The difference in gender affects the pathogenesis of ligamentum flavum hypertrophy
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Abstract

Introduction: Gender differences may play a role in the pathogenesis of lumbar spinal stenosis. However, few reports that discuss the effects of gender differences in ligamentum flavum (LF) hypertrophy have been published, and no study has investigated the relationship between LF thickness and the quantitative value of intervertebral disc (IVD) degeneration. This study aimed to investigate the impact of gender on the pathomechanisms underlying LF hypertrophy, focusing on the relationship among LF thickness, IVD degeneration, and age.

Methods: The subjects include 100 patients with low back pain and leg numbness, tingling, or pain. We measured LF thickness and the T2 values of IVDs using MR imaging and analyzed the relationship among LF thickness, T2 values of IVDs, and age. The interclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was calculated as the inter-rater reliability between the LF thickness values measured by two investigators.

Results: ICC was calculated for the two measurements of LF thickness (r = 0.923, 95% CI: 0.907–0.936). No statistically significant difference in the T2 values of IVDs was observed between females and males from L2/3 to L5/S. There were significantly negative linear correlations between LF thickness and the T2 values of IVDs at all levels, but this correlation was not observed in females at L4/5. There were significantly negative linear correlations between age and the T2 values of IVDs from L2/3 to L5/S for all patients, females, and males (r = 0.422–0.756). In addition, there were significantly positive linear correlations between age and LF thickness from L2/3 to L4/5 for all
patients ($r = 0.329–0.361$) and females ($r = 0.411–0.481$). Correlations were not observed for males at all levels or for all patients at L5/S.

Conclusions: The relationships identified among LF thickness, age, and IVD degeneration suggest that gender differences play a role in the pathogenesis of LF hypertrophy.
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Introduction

The spine comprises intervertebral discs (IVDs) that provide anterior support, facet joints that provide posterior support, and ligaments that surround the spine. Repeated mechanical stress causes hypertrophy of the facet joints; degenerative changes in the cartilage, IVDs, and osseous structures; and thickening of the ligamentum flavum (LF) and other ligaments. Lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS) is a common cause of low back and lower extremity pain, particularly in elderly patients, and LF hypertrophy is a major contributor to the development of LSS. LF hypertrophy is attributed to increased mechanical stress caused by IVD degeneration with aging. However, the detailed mechanism underlying LF hypertrophy remains unclear. Previous studies have suggested the effect of estradiol, a female sex hormone, as a factor potentially related to the pathomechanisms underlying LF hypertrophy. Ishimoto et al. reported that the prevalence of symptomatic LSS in Japanese females significantly increases with age, whereas that in males differs little with age >60 years. Furthermore, Yabuki et al. reported that the prevalence of LSS in males and females aged 70–79 years was 10.3% and 11.2%, respectively. These results suggest that gender differences play a role in the pathogenesis of LSS. However, no report that discusses the effects of gender differences on LF hypertrophy has been published.

This study aimed to investigate the effect of gender on the pathomechanisms underlying LF hypertrophy focusing on the relationship among LF thickness, IVD degeneration, and age.
Materials and Methods

The institutional review board of our institution approved this prospective cross-sectional study, and written informed consent was obtained from the study participants. The study comprised 100 patients aged 23–83 years (44 females and 56 males) who underwent magnetic resonance (MR) examination of the lumbar spine because of low back pain and leg numbness, tingling, or pain (Table 1). The exclusion criteria were as follows: (i) prior spine surgery; (ii) systemic inflammatory disease; (iii) neurologic disorder; (iv) acute trauma, neoplasm, or infection; (v) spinal deformities or spondylolisthesis (over Meyerding grade II); and (vi) diabetes. The rationale for the exclusion of patients with diabetes was the association between LF thickness and diabetes reported in previous studies. The equipment comprised a spine coil with a GE Signa HDx 1.5 T (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA). We measured LF thickness and T2 values of IVDs at the intervertebral level from L2/3 to L5/S, at which more than 95% of decompression surgery was performed as previously reported. The LF thickness was measured on axial T1-weighted MR images (TR/TE: 500 ms/10 ms, FOV: 14 cm, matrix: 256 × 192, slice thickness: 4 mm) at the facet joint level based on the study reported by Safak et al. (Figure 1). A diagnostic radiologist (M.O.) with 15 years of experience and a research scientist (H.T.) with 12 years of experience in analyzing spine MR images blindly and independently measured all LFs using an electronic ruler with 0.1 mm resolution. Thickness at the middle portion of LF was measured, and the mean values of both sides were recorded at each level. The interclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was calculated as the inter-rater reliability between the
LF thickness values measured by the two investigators.

A T2 map was created using T2 values in the midsagittal section from the sagittal sections centered on the lumbar midline region with optimized eight multi-spin echoes (TR/first echo TE, last echo TE: 1,000/14.8 ms, 118.6 ms; RBW: ±15.63 kHz; FOV: 22 cm; matrix: 320 × 256; slice thickness/gap: 4/4 mm; 5 slices; NEX 2; total scan time: 8 min and 34 s) obtained using an Advantage Workstation (version 4.4, Functool; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WA, USA). However, the first echo from the multi-spin system was excluded to minimize the effect of the stimulated echo.

A T2 map was generated for each pixel from the signal intensity (SI) in the respective TE using the following calculating formula: $SI = e^{-TE/T2}$

For measurements, IVDs were divided into five equal areas, with the middle fifth of IVD designated as the T2 value of IVD. The mean values in the regions of interest were semi-automatically measured by a research scientist (H.T.) with 12 years of experience in analyzing spine MR images (Figure 2). We analyzed the relationships among LF thickness, T2 value of IVD, and age using Pearson’s correlation coefficient test. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows, version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

ICC was calculated between the two LF thickness values ($r = 0.923$, 95% CI: 0.907–0.936) measured
by the two researchers (Figure 3). LF thickness and the T2 values of IVD at each level are shown in
Table 2. No statistically significant differences in the T2 values of IVDs were observed between
females and males. LF thickness was also observed between females and males. The r values for LF
thickness and the T2 values of IVDs, age and the T2 values of IVDs, and age and LF thickness are
shown in Table 3. The r values for LF thickness and the T2 value of IVD at L2/3 were −0.694 (p <
0.001) for all patients, −0.649 (p < 0.001) for females, and −0.781 (p < 0.001) for males (Figure 4).
Similarly, L3/4 were −0.354 (p < 0.001), −0.435 (p = 0.002), and −0.298 (p = 0.032), respectively
(Figure 5). However, the corresponding values for L4/5 were −0.373 (p < 0.001), −0.275 (p = 0.058),
and −0.451 (p = 0.001), indicating no significant correlation in females (Figure 6). The r values for
L5/S were −0.448 (p < 0.001), −0.488 (p < 0.001), and −0.430 (p = 0.001), respectively (Figure 7).
There were significantly negative correlations between age and the T2 values of IVDs from L2/3 to
L5/S for all patients, females, and males (r = 0.422–0.756). There were significantly positive
correlations between subject age and LF thickness from L2/3 to L4/5 for all patients (r = 0.329–
0.361) and females (r = 0.411–0.481) but not for males at all levels or all patients at L5/S.

Discussion

LF hypertrophy was first reported in 1913 as a major cause of spinal stenosis leading to low back and
lower extremity pain \(^1,3\). LF hypertrophy is attributed to increased mechanical stress caused by IVD
degeneration with aging. In fact, previous studies \(^2,5,13\) have reported a relationship between LF
thickness and IVD degeneration with aging. Altinkaya et al. 5) and Munns et al. 13) reported a
significant increase in LF thickness with increasing IVD degeneration. However, Sakamaki et al. 2)
observed no correlation between LF thickness and IVD degeneration. This inconsistency may be due
to the lack of repeatability and objectivity in the visual evaluation of IVD degeneration based on
Pfirrmann classification 12,14-16). Therefore, we quantitatively analyzed IVD degeneration using MRI
T2 mapping, which allows the quantification of decreasing water content in IVD with increasing
IVD degeneration 12,17). To the best of our knowledge, few studies have investigated the relationship
between LF thickness and the quantitative value of IVD degeneration.

The results of the current study indicated a stronger correlation between the LF thickness
and the T2 values of IVDs than between LF thickness and age. Therefore, we concluded that
mechanical stress is the most important factor that influences LF hypertrophy. In particular, from
L2/3 to L5/S1 in males, and L5/S1 in both females and males, the effect of mechanical stress seems
to be dominant because there were no statistical correlations between age and LF thickness. No
correlation was found between LF thickness and IVD degeneration at L4/5 in females, but a
correlation was found between LF thickness and age. There are only a few reports on the effect of
gender on LF thickness. Abbas et al. 4) and Safak et al. 1) reported no difference in LF thickness
between genders. The findings of the present study were consistent with those of these previous
studies. However, when we focused on the correlation among LF thickness, age, and IVD
degeneration, a difference in the mode of LF hypertrophy was observed between genders. Previous
studies reported that normal LF comprises 80% elastic fibers and 20% collagen fibers and that hypertrophic LF exhibits a loss of elastic fibers and increase in collagen fibers, resulting in increased collagen-to-elastin ratio. In an in vitro study, Chen et al. reported that estradiol regulated MMP-13 expression via the PI3L pathway and that the collagen-to-elastin ratio in the cell culture media decreased after LF cells were treated with estradiol. Therefore, LF hypertrophy in females may be affected by decreased estradiol level because of the aging process and menopause. There have been no reports on the effects of other sex hormones. In contrast, increased mechanical stress on LF caused by IVD degeneration appears to be a more dominant factor than age for LF hypertrophy in males. Therefore, the onset of instability including spondylolisthesis and lumbar canal stenosis may be related to gender differences.

The present study has several limitations that should be addressed. First, the number of subjects was relatively less and the population had a deviation in age. Second, we were unable to investigate the time of menopause and estradiol level in each patient. Thus, we cannot deny the possibility that these factors affected the results. Third, all participants had low back or lower extremity pain, indicating that the results might be different in the general population. Finally, we measured the thickness of the LF center alone. Some studies have reported that the mechanical stress is greater on the posteromedial side of LF than on the anterolateral side. In the future, measuring LF thickness by region will enable more detailed evaluation of the pathomechanisms underlying LF hypertrophy.
In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrated the relationships among LF thickness, age, and IVD degeneration. These results suggested that the differences play a role in the pathogenesis of LF hypertrophy and the onset of instability including spondylolisthesis and lumbar canal stenosis may be related to gender differences.
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Figure legends

Figure 1. Measurement of ligamentum flavum thickness on axial T1-weighed magnetic resonance images at the facet joint level.

Figure 2. Measurement of T2 values of the intervertebral discs.

The intervertebral discs (IVDs) were divided into five equal areas, with the middle fifth of the disc designated as the T2 value of IVD.

Figure 3. The correlation of LF thickness between investigators 1 and 2, ICC was 0.923.

Figure 4. Correlation between (a) ligamentum flavum (LF) thickness and the T2 values of intervertebral discs (IVDs), (b) T2 value of IVDs and age, (c) LF thickness and age at the level of L2/3 in all patients, only females, and only males.

Figure 5. Correlation between (a) ligamentum flavum (LF) thickness and the T2 value of intervertebral discs (IVDs), (b) T2 values of IVDs and age, (c) LF thickness and age at the level of L3/4 in all patients, only females, and only males.

Figure 6. Correlation between (a) ligamentum flavum (LF) thickness and the T2 values of intervertebral discs (IVDs), (b) T2 values of IVDs and age, (c) LF thickness and age at the level of L4/5 in all patients, only females, and only males.

Figure 7. Correlation between (a) ligamentum flavum (LF) thickness and the T2 values of
intervertebral discs (IVDs), (b) T2 values of IVDs and age, (c) LF thickness and age at the level of L5/S1 in all patients, only females, and only males.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.689&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age Mean ± SD</td>
<td>60.3 ± 16.7</td>
<td>60.4 ± 16.9</td>
<td>60.1 ± 16.7</td>
<td>0.978&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;) Mean ± SD</td>
<td>23.9 ± 3.4</td>
<td>23.7 ± 3.6</td>
<td>24.1 ± 3.1</td>
<td>0.932&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Chi-square test  
<sup>b</sup>t test

Table 1. Distribution of the examinees with regard to the age and body mass index (BMI).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>level</th>
<th>Flavum thickness mean±SD (mm)</th>
<th>All patients</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>P value$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L2/3</td>
<td>2.56 ± 0.74</td>
<td>2.43 ± 0.66</td>
<td>2.59 ± 0.89</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3/4</td>
<td>2.81 ± 0.85</td>
<td>2.72 ± 0.88</td>
<td>2.89 ± 0.82</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4/5</td>
<td>3.31 ± 1.01</td>
<td>3.27 ± 0.93</td>
<td>3.34 ± 1.08</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5/S</td>
<td>3.51 ± 1.15</td>
<td>3.27 ± 0.93</td>
<td>3.34 ± 1.08</td>
<td>0.644</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 value mean±SD (ms)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2/3</td>
<td>75.5 ± 24.9</td>
<td>71.3 ± 25.7</td>
<td>79.3 ± 23.7</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3/4</td>
<td>73.6 ± 24.5</td>
<td>71.1 ± 25.2</td>
<td>75.8 ± 23.8</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4/5</td>
<td>71.7 ± 23.5</td>
<td>69.2 ± 21.7</td>
<td>74.0 ± 25.0</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5/S</td>
<td>73.1 ± 18.4</td>
<td>71.7 ± 18.7</td>
<td>74.4 ± 18.3</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Mann–Whitney U test

Table 2. Thickness of ligamentum flavum (LF) and T2 value of intervertebral disc (IVD).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All patients</th>
<th>female</th>
<th>male</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flavum thickness/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 value of NP</td>
<td>(-0.694 (p &lt; 0.001))</td>
<td>(-0.649 (p &lt; 0.001))</td>
<td>(-0.781 (p &lt; 0.001))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age/ T2 value of NP</td>
<td>(-0.597 (p &lt; 0.001))</td>
<td>(-0.756 (p &lt; 0.001))</td>
<td>(-0.432 (p = 0.001))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age/ Flavum thickness</td>
<td>(0.361 (p &lt; 0.001))</td>
<td>(0.466 (p = 0.001))</td>
<td>(0.286 (p = 0.070))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavum thickness/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 value of NP</td>
<td>(-0.354 (p &lt; 0.001))</td>
<td>(-0.435 (p = 0.002))</td>
<td>(-0.298 (p = 0.032))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age/ T2 value of NP</td>
<td>(-0.614 (p &lt; 0.001))</td>
<td>(-0.655 (p &lt; 0.001))</td>
<td>(-0.576 (p &lt; 0.001))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age/ Flavum thickness</td>
<td>(0.329 (p = 0.001))</td>
<td>(0.481 (p = 0.01))</td>
<td>(0.160 (p = 0.256))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavum thickness/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 value of NP</td>
<td>(-0.373 (p &lt; 0.001))</td>
<td>(-0.275 (p = 0.058))</td>
<td>(-0.451 (p = 0.001))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age/ T2 value of NP</td>
<td>(-0.441 (p &lt; 0.001))</td>
<td>(-0.456 (p = 0.001))</td>
<td>(-0.440 (p = 0.001))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age/ Flavum thickness</td>
<td>(0.331 (p = 0.001))</td>
<td>(0.411 (p = 0.004))</td>
<td>(0.265 (p = 0.072))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavum thickness/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 value of NP</td>
<td>(-0.448 (p &lt; 0.001))</td>
<td>(-0.488 (p &lt; 0.001))</td>
<td>(-0.430 (p = 0.001))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age/ T2 value of NP</td>
<td>(-0.454 (p &lt; 0.001))</td>
<td>(-0.486 (p &lt; 0.001))</td>
<td>(-0.422 (p = 0.002))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age/ Flavum thickness</td>
<td>(0.228 (p = 0.053))</td>
<td>(0.282 (p = 0.052))</td>
<td>(0.197 (p = 0.161))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. The correlation coefficient value between thickness of ligamentum flavum and T2 value of intervertebral disc, and subject age and T2 value of IVD, thickness of LF.
Figure 1. Measurement of ligamentum flavum thickness on axial T1-weighed magnetic resonance images at the facet joint level.
Figure 2. Measurement of T2 values of the intervertebral discs.
Discs were divided into five equal areas, designating the middle fifth of the disc as the T2 value of IVD.
Figure 3. The correlation of LF thickness between measurer 1 and 2, ICC was 0.923.

ICC = 0.923 (95% CI: 0.907-0.936)
Figure 4. Correlation between (a) ligamentum flavum (LF) thickness and the T2 values of intervertebral discs (IVDs), (b) T2 value of IVDs and age, (c) LF thickness and age at the level of L2/3 in all patients, only females, and only males.
Figure 5. Correlation between (a) ligamentum flavum (LF) thickness and the T2 value of intervertebral discs (IVDs), (b) T2 values of IVDs and age, (c) LF thickness and age at the level of L3/4 in all patients, only females, and only males.
Figure 6. Correlation between (a) ligamentum flavum (LF) thickness and the T2 values of intervertebral discs (IVDs), (b) T2 values of IVDs and age, (c) LF thickness and age at the level of L4/5 in all patients, only females, and only males.
Figure 7. Correlation between (a) ligamentum flavum (LF) thickness and the T2 values of intervertebral discs (IVDs), (b) T2 values of IVDs and age, (c) LF thickness and age at the level of L5/S1 in all patients, only females, and only males.