($n = 38$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3–4</td>
<td>7 (18.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4–5</td>
<td>16 (42.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5–S1</td>
<td>15 (39.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operated neuroforamen</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean operation time (min)</td>
<td>58.7 (20–135)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean hospital stay (days)</td>
<td>2.2 (1–23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI Body mass index (kg/m$^2$)</td>
<td>23.98 (16.65–28.33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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preoperatively, which decreased to grade 1 postoperatively. The global clinical outcome was not related to the grade of foraminal stenosis in our study ($P > 0.1$).

**Grade of foraminal stenosis**

Changes of the foraminal stenosis grade of each neuroforamen were evaluated by two observers based on the Lee grading system. Preoperative grades were grade 2 (35%) or grade 3 (65%), and postoperative grades were grade 0 (26.25%) or grade 1 (73.75%) (Table 2). The mean values of observer 1 changed from $2.58 \pm 0.50$ to $0.55 \pm 0.55$. Those of observer 2 changed from $2.68 \pm 0.53$ to $0.80 \pm 0.46$. The level of interobserver agreement was good ($0.80 \geq k$-value > 0.60); the preoperative $k$-value was 0.678 and the postoperative $k$-value was 0.679 (Fig. 3, Table 3).

**Foraminal area**

The mean FA of observer 1 increased from $46.11 \pm 26.60 \text{ mm}^2$ to $84.98 \pm 37.64 \text{ mm}^2$ ($P < 0.001$), while

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement* (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>Postoperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observer 1</td>
<td>$2.58 \pm 0.50$</td>
<td>$0.55 \pm 0.55$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer 2</td>
<td>$2.68 \pm 0.53$</td>
<td>$0.80 \pm 0.46$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>$2.63 \pm 0.51$</td>
<td>$0.68 \pm 0.52$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>0.678 (good)</td>
<td>0.679 (good)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data from Lee et al. Statistical analysis: Reliability analysis (kappa coefficient), Strength of interobserver agreement: $k > 0.80$ (very good), $0.80 \geq k > 0.60$ (good), $0.60 \geq k > 0.40$ (moderate), $0.40 \geq k > 0.20$ (fair), $k \leq 0.20$ (poor).

Table 3 Interobserver agreement of grade of foraminal stenosis ($n = 40$)

**Table 2 Qualitative assessment of the neuroforamen on MRI: pre- and postoperative grade of foraminal stenosis ($n = 40$)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement (Lee’s grade*)</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Grade 0</th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observer 1</td>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postoperative</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer 2</td>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postoperative</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14 (35%)</td>
<td>26 (65%)</td>
<td>40 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postoperative</td>
<td>10.5 (26.25%)</td>
<td>29.5 (73.75%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data from Lee et al.
those of observer 2 increased from 53.98 ± 23.65 mm² to 99.07 ± 33.90 mm² (P < 0.001). The average value of both observers for FA increased from 50.05 ± 5.56 mm² to 92.03 ± 9.96 mm² (P < 0.001). The level of ICC was excellent (0.863) in the preoperative measurement and good (0.704) in the postoperative measurement (Table 4).

Foraminal height and width
The mean FH of observer 1 increased from 9.96 ± 4.91 mm to 13.18 ± 3.74 mm, and that of observer 2 increased from 12.76 ± 10.97 mm to 13.75 ± 3.35 mm. The average value of both observers for FH increased from 11.36 ± 1.98 mm to 13.47 ± 0.40 mm (P < 0.001). The preoperative level of ICC was excellent (0.847), as was the postoperative level of ICC (0.823). The mean SFW of observer 1 increased from 5.90 ± 3.14 mm to 8.46 ± 3.40 mm and those of observer 2 increased from 6.96 ± 2.56 mm to 10.08 ± 3.03 mm. The average value of both observers for SFW increased from 6.43 ± 0.75 mm to 9.27 ± 1.15 mm (P < 0.001). The preoperative level of ICC was good (0.685) and the postoperative level of ICC was fair (0.516). The mean MFW of observer 1 increased from 1.07 ± 1.47 mm to 4.00 ± 3.36 mm and that of observer 2 increased from 1.87 ± 2.25 mm to 5.57 ± 3.19 mm. The average value of both observers for MFW increased from 1.47 ± 0.57 mm to 4.78 ± 1.12 mm (P < 0.001). The preoperative level of ICC was good (0.784) and the postoperative level of ICC was also good (0.617). In contrast, the disc height was unchanged. The average posterior disc height of both observers changed from 6.64 mm to 6.60 mm; these changes were not statistically significant (Tables 4 and 5).

Discussion

Qualitative analysis: grades of foraminal stenosis
We applied the MRI sagittal view in our qualitative evaluation of foraminal decompression due to the following reasons. First, MRI is the best imaging study for nerve root compression by various soft tissues, such as ligament flavum, foraminal ligaments, and redundant disc. Second, although computed tomography (CT) scan is superior to MRI with respect to bony structures, there is no other objective or qualitative measurement tools using a CT scan for evaluating lumbar foraminal stenosis. Our data showed that postoperative MRI can reflect the decompression status in both qualitative and quantitative manners, despite the possibility of perineural fat tissue removal during the decompression process. There are two representative MRI grading systems of lumbar foraminal stenosis based on sagittal images.

Table 4 Quantitative assessment of the neuroforamen on MRI: pre- and post-operative foraminal parameters (n = 40)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement*</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>FA (mm²)</th>
<th>FH (mm)</th>
<th>SFW (mm)</th>
<th>MFW (mm)</th>
<th>PDH (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observer 1</td>
<td>Preop</td>
<td>46.11 ± 26.60</td>
<td>9.96 ± 4.91</td>
<td>5.90 ± 3.14</td>
<td>1.07 ± 1.47</td>
<td>6.39 ± 2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postop</td>
<td>84.98 ± 37.64</td>
<td>13.18 ± 3.74</td>
<td>8.46 ± 3.40</td>
<td>4.00 ± 3.36</td>
<td>6.50 ± 2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer 2</td>
<td>Preop</td>
<td>53.98 ± 23.65</td>
<td>12.76 ± 10.97</td>
<td>6.96 ± 2.56</td>
<td>1.87 ± 2.25</td>
<td>6.89 ± 2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postop</td>
<td>99.07 ± 33.90</td>
<td>13.75 ± 3.35</td>
<td>10.08 ± 3.03</td>
<td>5.57 ± 3.19</td>
<td>6.69 ± 2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Preop</td>
<td>50.05 ± 5.56</td>
<td>11.36 ± 1.98</td>
<td>6.43 ± 0.75</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.57</td>
<td>6.64 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postop</td>
<td>92.03 ± 9.96</td>
<td>13.47 ± 0.40</td>
<td>9.27 ± 1.15</td>
<td>4.78 ± 1.12</td>
<td>6.60 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data from Attias et al. FA: indicates foraminal area, FH: foraminal height, MFW: middle foraminal width, PDH: posterior disc height, SFW: superior foraminal width.

Table 5 Interobserver agreement of foraminal parameters (n = 40)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICC*</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>FA</th>
<th>FH</th>
<th>SFW</th>
<th>MFW</th>
<th>PDH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preop</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td>0.864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(0.740–0.927)</td>
<td>(0.711–0.919)</td>
<td>(0.405–0.834)</td>
<td>(0.591–0.886)</td>
<td>(0.743–0.928)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>Postop</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>0.823</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>0.617</td>
<td>0.898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(0.440–0.843)</td>
<td>(0.665–0.906)</td>
<td>(0.085–0.744)</td>
<td>(0.275–0.797)</td>
<td>(0.807–0.946)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data from Attias et al. ICC > 0.80: excellent, 0.80 ≥ ICC > 0.60: good, 0.60 ≥ ICC > 0.40: fair, ICC ≤ 0.40: poor, CI: confidence interval, FA: foraminal area, FH: foraminal height, ICC: intraclass correlation coefficient, MFW: middle foraminal width, PDH: posterior disc height, SFW: superior foraminal width.
The Wildermuth system\(^{31}\) mainly focuses on the degree of epidural fat obliteration, whereas the Lee system\(^ {33}\) considers both the amount of fat obliteration and the presence of exiting nerve root compression. The interobserver reliability of both systems is similar.\(^ {38}\) We used the Lee system because it is better in evaluating the surgical change in the compressed exiting nerve root after the decompression procedure. The preoperative foraminal grades were either grade 2 or grade 3, which means the surgical indications were moderate to severe foraminal stenosis, not mild or dynamic problems. The postoperative foraminal grades were either grade 1 or grade 2. All cases demonstrated improvement in foraminal stenosis grades. The mean value of foraminal stenosis grade also significantly improved from 2.63 to 0.68. These changes in foraminal grade mean that definitive decompression of the exiting nerve root may be proven not only by subjective symptoms, but also by objective radiographic images.

**Quantitative analysis: foraminal dimensions**

There are few quantitative studies on direct foraminal decompression. Some cadaveric data for radiographic changes after foraminal decompression have been published.\(^ {37,38}\) For example, Musacchio et al.\(^ {37}\) described a minimally invasive lumbar laminectomy via a dual-tube technique. They reported an average 30.8% increase in FA after microscopic decompression. Evins et al.\(^ {38}\) performed a cadaveric study evaluating the radiographic outcome of endoscopic lumbar foraminotomy. The authors state that endoscopic lumbar foraminotomy achieved an average 56.1% increase in FA and an average 24.3% increase in FH.

We quantitatively measured the dimensions of the neuroforamen in various aspects. All parameters for foraminal dimensions, including FA, FH, SFW, and MFW, consistently increased after surgery. The mean FA significantly increased from 50.05 mm\(^2\) to 92.03 mm\(^2\) (83.9% increase), an improvement comparable to that reported in cadaveric studies.\(^ {37,38}\) Other foraminal dimensions also increased: the mean FH significantly increased from 11.36 mm to 13.47 mm (18.6% increase); the mean SFW significantly increased from 6.43 mm to 9.27 mm (44.2% increase); and the mean MFW significantly increased from 1.47 mm to 4.78 mm (221.2% increase). In contrast, the disc height remained unchanged because the disc had coagulated or only extruded fragments, if any, were removed when preserving the maternal disc. An increase in the dimensions of the neuroforamen in all aspects indicated that a full-scale foraminal decompression was efficiently achieved.

In our quantitative data, the values of increase differed among foraminal parameters. The most notable increase was observed in MFW, with a 221.2% increase, while FH increased by 18.6%. We postulate that this phenomenon is related to the surgical technique of ELF. That is, the transforaminal approach and main decompression process are usually performed at the level of redundant disc and the tip of the hypertrophic superior facet. Therefore, the decompression effect may be maximal in MFW. In contrast, FH was relatively less affected by ELF. Partial resection of the upper or lower pedicle as well as soft tissue removal with endoscopic instruments can increase FH. We postulate that the foraminal decompression of the ELF technique may be more focused in the anteroposterior direction at the disc level rather than in the cranio-caudal direction.

**Interobserver agreement**

In this study, pre- and postoperative foraminal parameters showed high interobserver agreement. There was good interobserver agreement for qualitative MRI grading score for severity of foraminal stenosis. Regarding the quantitative MRI measurements, there was also good to excellent interobserver agreement. The levels of concordance for all preoperative foraminal parameters, including FA, FH, FW, and PDH, were good or excellent. Levels for postoperative foraminal parameters were also good or excellent, with the exception of postoperative SFW, which was fair. The results of both our qualitative and quantitative evaluations showed that effective foraminal decompression can be obtained by the ELF technique.

**Limitations of the study and future perspective**

The current study has some limitations. First, the MRI scans were taken in a static supine position; no data for axial-loading or dynamic MRI were collected. Therefore, we could not evaluate the real foraminal parameters in various conditions such as upright stance, flexion/extension, or lateral bending. Second, all MRI scans were obtained at the early postoperative stage. In general, early-postoperative MRI after open lumbar surgery may be affected by changes in postoperative tissues. However, the PELF technique is a percutaneous procedure that preserves the paravertebral muscles, lamina, and intracanalicular epidural space. Therefore, post-operative changes of the surrounding tissues may be minimal. In fact, recent articles regarding the endoscopic lumbar foraminal decompression technique demonstrated the early postoperative MRI evaluating the postoperative changes.\(^ {29,36}\) Finally, no control group was used, so our study could...
not establish the clinical effectiveness of ELF. We aimed to describe a minimally invasive endoscopic foraminotomy technique and to demonstrate the radiographic efficacy on MRI. Our future work will focus on a randomized controlled study comparing ELF and open foraminotomy.

Conclusion

According to previous studies, ELF is clinically efficacious for lumbar foraminal stenosis. In this radiographic study, the grade of foraminal stenosis and foraminal dimensions were significantly improved. These data indicate that this minimally invasive endoscopic technique can mechanically decompress the neural foramen.
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