INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular diseases engender psychological symptoms, such as anxiety and depression. Patients with myocardial infarction are 3 times more likely than healthy individuals to suffer from depression. The prevalence of depression in patients with heart failure is 22%, and patients with congestive heart failure are 1.8 times more likely to die if they experience depression comorbidity. It has been reported that the prevalence of depression in Japanese adults is approximately 3%, indicating that the prevalence of depression in patients with heart failure is much higher than that in the general population. Furthermore, the incidence of depression reportedly increases as the severity of heart failure increases. The symptoms of depression greatly affect patients and worsen prognosis because of reduced quality of life (QOL), poor medication adherence, and reduced levels of physical activity. Drug therapy and psychotherapy form the basis of therapeutic strategies for the symptoms of depression. Thombs et al. reported that the symptoms of depression improve when drug therapy alone is used; however, this approach did not improve cardiac disease recurrence or...
Exercise therapy plays a central role in cardiac rehabilitation (CR) and is physically and psychologically effective; moreover, it is effective in secondary prevention and improving QOL. Additionally, exercise therapy has been proven effective in improving depression; consequently, it is necessary to evaluate the psychological factors of patients and to deliver psychological support when providing them with exercise therapy.

Since 2008, to maintain and improve the health of the elderly, the Japanese Association of Occupational Therapists (JAOT), under the auspices of the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare of Japan, developed a management tool that contributes to comprehensive community care and that is easily understandable by the general public. This tool is known as the management tool for daily life performance (MTDLP). It is a comprehensive management tool which facilitates the support of patient independence. Its effect has been verified in various clinical settings, including elderly day care services, institutionalized services, and medical care. Interventions using MTDLP have been shown to improve activities of daily living (ADL), the level of independence of activities parallel to daily living (APDL), performance of social roles, and health-related QOL. Although its effectiveness for most patients with cerebrovascular diseases and bone fractures has been verified, no randomized controlled trial concerning the effectiveness of interventions using MTDLP for patients with cardiovascular diseases has yet been performed.

Duruturk et al. reported that patients with cardiac diseases face difficulty with a wide range of life activities, such as walking, climbing stairs, bathing, dressing, and outings. Intervention using MTDLP was expected to improve items associated with ADL, APDL, and the performance of social roles when used for patients with cardiac diseases. The present study aimed to examine the effectiveness of interventions using MTDLP on patients with cardiovascular diseases.

### METHODS

#### Study Design

This study was a randomized controlled trial that compared the effects of intervention using MTDLP on one group that underwent CR only (CR group) and another group that underwent intervention using MTDLP in addition to CR (MTDLP group). The allocation of subjects to groups was done by a third party. Further, this study was a single-blind study, wherein the patients were unaware of the group to which they had been assigned.

#### Subjects

Patient characteristics such as sex; age; body mass index (BMI); primary disease; cardiac function [left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), the ratio of early mitral inflow velocity and mitral annular early diastolic velocity (E/e'), and the New York Heart Association (NYHA) classification of cardiac performance]; renal function (eGFR); and exercise tolerance functions [grip strength, knee extension strength, and the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test] were obtained from previous medical records.

#### Interventions

At the Nara Prefectural Seiwa Medical Center, outpatient CR lasts approximately 60 min per session. According to the treatment guidelines for circulatory diseases in Japan, after having their blood pressure and body weight measured, the patients perform exercises using an ergometer set at between 11 and 13 on the Borg scale, undergo resistance training using an exercise band (Can do AccuForce Corp.), and finally perform cool-down exercises. Both the CR and the MTDLP groups participated in outpatient CR at separately determined frequencies. The frequency was once a week for 150 days from the start of CR.

In the MTDLP group, an occupational therapist (OT) conducted an intervention using MTDLP in addition to normal CR. At the initial interview, lasting approximately 20–30 min, the OT used the MTDLP sheet and asked each patient about the life activities that they wanted to improve. Furthermore, the OT predicted the possibility of achievement and the level for the goal and set up a specific support plan. This allowed the OT to set goals that could reasonably be expected to lead to behavior modification. To avoid overloading, these goals were set in consideration of each patient’s cardiac function and Borg scale scores for exercise while undergoing CR. The goals and plans to achieve them were shared with the entire CR staff, and the interventions were performed by OT and CR staff. The interventions were conducted by three OTs with basic training in MTDLP provided by the JAOT. To eliminate differences in terms of OT intervention, the OT conducted intervention using MTDLP after discussion with the other OTs.

#### Outcome Measures

Four items that were included in the self-administered questionnaires were evaluated. The questionnaires were completed by patients in both groups before and after the
3-month intervention period. The self-rating depression scale (SDS) score, which assesses the level of depression, was used as the primary outcome measure. The total SDS score is the sum of each score of 20 items associated with the patient’s depressive mood. The score ranges from 20 to 80, and scores >40 reflect a depressive mental state. The score for each item is in the range 1–4. In the current study, the cutoff score was ≥48, and a score of ≥48 indicated depression. ADL was assessed using the Barthel index (BI). APDL was assessed using the Frenchay Activities Index (FAI), and life space was assessed using the Life-Space Assessment (LSA).

The BI, a scale measuring disability or dependence in ADL, was originally developed to measure ADL in stroke patients; it was subsequently extended to geriatric patients. The score ranges from 0 to 100. Although not all patients with low ADL are frail, a low BI score is generally associated with high levels of frailty. The BI is a 10-item scale that assesses a patient’s ability to feed, groom, bathe, use the toilet, dress, walk, transfer, and climb stairs, and also includes fecal incontinence and urinary incontinence. The FAI includes 15 items covering various applied activities in subjects’ daily and social lives, e.g., shopping, meal preparation, walking outdoors, and hobbies. The score ranges from 0 to 45. It scores each item from 0 to 3 based on the frequency of the activity over a 3- or 6-month period. The FAI assesses activity frequency and provides a clearer overview of subjects’ living situations. Furthermore, scoring is simple, and the reliability and validity of the FAI as an evaluation method have been confirmed in Japan. Recent studies using the LSA, as proposed by Baker et al., have reported activity levels among community-dwelling elderly individuals, and the scale’s reliability and validity have been verified. The LSA is a useful scale for quantitatively assessing the level, frequency, and range of activities of elderly individuals and their degree of dependence. The score ranges from 0 (mobility confined to one’s bedroom) to 120 (traveled out of town every day without assistance from another person or an assistive device).

Data Analysis

The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to assess the normality of data. Inter-group comparisons of BMI, LVEF, eGFR, grip strength, knee extension strength, and TUG test results were conducted using unpaired t-tests. Inter-group comparisons of age and the E/e’ ratio were conducted using the Mann–Whitney U-test, and inter-group sex-based comparisons and NYHA classification comparisons of cardiac performance were conducted using Fisher’s exact test. Inter-group comparisons of primary diseases were conducted using the chi-square test of independence.

The efficacy of intervention was assessed by comparing the SDS, BI, FAI, and LSA scores before and after intervention using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. We also calculated the effect size, which was denoted by Pearson’s correlation coefficient. The effect sizes were interpreted as small (r=0.10), medium (r=0.30), or large (r=0.50). In addition, we derived the main effect and interaction using two-way analysis of variance. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS ver. 25, and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical Considerations

Oral and written descriptions of the objective of the study were provided to the patients, and they provided written consent prior to beginning the study. Furthermore, they voluntarily participated and were free to withdraw from the study at any time. The study followed the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Nara Prefecture Seiwa Medical Center (no. 67) and the Department of Research, Institute of Rehabilitation Sciences, Osaka Prefecture University (#2016–215). This trial was registered with the University Hospital Medical Information Network Clinical Trial Registry (UMIN-CTR 000033516, Registered date: Jul 25, 2018, https://upload.umin.ac.jp/cgi-bin/ctr_e/ctr_view.cgi?recptno=R000038217).

Study Protocol

The questionnaire survey was conducted between August and September 2017. In total, 36 patients (25 men, 11 women; mean age, 75.9 ± 6.8 years) were selected from among 122 patients who underwent outpatient CR at the Nara Prefectural Seiwa Medical Center. Thirty six patients who scored at least 48 on the SDS were included. Eighteen patients each were allocated to the CR and MTDLP groups.

The intervention period was 3 months (November 2017–January 2018). Four patients in the CR group dropped out during the 3-month intervention period because of completion of their CR program or hospitalization that resulted from cardiac disease progression. Seven patients in the MTDLP group dropped out during the 3-month intervention period because of completion or discontinuation of their CR program or hospitalization resulting from cardiac disease progression or compression fracture. Consequently, 14 and
11 patients in the CR and MTDLP groups, respectively, were included for per protocol set analysis (Fig. 1). No changes in the daily life environment or other factors related to the analysis population were observed during the intervention period.

**Comparison of Patient Characteristics**

The basic characteristics of the patients in both groups used in our baseline analysis are presented in Table 1. No significant difference was observed for any item.

**Comparison of Outcome Measures Before and after Intervention**

Changes in pre- and post-intervention SDS scores are presented in Table 2. Pre- and post-intervention SDS scores revealed a significant improvement in both the CR group (P=0.007) and the MTDLP group (P=0.010). The effect size of the SDS scores was also large in both the CR group (r=0.72) and the MTDLP group (r=0.78). The FAI scores of patients in the MTDLP group showed a significant improvement (P=0.003). Furthermore, the effect sizes of the FAI (r=0.89) and LSA (r=0.52) scores in the MTDLP group were also large. Moreover, two-way analysis of variance indicated that the SDS scores of the CR and MTDLP groups had a significant main effect for the period (P=0.000). However, no significant differences were noted in any of the items related to the main effect of the group or related to their interaction.

**DISCUSSION**

**Effectiveness of Intervention Using MTDLP**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to have evaluated the effectiveness of intervention using MTDLP on patients with cardiovascular diseases. Comparing the CR and MTDLP groups, there were no significant differences in exercise tolerance functions or heart disease severity. The subjects of this study were in a depressed state, but their heart function was stable and they were able to live independently at home. Comparisons of parameter values before and after intervention in the CR and MTDLP groups indicated that CR improved depression and that intervention using MTDLP along with CR improved not only depression but also APDL.

Bita et al.\(^{27}\) reported that the FAI average was 22.05 ± 8.33 in an FAI-based survey of 66 people over 65 years of age in a Canadian-living Chinese community. In addition, Soham et al.\(^{28}\) reported that the LSA average was 41.7 ± 20.9 in a survey using LSA for elderly people over 75 years of age in Mexico. Other investigations\(^{25,26}\) have also reported that the
average LSA was between 53.3 and 64.1. In this study, the FAI averages of the CR and MTDLP groups were 17.4 ± 9.0 and 15.8 ± 8.4, respectively. Furthermore, the LSA averages of the CR and MTDLP groups were 79.5 ± 26.5 and 73.9 ± 18.2, respectively. That is, the subjects of this study were ADL self-sustaining and had a wide life space, but they were in a depressed state and had declining APDL.

In the current study, during the MTDLP interviews, the OTs asked each subject about the life activities they wanted to improve. This allowed the OTs to set goals for each individual according to their life activities, such as walking for leisure and using public transportation instead of specialist taxis. Because the subjects of this study were ADL self-sustaining, their goals were related to APDL. Therefore, it is

### Table 1. Basic characteristics of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CR group (n=14)</th>
<th>MTDLP group (n=11)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex: M/F</td>
<td>9/5</td>
<td>7/4</td>
<td>0.648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>75.6±4.1</td>
<td>73.2±9.3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.1±4.8</td>
<td>23.7±3.7</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina pectoris</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic heart failure</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After open surgery</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>66.3±6.3</td>
<td>56.0±15.9</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/e’</td>
<td>12.4±2.5</td>
<td>15.7±11.1</td>
<td>0.853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA classification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m²)</td>
<td>52.6±12.8</td>
<td>48.9±10.9</td>
<td>0.474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise tolerance functions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grip strength (kg)</td>
<td>24.8±9.9</td>
<td>26.5±9.0</td>
<td>0.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee extension strength (kg)</td>
<td>25.8±11.7</td>
<td>27.8±10.7</td>
<td>0.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUG (s)</td>
<td>7.5±2.0</td>
<td>7.7±3.0</td>
<td>0.849</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean±SD or n or as otherwise indicated.

### Table 2. Outcome measures before and after intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CR group (n=14)</th>
<th>MTDLP group (n=11)</th>
<th>Two-way ANOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-intervention</td>
<td>Post-intervention</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>52.9±3.9</td>
<td>48.9±6.6</td>
<td>0.007**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>98.9±2.9</td>
<td>99.3±2.7</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAI</td>
<td>17.4±9.0</td>
<td>19.2±9.8</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSA</td>
<td>79.5±26.5</td>
<td>82.1±23.2</td>
<td>0.937</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean±SD or as otherwise indicated.

ANOVA, analysis of variance.

*P<0.05 **P<0.01.
believed that their FAI improved significantly. The JAOT indicates that MTDLP is useful at any stage in each field.29 Although the results of our study differ in terms of disease, they are consistent with the results of a study conducted by the JAOT that investigated the efficacy of MTDLP.12 This JAOT study reported an improvement in FAI items associated with housework, going outdoors, and leisure time as a result of intervention using MTDLP for patients receiving assistance with housework. The intervention using MTDLP in outpatient CR suggested that it is possible to tailor the approach method to individuals, and it is a means of effective intervention for psychological aspects such as depression.

Furthermore, interventions using MTDLP are recognized as improving physical and social activities. Inomata et al.30 reported that interventions using MTDLP with 21 elderly people at a geriatric health services facility helped 15 of them to return to their homes and improved their ADL. In addition, Namasu31 reported that intervention using MTDLP for patients with chronic heart failure restored physical and social activities and allowed them to continue horticultural work like before. Because our results also suggested that intervention using MTDLP in outpatient CR improves not only depression but also the level of physical and social activity, including APDL, we expect that the involvement of OTs in CR will contribute to improvements in the prognoses of patients with cardiovascular diseases.

Limitations

Our subjects were patients undergoing outpatient CR; however, the analytical sample size was smaller than the original subject population. In the present study, intervention using MTDLP was determined by single-blind sampling; however, because of the unique characteristics of the intervention using MTDLP in this study, it was difficult to implement double-blind sampling. Furthermore, because no interaction was observed in this study, it was considered that comparisons based on parameter values before and after intervention were acceptable. Although the average FAI score in men is known to be lower than that in women, we did not discuss the improvement in FAI items based on gender differences.

CONCLUSIONS

This study examined the effectiveness of intervention using MTDLP for patients with cardiovascular diseases. Our results indicated that CR improves depression and that additional intervention using MTDLP improves not only depression but also APDL. Intervention using MTDLP in CR was found to be effective for psychological aspects, such as depression. Studies involving a larger sample size are warranted in the future.
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