Characterization of UDP-glucose dehydrogenase isoforms in the medicinal legume *Glycyrrhiza uralensis*

Ayumi Kawasaki, Ayaka Chikugo, Keita Tamura, Hikaru Seki, Toshiya Muranaka*

Department of Biotechnology, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

*E-mail: muranaka@bio.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp Tel: +81-6-6879-7423 Fax: +81-6-6879-7426

Received June 15, 2020; accepted February 22, 2021 (Edited by S. Takahashi)

Abstract Uridine 5'-diphosphate (UDP)-glucose dehydrogenase (UGD) produces UDP-glucuronic acid from UDP-glucose as a precursor of plant cell wall polysaccharides. UDP-glucuronic acid is also a sugar donor for the glycosylation of various plant specialized metabolites. Nevertheless, the roles of UGDs in plant specialized metabolism remain poorly understood. *Glycyrrhiza* species (licorice), which are medicinal legumes, biosynthesize triterpenoid saponins, soyasaponins and glycyrrhizin, commonly glucuronosylated at the C-3 position of the triterpenoid scaffold. Often, several different UGD isoforms are present in plants. To gain insight into potential functional differences among UGD isoforms in triterpenoid saponin biosynthesis in relation to cell wall component biosynthesis, we identified and characterized *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* UGDs (GuUGDs), which were discovered to comprise five isoforms, four of which (GuUGD1–4) showed UGD activity in vitro. GuUGD1–4 had different biochemical properties, including their affinity for UDP-glucose, catalytic constant, and sensitivity to feedback inhibitors. GuUGD2 had the highest catalytic constant and highest gene expression level among the GuUGDs, suggesting that it is the major isoform contributing to the transition from UDP-glucose to UDP-glucuronic acid in planta. To evaluate the contribution of GuUGD isoforms to saponin biosynthesis, we compared the expression patterns of *GuUGDs* with those of saponin biosynthetic genes in methyl jasmonate (MeJA)-treated cultured stolons. *GuUGD1–4* showed delayed responses to MeJA compared to those of saponin biosynthetic genes, suggesting that MeJA-responsive expression of *GuUGDs* compensates for the decreased UDP-glucuronic acid pool due to consumption during saponin biosynthesis.

Key words: *Glycyrrhiza uralensis*, triterpenoid saponin, UDP-glucose dehydrogenase, UDP-glucuronic acid.

Introduction

Plant cells have cell walls that consist mainly of cellulose, hemicellulose, and pectin. Unlike cellulose, which consists only of glucose (Delmer and Amor 1995), hemicellulose and pectin contain various sugar components, including glucose, galactose, rhamnose, glucuronic acid, galacturonic acid, xylose, arabinose, apiose, mannose, and fucose (Caffall and Mohnen 2009; Ebringerová et al. 2005; Mohnen 2008). The monomeric precursors of the sugars in these polysaccharides are two sugar nucleotides: uridine 5'-diphosphate (UDP) sugars and guanosine 5'-diphosphate (GDP) sugars. UDP sugars are commonly synthesized from UDP-glucose, which is available from photosynthesis assimilates. UDP-xylose, UDP-arabinose, UDP-apiose, and UDP-galacturonic acid are derived from UDP-glucuronic acid

(Feingold 1982; Seifert 2004), which is synthesized from UDP-glucose via irreversible two-step oxidation with no release of intermediates by UDP-glucose dehydrogenase (UGD; Ge et al. 2004). UGD activity is often the lowest in extracts containing pathway enzymes and is inferred as rate limiting in the synthesis of cell wall precursors (Amino et al. 1985; Dalessandro and Northcote 1977). Many studies have examined the biological roles of UGD in the biosynthesis of cell wall polysaccharides. One biological study suggested the importance of UGDs in the sugar nucleotide oxidation pathway and polysaccharide synthesis based on the reductions in arabinose, xylose, and apiose in the cell wall of *ugd2,3* double mutant *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants, which exhibit a dwarf phenotype (Reboul et al. 2011). Moreover, a reduced sugar composition was detected only in *UGD-A* mutants, not in *UGD-B* mutants, in *Zea mays*, suggesting

Abbreviations: ABA, abscisic acid; ADP, adenosine 5'-diphosphate; bAS, β -amylin synthase; CDSs, coding sequences; FPKM, fragments per kilobase of exon per million mapped reads; GDP, guanosine 5'-diphosphate; MeJA, methyl jasmonate; NAD⁺, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide; NADP⁺, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate; ORFs, open reading frames; qPCR, quantitative real-time PCR; RPKM, reads per kilobase of exon per million mapped sequence reads; TDP, thymidine 5'-diphosphate; UDP, uridine 5'-diphosphate; UGD, UDP-glucose dehydrogenase; USP, UDP-sugar pyrophosphorylase.

This article can be found at <http://www.jspcb.jp/>

Published online June 12, 2021

that UGD-A has a more important role than UGD-B in UDP-glucuronic acid synthesis (Kärkönen et al. 2005). Several UGD isoforms are present in plants, although comparative biochemical and biological studies of these isoforms have been limited to *Z. mays* and *A. thaliana* (Kärkönen et al. 2005; Klinghammer and Tenhaken 2007; Reboul et al. 2011). Plants have an alternative pathway for the biosynthesis of UDP-glucuronic acid that involves inositol oxygenase (Kanter et al. 2005; Kotake et al. 2007; Loewus et al. 1962; Seitz et al. 2000). In this pathway, UDP-glucuronic acid is synthesized from α -D-glucuronic acid 1-phosphate by UDP-sugar pyrophosphorylase (USP). Unlike UGDs, generally one USP isoform is present in plants.

Apart from the biosynthesis of cell wall polysaccharides, UDP-glucuronic acid is also used as a sugar donor for the glycosylation of various plant specialized metabolites, including flavonoids and triterpenoids. Nevertheless, the contribution of UGDs in plant specialized metabolisms has not been well studied.

Legumes, the third largest family of angiosperms, biosynthesize various glucuronosylated metabolites. Soyasaponins, which are representative plant specialized metabolites of legumes, are classified as oleanane-type triterpenoid saponins and are commonly glucuronosylated at the C-3 position of the triterpenoid scaffold. Moreover, *Glycyrrhiza* species (licorice), which are major medicinal legumes, characteristically accumulate glycyrrhizin, another oleanane-type triterpenoid saponin with a characteristic sugar chain composed of two glucuronic acids, in their roots and stolons (Hayashi and Sudo 2009). This unique sugar moiety of glycyrrhizin, which is 150 times sweeter than sucrose (Kitagawa et al. 1993), contributes sweetness (Esaki et al. 1978; Kitagawa 2002). Hence, licorice seems to be a good target for studying the contribution of UGD to the supply of UDP-glucuronic acid for triterpenoid saponin biosynthesis.

Most enzymes that catalyze the biosynthesis of soyasaponins or glycyrrhizin have been characterized in licorice. Both soyasaponins and glycyrrhizin are derived from β -amyrin, one of the most commonly occurring triterpenes in plants, which is biosynthesized from 2,3-oxidosqualene by β -amyrin synthase (bAS; Augustin et al. 2011; Hayashi et al. 2001; Thimmappa et al. 2014). In soyasaponin biosynthesis, the two cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (P450) CYP93E3 and CYP72A566 catalyze oxidation reactions at the C-24 and C-22 β positions of β -amyrin, respectively, to produce soyasapogenol B as an aglycone part of soyasaponin I and II (Seki et al. 2008; Tamura et al. 2018). Soyasaponin I and II are produced via three glycosylation steps, in which first glucuronic acid, then galactose or arabinose, and finally rhamnose are attached. In glycyrrhizin biosynthesis, the P450s CYP88D6 and

CYP72A154 catalyze oxidation reactions at the C-11 and C-30 positions of β -amyrin, respectively, producing glycyrrhetic acid (Seki et al. 2008, 2011). Finally, two-step glucuronosylation of glycyrrhetic acid at the C-3 hydroxy group by two glucuronosyltransferases, a cellulose synthase-like enzyme GuCSyGT (GuCsl) and UGT73P12, produces glycyrrhizin via glycyrrhetic acid 3-O-monoglucuronide (Chung et al. 2020; Jozwiak et al. 2020; Nomura et al. 2019). However, no enzyme producing UDP-glucuronic acid has been identified in licorice.

To investigate the possible functional differentiation between UGD isoforms in specialized metabolisms in relation to cell wall component biosynthesis, UGDs should be identified and characterized individually. Therefore, in this study, we identified and characterized *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* UGDs (GuUGDs), which were discovered to have five isoforms. We analyzed the biochemical properties of each GuUGD isoform and examined their individual roles in the transition from UDP-glucose to UDP-glucuronic acid. We also explored the possible contribution of each GuUGD isoform to the sugar donor supply for triterpenoid saponin biosynthesis by comparing gene expression patterns between *GuUGDs* and known saponin biosynthetic genes.

Materials and methods

Plant materials

Roots of *G. uralensis* strain 308-19 harvested in June 2011 (Ramilowski et al. 2013) were used for RNA extraction to isolate UGD genes. Tissue-cultured stolons of *G. uralensis* (Hokkaido-iryodai strain) were maintained in Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (Duchefa Biochemie, Haarlem, The Netherlands) supplemented with 6% sucrose and 0.01 mM 1-naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA), as reported previously (Kojoma et al. 2010). RNA was extracted from the roots of 3-week-old *Medicago truncatula* accession R108 grown in plant chambers under a 16-h-light/8-h-dark photoperiod at 24°C.

Database searches and isolation of UGD genes

We identified *GuUGD* unigene sequences with blastx similarity search against 32,840 protein coding sequences derived from *G. uralensis* transcriptome data (Ramilowski et al. 2013, <http://ngs-data-archive.psc.riken.jp/Gur/index.pl>) using the *A. thaliana* UGD1 gene sequence, previously isolated and characterized by Oka and Jigami (2006), as a query. To obtain the full-length coding sequences (CDSs) of six unigenes containing partial CDSs, we performed rapid amplification of cDNA ends polymerase chain reaction (RACE-PCR) using the SMARTer RACE cDNA Amplification Kit (Clontech/Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan). The full-length CDSs of *GuUGDs* were PCR-amplified from the first-strand cDNA library prepared from roots of *G. uralensis* strain 308-19 with primers 1–10 (Supplementary Table S1) and PrimeSTAR Max DNA Polymerase (Takara

Bio), then cloned into pENTR/DTOPO (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) to produce an entry clone. After sequencing, each gene was assigned a *G. uralensis* gene ID (Supplementary Table S2) through a similarity search against the *G. uralensis* genome database (<http://ngs-data-archive.psc.riken.jp/Gur-genome/index.pl>). The nucleotide sequences isolated in this study have been submitted to the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) under accession numbers LC528155 (GuUGD1), LC528156 (GuUGD2), LC528157 (GuUGD3), LC528158 (GuUGD4), and LC528159 (GuUGD5).

We identified putative *M. truncatula* UGD (MtUGD) protein sequences in a blastp similarity search against GenBank (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/>) using the isolated GuUGD1–5 sequences as queries. The corresponding genes (*MtUGD1*–3) were isolated from cDNA derived from *M. truncatula* root RNA using primers 22–33 (Supplementary Table S3).

Recombinant protein expression in *Escherichia coli*

Full-length CDSs encoding the GuUGD and MtUGD isoforms and *A. thaliana* UGD2 (used as a positive control) were cloned from entry clones into the *SacI* and *Sall* sites of the His-tag expression vector pCold I DNA (TaKaRa Bio) with the In-Fusion HD cloning kit (TaKaRa Bio) and primers 11–21 (Supplementary Table S1). The coding sequence of *Arabidopsis* UGD2 was amplified from the cDNA clone RAFL09-33-I02 provided by the RIKEN BRC through the national Bio-Resource Project of the MEXT, Japan. The plasmid was introduced into *E. coli* strain BL21 Star (DE3; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) with the chaperone plasmid *pGro7* (TaKaRa Bio). To obtain recombinant proteins, we grew bacterial cultures at 37°C to an optical density at 600 nm of approximately 0.4–0.8 in LB medium supplemented with 0.5 mg ml⁻¹ L-arabinose, 20 µg ml⁻¹ chloramphenicol, and 100 µg ml⁻¹ ampicillin. After cooling the cultures for 30 min at 15°C, we induced protein expression by adding 500 µM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). After 1 day of cultivation at 15°C, cells were cooled by being shaken on ice, collected by centrifugation (4°C, 10 min, 4,500×g), and frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Purification of the enzyme

The frozen cells were resuspended in chilled disruption buffer (10 mM Tris, 50 mM sodium phosphate, 2 mM MgCl₂, 10% [v/v] glycerol, 1 mM NAD⁺, 2 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, and 1× cComplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail [Roche, Basel, Switzerland]; pH 8.0) at 10 ml g⁻¹ fresh weight (FW). Lysozyme (200 µg ml⁻¹) and Nonidet P-40 (1%, v/v) were added to the suspension, and the mixture was shaken slowly at 4°C for 30 min. Then 2.4 U ml⁻¹ benzonase nuclease (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was added, followed by incubation for 15 min with slow shaking at 4°C. Bacterial debris was removed by centrifugation (10 min, 9,500×g), and the clear supernatant was applied to a TALON metal affinity resin column (Clontech) equilibrated with purification buffer (10 mM Tris, 50 mM

sodium phosphate, 300 mM NaCl, and 10% glycerol; pH 8.0) after the addition of 250 mM NaCl. Extracts were purified with the batch/gravity-flow method. The column was washed twice with 10 volumes of purification buffer to wash out all unbound proteins. Weakly bound proteins were eluted from the TALON column with five volumes of purification buffer containing 10 mM imidazole. The UGD enzyme was eluted in purification buffer containing 100 mM imidazole and immediately concentrated in storage buffer (20 mM Tris, 50 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 10% [v/v] glycerol, and 0.5 mM NAD⁺; pH 8.0) by ultrafiltration on an Amicon Ultra 100k device (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA). The recombinant enzyme was stored at -80°C. His-tagged UGD was detected by western blotting with Anti-His-tag mAb-HRP-Direct (Medical & Biological Laboratories, Aichi, Japan) and Chemi-Lumi One Super (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan). The quality of the purified UGDs was verified by Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining and UV detection after sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). The concentrations of the purified UGDs were calculated from the intensity of the corresponding band in the CBB-stained gel.

Enzyme assays

Enzyme activity of UGDs was analyzed by two approaches: analysis of reaction products with ultra-performance liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (UPLC–MS); or analysis of the initial velocity by photometrical monitoring of the time-dependent increase in NADH converted from the cofactor NAD⁺, monitored at 340 nm with the Infinite 200 PRO multimode microplate reader (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland). All reactions were performed at 25°C in 100 µl of assay buffer (20 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, and 10% [v/v] glycerol; pH 8.7) following the addition of enzyme that had been preincubated for 90 min in storage buffer at room temperature. UDP-glucuronic acid production was confirmed by UPLC–MS using 1 µg of each isoform in a reaction solution containing 2 mM UDP-glucose and 600 µM NAD⁺ after determination of the optimal condition. To determine the optimal pH, 1 µg of GuUGD1–4 was analyzed at pH 4.0, 6.0, 8.0, and 10.0 to roughly determine the optimal pH range; then, GuUGD4 was analyzed using smaller increments between pH 7.1 and 10.6. We used glycine buffer for pH range 9.0–10.6 instead of the Tris buffer.

We evaluated the substrate specificity of each isoform by comparing the initial velocity with a standard enzyme assay containing 500 µM UDP-glucose and NAD⁺ as substrate and cofactor, respectively. The amounts of the enzymes were adjusted so that the conversion rate was in the range of 0.01 to 0.1 µM s⁻¹ in the standard enzyme assay. GuUGD5, for which the conversion rate could not be calculated, was used in this assay in the same amount as GuUGD4 (20-fold larger volumes than GuUGD4 solution). All sugar nucleotides and cofactors were used at a concentration of 500 µM.

To determine kinetic parameters, we used sufficient concentrations of NAD⁺ (1 mM) and various concentrations of

UDP-glucose (0–2,000 μM), or various NAD^+ concentrations (5–1,305 μM) and a constant UDP-glucose concentration (2 mM). The reaction was performed three times using 1 μg of GuUGD1–4, and we calculated kinetic parameters from the nonlinear least squares based on the Gauss-Newton method using Python.

We evaluated the inhibitor sensitivity by comparing the initial velocity to the standard enzyme assay. The standard enzyme assay for inhibitor sensitivity was performed without an inhibitor, using UDP-glucose at twice the concentration of each K_m calculated in the kinetic analyses. The amounts of the enzymes were adjusted so that the conversion rate was in the range of 0.01 to 0.1 $\mu\text{M s}^{-1}$ without inhibitors.

Reaction product analyses using UPLC–MS

Reaction products were analyzed with an ACQUITY Ultra-Performance LC system with a tandem quadrupole detector (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) using hydrophilic interaction chromatography on an ACQUITY UPLC BEH Amide column (1.7 μm , 2.1 $\times$ 150 mm; Waters) maintained at 75°C; 5 μl of each sample was injected for analyses. The mobile phase consisted of 50:50 acetonitrile/water with 10 mM triethylamine acetate. The flow rate was set at 0.4 ml min^{-1} . Compounds were ionized by electrospray ionization in negative ion mode. Mass spectra were recorded in the range of 100–750 m/z . The settings of the mass spectrometer were as follows: capillary voltage, +3.5 keV; cone voltage, 45 V; source temperature, 150°C; desolvation temperature, 450°C; cone gas flow, 50 l h^{-1} ; and desolvation gas flow, 450 l h^{-1} . MassLynx (ver. 4.1; Waters) was used for data acquisition and analyses. We identified peaks by comparing their retention times with that of authentic standard UDP-glucuronic acid (Nacalai Tesque).

3D Modeling

The human UGD homo-hexamer structure (Beattie et al. 2018) was used as a template. 3D-structure models of GuUGDs were constructed using the automated SWISS-MODEL server at ExpASY (<https://swissmodel.expasy.org/>; Benkert et al. 2011; Bertoni et al. 2017; Bienert et al. 2017; Guex et al. 2009; Waterhouse et al. 2018) based on protein homology. The predicted 3D structures of the GuUGDs were analyzed via superimposition on the X-ray crystal structure of human UGD with RasMol ver. 2.7.5.2.

Treatment of tissue-cultured stolons with abscisic acid

Tissue-cultured stolons were cultured for 2 weeks in MS medium supplemented with 6% sucrose without NAA before treatment. For abiotic stress treatments in time-course quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) analyses, we diluted abscisic acid (ABA; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) with ethanol to make 10 mM stock solutions, and then added 1 ml of stock solutions to 100 ml of medium (final concentration, 100 μM). Ethanol (1 ml) was added to 100 ml of medium as a mock treatment.

RNA extraction and first-strand cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from frozen tissue-cultured stolons with PureLink Plant RNA Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), then treated with recombinant DNase I (RNase-free; Takara Bio) and purified with the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's protocol. First-strand cDNA was synthesized with PrimeScript RT Master Mix (Perfect Real Time; Takara Bio) from 2.5 μg total RNA in a 50- μl reaction.

qPCR

We mixed 1 $\times$ FastStart Essential DNA Green Master (Roche), 500 nM primers, and 0.5 μl of cDNA, and the reaction volume was brought to 10 μl with PCR-grade water. cDNA for the MeJA treatment analyses was synthesized from total RNA extracted from tissue-cultured stolons treated with 100 μM MeJA or 0.1% ethanol (mock for MeJA), as described previously (Tamura et al. 2017). Reactions were performed with a LightCycler Nano (Roche) at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 10 s, and 72°C for 15 s. The data were analyzed with LightCycler Nano ver. 1.1.0 (Roche). We calculated the relative transcript levels of each target gene using β -tubulin (Seki et al. 2008; GenBank accession number LC318135) as a reference gene. Each sample was amplified three times with primers 34–57 (Supplementary Table S4) designed using the Primer3 website (<http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/>; Koressaar and Remm 2007; Untergasser et al. 2012).

Bioinformatics and phylogenetic analyses

We retrieved homologous plant UGD protein sequences from GenBank (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/>) by blastp search using the isolated GuUGD1–5 sequences as queries. Sequences containing irregularly long N- or C- termini were removed. Phylogenetic trees were generated by the maximum likelihood method based on the JTT matrix-based model (Jones et al. 1992) after alignment by ClustalW in MEGA X (Kumar et al. 2018). Homologous UGD genes were retrieved as reference sequences of each homologous protein. Genes located upstream and downstream of each UGD homolog in *G. uralensis* and other plants were retrieved from the *G. uralensis* genome database (<http://ngs-data-archive.psc.riken.jp/Gur-genome/index.pl>) and GenBank, respectively.

Gene expression analyses of Fabales UGDs

Gene expression data for UGD based on fragments per kilobase of exon per million mapped reads (FPKM; *G. uralensis*), reads per kilobase of exon per million mapped sequence reads (RPKM; *Glycine max*), or normalized expression in microarrays (*M. truncatula*) were retrieved from public transcriptome data for each putative UGD gene. Normalized data derived from RNA extracted from roots and leaves of *G. uralensis* collected in summer (*G. uralensis* database; <http://ngs-data-archive.psc.riken.jp/Gur/index.pl>, Ramilowski et al. 2013), from roots and young leaves of *G. max* (SoyBase; <https://www.soybase.org/>, Severin et al. 2010), and from roots and leaves of *M. truncatula*

(*Mt* Gene Expression Atlas; <https://mtgea.noble.org/v3/>, Benedito et al. 2008; He et al. 2009) were analyzed for *UGD* expression. Because GuUGD3, GuUGD4, and GuUGD5 each corresponded to two partial unigene sequences (Supplementary Table S2), their FPKM values were recalculated as follows: expression of *GuUGD*=(raw reads of unigene I+raw reads of unigene II)/(raw reads of unigene I/FPKM of unigene I+raw reads of unigene II/FPKM of unigene II). The number correspondence between GenBank and each database is shown in Supplementary Table S5.

Results

Identification of UDP-glucose dehydrogenases in *G. uralensis*

To identify *UGDs* in *G. uralensis*, we performed a blastx search against 32,840 protein coding sequences derived from *G. uralensis* transcriptome data (Ramilowski et al. 2013) using the *A. thaliana* *UGD1* gene sequence as a query. We found eight unigene sequences, including two complete and six partial open reading frames (ORFs), encoding putative GuUGDs. RACE-PCR amplification revealed that the six partial sequences were derived from three genes. Thus, complete ORFs of five putative GuUGDs (referred to as GuUGD1–5) were obtained and assigned to five independent gene IDs, located on different scaffolds, in the *G. uralensis* genome database (Mochida et al. 2017; Supplementary Table S2). The deduced amino acid sequences of GuUGD1–5 showed high amino acid sequence identity (79–86%) to *A. thaliana* *UGD1* (Table 1). Furthermore, very high identities were found among GuUGD1–5 (81–93%; Table 1).

In vitro enzyme activity of recombinant *UGDs*

We successfully expressed GuUGDs in *E. coli* by cloning the ORF of each GuUGD into a cold-shock expression vector together with GroES/EL chaperones. The purified GuUGDs showed a protein band at approximately 50 kDa in a UV-illuminated SDS-PAGE gel and by His-tag detection in a western blotting gel (Figure 1A). The expression of recombinant GuUGD5 was much lower than that of the others, so GuUGD5 was purified at a low concentration and low purity (Figure 1A). The concentration of each purified GuUGD was calculated from the intensity of the corresponding band in CBB-

stained gels, and *UGD* activity was analyzed using the same amount of purified GuUGD. Enzyme activity was detected using two approaches: detecting reaction products by UPLC–MS and photometrical monitoring of the time-dependent increases in NADH that was converted from the cofactor NAD⁺. Generally, the optimal pH of plant *UGDs* is 8.0–9.0 (Davies and Dickinson 1972; Hinterberg et al. 2002; Stewart and Copeland 1998; Strominger and Mapson 1957; Turner and Botha 2002). Consistently, increases in NADH were detected at pH 8.0 and 10.0, but not at pH 4.0 or

Figure 1. *UGD* activity of purified *UGD* recombinant proteins. (A) Electrophoresis of purified recombinant proteins. Purified His-tagged recombinant proteins were detected in a UV-stained SDS-PAGE gel (left panel) and by anti-His detection in a western blotting membrane transferred from an identical gel (right panel). *UGDs* were detected as ~50 kDa. Lanes 1–5: purified recombinant GuUGD1–5 proteins (10, 10, 7.5, 5, and 15 μ l of eluted GuUGD1, GuUGD2, GuUGD3, GuUGD4, and GuUGD5 solution were loaded, respectively); E: protein solution removed non-interactive protein for the TALON resin, extracted from IPTG-induced *E. coli* transformed with empty vector (10 μ l of protein solution was loaded); A: purified recombinant AtUGD2 protein (7.5 μ l of protein solution was loaded). (B) UPLC–MS chromatograms at *m/z* 579.1 for the in vitro reaction products. All reaction products were diluted 10 times after 1 day of incubation using 1 μ g of each purified *UGD* (protein solution extracted from *E. coli* transformed with empty vector was used at the same volume used for *UGD*-containing protein solutions). 100% corresponds to the intensity indicated in products catalyzed by AtUGD2, which was used as a positive control. UDP-glucuronic acid was used as an authentic standard.

Table 1. Amino acid sequence identity among GuUGD1–5.

	GuUGD2	GuUGD3	GuUGD4	GuUGD5	AtUGD1
GuUGD1	89%	88%	90%	81%	86%
GuUGD2		93%	85%	83%	84%
GuUGD3			86%	83%	83%
GuUGD4				81%	83%
GuUGD5					79%

6.0 using GuUGD1–4 in preliminary experiments. Further analysis revealed that the UGD enzyme activity level was high at pH 8.5–9.0 (Supplementary Figure S1). Because of the difficulty in obtaining large amounts of purified GuUGD5, we could not perform optimization for GuUGD5; thus, GuUGD5 was analyzed under the optimal conditions for the other GuUGDs.

As shown in Figure 1B, with the coexistence of UDP-glucose as a substrate and NAD⁺ as a cofactor, GuUGD1–4 and AtUGD2 (positive control) yielded a single reaction product that showed the same *m/z* (579.1) and retention time (0.74 min) as the authentic UDP-glucuronic acid standard. This peak was not detected in GuUGD5 products or the empty vector control (Figure 1B). Consistent with this result, an increase in NADH was detected in the reactions containing GuUGD1–4 in the photometrical analyses, whereas it was not detected in the GuUGD5-containing reaction (Table 2). Some plant UGDs accept other sugar nucleotides as minor substrates and NADP⁺ as a minor cofactor with weak catalytic activity (0.5–23% of the main activity; Klinghammer and Tenhaken 2007; Stewart and Copeland

1998; Turner and Botha 2002). Hence, we analyzed enzyme activity for other substrates (UDP-galactose, UDP-*N*-acetylglucosamine, TDP-glucose, ADP-glucose, and GDP-glucose) in the presence of either NAD⁺ or NADP⁺. In the presence of NAD⁺, only GuUGD4 accepted UDP-galactose and UDP-*N*-acetylglucosamine as minor substrates with 15% and 10% activity compared to UDP-glucose, respectively (Table 2). By contrast, GuUGD5 did not show enzyme activity for any substrate. None of the GuUGDs used NADP⁺ as a cofactor instead of NAD⁺.

To obtain deeper insight into the biochemical properties of each active GuUGD isoform, we analyzed kinetic parameters for UDP-glucose and NAD⁺. GuUGD1–4 exhibited typical hyperbolic reaction kinetics and were not inhibited by higher substrate concentrations (Supplementary Figure S2). Affinity for the substrate UDP-glucose and the cofactor NAD⁺ differed among the GuUGD isoforms (Table 3). GuUGD4 showed the highest affinity for UDP-glucose, with approximately less than one-fifth of the *K_m* of the other active GuUGD isoforms. The highest catalytic

Table 2. Substrate specificity of GuUGDs.

Nucleotide-sugar	Relative enzyme activity of isoforms (%)				
	GuUGD1	GuUGD2	GuUGD3	GuUGD4	GuUGD5
UDP-glucose	100	100	100	100	n.d.
UDP-galactose	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	15	n.d.
UDP- <i>N</i> -acetylglucosamine	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	10	n.d.

We calculated enzyme activity based on initial velocity within 10 min measured by monitoring the conversion of NAD(P)⁺ to NAD(P)H, detected by the absorbance at 340 nm. 100% corresponds to the activity indicated in the standard assay with UDP-glucose as a substrate and NAD⁺ as a cofactor. n.d., not detected.

Table 3. Kinetic parameters of GuUGDs.

Isoform	Kinetics for UDP-glucose			Kinetics for NAD ⁺		
	<i>K_m</i> (μM)	<i>k_{cat}</i> (s ⁻¹)	<i>k_{cat}</i> / <i>K_m</i> (s ⁻¹ μM ⁻¹)	<i>K_m</i> (μM)	<i>k_{cat}</i> (s ⁻¹)	<i>k_{cat}</i> / <i>K_m</i> (s ⁻¹ μM ⁻¹)
GuUGD1	261 ± 84	0.09 ± 0.01	0.0003	31 ± 5	0.10 ± 0.01	0.0031
GuUGD2	285 ± 54	0.89 ± 0.09	0.0031	153 ± 29	1.01 ± 0.12	0.0066
GuUGD3	570 ± 46	0.39 ± 0.01	0.0007	62 ± 7	0.40 ± 0.02	0.0064
GuUGD4	55 ± 5	0.25 ± 0.02	0.0045	122 ± 15	0.36 ± 0.01	0.0029

We calculated enzyme activity based on initial velocity within 5 min measured by monitoring the conversion of NAD⁺ to NADH, detected by the absorbance at 340 nm. Values represent the mean ± SE of three measurements.

Table 4. Inhibitory effects of UDP-xylose and UDP-glucuronic acid on GuUGD1–4.

Inhibitor	Relative enzyme activity of isoforms (%)			
	GuUGD1	GuUGD2	GuUGD3	GuUGD4
None	100	100	100	100
UDP-xylose (20 μM)	80	106	92	63
UDP-xylose (500 μM)	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	7
UDP-glucuronic acid (20 μM)	104	111	109	89
UDP-glucuronic acid (1250 μM)	95	103	109	34

Enzyme activity was calculated based on initial velocity within 10 min by monitoring the conversion of NAD⁺ to NADH, detected by the absorbance at 340 nm. All inhibitors were added just before the reaction was initiated. The concentration of the substrate UDP-glucose was twice the concentration of each *K_m* calculated in the kinetic analyses. 100% corresponds to the activity indicated in the standard assay performed without an inhibitor. n.d., not detected.

constant was detected in GuUGD2, with more than twice the k_{cat} of the other active GuUGD isoforms.

Fine tuning of UGD activity is mediated by feedback inhibition of the enzyme by UDP-xylose, a product obtained from UDP-glucuronic acid after decarboxylation by UDP-xylose synthase (Hinterberg et al. 2002; Neufeld and Hall 1965; Turner and Botha 2002). The enzyme activity of all GuUGD isoforms was strongly inhibited and could scarcely be detected in the presence of 500 μ M UDP-xylose (Table 4). The inhibitory effect differed at low UDP-xylose concentrations. GuUGD4 was the most sensitively inhibited by UDP-xylose at 20 μ M, while GuUGD3 was not inhibited. Unlike UDP-xylose, UDP-glucuronic acid showed very weak inhibitory effects, as seen in other plants (Davies and Dickinson 1972; Oka and Jigami 2006; Turner and Botha 2002). Only GuUGD4 was sensitive to UDP-glucuronic acid. GuUGD1, GuUGD2, and GuUGD3 were not inhibited, even by high concentrations of UDP-glucuronic acid.

Molecular modeling and structural analyses of UGDs

In general, UGD amino acid sequences share striking identity among plants, animals, and microorganisms: amino acid sequences of *A. thaliana* UGD1 have 85% identity to *G. max* UGD, 58% identity to human UGD, and 22% identity to *Streptococcus pyogenes* UGD. As shown in Table 1, the five GuUGD isoforms showed very high amino acid sequence identities (81–93%) to one another; however, GuUGD5 showed no enzyme activity in this study. To explore the key amino acid residues affecting the activity of GuUGDs, we performed amino acid sequence comparison of the GuUGD isoforms. The five GuUGDs, comprising 480–482 amino acids, contained the full-length functional catalytic motifs (NAD⁺ binding motif, UDP binding motif, and central motif) and several residues were found to be specific to GuUGD5 among the five GuUGDs (Figure 2A). Several residues have been proposed as important residues for catalysis (shown in asterisks); some residues were proposed based on bond distances in native and mutant *S. pyogenes* UGD (Campbell et al. 2000), and some residues were proposed based on residue occupancy within the binding site and comparative kinetic analyses of wild-type and mutated human UGD (Egger et al. 2011). Several residues in human UGD were also identified as key residues that have hydrophobic interactions, hydrogen bonds, salt bridges, and water bridges with UDP-glucose (shown in circles) and NAD⁺ (shown in squares) in SWISS-MODEL. Among the residues specific to GuUGD5, Lys257 replaced arginine, which positions a key residue with a hydrogen bond in human UGD, with UDP-glucose in SWISS-MODEL (red box, Figure 2A). To confirm that this residue is located

near UDP-glucose in the GuUGDs, we constructed homology-based structural models of the GuUGDs using human UGD (Beattie et al. 2018) as a template. The 3D structural models of GuUGD2 and GuUGD5, which displayed high sequence identity (59.4–61.5%) to human UGD (Supplementary Table S6), were predicted by SWISS-MODEL using human UGD as template. The 3D structural models of GuUGD1, GuUGD3, and GuUGD4 could not be predicted with this crystal structure in SWISS-MODEL and thus were predicted with the constructed 3D structure of GuUGD2 as a template. Superimposition analyses of the crystal structure of human UGD with the GuUGD models showed a highly conserved structure in all enzymes (Figure 2B). Compared to GuUGD1–4, there were fewer nitrogen atoms of Lys257 in GuUGD5 and they were farther from the oxygen atom of UDP-glucose, suggesting that this variation decreased the attraction between GuUGD5 and UDP-glucose and thus the affinity for UDP-glucose. Among the residues specific to GuUGD5, no other residue was located near the substrate and cofactor.

Expression patterns of GuUGD genes

The gene expression of each *GuUGD* isoform was compared based on FPKM values obtained in RNA-Seq analyses of *G. uralensis* plants (Ramilowski et al. 2013). As shown in Figure 3A, *GuUGD2* had the highest expression among all *GuUGD* genes in both roots and leaves. *GuUGD1* showed moderate expression, whereas *GuUGD3* and *GuUGD4* showed weaker expression. *GuUGD5* was expressed weakly in both roots and leaves.

UGD expression is upregulated in leaves of *Populus tomentosa* under various stressful conditions, including ABA treatment (Tian et al. 2014). In *G. uralensis*, the accumulation of glycyrrhizin was promoted by exogenous ABA (Qiao et al. 2017). To obtain additional insight into the biological roles of each active GuUGD isoform, we analyzed the gene expression responses to ABA treatment in tissue-cultured stolons. Only *GuUGD4* showed a strong expression response to ABA treatment (Figure 3B). *GuUGD1* and *GuUGD2* showed quite small responses to ABA treatment, while *GuUGD3* was barely affected (Figure 3B). *GuUGD5* did not differ significantly between ABA and mock treatments (data not shown).

Transcriptional response of GuUGD genes to MeJA compared to saponin biosynthetic genes

The biosynthesis of glycyrrhizin in the roots and hairy roots of *Glycyrrhiza* species is upregulated following the application of exogenous MeJA (Shabani et al. 2009; Wongwicha et al. 2011). Similarly, the biosynthesis of soyasaponin responds to MeJA treatment in cultured cells and tissue-cultured stolons (Hayashi et al. 2003; Tamura et al. 2018). To evaluate the possible involvement of each active GuUGD isoform in glycyrrhizin and

Figure 2. Amino acid sequences and predicted molecular structures of GuUGDs. (A) Alignment of the amino acid sequences deduced from cDNA of cloned *GuUGDs*. We made the alignment using BioEdit with ClustalW. The NAD⁺ binding motif, UDP binding motif, and central motif were annotated based on GenBank. Asterisks indicate key residues for catalysis proposed in previous studies (Campbell et al. 2000; Egger et al. 2011); circles and squares indicate amino acids shown as key residues interacting with UDP-glucose and NAD⁺, respectively, by SWISS-MODEL. Amino acid residues shown in yellow background were specific to GuUGD5. The amino acid residue framed in red was near UDP-glucose in the 3D protein models. (B) The 3D protein model of GuUGDs built with human UGD homo-hexamer structure as a template in SWISS-MODEL. Squares indicate the residue position that likely prevented UGD activity in GuUGD5; this position is indicated by a yellow circle in the enlarged panel (right). Atoms of oxygen, nitrogen, carbon, and phosphorous are indicated in red, blue, gray, and orange, respectively. Distances between substrates and the crystal structure of human UGD are displayed in the enlarged panel.

soyasaponin biosynthesis, we analyzed the effects of MeJA on the expression of *GuUGD1–4*, as well as on known saponin biosynthetic genes, in tissue-cultured

stolons of *G. uralensis*. *GuUGD1–4* expression was induced after MeJA treatment (Figure 4). The expression of *GuUGD* genes was strongly enhanced after 6 h

Figure 3. Expression patterns of *GuUGD* genes. (A) Tissue-specific expression of *GuUGDs*. Expression levels of *GuUGDs* are based on FPKM values obtained in RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) analyses of *G. uralensis* plants (Ramilowski et al. 2013). (B) Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analyses of *GuUGDs* in abscisic acid (ABA)-treated cultured stolons. Transcript levels in tissue-cultured stolons treated with ABA are indicated by filled bars; outlined bars indicate the mock treatment. Relative transcript levels 0h after treatment were set equal to 1. Error bars indicate the standard deviation (SD) of three biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences in the gene expression level between the ABA and mock treatments at each time point. Student's *t* test, *** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$.

(peak times 12 or 48h), with the greatest enhancement seen in *GuUGD4*. However, the upregulation of *GuUGD* gene expression was late compared to that of the glucuronosyltransferase genes *CSyGT* and *UGT73P12*. *CSyGT* and *UGT73P12* expression were already upregulated at 3h (peak time 6h). Soyasaponin biosynthetic genes (*bAS*, *CYP93E3*, *CYP72A566* and *CSyGT*) showed very similar expression responses to one another, with strong upregulation after 3h. By contrast, glycyrrhizin biosynthetic genes (*bAS*, *CYP88D6*, *CYP72A154*, *CSyGT*, and *UGT73P12*) showed different response times to MeJA treatment. Upregulation of *CYP88D6* expression immediately subsided at 6h, although the enhanced *bAS* gene expression continued to strengthen, and the enhancement of *CYP72A154* gene expression did not reach a peak by this time.

Discussion

We identified four active UGD isoforms (*GuUGD1*–*4*) in *G. uralensis*. Another isoform (*GuUGD5*) was also analyzed; however, it showed no enzyme activity for any substrate tested in this study. The affinity of *GuUGD1*–*4* for UDP-glucose and NAD^+ was profiled as K_m values of 55–570 μM and 31–153 μM , respectively, which is consistent with UGDs characterized in other plants: the affinity of recombinant UGDs has been reported as K_m values of 15–335 μM and 67–70 μM for UDP-glucose and NAD^+ , respectively, in soybean (Hinterberg et al. 2002), *A. thaliana* (Klinghammer and Tenhaken 2007; Oka and Jigami 2006), and *Eucalyptus grandis* (Labate et al. 2010), and the affinity of UGDs purified from plant tissues has been reported as K_m values of 19–950 μM and 72–400 μM for UDP-glucose and NAD^+ , respectively, in pea (Strominger and Mapson 1957), lily (Davies and Dickinson 1972), wheat (Stewart and Copeland 1998), canola (Stewart and Copeland 1998), soybean (Stewart and Copeland 1998), sugarcane (Turner and Botha 2002), and maize (Kärkönen et al. 2005). The calculated catalytic constants of *GuUGD1*–*4* were 0.1–1.0 s^{-1} , which is lower than those of other plants: catalytic activity of UGD has been reported as k_{cat} values of 1.17–2.52 s^{-1} in *A. thaliana* recombinant UGDs (Klinghammer and Tenhaken 2007) or V_{max} values of 2.17 and 68–172 $\mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$ in sugarcane UGD purified from plant tissues (Turner and Botha 2002) and *E. grandis* recombinant UGD (Labate et al. 2010; it can be calculated as 1.8–143 s^{-1} because UGDs were reported as ~50 kDa), respectively. *GuUGD2* had the highest catalytic constant (1.0 s^{-1}), similar to that of *AtUGDs* (1.17–2.52 s^{-1}), with 2- to 10-fold higher k_{cat} values than the other active *GuUGD* isoforms (Table 3). *GuUGD2* also had the highest gene expression level among the *GuUGDs* (Figure 3A), which suggests that *GuUGD2* is the major isoform contributing to the transition from UDP-glucose to UDP-glucuronic acid *in planta*.

GuUGD4 showed the highest affinity for UDP-glucose, which suggests that *GuUGD4* can produce UDP-glucuronic acid under various conditions, including UDP-glucose deficiency. Meanwhile, *GuUGD4* showed the highest sensitivity for feedback inhibition to 20 μM UDP-xylose and UDP-glucuronic acid, which suggests its inactivation under conditions in which abundant products are present. Due to the properties of *GuUGD4*, we would expect a minimum transition from UDP-glucose into UDP-glucuronic acid to be maintained by continuous catalysis by *GuUGD4* unless it is inhibited by accumulated products. The exact concentration of UDP-glucose in *G. uralensis* is unknown; however, the concentration of UDP-glucose can be estimated to range from 15 μM to 3.5 mM for the following reasons. UDP-glucose has been detected in amounts of 0.007–1.6 nmol mg^{-1} FW in several

Figure 4. MeJA-responsive expression of GuUGDs and saponin biosynthetic genes. Biosynthetic pathways of glycyrrhizin and soyasaponin were predicted in previous studies (Seki et al. 2008, 2011). Transcript levels were analyzed by qPCR. Transcript levels in the mock treatment tissue-cultured stolons are indicated by outlined bars; MeJA treatments are indicated by filled bars. Relative transcript levels 0 h after treatment were set equal to 1. Error bars indicate the SD of three technical replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences in gene expression level between the MeJA and mock treatments at each time point. Student's *t* test, ****p* < 0.001, ***p* < 0.01, **p* < 0.05. Part of this figure was reproduced and modified from *Plant and Cell Physiology* 59(4) with permission from Oxford University Press.

plants (Hayashi and Matsuda 1981; Macrae et al. 1992; Morrell and Rees 1986; Rees et al. 1984; Schlüpmann et al. 1994). In addition, the concentration and amount of UDP-glucose have been calculated as 3.5 mM and 1.6 nmol mg⁻¹, respectively, in pollen tubes of *Nicotiana glauca* (Schlüpmann et al. 1994). If we assume that both the amount and correspondence between the concentration and amount of UDP-glucose are almost the same in all cells, 0.007 nmol mg⁻¹ and 1.6 nmol mg⁻¹ of UDP-glucose can be calculated as 15 μM and 3.5 mM, respectively. Based on the concentration of UDP-glucose, the concentration

of UDP-xylose can be assumed as 0.3–70 μM, because the amount of UDP-xylose was calculated as approximately one fiftieth of the amount of UDP-glucose in soybean cells (Hayashi and Matsuda 1981). Based on these observations, GuUGD4 is possibly inhibited by UDP-xylose in cells, while other GuUGDs are likely inhibited weakly. The ranges of the assumed concentrations of UDP-glucose and UDP-xylose cover the kinetic parameters of GuUGDs; thus, it can be inferred that total UGD activity can be regulated by UDP-glucose and UDP-xylose in *planta* via differences in the concentration-dependent activity of each

Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree and alignment of amino acid sequences of GuUGDs and characterized plant UGDs. (A) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of UGD homologous proteins from various plants, rooted on *Homo sapiens* UGD as the outgroup. The scale measures evolutionary distance in substitutions per amino acid. Protein sequences were retrieved from GenBank by blastp search with the GuUGD sequences as queries. Black circles on the tree indicate previously characterized UGDs. Monocots are shown in green. Eudicots except for rosids are shown in blue. Rosids except for Fabaceae are shown in purple. Fabaceae are shown in red. Fabaceae UGD homologous proteins are separated into five clusters. (B) Alignment of amino acid sequences of GuUGDs and characterized plant UGDs. We made the alignment using BioEdit with ClustalW. The amino acid residue shown with the yellow background is specific to GuUGD5.

GuUGD isoform.

Aside from its enzymatic properties, the total UGD activity can also be regulated by the differential expression of *UGD* genes. Among the *GuUGDs*, *GuUGD4* was most strongly upregulated by ABA and MeJA, whereas the other *GuUGDs*, including the putative major contributing isoform *GuUGD2*, responded weakly to ABA or MeJA treatment compared to *GuUGD4*. This strong response to stress hormones suggests a large contribution by *GuUGD4* to the transition from UDP-glucose to UDP-glucuronic acid under these stressful conditions.

Plants often contain several UGD isoforms, probably generated via gene duplication. To reveal the molecular evolution of plant UGDs, we constructed a phylogenetic tree with various plant UGDs. As shown in Figure 5A, the phylogenetic tree contains three clades (gray backgrounds) composed of evenly assigned eudicot UGDs. No monocot UGDs belonged to these clades. This phylogenetic tree suggests that a gene duplication event occurred in the common ancestor of eudicots. In addition, sister clades of Fabales UGDs (clusters I & II and clusters III & IV) suggest an additional gene duplication in the common ancestor of Fabales.

Consistently, many legumes have five types of UGDs with conserved synteny (Supplementary Figure S3). Additionally, similar tissue-specific gene expression was observed for UGDs belonging to the same clusters (Figure 3A, Supplementary Figure S4). These observations suggest that characteristic biological functions are maintained within each cluster.

In the enzyme assays, GuUGD5 did not show UGD activity. Because of the low concentration and low purity of recombinant GuUGD5 due to difficulties expressing GuUGD5 in *E. coli* at levels as high as with GuUGD1–4 (Figure 1A), we used a large volume of protein solution to add the same amount of GuUGD5 as the other GuUGDs to the reaction mixture. Therefore, GuUGD5 might not have shown UGD activity because contaminating endogenous *E. coli* proteins prevented GuUGD5 activity. Other possible reasons are that GuUGD5 has no or very weak UGD activity lower than the detection limit. To obtain deeper insight into the biochemical properties of legume UGD cluster I–V, we analyzed MtUGDs. Four MtUGD sequences were found in a blastp search, and three of them were isolated (Supplementary Tables S5, S7). MtUGD1, MtUGD2, and MtUGD3 belong to clusters II, III, and V, respectively (Supplementary Figure S3). The MtUGDs were successfully expressed in *E. coli* and purified (Supplementary Figure S5A). In the enzyme assays, the highest peak intensity of UDP-glucuronic acid was seen with MtUGD2, which belongs to cluster III with GuUGD2 (Supplementary Figure S5B). On the other hand, the very small UDP-glucuronic acid peak detected in the MtUGD3 product suggests that UGDs belonging to cluster V have the weakest UGD activity in the five Fabales UGD clusters.

Among five GuUGDs, the amino acid substitution from Arg257 to Lys257, which likely decreases attraction to UDP-glucose, was found only in GuUGD5, while Arg257 was conserved in all characterized UGDs (Figure 5B). Interestingly, Lys257 was conserved in all cluster V UGDs (Supplementary Figure S6). Considered with the results of the enzyme assay, UGD activity might be weakened by the substitution from Arg257 to Lys257. Likewise, several amino acids were conserved in GuUGD and MtUGD belonging to the same cluster (Supplementary Figure S7). Cluster-specific biochemical properties are likely derived from these cluster-specific amino acids. To reveal the relation between biochemical properties and amino acid residues, further analysis is needed.

Soyasaponin biosynthetic genes, which are collectively regulated by the transcription factor GubHLH3 (Tamura et al. 2018), showed very similar expression patterns under MeJA treatment in tissue-cultured stolons. Expression of the *GuUGD1–4* genes was enhanced under MeJA treatment, although the enhancement of

GuUGD expression was delayed compared to that of soyasaponin and glycyrrhizin biosynthetic genes. These expression patterns seem to reflect the fact that *GuUGD* gene expression is regulated separately from that of triterpenoid saponin biosynthetic genes. We infer that MeJA-responsive expression of *GuUGDs* compensates for the decreased UDP-glucuronic acid pool due to consumption in saponin biosynthesis rather than prior or concomitant supply of UDP-glucuronic acid. Expression of a gene encoding a protein homologous to USP, which produces UDP-glucuronic acid from α -D-glucuronic acid 1-phosphate in the myoinositol pathway, was not upregulated ahead of the enhancement of glucuronosyltransferase gene expression (Supplementary Figure S8), which suggests that the alternative pathway was not used to supply UDP-glucuronic acid for saponin biosynthesis.

Overall, the results of this study suggest that no GuUGD isoforms exhibit functional differentiation that is specific to saponin biosynthesis. Genes in triterpene pathways are organized in operon-like clusters in some plant genomes to facilitate co-regulation of biosynthetic genes (Field and Osbourn 2008; Field et al. 2011; Kliebenstein and Osbourn 2012; Krokida et al. 2013; Nützmann and Osbourn 2014; Qi et al. 2004). However, no genes related to triterpenoid saponin biosynthesis were found around legume UGD genes (Supplementary Figure S3), which supports the idea that a UGD isoform specific to saponin biosynthesis did not form.

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to the Takeda Garden for Medicinal Plant Conservation, Kyoto, Japan (Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Ltd.) for providing *G. uralensis* 308-19 strain plants. We are also very grateful to Dr. Kojoma (Health Sciences University of Hokkaido) for providing tissue-cultured stolons of *G. uralensis* Hokkaido-iryodai strain. We thank Mr. Naoki Chiyo for technical advice and critical reading of the manuscript, and Ms. Keiko Fukamoto (Osaka University) for technical assistance.

References

- Amino S, Takeuchi Y, Komamine A (1985) Changes in enzyme activities involved in formation and interconversion of UDP-segars during the cell cycle in a synchronous culture of *Catharanthus roseus*. *Physiol Plant* 64: 111–117
- Augustin JM, Kuzina V, Andersen SB, Bak S (2011) Molecular activities, biosynthesis and evolution of triterpenoid saponins. *Phytochemistry* 72: 435–457
- Beattie NR, Pioso BJ, Sidlo AM, Keul ND, Wood ZA (2018) Hysteresis and allostery in human UDP-glucose dehydrogenase require a flexible protein core. *Biochemistry* 57: 6848–6859
- Benedito VA, Torres-Jerez I, Murray JD, Andrianakaja A, Allen S, Kakar K, Wandrey M, Verdier J, Zuber H, Ott T, et al. (2008) A gene expression atlas of the model legume *Medicago truncatula*. *Plant J* 55: 504–513
- Benkert P, Biasini M, Schwede T (2011) Toward the estimation

- of the absolute quality of individual protein structure models. *Bioinformatics* 27: 343–350
- Bertoni M, Kiefer F, Biasini M, Bordoli L, Schwede T (2017) Modeling protein quaternary structure of homo- and hetero-oligomers beyond binary interactions by homology. *Sci Rep* 7: 10480
- Bienert S, Waterhouse A, de Beer TAP, Tauriello G, Studer G, Bordoli L, Schwede T (2017) The SWISS-MODEL repository—new features and functionality. *Nucleic Acids Res* 45(D1): D313–D319
- Caffall KH, Mohnen D (2009) The structure, function, and biosynthesis of plant cell wall pectic polysaccharides. *Carbohydr Res* 344: 1879–1900
- Campbell RE, Mosimann SC, Rijn IVD, Tanner ME, Strynadka NCJ (2000) The first structure of UDP-glucose dehydrogenase reveals the catalytic residues necessary for the two-fold oxidation. *Biochemistry* 39: 7012–7023
- Chung SY, Seki H, Fujisawa Y, Shimoda Y, Hiraga S, Nomura Y, Saito K, Ishimoto M, Muranaka T (2020) A cellulose synthase-derived enzyme catalyses 3-O-glucuronosylation in saponin biosynthesis. *Nat Commun* 11: 5664
- Dalessandro G, Northcote DH (1977) Possible control sites of polysaccharide synthesis during cell growth and wall expansion of pea seedlings (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Planta* 134: 39–44
- Davies MD, Dickinson DB (1972) Properties of uridine diphosphoglucose dehydrogenase from pollen of *Lilium longiflorum*. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 152: 53–61
- Delmer DP, Amor Y (1995) Cellulose biosynthesis. *Plant Cell* 7: 987–1000
- Ebringerová A, Hromádková Z, Heinze T (2005) Hemicellulose. In: Heinze T (ed) *Polysaccharides I. Advances in Polymer Science*, vol 186. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 1–67
- Egger S, Chaikuad A, Kavanagh KL, Oppermann U, Nidetzky B (2011) Structure and mechanism of human UDP-glucose 6-dehydrogenase. *J Biol Chem* 286: 23877–23887
- Esaki S, Konishi F, Kamiya S (1978) Synthesis and taste of some glycosides of glycyrrhetic acid. *Agric Biol Chem* 42: 1599–1600
- Feingold DS (1982) Aldo (and keto) hexoses and uronic acids. In: Loewus FA, Tanner W (eds) *Plant Carbohydrates I. Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology (New Series)*, vol 13/A. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 3–76
- Field B, Fiston-Lavier A-S, Kemen A, Geisler K, Quesneville H, Osbourn AE (2011) Formation of plant metabolic gene clusters within dynamic chromosomal regions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108: 16116–16121
- Field B, Osbourn AE (2008) Metabolic diversification: Independent assembly of operon-like gene clusters in different plants. *Science* 320: 543–547
- Ge X, Penney LC, Rijn IVD, Tanner ME (2004) Active site residues and mechanism of UDP-glucose dehydrogenase. *Eur J Biochem* 271: 14–22
- Guex N, Peitsch MC, Schwede T (2009) Automated comparative protein structure modeling with SWISS-MODEL and Swiss-PdbViewer: A historical perspective. *Electrophoresis* 30(Suppl 1): S162–S173
- Hayashi H, Huang P, Inoue K (2003) Up-regulation of soyasaponin biosynthesis by methyl jasmonate in cultured cells of *Glycyrrhiza glabra*. *Plant Cell Physiol* 44: 404–411
- Hayashi H, Huang P, Kirakosyan A, Inoue K, Hiraoka N, Ikeshiro Y, Kushiro T, Shibuya M, Ebizuka Y (2001) Cloning and characterization of a cDNA encoding β -amyrin synthase involved in glycyrrhizin and soyasaponin biosyntheses in licorice. *Biol Pharm Bull* 24: 912–916
- Hayashi H, Sudo H (2009) Economic importance of licorice. *Plant Biotechnol* 26: 101–104
- Hayashi T, Matsuda K (1981) Sugar nucleotides from suspension-cultures soybean cells. *Agric Biol Chem* 45: 2907–2908
- He J, Benedito VA, Wang M, Murray JD, Zhao PX, Tang Y, Udvardi MK (2009) The *Medicago truncatula* gene expression atlas web server. *BMC Bioinformatics* 10: 441
- Hinterberg B, Klos C, Tenhaken R (2002) Recombinant UDP-glucose dehydrogenase from soybean. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 40: 1011–1017
- Jones DT, Taylor WR, Thornton JM (1992) The rapid generation of mutation data matrices from protein sequences. *Bioinformatics* 8: 275–282
- Jozwiak A, Sonawane PD, Panda S, Garagounis C, Papadopoulou KK, Abebie B, Massalha H, Almekias-Siegl E, Scherf T, Aharoni A (2020) Plant terpenoid metabolism co-opts a component of the cell wall biosynthesis machinery. *Nat Chem Biol* 16: 740–748
- Kanter U, Usadel B, Guerineau F, Li Y, Pauly M, Tenhaken R (2005) The inositol oxygenase gene family of *Arabidopsis* is involved in the biosynthesis of nucleotide sugar precursors for cell-wall matrix polysaccharides. *Planta* 221: 243–254
- Kärkönen A, Murigneux A, Martinant J-P, Pepey E, Tatout C, Dudley BJ, Fry SC (2005) UDP-glucose dehydrogenases of maize: A role in cell wall pentose biosynthesis. *Biochem J* 391: 409–415
- Kitagawa I (2002) Licorice root. A natural sweetener and an important ingredient in Chinese medicine. *Pure Appl Chem* 74: 1189–1198
- Kitagawa I, Hori K, Sakagami M, Hashiuchi F, Yoshikawa M, Ren J (1993) Saponin and sapogenol. Xlix. On the constituents of the roots of *Glycyrrhiza inflata* BATALLIN from Xinjiang, China. Characterization of two sweet oleanane-type triterpene oligoglycosides, apioglycyrrhizin and araboglycyrrhizin. *Chem Pharm Bull* 41: 1350–1357
- Kliebenstein DJ, Osbourn A (2012) Making new molecules—evolution of pathways for novel metabolites in plants. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 15: 415–423
- Klinghammer M, Tenhaken R (2007) Genome-wide analysis of the UDP-glucose dehydrogenase gene family in *Arabidopsis*, a key enzyme for matrix polysaccharides in cell walls. *J Exp Bot* 58: 3609–3621
- Kojoma M, Ohyama K, Seki H, Hiraoka Y, Asazu SN, Sawa S, Sekizaki H, Yoshida S, Muranaka T (2010) In vitro proliferation and triterpenoid characteristics of licorice (*Glycyrrhiza uralensis* Fischer, Leguminosae) stolons. *Plant Biotechnol* 27: 59–66
- Koressaar T, Remm M (2007) Enhancements and modifications of primer design program Primer3. *Bioinformatics* 23: 1289–1291
- Kotake T, Hojo S, Yamaguchi D, Aohara T, Konishi T, Tsumuraya Y (2007) Properties and physiological functions of UDP-sugar pyrophosphorylase in *Arabidopsis*. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 71: 761–771
- Krokida A, Delis C, Geisler K, Garagounis C, Tsikou D, Peña-Rodríguez LM, Katsarou D, Field B, Osbourn AE, Papadopoulou KK (2013) A metabolic gene cluster in *Lotus japonicus* discloses novel enzyme functions and products in triterpene biosynthesis. *New Phytol* 200: 675–690
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K (2018) Mega X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Mol Biol Evol* 35: 1547–1549
- Labate MTV, Bertolo ALF, do Nascimento DD, Gutmanis G, De Andrade A, Rodrigues MJC, Camargo ELO, Boaretto LF, Moon

- DH, Bragatto J, et al. (2010) Cloning and endogenous expression of a *Eucalyptus grandis* UDP-glucose dehydrogenase cDNA. *Genet Mol Biol* 33: 686–695
- Loewus FA, Kelly S, Neufeld EF (1962) Metabolism of myo-inositol in plants: Conversion to pectin, hemicellulose, D-xylose, and sugar acids. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 48: 421–425
- Macrae E, Quick WP, Benker C, Stitt M (1992) Carbohydrate metabolism during postharvest ripening in kiwifruit. *Planta* 188: 314–323
- Mochida K, Sakurai T, Seki H, Yoshida T, Takahagi K, Sawai S, Uchiyama H, Muranaka T, Saito K (2017) Draft genome assembly and annotation of *Glycyrrhiza uralensis*, a medicinal legume. *Plant J* 89: 181–194
- Mohnen D (2008) Pectin structure and biosynthesis. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 11: 266–277
- Morrell S, Rees T (1986) Sugar metabolism in developing tubers of *Solanum tuberosum*. *Phytochemistry* 25: 1579–1585
- Neufeld EF, Hall CW (1965) Inhibition of UDP-D-glucose dehydrogenase by UDP-D-xylose: A possible regulatory mechanism. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 19: 456–461
- Nomura Y, Seki H, Suzuki T, Ohyama K, Mizutani M, Kaku T, Tamura K, Ono E, Horikawa M, Sudo H, et al. (2019) Functional specialization of UDP-glycosyltransferase 73P12 in licorice to produce a sweet triterpenoid saponin, glycyrrhizin. *Plant J* 99: 1127–1143
- Nützmann H-W, Osbourn A (2014) Gene clustering in plant specialized metabolism. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 26: 91–99
- Oka T, Jigami Y (2006) Reconstruction of de novo pathway for synthesis of UDP-glucuronic acid and UDP-xylose from intrinsic UDP-glucose in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEBS J* 273: 2645–2657
- Qi X, Bakht S, Leggett M, Maxwell C, Melton R, Osbourn A (2004) A gene cluster for secondary metabolism in oat: Implications for the evolution of metabolic diversity in plants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101: 8233–8238
- Qiao J, Luo Z, Li Y, Ren G, Liu C, Ma X (2017) Effect of abscisic acid on accumulation of five active components in root of *Glycyrrhiza uralensis*. *Molecules* 22: 1–11
- Ramilowski JA, Sawai S, Seki H, Mochida K, Yoshida T, Sakurai T, Muranaka T, Saito K, Daub CO (2013) *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* transcriptome landscape and study of phytochemicals. *Plant Cell Physiol* 54: 697–710
- Reboul R, Geserick C, Pabst M, Frey B, Wittmann D, Lütz-Meindl U, Léonard R, Tenhaken R (2011) Down-regulation of UDP-glucuronic acid biosynthesis leads to swollen plant cell walls and severe developmental defects associated with changes in pectic polysaccharides. *J Biol Chem* 286: 39982–39992
- Rees TA, Leja M, Macdonald FD, Green JH (1984) Nucleotide sugars and starch synthesis in spadix of *Arum maculatum* and suspension cultures of *Glycine max*. *Phytochemistry* 23: 2463–2468
- Schlupmann H, Bacic A, Read SM (1994) Uridine diphosphate glucose metabolism and callose synthesis in cultured pollen tubes of *Nicotiana glauca* Link et Otto. *Plant Physiol* 105: 659–670
- Seifert GJ (2004) Nucleotide sugar interconversions and cell wall biosynthesis: How to bring the inside to the outside. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 7: 277–284
- Seitz B, Klos C, Wurm M, Tenhaken R (2000) Matrix polysaccharide precursors in *Arabidopsis* cell walls are synthesized by alternate pathways with organ-specific expression patterns. *Plant J* 21: 537–546
- Seki H, Ohyama K, Sawai S, Mizutani M, Ohnishi T, Sudo H, Akashi T, Aoki T, Saito K, Muranaka T (2008) Licorice β -amylin 11-oxidase, a cytochrome P450 with a key role in the biosynthesis of the triterpene sweetener glycyrrhizin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105: 14204–14209
- Seki H, Sawai S, Ohyama K, Mizutani M, Ohnishi T, Sudo H, Fukushima EO, Akashi T, Aoki T, Saito K, et al. (2011) Triterpene functional genomics in licorice for identification of CYP72A154 involved in the biosynthesis of glycyrrhizin. *Plant Cell* 23: 4112–4123
- Severin AJ, Woody JL, Bolon Y-T, Joseph B, Diers BW, Farmer AD, Muehlbauer GJ, Nelson RT, Grant D, Specht JE, et al. (2010) RNA-Seq atlas of *Glycine max*: A guide to the soybean transcriptome. *BMC Plant Biol* 10: 160
- Shabani L, Ehsanpour AA, Asghari G, Emami J (2009) Glycyrrhizin production by in vitro cultured *Glycyrrhiza glabra* elicited by methyl jasmonate and salicylic acid. *Russ J Plant Physiol* 56: 621–626
- Stewart DC, Copeland L (1998) Uridine 5'-diphosphate-glucose dehydrogenase from soybean nodules. *Plant Physiol* 116: 349–355
- Strominger JL, Mapson LW (1957) Uridine diphosphoglucose dehydrogenase of pea seedlings. *Biochem J* 66: 567–572
- Tamura K, Seki H, Suzuki H, Kojoma M, Saito K, Muranaka T (2017) CYP716A179 functions as a triterpene C-28 oxidase in tissue-cultured stolons of *Glycyrrhiza uralensis*. *Plant Cell Rep* 36: 437–445
- Tamura K, Yoshida K, Hiraoka Y, Sakaguchi D, Chikugo A, Mochida K, Kojoma M, Mitsuda N, Saito K, Muranaka T, et al. (2018) The basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor GubHLH3 positively regulates soyasaponin biosynthetic genes in *Glycyrrhiza uralensis*. *Plant Cell Physiol* 59: 783–796
- Thimmappa R, Geisler K, Louveau T, Maille PO, Osbourn A (2014) Triterpene biosynthesis in plants. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 65: 225–257
- Tian J, Du Q, Li B, Zhang D (2014) Single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the 5'UTR of *UDP-glucose dehydrogenase* (*PtUGDH*) associate with wood properties in *Populus tomentosa*. *Tree Genet Genomes* 10: 339–354
- Turner W, Botha FC (2002) Purification and kinetic properties of UDP-glucose dehydrogenase from sugarcane. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 407: 209–216
- Untergasser A, Cutcutache I, Koressaar T, Ye J, Faircloth BC, Remm M, Rozen SG (2012) Primer3: New capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40: e115
- Waterhouse A, Bertoni M, Bienert S, Studer G, Tauriello G, Gumienny R, Heer FT, de Beer TAP, Rempfer C, Bordoli L, et al. (2018) SWISS-MODEL: Homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 46(W1): W296–W303
- Wongwicha W, Tanaka H, Shoyama Y, Putalun W (2011) Methyl jasmonate elicitation enhances glycyrrhizin production in *Glycyrrhiza inflata* hairy roots cultures. *Z. Naturforsch* 66: 423–428