A STUDY ON THE APPLICATION OF QUICK-LIME CONSOLIDATED BRIQUETTE PILES IN LOOSE SANDY SOILS

TAKAO ITOⁱ⁾, AKIE ASADAⁱⁱ⁾, and TATSUO KONNOⁱⁱⁱ⁾

ABSTRACT

During earthquakes, saturated loose sandy soils often liquefy, causing serious damage to buildings and underground structures. Various construction methods have been employed to stabilize these soils against liquefaction, the most popular being those which increase their density. Vibration and impact methods are commonly employed, but these are often a problem in urban areas. We studied the usage of a composite soil improvement material called Quick-Lime Consolidated Briquette. This material is placed to form cylinders, resulting in static consolidation of the surrounding soil due to absorption of soil water and consequent swelling. In our study, static consolidation increased density, and the swollen material became aggregate-like soon after placement, thus quickly stabilizing the surrounding soil. This report examines the preventive effect of quick-lime consolidated briquette piles against liquefaction as well as a method of determining optimum pile diameter and pitch.

Key words: compaction, earthquake, liquefaction, sandy soil, soft ground, soil stabilization (IGC: K5/K6/D9)

INTRODUCTION

In addition to subsurface compaction, several internal compaction techniques are conventionally used to stabilize deep layers of sandy soil. Of these techniques, vibro-compaction and sand compaction, which utilize vibration and impact to reduce the void ratio of natural sandy soils and improve their relative density, are widely employed. However, this vibration and impact may disturb the liv-

ing environment in urban areas.

Quick-lime Consolidated Briquette¹⁾ (abbreviated QCB) is a composite soil stabilizer which reduces the water content of sandy ground by absorbing soil water, and causes the soil to swell and harden immediately after absorption. Soil voids are also reduced by the swelling pressure (the static consolidation effect) and the underground soil becomes a swollen aggregate-like material of relatively high density. Therefore, QCB is expected to in-

ⁱ⁾ Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Tohoku Institute of Technology, 35-1, Kasumi-cho Yagiyama Aoba-ku Sendai, Miyagi Prefecture.

ⁱⁱ⁾ Professor, ditto.

ⁱⁱⁱ⁾ Assistant, ditto.

Manuscript was received for review on September 19, 1990.

Written discussions on this paper should be submitted before January 1, 1993, to the Japanese Society of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Sugayama Bldg. 4 F, Kanda Awaji-cho 2-23, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101, Japan. Upon request the closing date may be extended one month.

crease the stability of sandy ground and thus prevent liquefaction. The effect of QCB is similar to that of vibro-compaction and sand compaction.

The bearing capacity and settlement of sandy soil are closely related to its relative density. However, it is difficult to carry out a field study in which actual soil is tested because of problems involved with sampling and testing. Therefore, a standard penetration test which yields the N -value (*i.e.* the number of times a hammer hits the ground) and the relative density of the *in-situ* soil is usually employed to calculate the soil settlement and bearing capacity. The bearing capacity of sandy soil is generally obtained by introducing into a given formula the bearing capacity factor obtained after the internal friction angle of sand is calculated, using the N -value or relative density. The bearing capacity based on a maximum settlement of 2.5 cm is also calculated from the N -value. The bearing capacity can also be inferred from the formula for compressed volume, which incorporates the N -value, or by correlation between the elastic coefficient E_s ($=1/m_v$, m_v : coefficient of volume compressibility) and the N -value obtained in the test.

To improve the bearing capacity and the settlement characteristics of sand, it is necessary to determine the required increase in relative density or N -value, *i.e.* the increased density or the decreased void ratio caused by compaction. Thus it is important to study the relationship between the N -value and the void ratio. The relationship between the density of sand and its internal friction angle represents the relation between the initial relative density in a direct shear test or a triaxial compression test and the internal friction angle obtained from the shear resistance. However, in design, it is more effective to utilize the $N \sim e$ relation in which the N -value and the void ratio (e) respectively represent the internal friction angle and the relative density (D_r). If the $N \sim e$ relation is sufficiently accurate, it is utilized as a design parameter in improving loose sandy soil.

Since internal compaction cannot be monitored underground, information ob-

tained in parallel tests is utilized to control the compaction. This procedure is very important in practical basic engineering. The improvement work should be determined based on the results of soil sample tests and previous soundings, and for this reason the accuracy of the soundings is important. Where the soil structure is complicated, it is essential that valid information be obtained which can be utilized in design to maximize the improvement and to achieve the appropriate treatment.

Based on the increase in N -values observed in indoor and *in-situ* tests, we propose a method in which the diameter and pile pitch of QCB piles are determined to maximize the accuracy of the improvement design, taking into account the sand grain size and the influence of the upper soil pressure. The results of tests performed to evaluate this method are described hereafter.

RELATION BETWEEN OVERBURDEN PRESSURE AND THE $N \sim e$ RELATION

The relative density has been inferred from the N -value in a standard penetration test by Terzaghi and Peck.²⁾ The N -value is considered to be constant even if the grain size, grain size distribution, or overburden pressure varies. In recent studies by Gibbs and Holtz,³⁾ some practical methods of inferring relative density have also been proposed. According to these methods, the N -value is significantly affected by the effective overburden pressure (P), one of the influential factors along with the relative density of the sand. One example is indicated in Fig. 1(A). The relationship between the N -value and the relative density proposed by Terzaghi and Peck is taken to be a case in which $P \approx 14 \text{ tf/m}^2$. Even if the degree of compaction is constant, the N -value increases corresponding to the increase in overburden pressure or the depth from the surface. Therefore, the degree of compaction determined from the N -value should be corrected by using the $N \sim P \sim D_r$ relation. Before the relationship between the N -value and the void ratio of the sand is obtained, the grain size and U_c should be obtained from the above method

Fig. 1(A). $N \sim P \sim D_r$ Relation Fig. 1(B). $D_r \sim e$ Relation

for inferring $e_{\max}$ and $e_{\min}$. The $e \sim D_r$ relation can then be obtained from the equation $e = e_{\max} - D_r(e_{\max} - e_{\min})$ (Fig. 1(B)). These two relations, i.e. the $N \sim P \sim D_r$ and the $e \sim D_r$ relations, are utilized to relate the N -value to e . At present, this seems to be the best way to obtain valid information for improvement design.

In general, the relation between the N -value and the void ratio of sandy soils varies with the grain size distribution and the effective overburden pressure. However, it is generally represented by the $N \sim e$ curve shown in Fig. 2. As the N -value increases, the void ratio decreases. Based on the known $N \sim e$ relation of the soil, the void ratio of untreated soil (e_0) is reduced to the required void ratio (e_1) in order to increase N_0 (the N -value for untreated soil) to N_1 (the required N -value). N_1 and e_1

Fig. 2. $N \sim e$ Curve

are sometimes determined in terms of static bearing capacity. However, in most cases, they are determined in terms of dynamic bearing capacity, i.e. to prevent liquefaction.

Based on the relation between D_r and the internal friction angle (ϕ), the $N \sim e$ curve shown in Fig. 2 was obtained by substituting N and e for ϕ and D_r . In design, as shown in Fig. 1(B), $e_{\max}$ and $e_{\min}$ are inferred by using D_{60} and U_c , which are obtained by grain size analysis. The $e \sim D_r$ relation is then obtained from these inferred values. The relation between D_r and the N -value obtained by a standard penetration test under confinement by overburden pressure is also utilized.

Consequently, the bearing capacity and settlement of untreated soil should be examined by utilizing the N -value of untreated soil. If soil improvement is necessary, the N -value of the improved soil (N_1) has to be calculated. The relative density of treated soil (D_r) and e_1 can be obtained from Figs. 1(A) and 1(B) after N_1 has been determined.

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE DECREASE IN VOID RATIO, PILE DIAMETER, AND PILE PITCH

The types of placements of QCB piles are shown in Fig. 3.

Regular square placement:

$$V_0 = X^2 = V_s(1 + e_0) \quad (1)$$

Volume after improvement:

$$V_1 = V_s(1 + e_1) = V_0 - Q_v \quad (2)$$

From Eqs. (1) and (2),

$$\frac{V_1}{V_0} = \frac{(1 + e_1)}{(1 + e_0)} \quad (3)$$

$$\therefore Q_v = \frac{e_0 - e_1}{1 + e_0} \times X^2 = \frac{\Delta e}{1 + e_0} \times X^2 \quad (4)$$

Each letter represents an element as follows:

A : area stabilized by a pile (m^2)

e_0 : void ratio before treatment

e_1 : void ratio after treatment

Q : the volume of a QCB pile (m^3)

Q_v : the volume of a swollen QCB pile (m^3)

V_0 : the volume of sandy soil (m^3)

V_s : the volume of sand particles in soil (m^3)

X : pile pitch (m)

The swelling rate of QCB (FQ) is given by

$$FQ = \frac{Q_v}{A + 1} = \frac{Q_v}{X^2 \times 1} = \frac{\Delta e}{1 + e_0} \quad (5)$$

FQ : the swelling rate of QCB in unit soil

The relation between A at unit depth, X , and Q_v is obtained from Eq. (5) as follows:

Fig. 3. Influence chart of a QCB pile

For regular square placement:

$$A = X^2 = Q_v / FQ$$

For equilateral triangular placement:

$$A = \sqrt{3} \cdot X^2 / 2 = Q_v / FQ$$

The relation between FQ and X is represented by the following equations:

For regular square placement:

$$FQ = Q_v / X^2$$

For equilateral triangular placement:

$$FQ = Q_v / (0.866 \cdot X^2)$$

<Information>

Initial diameter of a QCB pile: d_0

Diameter of a swollen QCB pile:

$$d_n \doteq (1.3 \sim 1.5) d_0$$

$$\therefore d_n \doteq 1.3 \cdot d_0$$

(A safety factor of 1.3 is used here.)

Initial volume of a QCB pile:

$$Q = \pi \cdot d_0^2 / 4$$

Volume of a swollen QCB pile:

$$Q_v = \pi \cdot (d_n)^2 / 4$$

$$\therefore d_n = \sqrt{4 \cdot Q_v / \pi} = 1.13 \sqrt{Q_v}$$

and

$$d_0 = 0.869 \sqrt{Q_v}$$

The relationship between Q and Q_v :

$$Q_v \doteq 1.7 \cdot Q$$

The relations between the decrease in void ratio, the diameter of a QCB pile, and pile

Fig. 4. Placement of QCB piles

pitch in regular square placement and equilateral triangular placement are indicated in Table 1, Fig. 5(A), and Fig. 5(B).

In design and construction, based on Figs. 1(A) and 1(B), the relation between N_0 , overburden pressure (P), and the relative density of untreated soil (D_{r0}) may be obtained from N_0 , the grain size analysis of sand before treatment (D_{60} , U_c), and the specific gravity test on

soil particles. When the relationship between D_{r1} and e_1 has been obtained, the decrease in void ratio (Δe) is obtained from Fig. 1(B). Furthermore, the relationship between the initial diameter of a QCB pile (d_0) and pile pitch (X) is obtained from Figs. 5(A) and 5(B). All these factors are necessary for design and improvement work in which QCB piles are used to counter liquefaction.

Table 1. Swelling ratio of QCB pile in unit soil

Initial diameter of Pile d_0 (m)	Regular Square Placement										
	Pile Pitch X (m)										
	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.8	3.0
0.2	0.053	0.037	0.027	0.021	0.016	0.013	0.011	0.009	0.008	0.007	0.006
0.3	0.120	0.083	0.061	0.047	0.037	0.030	0.025	0.021	0.018	0.015	0.013
0.4	0.213	0.148	0.109	0.083	0.066	0.053	0.044	0.037	0.032	0.027	0.024
0.5	0.333	0.231	0.170	0.130	0.103	0.083	0.069	0.058	0.049	0.042	0.037
0.6	0.479	0.333	0.244	0.187	0.148	0.120	0.099	0.083	0.071	0.061	0.053
0.7	0.652	0.453	0.333	0.255	0.201	0.163	0.135	0.113	0.096	0.083	0.072

Initial diameter of Pile d_0 (m)	Equilateral Triangular Placement										
	Pile Pitch X (m)										
	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.8	3.0
0.2	0.061	0.043	0.031	0.024	0.018	0.015	0.013	0.010	0.009	0.008	0.007
0.3	0.139	0.096	0.070	0.054	0.043	0.035	0.029	0.024	0.021	0.017	0.015
0.4	0.246	0.171	0.126	0.096	0.076	0.061	0.051	0.043	0.037	0.031	0.028
0.5	0.385	0.267	0.196	0.150	0.119	0.096	0.080	0.067	0.057	0.048	0.043
0.6	0.553	0.385	0.282	0.216	0.171	0.139	0.114	0.096	0.082	0.070	0.061
0.7	0.753	0.523	0.385	0.294	0.232	0.188	0.156	0.130	0.111	0.096	0.082

Fig. 5(A). Relationship between the decrease in void ratio, the diameter of QCB-piles, and the pile pitch

Fig. 5(B). Relationship between the decrease in void ratio, the diameter of QCB piles, and the pile pitch

EXPERIMENTAL INSPECTIONS

Indoor and in-situ tests were carried out to verify the relations described in the previous section. In this section, the validity of information obtained from these relations is examined.

Indoor Tests⁴⁾

Cubic test containers $50 \times 50 \times 50 \text{ cm}$ were filled with water to one-third of their capacity, and dried sea sand was then added. The supernatant water was absorbed to provide saturated soil. QCB piles (vinyl containers filled with QCB, $d_0 = 4 \text{ cm}$, $L = 40 \text{ cm}$) were driven into the sand in the regular square placement pattern at pile pitches of $4 \cdot d_0$, $5 \cdot d_0$, and $6 \cdot d_0$. The swelling pressure of the ground, the pore water pressure, and the densities were measured before and after swelling (Fig. 6). The physical properties of the sea sand are indicated in Fig. 7. The maximum and minimum void ratios of the sea sand were measured by the measuring cylinder method. The swelling pressure of the ground and the pore water

Fig. 6. Test container

pressure are shown in Table 2, and the other results are shown in Table 3. The relation between the void ratio and the relative density is indicated in Table 4. The relative density and the decrease in void ratio were found to be satisfactory, as indicated in Table 4, Fig. 1(B), and Fig. 5(A) based on results using a 1/10 scale model.

In-situ Tests⁵⁾

Outline of test performance

An in-situ test was carried out at the Fukumuro-Aza-Takasago area, an area of very soft soil in Sendai. The underground water level is high (0.5 m), and liquefaction during earthquakes is considered to be inevitable.

Fig. 7. Grain size distribution and soil properties

As shown in Fig. 8, the grain size distribution of the soil was classified into a range in which liquefaction may occur, and the possibility of liquefaction was confirmed.

Nine QCB piles 0.45 m in diameter and 2.5 m long were placed at a pitch of 1.6 m in the

Table 2. Swelling ground pressure and pore water pressure

Time	Earth Pressure (kgf/cm ²)			Pore Water Pressure (kgf/cm ²)		
	4 · d ₀	5 · d ₀	6 · d ₀	4 · d ₀	5 · d ₀	6 · d ₀
0 min	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000
5	0.10	0.04	0.02	0.015	0.010	0.008
10	0.34	0.13	0.04	0.025	0.021	0.016
20	0.49	0.15	0.09	0.020	0.025	0.022
30	0.35	0.16	0.11	0.013	0.019	0.026
40	0.30	0.18	0.13	0.010	0.014	0.024
50	0.26	0.21	0.15	0.008	0.012	0.020
1:00 hr	0.24	0.21	0.16	0.005	0.010	0.017
1:30	0.20	0.23	0.16	0.003	0.008	0.012
2:00	0.16	0.19	0.14	0.002	0.006	0.010
2:30	0.13	0.16	0.12	0.002	0.003	0.008
3:00	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.002	0.003	0.007
3:30	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.002	0.003	0.007
4:00	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.002	0.003	0.006
4:30	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.002	0.003	0.006
5:00	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.002	0.002	0.006
5:30	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.002	0.002	0.005
6:00	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.002	0.002	0.005
6:30	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.001	0.002	0.004
20:00	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.001	0.002	0.004
22:00	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.001	0.001	0.003
24:00	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.001	0.001	0.002

1 kgf/cm² = 98.1 kN/m²

Table 3. Influence due to the change of the diameter of pile and pile pitch

Pile pitch	X (cm)	4 × d ₀ = 16 cm		5 × d ₀ = 20 cm		6 × d ₀ = 24 cm	
Diameter of pile	d ₀ (cm)	4 cm		4 cm		4 cm	
Wet density	ρ _w (gf/cm ³)	1.935		1.926		1.931	
Void ratio	e ₀	0.752		0.755		0.753	
Water content	W ₀ (%)	26.4		26.0		26.2	
Saturation ratio	S _r (%)	94.2		92.4		93.3	
Dry density	ρ _d (gf/cm ³)	1.531		1.529		1.530	
Relative density	Dr ₀ (%)	60.8		60.4		60.7	
Average diameter of swollen pile	(cm)	4.9		5.0		5.1	
Test Container		Inside	Outside	Inside	Outside	Inside	Outside
Wet density	ρ _{in} (gf/cm ³)	2.085	2.006	2.068	1.996	2.046	1.987
Void ratio	e _n	0.574	0.655	0.599	0.669	0.629	0.687
Water content	W _n (%)	22.4	23.8	23.3	24.2	24.3	25.0
Saturation ratio	S _r (%)	104.6	97.4	104.3	97.0	103.5	97.6
Dry density	ρ _{dn} (gf/cm ³)	1.703	1.620	1.677	1.607	1.646	1.590
Relative density	Dr _n (%)	83.8	73.4	80.6	71.5	76.7	69.3
Earth pressure	P _n (kgf/cm ²)	0.490	—	0.230	—	0.160	—
Pore water pressure	U _n (kgf/cm ²)	0.025	—	0.025	—	0.026	—

1 gf/cm³ = 9.81 kN/m³

1 kgf/cm² = 98.1 kN/m²

Table 4. Void ratio and relative density

Pile Pitch	Before Treatment		After Treatment	
	Void Ratio e_0	Relative Density D_{r0}	Void Ratio e_n	Relative Density D_{rn}
$4 \times d_0$	0.752	60.8%	0.574	83.8%
$5 \times d_0$	0.755	60.4%	0.599	80.6%
$6 \times d_0$	0.753	60.7%	0.629	74.7%

Fig. 8. Grain size distribution corresponding to depth

- QCB Pile
 ● Pile Core Drilling
 • Swedish Penetration Test
 ○ Simplified Penetration Test

Fig. 9. Placement of piles and test locations

equilateral triangular placement pattern (Fig. 9). Several tests were carried out before the piles were placed and at 2-week and 4-week intervals following QCB treatment.

〈Soil tests〉

- Physical test of soil
- Swedish penetration test
- Simplified penetration test

〈Tests on QCB piles〉

- Box shear test
- Unconfined compressive strength test

Note-1) A simplified penetration test was employed as a sample of the standard penetration test method, but the hammer weight and the drop height were changed. The energy used in each case was obtained from

$$N_s \doteq 5 \times N$$

Therefore,

$$N\text{-value} \doteq N_s / 5$$

In these equations, N_s represents the number of times the hammer hit the ground in the simplified penetration test.

Note-2) The internal friction angle (ϕ) of the sandy soil was obtained from Dunham's equation⁶⁾ ($\phi = \sqrt{12 \cdot N} + 20$).

The results of these tests indicate that the QCB piles as placed absorbed soil water, swelled, reduced soil voids, and increased soil density (Fig. 10). The N -value also increased in the penetration test.

The N -value before QCB treatment and that 2 weeks after QCB treatment are shown in Fig. 11. The N -value was improved to a range secure against liquefaction after QCB treatment. Thus, such piles seem to be a promising way of countering liquefaction. Furthermore, as shown from the cores of hardened piles 2 and 4 weeks after they were driven, the shear properties (Fig. 12) and compressive proper-

Fig. 10. Effect of soil stabilization

Fig. 11. Liquefaction risks and N -value

Fig. 13. Compressive strength of hardened QCB piles

Fig. 12. Shear properties of hardened QCB piles

ties (Fig. 13) of QCB piles were found to be superior to those of quick-lime piles, which are conventionally employed for soil improvement. These results also indicate that QCB piles are effective as a soil improvement measure.

In the in-situ test in which 0.45-m-diameter QCB piles were placed at a pitch of 1.6 m, the results were as follows: e_0 was in the range of 1.2~0.8, and e_1 was in the range of 0.9~0.4. Therefore, the decrease in the void ratio (Δe) was in the range of 0.3~0.4. This was almost identical to the results indicated in Fig. 5(B).

CONCLUSIONS

The problem of foundation failure due to liquefaction is a relatively recent phenomenon in Japan. Thus, few construction methods have been developed to effectively prevent its occurrence. Although studies have been executed on the mechanism of liquefaction, countermeasures remain relatively underdeveloped.

This report examined the decrease in void ratio and the increase in N -value and the resulting increase in relative density after QCB piles were placed into saturated sandy soil which had a high risk of liquefaction. It also examined information for improving design and construction work.

Soil surrounding QCB piles was found to consolidate in a short time, and the increment in density due to the moisture absorption and swelling effect of QCB was observed (static consolidation). The soil pore water pressure showed a slight increase because the moisture absorption and swelling of QCB were equilibrated. The QCB piles formed an aggregate-like material in the soil in a short time, thus enhancing the stability of the composite soil. QCB was shown to increase soil strength and to prevent liquefaction.

We examined the application of the QCB pile method in which internal soil compaction of saturated loose sandy soil can be achieved

with vibration- and noise-free work. Progressive studies will be continued to establish the QCB method as a standard procedure and to apply it to other types of soils with composite structure.

REFERENCES

- 1) Ito, T., Asada, A. and Konno, T (1990): "The characteristics of quick lime consolidated briquette as a soil stabilizer," *Soils and Foundations*, JSSMFE, Vol. 30, No. 3, pp. 89-95.
- 2) Terzaghi and Peck (1948): "Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice," John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 541p.
- 3) Gibbs, H. J. and Holtz, W. G. (1957): "Research on determining density of sands by spoon penetration test," *Proc. 4th Int. Conf. S.M.F.E.*
- 4) Ito, T., Asada, A., Konno, T. and Saeki, Y. (1989): "The application of quick-lime consolidated briquette piles for loose sandy ground," *Proc., 24th Annual Meeting, JSSMFE*, pp. 1815-1818 (in Japanese).
- 5) Ito, T. and Konno, T (1983): "A countermeasure system for sand liquefaction using quick-lime consolidated briquette piles," *Proc., Tohoku-shibu Annual Meeting, JSSMFE*, pp. 41-46 (in Japanese).
- 6) Meyerhof (1956): "Penetration test and bearing capacity of cohesionless soils," *Proc, ASCE*, Vol. 82, No. SM1, Paper 866, 19p.