The new strategy for the treatment of cerebrospinal fluid leak following lumbar surgery
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Introduction

Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak is a common complication of lumbar spine surgery\textsuperscript{1-3}, causing persistent headaches, nausea, vomiting, and walking difficulties, and it carries an increased risk of meningitis. Although there are various therapeutic options for CSF leak, there is currently no optimal technique. The choice of which technique to perform in each case usually depends on the surgeon’s cumulative experience. This study aims to examine the clinical efficacy of a blood injection therapy for CSF leak following lumbar surgery.

Technical Note

Patients and Methods

We enrolled 7 consecutive patients undergoing lumbar spine surgery at our institution, none of whom suffered from diabetes (1 male, 6 female; mean age, 67.4 [range, 49–2] years) (Table1). The patients and/or their families provided informed consent that data from their case would be submitted for publication. The surgeries performed included decompression in two patients (one microendoscopic surgery), fusion in four, and an epidural cyst resection in one. In five cases, CSF leak from
dural tears was noted. In four out of five cases, we sutured the dura and reinforced it using a small piece of polyglactin 910 (Vicryl Knitted Mesh, Ethicon, Somerville, New Jersey) and fibrin glue (Bolheal, Astellas, Tokyo, Japan). In microendoscopic decompression, we used a small piece of polyglactin 910 and fibrin glue without suturing the dura. After repairing the dural tear, we checked the CSF leak using the Valsalva maneuver. We also used soft fluted silicone drain (Blake drains, Ethicon, Somerville, N.J.) in all surgeries (drain size: 19 French in 6 cases, 10 French in 1 case), and all were drained at the same pressure. In all cases, the lumbar dressings of the patients were saturated with clear fluid (Fig. 1a), and they had intracranial hypotension syndrome with symptoms such as postural headaches caused when moving from a lying to standing position. In addition, we measured the glucose concentration of the exudate using urine dip test paper. We diagnosed CSF leak when the glucose concentration was less than 100 mg/dl.

After diagnosing a CSF leak, we performed venesection from the patient’s medial cubital vein. We removed the silicone drain and injected about 4–5 ml of blood into the drain tract with an indwelling needle (Fig. 1ab) until we saw overflowed blood from the tract. The patient’s clinical status was assessed following the blood injection.
and was based on the presence of the following: evidence of recurrence of CSF leak, intracranial hypotension symptoms, low back pain, lower limb symptoms, and fever.

All patients were advised to take a day of bed rest and all patients could stand and walk reassuringly the next morning. CSF leak did not recur after the procedure in any of the patients. The symptoms of intracranial hypotension resolved, and no complications such as worsening back pain, lower limb pain, or fever occurred.

Discussion

Our current results demonstrate that this simple procedure for postoperative CSF leaks provides a good alternative to reoperation. All our patients were advised to have a day of bed rest following the blood patch injection, and CSF leak did not recur in any of the patients.

Several methods are used for the management of CSF leak including direct suturing, percutaneous injection, drainage therapy, and blood patch. One well-known and widely accepted management option is surgical repair by either meticulous direct primary closure of the dura or augmented closure by means of fat,
muscle tissue, or a fascia graft. Although these seem to be the most reliable method for CSF leak, four cases in our study suffered from CSF leak after surgical repair wherein we sutured the injured dura and reinforced it using polyglactin 910 and fibrin glue.

Patel et al.\textsuperscript{8} assessed the effectiveness of CT-guided percutaneous injection of fibrin glue made from patients’ blood into the epidural space in a case series of 23 CSF leaks. In 15 of 23 cases, the symptoms were absent or markedly decreased after the procedure and a period of overnight bed rest. However, the complication of aseptic meningitis occurred in five cases. In addition, it took several days to prepare the cryoprecipitate from the patient’s blood. Epidural blood patch and drainage therapy were also reported as other alternative procedures to surgical closure.

Lauer et al.\textsuperscript{12} reported the effect of epidural blood patch for CSF leak after lumbar decompression surgery. The clinician inserted a Tuohy needle beside the surgical incision and injected 20 ml of the patient’s blood to the L4–L5 epidural space. The next day, the patient noted complete resolution of headache and was able to stand without discomfort; however, three days after this procedure, dull back pain occurred, radiating to the left leg. The differences between our procedure and the
standard epidural blood patch therapy are shown in Fig.2, highlighting the fact that it can be difficult to find the epidural space immediately following lumbar surgeries using the standard epidural blood patch procedure. We suggest that by using our drainage tract method, these problems may be overcome.

Shapiro et al. reported that the success rate of lumbar subarachnoid catheterization and drainage for 107 CSF leak cases was 94%; however, complications can occur such as infections (5%), occlusion of the drain requiring replacement (9%), and transient nerve root irritation (14%). Jackson-Platt drainage was also used for 16 CSF leaks, though the drain remained in place for 10–14 days and 5 patients needed reoperations. These previously reported methods require a specialist technique, requiring recuperation for several days, and were not successful in all cases.

In our opinion, our proposed method of injecting blood along the drain removal tract may be the most accurate way of reaching the dural tear, sealing both the leakage point itself and the route from the dura to the skin. A benefit of this procedure is that it can be repeated if the blood injection is unsuccessful on the first attempt.

However, various limitations to our proposed approach should be recognized.
Although this method may be a useful procedure for treating CSF leak, it must be acknowledged that this is an experimental procedure, a small sample size is reported here, and side effects similar to those seen in epidural blood patch therapy could be expected. Also, although we performed MRI in a few cases at an early stage in the collection of this series to check the size of the dural tear and its site, tears could not be seen on imaging. We noted that there was no pseudomeningocele in these patients, suggesting that large dural tears would not have been present. As we have no post-repair imaging data, we do not know to what extent the blood injection sealed the dural tear. Therefore, in future studies, imaging using injection of contrast medium into the drain tract may provide further information. We had no controlled cases; however, in our first two cases, we waited three days and made them be on bed without standing and sitting. But the 3 days of bed rest did not work for CSF leakage; therefore, we performed this method.

Nevertheless, this is the first report to use the blood injection therapy along the drain removal tract for the treatment of CSF leak, and this method may provide an alternative to surgical closure. In conclusion, our method of blood injection along the drain removal tract was performed without difficulty in seven cases, and following
just one day of bed rest, this method was effective in all cases. We propose this route of blood injection therapy as a novel method for the treatment of CSF leak after lumbar surgery.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1

a. An indwelling plastic needle is inserted into the drain tract, and blood from the patient is injected into the needle.

b. Blood is injected slowly while checking the patient’s clinical state.

Figure 2

Blood injection approaches to seal a CSF leak. Left: the procedure used in this case series. Entering via the drain tract may be the most accurate way of reaching a dural tear, enabling us to seal both the leakage point itself and the route from dura to skin by using less than 10 ml blood. Right: Using the standard epidural blood patch technique, requiring approximately 20–30 ml of blood. In addition, the drain tract is not sealed up with blood.
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- **skin**
- **fascia**
- **muscle**
- **fat**
- **dura**
- **epidural space**
- **leakage point**

**left: our method, right: blood patch**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age, year</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Suturing of dura</th>
<th>Size of tube</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>LSS</td>
<td>Decompression</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>19Fr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>epidural cyst</td>
<td>cyst resection</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19Fr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>LSS</td>
<td>Fusion+decompression</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19Fr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>LSS</td>
<td>Fusion+decompression</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>19Fr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>LSS</td>
<td>Fusion+decompression</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19Fr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>LSS</td>
<td>Fusion+decompression</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19Fr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>LSS</td>
<td>MEL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10Fr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSS means lumbar spinal stenosis. Fr means French. MED means microendoscopic laminectomy