Note

Density effects on semi-dwarf and early flowering mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* under continuous light

Kei Takahashi, Miyuki Hara, Kana Miyata, Ryosuke Hayama, Tsuyoshi Mizoguchi*

Department of Natural Sciences, International Christian University (ICU), Osawa 3-10-2, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8585, Japan

*E-mail: mtsuyoshi@icu.ac.jp Tel: +08-422-33-3247

Received July 1, 2016; accepted September 12, 2016 (Edited by T. Demura)

Abstract Plant growth promotion and inhibition under low- and high-density conditions (referred to as the density effect) has been studied extensively. Here, we show that such density effects were unaffected by the position of wild-type (WT) and *gibberellic acid insensitive* (*gai*) strains of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (*Arabidopsis*) within pots. Additionally, *petanko 1* (*pta1*) and *pta5* were newly discovered alleles of the *ROTUNDIFOLIA 3* (*ROT3*) and *DWARF 4* (*DWF4*) genes that are involved in brassinosteroid biosynthesis. Unlike *gai*, the semi-dwarf mutants of *pta1* and *pta5* exhibited normal flowering times and a shortening of rosette leaves at high densities. Moreover, the *pta1* and *pta5* variants suppressed flowering stem shortening at high densities. *pta5*, but not *pta1* suppressed the reduction in silique number at intermediate densities. SPINDLY (*SPY*) is a negative regulator of GA signaling, while PHYTOCHROME B (*PHYB*) is a red-light photoreceptor. High-density growth did not reduce the flowering time of *phyB* mutants, but did affect that of *spy* mutants. Neither *spy* nor *phyB* suppressed the shortening of rosette leaves at high densities; however, *spy* suppressed flowering stem shortening. Moreover, *spy* suppressed the reduction of silique number at high densities, while *phyB* promoted the decrease. These data suggest that GA, BR, and light signaling pathways play important roles in the density effect.

Key words: *Arabidopsis*, density effects, flowering time, gibberellic acid, semi-dwarf.

The law of constant final yield (Kira et al. 1953; Pacala and Weiner 1991; Pearl and Parker 1922) states that the total biomass production of plants grown at different densities is constant following an initial period of growth (Weiner and Freckleton 2010). At high densities, leaf and stem lengths are shortened and the number of flower buds decreases. The flowering time is also accelerated under such conditions (Aihara 1968; Jennings and de Jesus 1968; Levin and Wilson 1978), and these phenomena are known as density effects (Fishman 1997; Pacala and Weiner 1991).

We recently established conditions to investigate density effects in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (*Arabidopsis*), as well as three additional Brassicaceae plants (Yamamoto et al. 2016). These plants exhibited short stems and leaves, and had accelerated flowering when grown at high densities. The acceleration of flowering at increased densities was suppressed by the *gibberellic acid insensitive* (*gai*) mutation (Koornneef et al. 1991; Peng et al. 1997) in the gibberellic acid (GA) pathway (Mutasa-Göttgens and Hedden 2009), but not by other late flowering mutations in the photoperiod/long-day pathway, including that of *gigantea* (*gi*; Koornneef et al. 1991), *late elongated*

hypocotyl; *circadian clock associated 1* (*lhy;cca1*; Fujiwara et al. 2008; Mizoguchi et al. 2002), or in the *flowering control locus A* gene (*fca*; Koornneef et al. 1991) of the autonomous pathway. Since plant density affects plant growth, a detailed understanding of the molecular mechanisms surrounding such processes is important for plant science.

We hypothesize that the promotion of flowering by density effects is dependent upon the GA pathway; however, since *gai* strains are semi-dwarf mutants with short hypocotyls, leaf petioles, and blades, plant density may be lower than that of the wild-type (WT) when the same number of seeds are sown per pot. We previously discussed the density effects on growth and development, where ethylene, GA signaling, and flowering time genes are primary factors. Although several late flowering mutants were used, only the *early flowering 3* mutant strain (*elf3*; Zagotta et al. 1996) was investigated previously (Yamamoto et al. 2016). Since then, many questions have been raised.

Density effects could be due to other plant hormones, including brassinosteroid (BR) and auxin (Busov et al. 2008; Hardtke et al. 2007). Additionally, the observed

Abbreviations: *Arabidopsis*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*; CCA1, CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSOCIATED 1; ELF3, EARLY FLOWERING 3; GAI, GIBBERELIC ACID INSENSITIVE; LHY, LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL; LL, continuous light; PHYB, PHYTOCHROME B; PTA1, PETANKO 1; PTA5, PETANKO 5; SPY, SPINDLY.

This article can be found at <http://www.jspcmb.jp/>

Published online November 9, 2016

dwarfism in extremely high-density conditions could be caused by the reduction of light quantity or changes in light quality. Thus, room for growth and development may be dependent on pot locations (e.g., the center or edge of pots).

Recently, we identified *petanko 1* and 5 (*pta1* and *pta5*; meaning flat in Japanese; Suzuki et al. 2016) as mutations that exaggerate the semi-dwarf phenotype of the *lhy;cca1* mutant (Fujiwara et al. 2008) under continuous light (LL). *pta1* and *pta5* are new alleles of the *rotundifolia 3* (*rot3*; Kim et al. 1998) and *dwarf 4* (*dwf4*; Choe et al. 1998) genes, respectively. Both *ROT3/PTA1* and *DWF4/PTA5* encode key enzymes involved in BR biosynthesis (Choe et al. 1998; Kim et al. 2005). The semi-dwarf phenotypes of *pta1* and *pta5* strains were less than that of *gai*.

GAI (Peng et al. 1997) positively regulates the GA signaling pathway, while SPINDLY (SPY, Jacobsen et al. 1996) negatively regulates the GA pathway. *PHYTOCHROME B* (*PHYB*) encodes a red-light photoreceptor (Reed et al. 1993) and cross-talk between the GA and light signaling pathways has been reported (Lor and Olszewski 2015). The *gai* mutation is dominant-negative, delays flowering time, and shortens hypocotyls, leaf blades and petioles, and flowering stems (Peng et al. 1997). By contrast, loss-of-function mutations in either the *SPY* or *PHYB* genes accelerates flowering and lengthens hypocotyls, leaf blades, and petioles (Jacobsen et al. 1996; Reed et al. 1993).

We first tested density effects on WT and *gai* plants (Figure 1) grown at either the center or edge of pots (Figure 2). WT and *gai* seeds were sown at densities of 5, 10, 20, 40, and 60 per pot and grown under continuous light (LL). The number of cauline and rosette leaves (flowering time; Figure 2A–E), as well as the length of rosette leaves (Figure 2F–J) and flowering stems (Figure 2K–O), and the number of siliques (Figure 2P–T) from plants grown at the edge of pots were compared to those at the center of pots. As the density of WT plants per pot increased, the number of leaves and siliques decreased, while the length of rosette leaves and flowering stems shortened (Figures 1, 2A, 2B, 2E–G, 2J–L, 2O–Q, 2T).

As recently reported (Yamamoto et al. 2016), *gai* mutants exhibited lengthened flowering times at densities of 10 to 60 seeds per pot (Figures 1, 2C–E). Using a detailed statistical analysis, we found that *gai* mutants also had shortened leaf/stem lengths and a decreased number of siliques (Figures 1, 2H–J, 2O–Q, 2T). The percentages of the average length of WT rosette and cauline leaves grown at the edge and center of pots at a density of 60 were 65.3 and 63.2%, respectively, compared to those at a density of 5 seeds per pot (Figure 2E). By contrast, the percentages of the average length of rosette and cauline leaves in the *gai* strain at the edge and center of pots were 82.1 and 82.4%, respectively,

at a density of 60 seeds per pot, compared to those at a density of 5 seeds per pot (Figure 2E). The percentages of the average length of WT rosette leaves grown at the edge and center of pots were 42.6 and 42.3%, respectively, at a density of 60 seeds per pot, compared to those at a density of 5 seeds per pot (Figure 2J). In contrast, the percentages of the average length of rosette leaves of the *gai* strain grown at the edge and center of pots at a density of 60 seeds per pot were 60.8 and 62.6%, respectively, compared to those at a density of 5 seeds per pot (Figure 2J). Notably, there was no statistical difference in flowering times and leaf lengths between WT and *gai* strains grown at the edge and center of pots (Student's *t*-test, $p > 0.05$; Figure 2E, J).

The shortening of flowering stems was suppressed in the *gai* strain at densities of 10–40 seeds per pot (Figure 2K–O), while the decrease in silique number was moderately suppressed in the *gai* strain at densities of 20 and 40 seeds per pot (Figure 2P–T). No significant difference in stem lengths or silique numbers was observed between WT and *gai* strains grown at the edge and center of pots (Student's *t*-test, $p > 0.05$; Figure 2O, T). Thus, the data suggested that the positions of plants in pots did not influence such density effects in WT and *gai* strains. Therefore, 10 plants were chosen randomly from the surface of pots and their leaf and stem lengths, as well as their silique counts were also assessed (Yamamoto et al. 2016).

In addition to the *gai* strain, we also assessed strains with semi-dwarf mutations in *ROT3/PTA1* (Kim et al. 1998; Suzuki et al. 2016) and *DWF4/PTA5* (Choe et al. 1998; Suzuki et al. 2016) (Figure 3). Compared to *gai* (Figure 3A–B, 3E), *pta1* and *pta5* strains had WT flowering times at high densities (Figure 3C–E). *pta1* and *pta5* did not suppress rosette leaf shortening at high densities (Figure 3H–J), while *pta5* mutants had elevated rosette leaf shortening at densities of 20 and 40 seeds per pot (Figure 3I–J). *pta1* and *pta5* mutants had reduced flowering stem shortening at high densities (Figure 3M–O). Moreover, the significant differences between the stem lengths of WT and *pta1* or *pta5* were observed at densities of 10–60 seeds per pot (Student's *t*-test, $p < 0.05$). *pta5*, but not *pta1*, suppressed the decrease in silique number that was observed in WT at densities of 10 and 20 seeds per pot (Figure 3P–T). These data suggested that the BR and GA signaling pathways are involved in density effects. Although the density effect was partially suppressed in *pta1* and *pta5*, the phenotype was weaker than *gai* (Figure 3). The results suggest that GA has more important role in the density effect than BR.

We also investigated the density effects of mutations that cause early flowering and sensitivity to GA and light (i.e., *spy* and *phyB*) using the *elf3* strain (Zagotta et al. 1996) as the control (Figure 4). Higher seed densities

Figure 1. Density effects in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Photograph of (A) WT (*Ler*), (B) *pta1*, (C) *pta5*, (D) *gai*, (E) *spy-5*, (F) *elf3-101*, and (G) *phyB-2511*. Seeds of *Arabidopsis thaliana* were sown at 5, 10, 20, 40, and 60 per pot, and grown at 24°C under continuous light (LL). Plants were photographed after bolting. Scale bars, 5 cm.

did not accelerate flowering times in the *phyB* strain, but did in the *spy* strain (Figure 4A–E). The differences in the number of rosette and cauline leaves between WT and *spy*, *phyB*, or *elf3* were also significantly different at densities of 10–60 seeds per pot (Student's *t*-test, $p < 0.05$). *spy* and *phyB* did not suppress the shortening

of the rosette leaves at high densities (Figure 4F–J); however, *spy* suppressed the shortening of flowering stems at high densities (Figure 4K–O). The difference between the stem length of WT and those of *spy* and *elf3* mutants at densities of 10–40 seeds per plot was statistically significant (Student's *t*-test, $p < 0.05$). The

Figure 2. The effects of plant position in pots on density effects. Shown are measurements for WT plants grown at the edge (blue; A, F, K, P) and center (yellow; B, G, L, Q), and *gai* plants grown at the edge (red; C, H, M, R) and center (green; D, I, N, S) of pots. Decreases in rosette and cauline leaf number (E), rosette leaf length (J), flowering stem length (O), and silique number (T) relative to those at a density of 5 seeds per pot were measured. (A–E) Density effects of flowering times in WT (*Ler*) and *gai* strains. Rosette and cauline leaf numbers were determined after bolting. (F–J) Density effects on rosette leaf length in WT and *gai* strains. Rosette leaf lengths of the 3rd leaves were measured 3 weeks after sowing. (K–O) Density effects on flowering stem length in WT and *gai* strains. Flowering stem lengths were measured following bolting (~6 weeks after sowing). (P–T) Density effects on silique number in WT and *gai* strains. Silique numbers were determined following bolting (~6 weeks after sowing). Seeds (5, 10, 20, 40, and 60 per pot) were grown at 24°C under LL. Data are presented as the means $\pm$ SE ($n=5$). No statistical difference was observed between the leaf numbers of WT and *gai* plants grown at the edge vs. the center of pots (Student's *t*-test, $p>0.05$).

decreases in stem length in the *phyB* mutant were enhanced at densities of 40 and 60 seeds per pot (Figure 4O). The *phyB* mutant also decreased silique numbers at

higher densities, while *spy* mutants did not (Figure 4P–T). Thus, GA and light signaling likely play key roles in density effects.

Figure 3. Density effects on flowering time, rosette leaf and flowering stem length, and silique number in *gai*, *pta1*, and *pta5* mutants. Measurements are shown for WT (Ler, blue; A, F, K, P), *gai* (yellow; B, G, L, Q), *pta1* (red; C, H, M, R), and *pta5* (green; D, I, N, S) plants. Shown are the decreases in rosette and cauline leaf number (E), rosette leaf length (J), flowering stem length (O), and silique number (T) relative to those at densities of 5 seeds per pot. (A–E) Density effects on flowering time. Rosette and cauline leaf numbers were determined after bolting. (F–J) Density effects on rosette leaf length of the 3rd leaves, measured 3 weeks after sowing. (K–O) Density effects on flowering stem length, measured after bolting (~6 weeks after sowing). (P–T) Density effects on silique number, determined after bolting (~6 weeks after sowing). Seeds (5, 10, 20, 40, and 60 per pot) were sown and grown at 24°C under LL. Data are presented as the means $\pm$ SE ($n=10$). Asterisks indicate significant differences, compared with the WT (Student's *t*-test, $p<0.05$).

Statistical analysis of *gai* and *elf3* strains allowed us to identify their roles in flowering time, stem/leaf lengths, and silique number as a result of density effects. Cross-

talk between the signaling pathways for GA and BR (Li and He 2013), BR and light (Lau and Deng 2010; Wang et al. 2012), and light and GA (Lor and Olszewski 2015)

Figure 4. Density effects on flowering time, rosette leaf and flowering stem length, and silique number in *spy*, *elf3*, and *phyB* mutants. Measurements are shown for WT (*Ler*, blue; A, F, K, P), *spy-5* (yellow; B, G, L, Q), *elf3-101* (red; C, H, M, R), and *phyB-2511* (green; D, I, N, S) plants. Shown are the decreases in rosette and cauline leaf number (E), rosette leaf length (J), flowering stem length (O), and silique number (T) relative to those at densities of 5 seeds per pot. (A–E) Density effects on flowering time. Rosette and cauline leaves numbers were determined after bolting. (F–I) Density effects on rosette leaf length of the 3rd leaves, measured 3 weeks after sowing. (K–O) Density effects on flowering stem length, measured after bolting (~6 weeks after sowing). (P–T) Density effects on silique number, determined after bolting (~6 weeks after sowing). Seeds (5, 10, 20, 40, and 60 per pot) were sown and grown at 24°C under LL. Data are presented as the means $\pm$ SE ($n=10$). Asterisks indicate significant differences, compared with the WT (Student's *t*-test, $p<0.05$).

have been reported. Common factors of the GA, BR, and light signaling pathways, including phytochrome-interacting factors (PIFs; de Lukas and Prat 2014), may play key roles in the control of flowering time, stem/leaf

length, and silique number at high densities. How *gai* and *spy* mutations had similar effects at high densities, despite their opposite effects on GA signaling, remains unknown. Thus, investigation into the roles of other

photoreceptors, including PHYA, PHYC, PHYD, PHYE, CRY1, and CRY2, on density effects is also warranted.

Flowering time of the early flowering mutants, *elf3* and *phyB*, was not accelerated at high densities; however, this may be due to an increased florigen activity in those mutants. Therefore, flowering times should also be tested in *ELF3*- or *PHYB*-overexpressing strains. The dwarf phenotype under extremely high-densities could be due to the lack of specific nutrients (Harper 1977; Willey and Heath 1961). Understanding such factors is necessary to detail the molecular mechanisms underlying density effects.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported in part by the joint research programs "Plant Transgenic Research Design, University of Tsukuba" of the MEXT (to T.M.), the joint research program implemented at the Institute of Plant Science and Resources, Okayama University, of the MEXT (to T.M.), grants-in-aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (MEXT; Grant number 23012037 to T.M.) and grants-in-aid for Scientific Research (C) (MEXT; Grant number 25440141 to T.M.).

References

- Aihara T (1968) Competitive ability in mutant lines of a rice variety. *Japan J Breed* 18: 72–74
- Busov VB, Brunner AM, Strauss SH (2008) Genes for control of plant stature and form. *New Phytol* 177: 589–607
- Choe S, Dilkes BP, Fujioka S, Takatsuto S, Sakurai A, Feldmann KA (1998) The DWF4 gene of *Arabidopsis* encodes a cytochrome P450 that mediates multiple 22 α -hydroxylation steps in brassinosteroid biosynthesis. *Plant Cell* 10: 231–243
- de Lucas M, Prat S (2014) PIFs get BRright: PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTORS as integrators of light and hormonal signals. *New Phytol* 202: 1126–1141
- Fishman MA (1997) Density effects in population growth: An exploration. *Biosystems* 40: 219–236
- Fujiwara S, Oda A, Yoshida R, Niinuma K, Miyata K, Tomozoe Y, Tajima T, Nakagawa M, Hayashi K, Coupland G, et al. (2008) Circadian clock proteins LHY and CCA1 regulate SVP protein accumulation to control flowering in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 20: 2960–2971
- Hardtke CS, Dorcey E, Osmont KS, Sibout R (2007) Phytohormone collaboration: zooming in on auxin-brassinosteroid interactions. *Trends Cell Biol* 17: 485–492
- Harper JL (1977) Population biology of plant. Academic Press.
- Jacobsen SE, Binkowski KA, Olszewski NE (1996) SPINDLY, a tetratricopeptide repeat protein involved in gibberellin signal transduction in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 93: 9292–9296
- Jennings PR, de Jesus J (1968) Studies on competition in rice. I. competition in mixtures of varieties. *Evolution* 22: 119–124
- Kim GT, Tsukaya H, Uchimiya H (1998) The *ROTUNDIFOLIA3* gene of *Arabidopsis thaliana* encodes a new member of the cytochrome P-450 family that is required for the regulated polar elongation of leaf cells. *Genes Dev* 12: 2381–2391
- Kim GT, Fujioka S, Kozuka T, Tax FE, Takatsuto S, Yoshida S, Tsukaya H (2005) CYP90C1 and CYP90D1 are involved in different steps in the brassinosteroid biosynthesis pathway in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J* 41: 710–721
- Kira T, Ogawa H, Shinozaki K (1953) Intraspecific competition among higher plants. I. Competition-density yield interrelationships in regularly dispersed populations. *J Inst Polytech Osaka City Univ D4*: 1–16
- Koornneef M, Hanhart CJ, van der Veen JH (1991) A genetic and physiological analysis of late flowering mutants in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol Gen Genet* 229: 57–66
- Lau OS, Deng XW (2010) Plant hormone signaling lightens up: Integrators of light and hormones. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 13: 571–577
- Levin DA, Wilson JB (1978) The genetic implication of ecological adaptation in plants. In: Freyden AHJ, Woldendorp JW (eds) *Structure and function of plant populations*, North Holland Publ Co, Amsterdam, pp 75–100
- Li QF, He JX (2013) Mechanisms of signaling crosstalk between brassinosteroids and gibberellins. *Plant Signal Behav* 8: e24686
- Lor VS, Olszewski NE (2015) GA signalling and cross-talk with other signalling pathways. *Essays Biochem* 58: 49–60
- Mizoguchi T, Wheatley K, Hanzawa Y, Wright L, Mizoguchi M, Song HR, Carré IA, Coupland G (2002) *LHY* and *CCA1* are partially redundant genes required to maintain circadian rhythms in *Arabidopsis*. *Dev Cell* 2: 629–641
- Mutasa-Göttgens E, Hedden P (2009) Gibberellin as a factor in floral regulatory networks. *J Exp Bot* 60: 1979–1989
- Pacala SW, Weiner J (1991) Effects of competitive asymmetry on a local density model of plant interference. *J Theor Biol* 149: 165–179
- Pearl R, Parker SL (1922) On the influence of density of population upon the rate of reproduction in *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 8: 212–219
- Peng J, Carol P, Richards DE, King KE, Cowling RJ, Murphy GP, Harberd NP (1997) The *Arabidopsis GAI* gene defines a signaling pathway that negatively regulates gibberellin responses. *Genes Dev* 11: 3194–3205
- Reed JW, Nagpal P, Poole DS, Furuya M, Chory J (1993) Mutations in the gene for the red/far-red light receptor phytochrome B alter cell elongation and physiological responses throughout *Arabidopsis* development. *Plant Cell* 5: 147–157
- Suzuki S, Miyata K, Hara M, Niinuma K, Tsukaya H, Takase M, Hayama R, Mizoguchi T (2016) A loss-of-function mutation in the *DWARF4/PETANKO5* gene enhances the late-flowering and semi-dwarf phenotypes of the *Arabidopsis* clock mutant *lhy-12;cca1-101* under continuous light without affecting *FLC* expression. *Plant Biotechnol*, in press
- Wang ZY, Bai MY, Oh E, Zhu JY (2012) Brassinosteroid signaling network and regulation of photomorphogenesis. *Annu Rev Genet* 46: 701–724
- Weiner J, Freckleton RP (2010) Constant final yield. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst* 41: 173–192
- Willey RW, Heath SB (1961) The quantitative relationships between plant population and yield. *Adv Agron* 21: 281–321
- Yamamoto K, Takahashi K, Hara M, Miyata K, Hayama R, Mizoguchi T (2016) Density effects on late flowering mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* under continuous light. *Plant Biotechnol*, in press
- Zagotta MT, Hicks KA, Jacobs CI, Young JC, Hangarter RP, Meeks-Wagner DR (1996) The *Arabidopsis ELF3* gene regulates vegetative photomorphogenesis and the photoperiodic induction of flowering. *Plant J* 10: 691–702