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**Abstract**

Four cases of brain tumor—three meningiomas and one glioblastoma—that developed after radiation therapy are reported. The location and course of each tumor were highly suggestive of a pathogenetic role of the preceding irradiation in the development of the tumor. A review of the literature revealed that there is evidence for a causative role of irradiation in the development of brain tumors, although there has been no definitive epidemiological study.
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**Introduction**

It is well known that ionizing radiation has oncogenetic activity. In the central nervous system, the tumors that most commonly develop after therapeutic irradiation, and are thus suspected of being radiation-induced, are fibrosarcomas.4,20) Reports of meningiomas or gliomas following irradiation are rare. In this report, we will present four cases of brain tumors—three meningiomas and one glioblastoma—that strongly suggest an oncogenetic role for irradiation.

**Case Reports**

**Case 1**

The details of this case have been reported previously.25) The patient was admitted to our hospital for the first time on April 8, 1963, at 23 years of age, because of headache and amenorrhea. A suprasellar cystic tumor was partially removed through a transcranial, transventricular route, with good results. The histological diagnosis was cranio-pharyngioma. Because the surgery was non-radical, the remaining tumor was subsequently irradiated with ^60^Co. A cumulative dose of 56 Gy was given to the head by a rotating method over 40 days, in 31 fractions. The patient did well for 13 years. At age 36 she began to complain of headache, memory disturbance, and urinary incontinence. She was readmitted to our hospital on January 10, 1975, and a right tentorial meningioma was removed. The histological diagnosis was nonmalignant fibroblastic meningioma.

**Case 2**

This 44-year-old female had previously been admitted to our hospital on November 4, 1975, at 39 years of age, because of decreased visual acuity and temporal hemianopsia of the left eye. She had also been amenorrheic for 1 year prior to admission. Neurological examination disclosed bitemporal hemianopsia and papilledema of the right optic fundus. Plain skull films and pneumoencephalograms revealed a mass lesion in and above the sella. A solid tumor in the sella extending upward and compressing the optic nerves was partially removed through a right subfrontal approach. The histological diagnosis was chromophobe pituitary adenoma. The patient then received ^60^Co irradiation in a total dose of 50 Gy to the sellar region, of which 30 Gy was administered through opposing 5 × 5 cm lateral ports and the remaining 20 Gy through rotating ports. The dose was delivered over 54 days in 28 fractions. She was discharged in good condition and did well for 5 years. A follow-up computerized tomographic (CT) scan taken 9 months before readmission did not show any abnormality.

Five years after her first admission, she began to complain of general malaise, headache, and weakness of the right upper extremity. The neurological examination on readmission (July 23, 1980) revealed
bilateral moderately choked discs, paresis and hypesthesia of the right side, and logorrhea. A CT scan revealed a large, cystic mass in the left temporal lobe, with severe perifocal edema. The tumor was enhanced by contrast medium in a ring-like fashion and represented niveau by intratumoral hemorrhage (Fig. 1 left). A left carotid angiogram revealed heterogeneous tumor staining in the arterial phase.

A left frontoparietotemporal craniotomy was performed. Approximately 50 ml of bloody fluid was evacuated and the solid portion of the tumor was macroscopically totally removed, although it was poorly demarcated from the surrounding brain tissue. The histological diagnosis was glioblastoma (Fig. 1 right).

The patient was discharged in good condition. However, she again began to complain of headache, and recurrence of the tumor was evident on a CT scan taken 2 months after discharge. Conservative therapy was administered at another hospital but she died 14 months after the second operation.

(Case 3)

This 36-year-old female had received radium therapy for a hemangioma of the right forehead scalp when she was an infant. Although the precise radiation dose was unknown, her mother clearly remembered the course of events. After the completion of radiation therapy, a small scar remained on her scalp. She was well until 3 weeks before admission, when she began to complain of headache and visual disturbance. Neurological examination on admission (June 27, 1983) revealed bilateral choked discs, decreased visual acuity of the right eye, and recent memory disturbance. A scar was noted on the right forehead scalp (Fig. 2A). Plain skull films showed an oval-shaped osteolytic lesion surrounded by an osteoplastic area in the right frontal calvarium, just beneath the scar. An enlarged vascular groove due to a dilated branch of the middle meningeal artery, was also evident (Fig. 2B). A CT scan revealed a huge mass of slightly high density occupying nearly half of the right anterior fossa and severe perifocal edema. The tumor was markedly and homogeneously enhanced by contrast medium (Fig. 3 left). A right carotid angiogram disclosed the characteristic sunburst appearance in the affected region.

A right frontotemporal craniotomy was performed, and a solid extra-axial tumor was totally removed. The tumor was attached to the dura of the right frontal convexity, where it had eroded the overlying skull and reached to the subperiosteal region. The tumor attachment was just beneath the scar of the skin. The histological diagnosis was fibroblastic meningioma (Fig. 3 right).

The postoperative course has been uneventful, and there has been no recurrence for 9 months.

(Case 4)

This 28-year-old male was first admitted to our hospital on June 24, 1967, at 12 years of age, because of headaches and tremor in the right hand, both of 2 years’ duration. Neurological examination disclosed a fine tremor of the right hand and horizontal nystagmus. There was an abnormal calcification in the diencephalic region on plain skull films. Both pneumoencephalograms and the left carotid angiograms were suggestive of a deep frontal mass just lat-
eral to the anterior horn of the left lateral ventricle. A solid tumor embedded under the ependyma and reaching into the left caudate head was partially removed. The histological findings were consistent with those of astrocytoma. Subsequently he received radiation therapy with $^{60}$Co to the left frontal lobe. A total dose of 55 Gy was delivered over 41 days in 30 fractions.

He was healthy until 6 years later, when he experienced a generalized seizure. Similar attacks occurred several times in the following 10 years, despite anticonvulssant medication. Although follow-up CT scans obtained 9 and 12 years after surgery showed no abnormality, those taken 16 years after the operation disclosed regrowth of the previous tumor as well as a new mass lesion. He was readmitted to our hospital on February 14, 1983.

The neurological examination was negative except for the persistence of the hand tremor. CT films showed a partially calcified, isodense mass in the subependymal region of the left caudate head and the anterosuperior aspect of the left thalamus, which was not enhanced by contrast medium. In addition, on the midline just above the former mass, there was another spherical, isodense mass attached to the falkx, and this mass was markedly and homogeneously enhanced by contrast medium (Fig. 4 left).

A bifrontal craniotomy was performed and a well circumscribed, solid tumor was totally removed, along with its falcal attachment. At the same time, a recurrent astrocytoma was partially removed. The histological diagnosis of the new tumor was transitional, nonmalignant meningioma (Fig. 4 right).

He was discharged in good condition and there has been no recurrence for 13 months.

**Discussion**

A tumor that is postulated to be radiation-induced must fulfill all of the following criteria: 1,2,11 a) the tumor must have developed in the irradiated area; b) it must not have been present prior to irradiation; c) there must be a latent period; and d) the tumor must be verified histologically. Our four cases apparently fulfill these criteria.

Recently, Iwai et al.14 collected 73 cases of radiation-induced meningiomas. Radiation-related gliomas are far rarer; only 17 cases have been reported in the literature.22 It is unclear why tumors that develop after irradiation to the central nervous system tend to be mesodermal in origin, as are fibrosarcomas and meningiomas, rather than glial in origin.

Of the reported cases that fulfill the above mentioned criteria for radiation-induced tumors, six reported meningiomas2,10,14,24,27 (including our Case 3) that developed after radiation therapy of hemangiomas or vascular nevi of the scalp are particularly interesting because of the close relationships between the sites of irradiation and the dural attachments of the tumors (Table 1). An extraordinary feature of our Case 3 is that the dural attachment of the meningioma was just beneath the scar that resulted from irradiation with radium. It is improbable that this occurred merely by chance. None of
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**Fig. 3** Case 3. left: A CT film with contrast enhancement on admission, 36 years after radiation therapy. Note the large, well circumscribed mass with homogeneous enhancement in the right frontal region. right: A photomicrograph of the surgical specimen. The histological diagnosis was fibroblastic meningioma without any malignant figure. HE stain, $\times$ 200.
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**Fig. 4** Case 4. left: A CT film with contrast enhancement taken 16 years after irradiation for an astrocytoma in the left basal ganglia. Note a round, homogeneously enhanced mass attached to the falkx. right: A photomicrograph of the surgical specimen. The histological diagnosis was nonmalignant transitional meningioma. HE stain, $\times$ 200.
these cases exhibited any feature of phacomatosis, in which meningiomas and cutaneous vascular lesions may coexist. The latency between radiation therapy and tumor diagnosis may be estimated according to the radiation dosage, degree of malignancy of the tumor, and location of the tumor. It has been suggested that larger radiation dosages and higher degrees of malignancy are associated with a shorter latency. The mean latencies reported are 20.8 years for meningiomas with high-dose radiation (over 10 Gy) and 31.3 years for those with low-dose radiation (under 10 Gy),13 11.1 years for gliomas,22) and 10 years for fibrosarcomas.26) The mean radiation dosage and mean latency in seven cases of glioblastomas6,17,21,22) (including our Case 2) were calculated to be 4,238 cGy (2,400 to 5,400 cGy) and 7.9 years (5 to 14 years) (Table 2). In addition, the diagnosis may be delayed if the tumor develops in a relatively silent area, as happened in our Case 3.

It has been well established that the most important factors in the biological response to irradiation include total dose, size and number of individual treatment fractions, overall treatment time, and the volume irradiated.23) Thus, the concept of nominal standard dose (NSD) has been advocated.9) It was calculated from the formula

$$\text{NSD (rets)} = D \times N^{-0.24} \times T^{-0.11}$$

where $D$ is the total dose, $N$ is the number of fractions, and $T$ is the total treatment time in days. The mean NSD value for 78 patients with radiation necrosis, collected by Sheline,23) was $2,017 \pm 42.8$ rets. The value for post-irradiation glioblastomas, summarized in Table 2, was $1,404 \pm 107.5$ rets, which was significantly lower than the NSD for radiation necrosis ($p<0.001$, Student’s T test). The NSD values in our two cases of meningioma, Cases 1 and 4, were 1,651 and 1,597 rets, respectively.

The meningiomas that developed after radiation therapy by the Kienbock-Adamson method for Tinea capitis make up the vast majority of radiation-related meningiomas. These individuals received smaller doses (usually 800 R)24) and thus had smaller NSDs than did patients irradiated for primary neoplasms of the head and neck. These facts imply that relatively low doses may be sufficient to induce secondary neoplasms in the central nervous system. They

---

**Table 1** Meningiomas following radiation therapy for scalp vascular lesions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (Year)</th>
<th>Age/Sex</th>
<th>Site of primary lesion</th>
<th>Radiation source</th>
<th>Site of meningioma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feiring &amp; Focer (1968)</td>
<td>30/F</td>
<td>lt. frontoparietal region</td>
<td>radium</td>
<td>lt. suprasylvian region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogdanowicz &amp; Sachs (1974)</td>
<td>59/M</td>
<td>lt. face to lt. temple</td>
<td>radium</td>
<td>lt. sphenoid ridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watts (1976)</td>
<td>23/F</td>
<td>rt. face and head</td>
<td>X-ray</td>
<td>rt. sphenoid ridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spallone et al. (1979)</td>
<td>25/M</td>
<td>rt. parieto-occipital region</td>
<td>X-ray</td>
<td>rt. parieto-occipital convexity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iwai et al. (1984)</td>
<td>49/M</td>
<td>rt. frontoparietal region</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>rt. frontal convexity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present case (Case 3)</td>
<td>36/F</td>
<td>rt. forehead</td>
<td>radium</td>
<td>rt. frontal convexity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Glioblastomas following radiation therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (Year)</th>
<th>Age/Sex</th>
<th>Dose–Time–Fractionation*</th>
<th>NSD (rets)</th>
<th>Latency (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Komaki et al. (1977)</td>
<td>28/M</td>
<td>5,400–(37)–(27)</td>
<td>1,628</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clifton et al. (1980)</td>
<td>21/M</td>
<td>4,969– 22 – 16</td>
<td>1,799</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearl et al. (1980)</td>
<td>5/M</td>
<td>3,000–(21)–(15)</td>
<td>1,123</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chung et al. (1981)</td>
<td>2/M</td>
<td>2,400– 15 – 12</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnes et al. (1982)</td>
<td>17/F</td>
<td>4,000–(28)– 20</td>
<td>1,352</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piatt et al. (1983)</td>
<td>38/M</td>
<td>4,900–(38)– 25</td>
<td>1,510</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present case (Case 2)</td>
<td>44/F</td>
<td>5,000– 54 – 28</td>
<td>1,440</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean±SEM: 4,238

NSD (rets) = $D \times N^{-0.24} \times T^{-0.11}$

where $D$ is the total dose, $N$ is the number of fractions, and $T$ is the total treatment time in days. The mean NSD value for 78 patients with radiation necrosis, collected by Sheline,23) was $2,017 \pm 42.8$ rets. The value for post-irradiation glioblastomas, summarized in Table 2, was $1,404 \pm 107.5$ rets, which was significantly lower than the NSD for radiation necrosis ($p<0.001$, Student’s T test). The NSD values in our two cases of meningioma, Cases 1 and 4, were 1,651 and 1,597 rets, respectively.

The meningiomas that developed after radiation therapy by the Kienbock-Adamson method for Tinea capitis make up the vast majority of radiation-related meningiomas. These individuals received smaller doses (usually 800 R)24) and thus had smaller NSDs than did patients irradiated for primary neoplasms of the head and neck. These facts imply that relatively low doses may be sufficient to induce secondary neoplasms in the central nervous system. They
also suggest that different mechanisms may be involved in the two distinct late effects of irradiation, i.e., radiation necrosis and secondary neoplasms.

Our cases and others reported in the literature are suggestive of the oncogenicity of irradiation to the central nervous system. However, the hypothesis that irradiation is one of the oncogenic factors in brain tumors will be proven only when the following issues are clarified by sophisticated investigations: 1) Do epidemiological studies confirm that irradiation is a risk factor in brain tumor patients? 2) Does statistical analysis indicate that the incidence of brain tumors increases with increasing radiation doses? 3) Is it possible to produce brain tumors under well controlled experimental conditions? For certain carcinomas or sarcomas, the role of irradiation in the development of tumors has been well established. However, such studies of brain tumors have been very few. Modan et al. concluded that the incidence of tumors of the head and neck was significantly higher in 11,000 children irradiated for scalp ringworm than in the same number of non-irradiated children in a 12- to 24-year follow-up period.

The relationship between radiation dose and incidence of brain tumors is still to be defined. Several reports have described the development of brain tumors similar to human glioblastoma in the irradiated brains of primates. The induction of meningioma in experimental models is still controversial.
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