Xanthomatous Lesion of the Gingiva: A possible cause of delayed tooth eruption
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The authors report the rare case of a granular cell lesion of the gingiva overlying a maxillary incisor tooth that was delayed in eruption in a 9-year-old Japanese boy. The lesion was composed of a nodular aggregation of ovoid-shaped cells with eosinophilic and granular cytoplasm, which was immunohistochemically positive for LDL, CD68, HLA-DR, cathepsin D and heparanase. These cells were neither positive for S-100 protein, vimentin, desmin nor myosin. The results suggested that this lesion is a sort of xanthoma, but neither granular cell tumor nor congenital epulis. The etiology of this lesion is unknown, but it seems to be associated with tissue remodeling processes of the pericoronal mesenchymal tissue during tooth eruption. Such an unusual pericoronal tissue containing a xanthomatous lesion may be one of the causes for delay in tooth eruption.
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Introduction

Delayed eruption of permanent incisor teeth in the maxilla is usually caused by such local factors as early loss, persistence of deciduous teeth, trauma, inflammation, supernumerary teeth, cysts and tumors, which are mostly associated with precedent deciduous teeth. However, the cause of retarded eruption of molar teeth is rather controversial, except in patients with systemic backgrounds. To date, there have been only a few reports in which the histology of the operculum of permanent teeth delayed in eruption was analyzed (1-3). Philipsen et al. histologically examined tissue specimens of gingiva overlying molar teeth delayed in eruption and found that the existence of odontogenic giant cell fibromatosis (OGCF) in the opercula caused the retarded molar tooth eruption (2). In the previous study, we examined histopathology of sixty-one operculum specimens from the patients with incisor or molar teeth delayed in eruption, and showed that there were two histological varieties: pericoronal myxofibrous hyperplasia (PMH) and infantile ameloblastic fibromatosis (IAF) in the opercula. PMH is a disease entity which includes more varied pericoronal hamartomatous lesions than OGCF and affects not only molars but also incisors. We have considered that such pericoronal hamartomas induce fibrosis in the lamina propria of the gingival mucosa, which might disturb some eruption processes of teeth (3).

In this article, we report a case of a solitary granular cell nodule occurring in the overlying gingiva of an incisor tooth delayed in eruption. Since such a histological variant was not listed in our previous series of pericoronal lesions, we studied the nature of the constituent cells of the nodule by using immunohistochemical techniques and discussed the possible cause of delayed tooth eruption.

Case Report

A 9-year-old Japanese boy was referred to the pedodontic unit of Niigata University Dental Hospital for delayed eruption of the left central incisor in his maxilla. One year and 5 months before, his deciduous incisor was extracted due to persistence. However, the succeeding incisor had not yet erupted. The overlying mucosa appeared normal and the right counterpart incisor had erupted completely. He had a history of extraction of an impacted median supernumerary tooth of the maxilla three years before in this hospital. The mesiodens was located between two central incisor tooth germs, but its extraction surgery from the palatal side did not touch the operculum areas of the central incisors. There was no familial or personal history of other diseases, and the patient’s general health and development were within normal ranges.
The radiographic examination revealed nothing of note around the crown of the unerupted incisor, whose root formation appeared to be almost the same as its counterpart. Clinically, the cause for delayed eruption of the incisor was not clear.

The operculum was surgically removed and the tissue was submitted to histopathologic examination. The postoperative course was uneventful. Two months later, eruption of the left central incisor was confirmed and it was in line with the right incisor.

Materials and Methods

The surgical specimen was fixed in 10% formalin and was embedded in paraffin, and serial sections were cut at 4 µm and stained with hematoxylin-eosin (H-E), periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) with or without diastase digestion, Masson’s trichrome, toluidine blue and silver impregnation. Sections were also used for immunoperoxidase stainings for CD68, HLA-DR, heparanase, cathepsin D, S-100 protein, vimentin, desmin, myosin, low density lipoprotein (LDL), and type IV collagen. Furthermore, unstained sections were examined with ultraviolet light for fluorescence by ceroid under a phase-contrast microscope equipped with epifluorescence optics (Olympus BH-2, DM400 dichroic mirror with a 334 nm excitation filter, Tokyo).

Immunohistochemical studies were performed using the avidin-biotin peroxidase complex (ABC) method with the mouse monoclonal antibodies to CD68, HLA-DR, vimentin and desmin, and the rabbit monoclonal antibody to heparanase (4) (provided by Dr. M. Nakajima, Novartis Pharma International Institute, Takarazuka, Japan). A peroxidase-antiperoxidase (PAP) technique was also employed for the rabbit polyclonal antibodies to S-100 protein, cathepsin D (5), LDL (apo B) and type IV collagen (6) as described previously. Polyclonal antibodies against smooth and skeletal muscle myosins were raised in rabbit as described elsewhere (7). Antibodies used in this study are listed in Table 1.

Pathological Findings

The overlying gingival mucosa of the operculum showed reactive hyperplasia of the epithelium and a thick layer of fibrosis in the lamina propria. In the submucosal layer, there was a nodular aggregation of granular cells surrounded by myxoid stroma, which seemed to be of odontogenic origin, showing no hyperplastic changes (Fig. 1). These granular cells were round to polygonal in shape and had eosinophilic and granular cytoplasm. Their nuclei were rather small and round-shaped and eccentrically located (Fig. 2). The cytoplasm was PAS positive (Fig. 3a) even after diastase treatment. With Masson’s trichrome stain, the granules stained reddish-brown (Fig. 3b). The nodule was separated by argyrophilic fibers into indefinite lobular structures (Fig. 3c). However, there was no obvious capsule around it. Much smaller nests of similar granular cells were scattered in the surrounding connective tissue (Fig. 4a). The cytoplasmic granules of these granular cells showed immunopositive for LDL-apo B (Fig. 4b) and also exhibited yellow fluorescence with ultraviolet excitation (Fig. 4c). There was no infiltration of inflammatory cells within or around the granular cell nodules.

Immunohistochemically, the granular cells showed...
strong and finely granular stainings for CD68 (Fig. 5a) and HLA-DR (Fig. 5b) and coarsely granular for cathepsin D (Fig. 5c) in the cytoplasm. They also displayed a weakly positive reaction for heparanase (data not shown), whereas they were not positive in the reactions with the antibodies against S-100 protein, vimentin, desmin and myosin. These immunohistochemical results of granular cell were summarized in Table 2. Furthermore, the nodule was rich in blood vessels, as was revealed by anti-type IV collagen (Fig. 5d). The findings suggest that the granular cells were neither neuroectodermal nor mesenchymal in origin, but histiocytes containing plentiful lysosomes. We thus considered this lesion as a sort of xanthomatous lesion, although we could not confirm the presence of lipids in cryosections because unfortunately all of the specimens were embedded in paraffin.

Discussion

Xanthoma is defined as a localized aggregation of tissue macrophages containing lipids. The lesion may oc-
cur in solitary or multiple forms, mainly in the skin (8, 9) and it is commonly associated with systemic disturbances of cholesterol metabolism. However, such lesions are also generated as reactive or inflammatory processes by any local stimulations. Xanthomas are rare in the oral region (10): most of the examples of the oral mucosal xanthomas reported were associated with systemic disturbance of cholesterol metabolism (11-13) and there has been only one report of a solitary form in the gingiva without any obvious cause (14). In the present case, the patient had no systemic disorder, while he had a surgical history of an impacted supernumerary tooth extraction three years before. However, we could not determine any inflammatory effects on the left incisor tooth germ due to the surgical intervention because the operation itself did not extend to the incisor region and the right central incisor erupted without any troubles.

Since the surgical removal of the operculum could successfully accelerate the eruption of the permanent incisor tooth in our patient, we consider that the xanthomatous nodule and its overlying fibrosis are possible causes for the delay in tooth eruption. In our previous article, we did not list such a reactive lesion in the series of opercula of the teeth delayed in eruption (3). Neither did Cutright (1) and Philipsen (2) in their series. In the pericoronal hamartomas, we regard fibrosis generated between hamartomatous lesions and the overlying gingival mucosa, as well as the existence of hamartomas themselves, as barriers to tooth eruption. In this context, it is possible to consider that the incisor tooth was delayed in eruption due to the distinct fibrosis between the lamina propria and the submucosal myxoid tissue surrounding the xanthomatous nodules, and the presence of pericoronal mesenchymal tissues generating the xanthomatous lesion. The existence of daughter xanthomatous nodules growing around the main large one suggests that remodeling was still in progress in the pericoronal mesenchymal tissue. The volume of this particular mesenchymal tissue was not so evident when comparing the opercula with pericoronal myxofibrous hyperplasia.

Our immunohistochemical study showed that the cells consisting of xanthomatous nodules had markers for the macrophage/monocyte lineage, such as CD68 and HLA-DR, as well as such lysosomal enzymes as cathepsin D and heparanase. However, neither markers for dendritic cells, fibroblasts, nor neuro-muscular cells were demonstrated in the granular cells. Because of their granular appearance, differential diagnosis was necessary between such granular cell lesions as granular cell tumors (15-18), odontogenic granular cell tumors (15, 19, 20), congenital epulides (15, 16, 21, 22), epithelioid smooth muscle tumors with granular cell change (23) and
oral ceroid granuloma (24). However, we could easily distinguish our xantomatous lesion from other granular cell lesions by immunohistochemical investigations as summarized in Table 2. Granular alteration in the cytoplasm is generally considered to be a degenerative response of cells, irrespective of cellular origin (25). In most of the cases, the granular appearance results from an accumulation of lysosomes. From the present immunohistochemical examination, we could conclude that the xantomatous nodules were composed of granular histiocytes, and that a sort of xanthoma seems most likely to be its histopathologic diagnosis. It may be possible now to list xantomatous nodules or such reactive tissue remodeling products in the pathogenic candidates for delayed tooth eruption, in addition to pericoronal hamartomatous lesions which we have already demonstrated as major causes.
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| Table 2: Immunohistochemical stainings of granular cells |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| **Antibody** | **present ca** | **GCT**<sup>15, 20</sup> | **OGCT**<sup>15, 19, 20</sup> | **CE**<sup>15, 20, 22</sup> | **ESMT**<sup>21</sup> | **OCG**<sup>24</sup> |
| LDL | + | | | | | |
| CD68 | + | + | + | + | |
| HLA-DR | + | + | + | |
| cathepsin D | + | | | | |
| heparanase | + | | | | |
| S-100 | – | + | – | – | – | |
| vimentin | – | + | + | + | | |
| desmin | – | + | + | – | | |
| myosin | – | | | | | |
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