Biomechanics of the Lumbar Facet Joint
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Abstract:
Zygapophyseal, or facet, joints are complicated biomechanical structures in the spine, with a complex three-dimensional (3D) anatomy, variable mechanical functions in different spinal movements, and effects on the overall spine mechanical behavior. The 3D morphology of the facet joint is linked to its biomechanical function. Failure of the biomechanical function of the facet joint leads to osteoarthritic changes in it and is implicated in other spinal disorders such as degenerative spondylolisthesis. Facet joints and intervertebral disk are part of an entity called the spinal motion segment, the three-joint complex, or the articular triad. Functioning together, the structures in the spinal motion segments provide physiological spinal motion, while protecting the spine by preventing activities that can be injurious. Loss of intervertebral disk height associated with disk degeneration affects the mechanical behavior of facet joints. Axial compressive load transmission through the tip of the inferior articular process can occur in the extended position, especially with reduced disk height, which may cause capsular impingement and low back pain. The 3D curvature of the articular surfaces and capsular ligaments play important roles in different spinal positions. In this review article, we will summarize the anatomy of the lumbar facet joint relevant to its biomechanical function and biomechanical behavior under different loading conditions.
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Introduction
Facet joints, also known as zygapophyseal or apophyseal joints, are true synovial joints that can undergo degenerative changes in a fashion similar to that of other synovial joints. The unique morphology of facet joints is linked to their biomechanical function. Failure of the biomechanical function of a facet joint leads to osteoarthritic changes in it and is implicated in other spinal disorders such as degenerative spondylolisthesis. In this review article, we will summarize the anatomy of the lumbar facet joint relevant to its biomechanical function and biomechanical behaviors of the lumbar facet joint under different loading conditions.

Anatomy of the Lumbar Facet Joint
Facet joints comprise the inferior and superior articular processes, which are bony protuberances that arise vertically from the junction of pedicles and laminae behind the transverse processes, sometimes described as “bony articular pillars”. In the lumbar region, the articular processes are completely incorporated into the laminae, so that the loads passing from superior to inferior articular facets diffuse into the lamina. Trabecular tracts were recognized running obliquely from the superior process downward to the inferior endplate and from the inferior process upward to the superior endplate in the sagittal plane. Furthermore, a preferred trabecular orientation mainly perpendicular to the articular surface in the transverse plane has been recognized in the superior process.

The superior and inferior articular processes are covered with articulating cartilage primarily facing posteroomedial and anterolateral directions, respectively. However, it has been reported that this cartilage layer does not extend all the way to the tips of the processes, which sometimes makes the definition of the articular cartilage contours difficult. The orientation and distribution of the articulating cartilage in the processes appear to be suitable to transmit the forces in the transverse plane parallel to the endplates, rather than transmitting the vertical force applied to the posterior element of the spinal column. However, Hadley observed that, in cadaveric specimens, the articular cartilage extended be-
yond the limits of bony contact, which enlarged the joint space extending around to the posterior surface of the articular process³.

It has been generally described that the articular surfaces on the superior articular processes are concave, whereas those on the inferior articular processes are convex. The term “zygapophyseal” is derived from the Greek words “physis”, meaning outgrowth, and “zygos”, meaning yoke or bridge. Therefore, the original meaning of “zygapophyseal” is “bridging of outgrowths” (i.e., bridging adjacent vertebrae⁴). On the other hand, the anatomical definition of the term “facet” is a smooth flat circumscribed anatomical surface. Since the articular surfaces of the facet joints are effectively not flat, the term “facet joint” may not well represent the geometrical characteristics of the joint. Beresford et al. stated in their article that the term “facet joint” was actually a misnomer, although they did not disagree with the usage of the term “facet joint” because it had been the most commonly used one. The curvature of the superior articular joint surface has been reported in the literature. The facet joint in the transverse plane parallel to the intervertebral disk space approximates a “C” or a “J” shape. In the upper lumbar spine, approximately 80% of the facet joints are curved and 20% are flat. In the lower lumbar spine, these numbers are reversed⁵. In the sagittal plane, Hadley showed the existence of concave articular surfaces in the inferior facet and convex articular surfaces in the superior facet, which are opposite curvatures in the transverse plane and conflict with the generally considered geometry of the facet joint surfaces⁶. The surface inversely curved in two perpendicular directions (i.e., concave in one and convex in the other) is described as a “saddle” shape, or in mathematical terms a parabolic hyperboloid. In fact, Steindler classified the lumbar facet joint as a saddle joint in addition to the carpometacarpal joint in his textbook⁷.

Panjabi et al. reported a clear tendency toward an increase in the cartilaginous area of the facets in the lower lumbar segment⁸. Similarly, the inner capsular area was also shown to display a pattern of increase from L1-2 to L5-S1; thus, larger facets were more likely to carry wider capsules⁹. Otsuka et al. found that the facet joint surface area increased with age and attributed this surface area increase to larger load bearing in the lower lumbar segments and facet joint degeneration¹⁰.

Similar to the other major synovial joints, the facet joint is surrounded by a capsule. This capsule consists of an outer layer made of densely packed parallel bundles of collagen fibers and an inner layer of irregularly oriented wavy elastic fibers¹¹. Putz identified these as firm “transverse strengthening ligaments”¹². Yamashita et al. described that collagenous fibers formed the strong connective tissue of the outer layer of the fibrous capsule¹³. In a recent study on the detailed lumbar capsular structures by Gorniak and Conrad, it was reported that the outer layer of the joint capsule exhibits three bands of fibers: curved superior fibers, middle horizontal running fibers, and curved inferior fibers¹⁴. The superior curved fibers form a distinct “dome-like band” that crosses the superior and superior-posterior parts of the joint. The middle horizontal fibers generally run horizontally but may also slant slightly downward, in a mediolateral direction. The curved inferior fibers form a distinct “hammock-like band” that crosses the inferior and posterior-inferior part of the facet joint¹⁵.

Since the articular cartilage extends beyond the posterior surface of the articular process as described earlier, the capsule is not attached to the margins of the joints but rather is reflected around to the outer surfaces of the articular processes⁶. The capsule and joint space extend by a variable distance from the margins along the superior or inferior articular process¹⁶. Attachment of the capsule at a certain distance from the margin of the joint surface causes the “wraparound” effect of the capsule, which can create compressive forces and compressive stress within the capsule, thus probably forming fibrocartilage in the capsule¹⁷.

The thickness of the lumbar facet capsule has been measured in a study using 260 facet joints obtained from 26 embalmed vertebral columns¹⁸. In this investigation, regional variations of the thickness were reported (2.0 mm in the posterior region and 2.4 mm in the anterior region), whereas in the superior and inferior regions, as much as a 3.2 mm thickness has been reported in the anterior region, although the authors stated that thick capsules in the anterior region are partly caused by difficulty in separation between the ligamentum flavum and joint capsule during dissection¹⁹. Regional variations of the capsule thickness were also noted in the aforementioned study²⁰. The inner layer in the inferior part was thicker than that in the superior and middle parts of the joint; however, this finding was only qualitative.

Proper knowledge of the anatomical geometry of the facet joint surface and capsular structures is important for biomechanical studies that seek to elucidate the normal function and biomechanical factors causing osteoarthritis of the facet joint and spinal disorders caused by facet joint dysfunction.

**Biomechanical Function of the Facet Joints**

*Interaction between the facet joint and intervertebral disk*

Facet joints and intervertebral disk are part of an entity called the spinal motion segment, the three-joint complex, or the articular triad²ⁱ-²³. Functioning together, the structures in the spinal motion segments provide physiological spinal motion, while protecting the spine by preventing activities that can be injurious. While the intervertebral disk has usually been considered to transmit mostly axial (vertical) compressive loads placed on the back, the facet joints have been traditionally considered to primarily function in guiding and stabilizing the motion segment²⁴-²⁶. Fortunately, in order to characterize this loading scenario, the relative magnitude of the axial compressive force passing through the intervertebral disk and facet joints has been studied by several investigators, thus demonstrating that, in fact, there is some type of
load transmission through the facet joints. Adams and Hutton showed that 16% of the whole spine load is transmitted through the facet joints when the lumbar spine is in a slight extension of 2°, as in an erect standing position, and after the intervertebral disk height has been reduced by a period of axial compressive loading, whereas no load is transmitted via the facet joint in slight flexion, as in an erect sitting posture. The same research group measured the contact pressure between facet joint surfaces with pressure-sensitive paper (FujiFilm Prescale) under different postures and reduced disk height by nucleotomy and showed increased peak pressure with disk height loss and increasing extension. Yang and King estimated that 3%-25% of the axial compressive load is carried via the normal facets by an indirect method using cadaveric lumbar specimens. In a recent study using the nucleotomy model, Ivicsics et al. also demonstrated significantly greater load transmission via the facet joint from a median of 8.6% of the applied external force to 15.8% after nucleotomy with the intact capsule.

Mechanisms of axial compressive load transmission through the facet joint

Although the aforementioned studies demonstrated axial compressive load transmission through the facet joint, the exact mechanism of load transmission was not delineated. There can be complex mechanisms by which facet joints transfer the axial compressive load because the lumbar facet joints form a synovial joint with low friction and almost vertical articular surfaces. The three possible mechanisms are (1) through the articular joint surfaces, (2) through capsular ligaments, and (3) through direct contact between the tips of articular processes and the neural arch or the pars interarticularis.

Pressure-sensitive paper or film-like flat thin sensors have been used to directly measure the contact pressure between the facet articular cartilage surfaces. As described earlier, Dunlop et al. measured the contact pressure in a human cadaveric lumbar facet joint with a compressive load of 1,000 N and a shear load of 200-400 N and reported a contact pressure of 6.1 MPa in the central-medial and central-inferior regions of the articular surface near its periphery with 6° of extension. Direct pressure measurements using the flat pressure sensors, however, require capsule transection in order to insert the sensor in the facet joint, which may alter the mechanical behavior of the facet joint because the capsule plays an important role in transmitting the applied load and constraining the movement of the facet joint, as described later in this review.

Information on the axial compression load transmission through the capsular ligaments is limited in the literature. Ivicsics et al., in their aforementioned study, measured load transmission through the facet joint with and without capsular ligaments under 700 N of axial compression in extension-flexion (neutral position ± 5° with 0.25° increments). The authors demonstrated that the transmitted force supported by the capsular ligament was a median of 1.2% of the applied force with the intact intervertebral disk and increased to 5.1% after nucleotomy over the full extension-flexion cycle. They also found that the capsular ligaments transfer tensile forces mainly in the caudal-posterior direction during extension.

Axial compressive load transmission through direct contact between the tips of articular processes and the neural arch (i.e., the lamina or the pars interarticularis) is important when the lumbar spine is extended, and/or the height of the intervertebral disk is reduced because the distance between the tips of the articular processes and the neural arch becomes narrower in such circumstances. In a histological study by Hadley, an articulation was demonstrated between the tip of the superior articular process and the pedicle of the adjacent superior vertebra or between the tip of the inferior articular process and the lamina or the pars interarticularis of the adjacent inferior vertebra caused by telescoping or imbrication of the facet articulations in the lumbar segment with intervertebral disk height loss. In these facet joints, the original facet articular cartilage layers do not register exactly opposite each other and the development of a fibrocartilage bumper due to intermittent pressure was noted at the tips of the articular processes. Yang and King claimed that the bottoming-out of the tip of the inferior facet on the pars interarticularis of the vertebra below is likely to propitiate a lever-like mechanism of load transmission. Dunlop et al. also stated that substantial loads may be transmitted from the tips of the facets directly to the lamina below, or to the pars interarticularis above. In the experiment by Dunlop et al., extra-articular impingement was only seen in maximum extension at full disk height, but when the intervertebral disks presented with significant decreases in disk height it was found in all postures. The load transmission mechanism across the facet joint through bony contact was proven by direct measurement of the facet tip contact pressure using a pressure transducer implemented at the tip of a 13-gage steel tube that was placed at the tip of the inferior articular process through the bone part of the process. The results from the aforementioned recent study by Ivicsics et al., however, did not indicate direct load transmission through the tip of the articular process and the neural arch.

Facet biomechanical functions at different spinal positions

Facet joints fulfill different biomechanical functions in different spinal positions. In this section, we will review the biomechanical behaviors in extension, forward flexion, axial rotation, and lateral bending (Fig. 1).

Extension

In lumbar extension, the inferior articular processes move inferiorly in reference to the superior articular process of the lower level. Kozanek et al. measured the range of motion of the lumbar facet joint (L2/L3-L4/L5) in vivo in healthy volunteers and reported that the facet joints rotated primarily along the mediolateral axis (average: 2°-6°) and were trans-
lateral in the cephalad-caudal direction (average: 2-4 mm) from full flexion to full extension movements of the trunk with more mobility in the cranial levels. Prasad et al. applied +Gz (caudocephalad) impact acceleration to embalmed whole human cadavers sitting on a load cell equipped seat pan and measured strain in the pedicle and lamina and load transmission through the facet joint during extension (205 N at a 10° extension moment and 190 N axial load). A cadaveric study by Schendel et al. also showed that the facet contact site on the inferior articular process of L1 moved in reference to the cranial-caudal axis (positive, posterior) in lumbar forward flexion (25°; 7,14,15,21,24). Yang and King found that overloading of the facet joint resulted in rearward rotation of the inferior facets, the tip of which pivoted about the lamina below in compressive testing of the isolated posterior element of the lumbar spine. The results of finite element analysis simulations also postulated that hyperextension activities will cause impingement of the inferior process.

Forward flexion

In lumbar forward flexion, the inferior articular processes move superiorly in reference to the superior articular process of the lower level. The contact areas are located on the upper tip in the superior articular surface and on the upper and central regions in the inferior articular surface in large flexion. Facet joints play an important role in maintaining lumbar stability in forward flexion. During forward flexion, the inferior articular process slides upward and forward upon the superior articular process of the inferior vertebra and the articular surfaces separate at the lower margins of the joint. Ivicsics et al. calculated the facet joint force vectors in the sagittal plane under a 700 N compressive load applied to the motion segment with an intact intervertebral disk. The magnitudes and orientation angles of the vectors in reference to the cranial-caudal axis (positive, posterior) in flexion of 5° were 31.2 N at -48.8° through the total facet joint, 46.1 N at -39.4° through bony contact, and 14.9 N at 158.2° through the capsular ligament. These values after nucleotomy were 52.4 N at -61.3° through the total facet joint.
The lumbar facet joint exhibits a complex three-dimensional (3D) geometry that includes small components within this small joint, which are closely linked to the biomechanical functions of the facet joint and the motion during axial rotation (65 N at a 10 Nm moment and a 150 N axial load) and cause the resultant contact force to lie at the posterior edge of the articulating surface.

Cramer et al. measured the facet joint gap distance in an axially rotated position (side-posture positioning) using magnetic resonance imaging and demonstrated that axial rotation increases the gap distance in the rotated side of the axial rotation (i.e., in the right facet during right axial rotation). The authors also demonstrated that side-posture manipulation further increases the gap distance.

Measurements of changes in the facet joint space width due to passive axial rotation using CT scanning demonstrated an increased gap distance in the rotated side and a decrease in the joint space distance in the opposite side. In the gap opening side, the capsular ligament becomes tensed. Putz identified the firm “transverse strengthening ligaments” to carry tensile load in the opening side of the facet joint and emphasized a mechanical role of capsular ligament during axial rotation.

The results of photoelastic experiments and the trabecular orientation observed in this study suggested that the superior articular processes are under bending stress during axial rotation by compression in the lateral direction and tension in the medial direction.

**Lateral bending**

Limited information is available in the literature on the biomechanical behavior of the facet joint under lateral bending. In lateral bending, the inferior articular process glides in the superior direction in reference to the superior articular process of the inferior vertebra on the convex side of the spinal curve and opposite direction on the concave side.

Schedel et al. found that the facet joints carry large loads during lateral bending (78 N at a 3 Nm moment and a 160 N axial load) and the location of the resultant contact in the right inferior articular process moved from the posterior edge in the left lateral bending to the tip in the right lateral bending. The authors also noted that the lateral bending motion was coupled with axial rotation (i.e., the left lateral bending was associated with axial rotation, which loads the right facet) and the facet resultant contact force location in the left lateral bending was in the same area as that for right axial torsion. Since coupled motions between lateral bending and axial rotation in the lumbar spine have been reported, for example, left lateral bending to be coupled with right axial rotation, the authors suggested that the axial rotation component associated with lateral bending could be partially responsible for facet loading. The coupled motions were also measured in flexion-extension and axial rotation in the aforementioned in vivo study.

**Conclusion**

The lumbar facet joint exhibits a complex three-dimensional (3D) geometry that includes small components within this small joint, which are closely linked to the biomechanical functions of the facet joint and the motion.
segment in different spinal positions. Oversimplification of the facet joint as a flat joint may prevent the proper understanding of the facet joint functions. Despite the keen observations of the 3D facet joint geometry and consideration of the facet joint functions in 3D space reported many decades, even a century, ago, the development of CT scanning rather tends to limit investigators’ thought to the transverse plane. Axial load transmission and forward translation in motion segments cannot be fully understood without consideration of the special relationships among the posterior elements of the lumbar spine. Current imaging modalities allow 3D modeling and reslicing of the model in the clinical setting; however, no valid information can be extracted without properly understanding the 3D geometry and function of the facet joint.
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