Double Meningioma: A Case of Two Fibrous Meningiomas Coexisting Isolatedly in Meningothelial Meningioma
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Abstract

Meningiomas are the most common intracranial primary neoplasm in adults, and show various histological subtypes, indicating heterogeneous clinical and molecular genetic characteristics. Different subtypes of meningioma coexisting independently within the main tumor of another different subtype is a quite rare clinical situation. A 69-year-old woman presented with a several-year history of dizziness as a non-specific complaint. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed an extra-axial mass lesion in the left parieto-occipital region including two well-demarcated, round mass components. Total resection was performed via left parieto-occipital craniotomy. Two white masses were identified within the main tumor, with neither showing dural attachments. Pathological findings showed the main mass represented meningothelial meningioma and the demarcated mass lesions were both fibrous meningiomas. No transitional features existed between these subtypes. No differences in genetic characteristics were evident between subtypes of meningioma. We have described, apparently for the first time, a case of two fibrous meningiomas coexisting in an isolated manner in meningothelial meningioma with the similar molecular genetic profile.
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Introduction

Meningiomas arise from arachnoid cap cells, and are one of the most frequently encountered intracranial tumors. This pathology accounts for 20–36% of all primary tumors with an annual incidence of up to 1.8–13 per 100000 population.1 These are categorized by the World Health Organization (WHO) into three grades with 15 histological subtypes, indicating heterogeneous clinical and molecular genetic characteristics. According to a recent study, various types of mutation have been discovered in non-neurofibromatosis type 2 (NF2) meningioma, correlating with anatomical tumor location and histological subtypes.2 Although the majority of meningiomas are single lesions, multiple lesions are present in up to 10% of cases.3 Multiple meningiomas are defined as the presence of two or more spatially separated synchronous or metachronous lesions, and these can also be sporadic, radiation-induced or familial. The two familial syndromes commonly associated with the disorder are NF2 and familial meningiomatosis. Several authors have reported the same patient showing meningiomas of differing histology and grade.4–6 Those cases of multiple meningiomas involved different or neighboring sites. No reports appear to have described isolated meningioma of one subtype coexisting within meningioma of a different subtype. We report herein the case of
a patient with fibrous meningioma distinctly coexisting within meningothelial meningioma.

**Case Presentation**

A 69-year-old woman presented with a several-year history of dizziness as a non-specific complaint. She was referred to our hospital after magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in a local hospital revealed a mass lesion in the left parieto-occipital region. No neurological findings were evident on admission. Preoperative MRI revealed an extra-axial mass lesion in the left parieto-occipital region, appearing to contain two well-demarcated round mass components (Fig. 1). Diffusion-weighted MRI showed hypointense round masses within a hyperintense main tumor (Fig. 1A). T1-weighted imaging revealed isointense round masses within the main tumor (Fig. 1B). T2-weighted imaging demonstrated two low-intensity, round masses and a high-intensity main tumor (Fig. 1C). Contrast-enhanced MRI showed enhancement of both components, with higher enhancement of the main tumor compared to the round masses (Fig. 1D). As the tumor diameter was up to 7 cm on MRI and preoperative carotid angiography revealed a massive tumor stain fed by the left middle meningeal artery, we planned preoperative embolization followed by surgical extirpation.

Total resection was performed via a left parieto-occipital craniotomy after preoperative embolization. Simpson grade I removal was achieved. Two white masses were identified within the main tumor, with neither showing dural attachment (Fig. 2). The postoperative course was uneventful. MRI one year after surgery showed no recurrence (Fig. 3).

Microscopic examination of a specimen from the main tumor showed typical meningothelial meningioma (Fig. 4A) while a specimen from one of the buried mass lesion revealed typical fibrous meningioma (Fig. 4B). The margins of both meningioma subtypes were clear, with no evidence of transitional mixtures of either subtype of meningioma (Fig. 4C and 4D). MIB-1 index was less than 3% for both tumor components. Comparing the two tissues, amounts of collagen fibers differed markedly, as did cell density. In addition, findings such as necrosis, degeneration, and embolic materials in the vessels were observed in part of the meningothelial component, probably due to the effects of preoperative embolization.

Molecular genetics were analyzed for both meningothelial and fibrous subtypes by fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) and Sanger sequencing. No mutations were seen for NF2. In addition, AKT1, KFL4, SMO, TRAF7, and PI3K were all wild type (WT). Meningothelial meningioma showed C195G mutation in the TERT promotor while the fibrous meningioma was WT in the TERT promotor.

**Abbreviations**

neurofibromatosis (NF), alpha serine-threonine protein kinase (AKT), Kruppel-like factor (KLF), smoothened frizzled class receptor (SMO), tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor (TRAF), phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K), telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT)

**Discussion**

This paper reports a case of two isolated fibrous meningiomas coexisting within meningothelial meningioma. To the best of our knowledge, the situation of meningiomas presented here has not been reported previously.

Meningiomas are common brain tumors, accounting for approximately one-third of all primary brain tumors in adults. In an autopsy series, incidental meningioma was identified in 2.3%. Multiple meningiomas occur in up to 10% of meningiomas, but most exist as spatially separated lesions. Surprisingly, the majority of multiple meningiomas have shown different histological subtypes and even different grades.

The origins and pathogenic mechanisms underlying multiple meningiomas remain controversial. One possibility is that different tumors have different origins, with multiplicity not a result of cell migration through the subarachnoid space. On the other hand, several studies have supported the theory of clonal spread from a single tumor. The origin of multiple meningiomas is probably intriguing and not solely explicable on the basis of microscopic appearance.

Liu et al. reported a case of meningioma with different histological grades in the same patient. The intradural component showed fibrous meningioma of WHO grade I while an epidural component invading to the skull represented atypical meningioma of WHO grade II. Yan et al. reported a case of solitary fibrous tumor concurrent with meningioma in the same site. Another rare phenomenon that was reported was metastasis of breast carcinoma to meningioma. Pathological examination in our case showed two fibrous meningiomas distinctly coexisting within the main tumor of meningothelial meningioma. Both fibrous meningiomas were buried separately and existed without any dural attachments within the main tumor. Transitional meningioma as one of the common variants of meningioma contains meningothelial and fibrous patterns as well as transitional
features. In this case, the meningotheelial component and fibrous component existed not transitionally, but as distinctly separate features according to pathological examination. This was the reason the pathological diagnosis differed from transitional meningioma. Imaging studies also revealed two clearly demarcated, round mass lesions within the main component of the tumor. These imaging findings also indicated this meningioma was completely different from transitional meningioma, because two isolated tumors of a different type existed with a clear margin between each other and without transitional features. This type of meningioma does not appear to have been reported previously.
According to recent genome-wide genotyping and exome-sequencing studies, novel mutations have recently been discovered in non-NF2 meningiomas.\(^2,13\) Although the most common mutated gene is NF2, newly discovered mutations in TRAF7 (24%; encoding a proapoptotic E3 ubiquitin ligase), AKT1 (10–15%; encoding a key effector of PI3K signaling), KLF4 (10%; encoding a C2H2 zinc finger motif), and SMO (3–5%; encoding a negative regulator of the Hedgehog pathway) were mutually exclusive of NF2 mutations. In addition, such mutation types appear to correlate with anatomical tumor location and histological subtypes.\(^2\) Relationships between these gene mutations and subtypes of meningioma have been reported. For example, secretory meningiomas show simultaneous deletion of KLF7 and TRAF7.\(^1\) Molecular genetic analyses in our case showed only C195G mutation in the TERT promoter in the meningothelial subtype. TERT mutation occurred in 4.7% of WHO Grade I meningiomas.\(^14\) High-grade meningioma is reported to show the emergence of mutations in the TERT promoter.\(^15\) On the other hand, mutation of TERT does not affect WHO classification.\(^14\) In addition, the recurrence rate was 4.8 times higher in patients with WHO Grade I or II meningiomas with TERT mutation compared with WHO Grade III meningiomas with TERT protein WT patients.\(^14\) TERT mutation may have some influence on the biological activity of meningiomas. No previous reports have described C195G mutation in the TERT promoter detected in this case. At the moment, the C195G mutation in the TERT promoter detected in this case could be suggested as uncertain clinicopathological significance and indicate no clinical significance. Concluding that significant differences exist in genetic profiles between these subtypes is thus difficult.

However, close imaging follow-up may be warranted for patients with meningioma showing TERT mutation. From the perspective genetic analysis,
transitional meningiomas frequently carry NF2 mutations.\textsuperscript{1} The results of our genetic analyses also indicated that the tumor in this case was different from transitional meningiomas. The term “dedifferentiated meningioma” was recently proposed for the existence of anaplastic and low-grade components in a single meningeal tumor.\textsuperscript{15,16} In our case, both subtypes were low grade, not indicating dedifferentiated meningioma.

The present report illustrates a very rare condition in which two isolated components of fibrous meningioma coexisted within the main tumor component of meningothelial meningioma and showed the same molecular genetic profile, thus differing from transitional meningioma. This pathogenesis and the mechanisms involved are still unclear. One speculation is that while repeating cell division, the meningothelial cells might incidentally transform to the fibrous subtype and then forming the tumor mass. Another possibility is that the collagen fibers in meningothelial cells accumulate in isolation and form a tumor mass like fibrous meningioma due to environmental changes such as vascularity and oxygenation. Further advances in molecular genetic studies of this field might clarify the pathogenesis.
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