Assessment of ureters at dangerous locations in lateral lumbar interbody fusion

Yujiro Kagami¹, Hiroaki Nakashima^{1,2}, Kotaro Satake¹, Kenyu Ito¹, Satoshi Tanaka¹,
Naoki Segi^{1,2}, Jun Ouchida^{1,2}, Masanori Morita¹, Kei Ando², Kazuyoshi Kobayashi²,
Masaaki Machino², Shiro Imagama², Tokumi Kanemura¹

¹Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Konan Kosei Hospital

²Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine

Corresponding Author

Name: Hiroaki Nakashima, MD, PhD

Address: Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Nagoya University Graduate School of
Medicine, 65 Tsurumai, Shouwa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 466-8560, Japan

Telephone: 1-81-52-741-2111; Fax: 1-81-52-744-2260

E-mail Address: hirospine@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Conflicts of Interest and Source of Funding: Tokumi Kanemura is a consultant for
Medtronic and NUVASIVE. There are no sources of funding to disclose.

Ethical Approval

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Konan Kosei Hospital.

Approval code: 30-048(0332)

Informed Consent

Informed consent was obtained from all participants in this study.

Abstract

Introduction: This study aimed to investigate the ureteral running position from the viewpoint of the spine, and to identify the spinal level and left–right difference in the ureter at a dangerous location of ureteral injury during surgery.

Methods: This retrospective study included 100 consecutive patients (39 males and 61 females; average age, 70.4 years). Preoperative contrast-enhanced computerized tomography (CT) scans obtained in the supine position for patients who underwent lateral lumbar interbody fusion (LLIF) were analyzed. The ureter location was divided into four regions on the axial CT images based on the lumbar disk levels as follows: A (ventral–medial), B (ventral–lateral), C (dorsal–medial), and D (dorsal–lateral). The C region surrounded by the vertebral body and the psoas muscle was assumed to have the highest probability of ureteral injury. We examined the characteristics of the ureteral position at each disc level.

Results: In the upper lumbar spine, the ureter was outside the lateral dorsoventral axis from the contact point of the psoas muscle, while in the lower lumbar spine, it was

inside the axis. The ureters located in the C region increased significantly in the lower lumbar disk levels (L1-L2 and L2-L3: 0%; L3-L4: 5.5%; L4-L5: 14.8%; L5-S: 31.5%). Comparing the left and right sides, especially at L4-L5, the ureter in the C region was observed in 21% of all ureters on the left side and in 9% on the right side. With respect to gender differences, the ureters present in the C region were significantly more common in women at lumbar disk levels L3-L4, L4-L5, and L5-S.

Conclusions: The ureters in the C region were common on the left side and at lower lumbar disk levels. To avoid ureteral injury, it is necessary to confirm the location of the ureter by using preoperative images and performing LLIF carefully.

KEYWORDS: ureter, lateral lumbar interbody fusion, lower lumbar disk levels

Introduction

Lateral lumbar interbody fusion (LLIF) is a minimally invasive surgical technique used in managing lumbar spondylolisthesis and adult spinal deformity¹⁻⁴.

LLIF is performed retroperitoneally while in the lateral decubitus position^{5,6)}. In XLIF (Extreme lateral interbody fusion), we split the psoas muscle to reach the disk space, while oblique lateral interbody fusion (OLIF) involves access to the disk space via a corridor between the peritoneum and the psoas muscle. LLIF has various advantages, such as direct visualization of the disk space, greater indirect spinal cord decompression, and restoration of disk height⁷⁾. However, there are reports of retroperitoneal organ damage, including nerve roots, blood vessels, intestinal tract, and ureters in LLIF surgery⁸⁾.

Ureteral injury was reported at a frequency of approximately 0.1%–0.3%⁸⁾. This rate of ureteral injury is not high; however, it is a serious type of injury⁹⁻¹¹⁾. Kubota et al. reported ureteral injury identified because of abdominal pain beginning on day two postsurgery, and permanent stent placement was required⁹⁾. Despite ureteral injury can cause serious complications for patients, limited research has been conducted on the anatomical risk of ureteral injury; moreover, little is known on the ureteral anatomical position. The ureter runs close to the iliopsoas muscle; however, the running position is

different on the spinal level and the left-right sides. Understanding the relationship between the ureter, the vertebral body, and the psoas muscle can help spine surgeons to reduce the risk of ureteral injury.

Of the various anatomical ureteral positions, that between the vertebral body and the iliopsoas muscle is difficult to determine during surgical procedures in the retroperitoneal space (Figure 1). There is a risk of ureteral injury in such cases if the ureter is not sufficiently separated from the psoas muscle. This study aimed to investigate the anatomical position of the ureter from the viewpoint of the spine, and to identify the spinal level and left–right difference in the ureter at a critical location of ureteral injury.

Materials and Methods

With the approval of our Ethics Committee, we reviewed data of patients who underwent LLIF in our hospital from April 2016 to August 2018. The exclusion criteria were patients with renal dysfunction or allergies to the contrast used for computed

tomography (CT). A total of 100 consecutive patients (male/female: 39/61, average age = 70.4 ± 8.4 years old) who were planned to undergo LLIF were retrospectively analyzed. The primary diseases were degenerative spondylolisthesis, degenerative scoliosis, and others in 47, 34, and 19 cases, respectively.

Classification of Ureteral Location

A 64-line multi-slice CT (Light Speed VCT; GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA) was used, and the ureteral location at each disk level (L1-L2, L2-L3, L3-L4, L4-L5 and L5-S) was evaluated using contrast-enhanced CT. The ureter was evaluated in the excretory phase (4–5 minutes after contrast administration). We used either iohexol or iopamidol as intravenous contrast material, depending on body weight (600 mg L/kg). The acquisition parameters were as follows: tube voltage, 120 kV; tube current, 120–700 mA; SD, 14.58; and helical pitch, 1.375 mm. We also evaluated blood vessels (aorta, inferior vena cava, and gonadal arterioles). Vascular evaluations were performed in the arterial and venous phases. The ureteral positions were divided into the following four regions in the axial CT images: A, dorsal–medial

side; B, dorsal–lateral side; C, ventral–medial side; and D, ventral–lateral side (Figure 2a). To divide into four regions, a tangent line was drawn from the ventral side of the lumbar disk to the psoas muscle (Figure 2b). Another line was drawn parallel to the dorsoventral axis from the point of contact with the psoas muscle (Figure 2c). The ureter in the C region is surrounded by the vertebral body and the psoas muscle. It is perceived as being in the most dangerous anatomical position for a ureteral injury.

Statistical Analysis

Each variable was reported as the mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Fisher's exact test was used to analyze data to determine significant differences. All statistical analyses were performed using the Easy R (EZR) software (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University)¹²⁾. A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The spinal parameters of the subjects were as follows: lumbar lordosis = $24.0^\circ \pm 10.1^\circ$; pelvic incidence = $48.0^\circ \pm 10.0^\circ$; pelvic tilt = $30.1^\circ \pm 30.9^\circ$; and sacral slope =

24.0° ± 9.9°. In the actual surgery, there were no cases of ureteral injury secondary to intraoperative complications at our hospital.

Difference in Lumbar Disk Level

At the upper lumbar disk levels (L1-L2, L2-L3), most of the ureters were located outside the lateral dorsoventral axis from the contact point of the psoas muscle (B and D regions). At L1-L2, all (100%) the ureters were in the B and D regions. L2-L3 contained 92% of the ureters. There were 19 (40%) and 111 (60%) ureters in the B region at L1-L2 and L2-L3, respectively. Similarly, there were 28 (60%) and 59 (32%) ureters at the D region at L1-L2 and L2-L3, respectively. On the other hand, the ureters were located inside the axis (A and C regions) at the lower lumbar disk levels (L3-L4, L4-L5, L5-S). In particular in the lower lumbar areas, the greater proportion of ureters located in the C region were surrounded by the vertebral body and psoas muscle: L3-L4: 11 (6%); L4-L5: 29 (15%); and L5-S: 57 (32%) (Table 1, Figure 3).

Left–Right Difference

Regarding left–right difference, the ureters in the A region were significantly more frequent on the right side compared to the left side at L4-L5 and L5-S ($P < 0.01$). However, the ureter in the C region on the left side was significantly two times more abundant than that on the right side. (Figure 4). Especially at L4-L5, the ureter in the C region was observed in 21% of the cases on the left side and in 9% on the right side ($P = 0.046$) (Table 2).

Gender Difference

Regarding gender differences, the ureters present in the C region were significantly more common in women at the lumbar disk levels L3-L4, L4-L5, and L5-S (Table 3).

Spinal parameters and Intervertebral levels

We examined the relationship between the ureteral regions and spinal parameters. Overall, the C region showed significantly larger PT and PI and smaller SS than the other regions (Table 4). We also analyzed the relationship between the spinal

parameters and the intervertebral levels. The ureter in the C region had a larger PT at L4-L5 and L5-S (Table 5).

Discussion

In the current study, the position of the ureter was significantly different depending on the lumbar disk level and laterality. In the upper lumbar spine, the ureter was outside the lateral dorsoventral axis from the contact point of the psoas muscle (B and D regions), while in the lower lumbar spine it was inside the axis (A and C regions) (Figure 2c). Of the ureters located inside the psoas major muscle, some were found between the vertebral body and the psoas muscle, especially at the L4-L5 level. Regarding laterality, the proportion of ureters in the dangerous C region on the left was 21%. Concerning gender, ureters in the C region were more frequent in women in all intervertebral disks. When performing XLIF on L4-L5 from the left side in women, the position of the ureter has to be carefully evaluated before surgery. Also, the retroperitoneal space must be gently exposed, especially between the psoas muscle and the vertebral body.

134 The retroperitoneum is divided by fascial planes into precisely three
135 compartments as follows: the anterior and posterior pararenal spaces and the perirenal
136 space. The posterior pararenal space is confined by the anterior renal fascia (Gerota
137 fascia) and posterior renal fascia (Zuckerkindl fascia). The kidneys and proximal
138 ureters lie in the posterior pararenal space¹³⁾. In adults, the ureter is 25–30-cm long with
139 a diameter of 1.5–6 mm. In the retroperitoneum, the ureter is located just lateral to the
140 tips of the transverse processes of the lumbar vertebrae. At the beginning of the pelvic
141 inlet, it crosses the common iliac vessels. Finally, the ureter runs caudally to the
142 bladder¹⁵⁾. From a lateral perspective, the ureter crosses the posterior third of the upper
143 lumbar vertebrae, approaches the middle third at L3, and reaches the anterior third at
144 L4-L5 before descending into the pelvis¹⁶⁾. In summary, the ureter from the kidney is
145 initially located on the outside and gradually moves inward into the bladder. In this
146 study, the ureter was present outside the lateral dorsoventral axis from the contact point
147 of the psoas muscle in the upper lumbar spine (L1-L2 and L2-L3), and the proportion
148 inside the dorsoventral axis increased with the lower lumbar spine (L3-L4 and L4-L5).

149 Ureteral injury was reported with a frequency of approximately 0.3%⁸⁾. Abe
150 et al. reported one ureteral injury in 155 patients who underwent OLIF at 11
151 institutions¹⁷⁾. In the experiences of surgeons who actually injured the ureter, the
152 ureteral injury was often unnoticed during the operation. In many cases, the damage was
153 revealed by the appearance of symptoms after surgery. Since the ureter is attached to the
154 retroperitoneum, it may be visually confirmed if it is delaminated; however, the
155 damaged ureter may be in a position that is difficult to visually confirm. In particular, a
156 ureter found between the psoas major muscle and the vertebral body (C region) is the
157 most obscure in the surgical field compared to those found in other regions. It is
158 actually difficult to confirm the position of ureter. As such, we considered that there
159 was a high risk of ureteral injury in the C region. The ureter often moves ventrally along
160 with the renal fascia by firmly delaminating the front of the psoas major muscle in the
161 posterior pararenal spaces. However, it should be noted that ureters may attach to the
162 ventral edge of the psoas major muscle because of the delaminating method or fascia

adhesion. Taking this into account, the ureter in the C region is considered to have a high potential risk of injury.

LLIF is often performed from the left side, and also frequently at L4-5. In this study, the C region was significantly present in the lower lumbar spine L4-L5. Additionally, the laterality was significantly left-sided (L4-L5 left: 21%). Anatomically, the right kidney lies lower than the left, pushed down by the liver. The upper pole of the left kidney lies at the level of the 12th thoracic vertebra and that of the right kidney lies at the level of the 1st lumbar vertebra¹⁸⁾. The apex of the sigmoid colon is located anterior to the abdominal segment of the left ureter as compared with the right ureter¹⁹⁾. These anatomical left-right differences might be associated with the anatomical relationship between the vertebral body and the ureter. The current study included few cases with severe spinal deformity (SVA >9.5 cm and/or Cobb angle >30°), and future studies should investigate whether spinal deformity could be a significant factor affecting the relationship between the vertebral body and the ureter. It is not clear at which intervertebral level ureteral injury is more frequent. Kubota et al. and Sun et al.

reported cases with ureteral injuries on the left side of L4-L5, while Anand et al. reported ureteral injury on the left side of L2-L3^{9,10,20}). Preoperative examinations have not been performed in these reported cases, and it is unclear in which areas the ureter was actually present. Ureteral injuries were reported by the Japanese Research Society for anterior and lateral approach spinal surgery in 2019. There are also some reports of ureteral damage at L4-L5, and the actual position of the ureter in those cases was between the psoas major muscle and the vertebral body. Thus, the ureter in the C region at L4-L5 might be at a higher risk of injury; however, because of the limited number of cases of ureteral injury, further research is needed to identify the actual risk of ureteral injury in LLIF.

In addition, most reports of ureteral injury occurred in women^{9,10,17,20}). In our study, most of the ureters present in the C region were found in women. In terms of anatomical differences in the ureter between men and women, the mean intravesical length of the pelvic ureter is shorter in women (14.81 mm) than in men (18.69 mm)²¹). In addition, the female pelvic organs include the uterus. It is not clear why ureters were

more common in the C region in women, but such anatomical differences in the ureters and uterus have influenced this result., and further research is warranted in the future. Another finding was that the ureter in the C region had a larger PT at L4-L5 and L5-S. Presumably, the retroperitoneal organs, including the ureter, may shift dorsally in the lower lumbar levels in cases with a larger pelvic tilt.

Preoperative CT is crucial for accurately identifying the ureter. When XLIF is performed in the C region, there may be a high risk of ureteral injury because the ureter is in an obscure position due to the psoas major muscle. In OLIF, identifying the area where the ureter is located is essential so that ureteral damage in the C region is reduced. However, since the C region is close to the surgical field, careful surgical exposure is also necessary. Thus, contrast-enhanced CT is a useful assessment that provides preoperative anatomic features of the ureter and blood vessels. However, the radiation exposure during this procedure is problematic. We are currently investigating whether MRI can accurately determine the relationship between the ureter and the vertebral body and whether it is feasible to perform contrast-enhanced CT only in cases in which

identification of the ureter is difficult on MRI. Nevertheless, examining the ureter before surgery and planning for a safe surgery in any cases involving lateral-access surgery is essential. There are some limitations to this study. First, the CT imaging position was supine in this study. The actual surgical position is usually the lateral decubitus position, so it is thought that the retroperitoneal organs move from the supine position to the lateral decubitus position²²). The second limitation was that this study was retrospective in nature. In addition, this study included a small number of cases, few cases with severe deformities, and it focused on grade 1 degenerative spondylolisthesis. Therefore, large-scale research that included cases with spinal deformities is required in the future.

In conclusion, we examined the relationship between LLIF corridor and the ureteral running location using preoperative contrast-enhanced CT. On the left side of L3-L4 and L4-L5, which are the most frequently LLIF-operated vertebrae, approximately 14% of the ureters were located in the C region between the psoas major muscle and the vertebral body, and were at risk of potential ureteral injuries. Therefore,

223 we should pay attention to the ureter in the preoperative image, and the dangerous ureter
224 between the vertebral body and the psoas major muscle requires more caution during
225 surgical operation.
226

227 **References**

- 228 1. Moller DJ, Slimack NP, Acosta FL Jr, et al. Minimally invasive lateral lumbar
 229 interbody fusion and transpsoas approach-related morbidity. *Neurosurg Focus*.
 230 2011;31(4): E4.
- 231 2. Ozgur BM, Aryan HE, Pimenta L, et al. Extreme lateral interbody fusion (XLIF): a
 232 novel surgical technique for anterior lumbar interbody fusion. *Spine J*. 2006;6(4):
 233 435-43.
- 234 3. Oliveira L, Marchi L, Coutinho E, et al. A radiographic assessment of the ability of
 235 the extreme lateral interbody fusion procedure to indirectly decompress the neural
 236 elements. *Spine*. 2010;35(26): S331-7.
- 237 4. Rodgers WB, Gerber EJ, Patterson J. Intraoperative and early postoperative
 238 complications in extreme lateral interbody fusion: an analysis of 600 cases. *Spine*.
 239 2011;36(1): 26-32.
- 240 5. Shen FH, Samartzis D, Khanna AJ, et al. Minimally invasive techniques for
 241 lumbar interbody fusions. *Orthop Clin North Am*. 2007;38(3): 373-86.

- 242 6. Mobbs RJ, Phan K, Malham G, et al. Lumbar interbody fusion: techniques,
 243 indications and comparison of interbody fusion options including PLIF, TLIF,
 244 MI-TLIF, OLIF/ATP, LLIF and ALIF. J Spine Surg. 2015;1(1): 2-18.
- 245 7. Coutinho TP, Cristante AF, Marcon RM, et al. Clinical and radiological results
 246 after minimally invasive transpoas lateral access surgery for degenerative lumbar
 247 stenosis. Glob Spine J. 2020;10(5): 603-10.
- 248 8. Fujibayashi S, Kawakami N, Asazuma T, et al. Complications associated with
 249 lateral interbody fusion: nationwide survey of 2998 cases during the first two years
 250 of its use in Japan. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2017;42(19): 1478-84.
- 251 9. Kubota G, Orita S, Umimura T, et al. Insidious intraoperative ureteral injury as a
 252 complication in oblique lumbar interbody fusion surgery: a case report. BMC Res
 253 Notes. 2017;10(1): 193.
- 254 10. Anand N, Baron EM. Urological injury as a complication of the transpoas
 255 approach for discectomy and interbody fusion. J Neurosurg Spine. 2013;18(1):
 256 18-23.

- 257 11. Isiklar ZU, Lindsey RW, Coburn M. Ureteral injury after anterior lumbar interbody
 258 fusion A case report. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1996;21(20): 2379-82.
- 259 12. Kanda Y. Investigation of the freely available easy-to-use software “EZR” for
 260 medical statistics. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2013;48(3): 452-8.
- 261 13. Fujibayashi S, Otsuki B, Kimura H, et al. Preoperative assessment of the ureter
 262 with dual-phase contrast-enhanced computed tomography for lateral lumbar
 263 interbody fusion procedures. *J Orthop Sci*. 2017;22(3): 420-4.
- 264 14. Kanemura T, Satake K, Nakashima H, et al. Understanding retroperitoneal
 265 anatomy for lateral approach spine surgery. *Spine Surg Relat Res*. 2017;1(3):
 266 107-20.
- 267 15. Fröber R. Surgical anatomy of the ureter. *BJU Int*. 2007;100(4): 949-65.
- 268 16. Voin V, Kirkpatrick C, Alonso F, et al. Lateral transpsoas approach to the lumbar
 269 spine and relationship of the ureter: anatomic study with application to minimizing
 270 complications. *World Neurosurg*. 2017;104:674-8.

- 271 17. Abe K, Orita S, Mannoji C, et al. Perioperative complications in 155 patients who
 272 underwent oblique lateral interbody fusion surgery: perspectives and indications
 273 from a retrospective, multicenter survey. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2017;42(1): 55-62.
- 274 18. Dan W, Tamsin G, Surgical anatomy of the kidney and ureters. *Surgery (Oxford)*.
 275 2010;28(7): 314-6.
- 276 19. Vishy Mahadevan. Anatomy of the kidney and ureter. *Surgery (Oxford)*,
 277 2019;37(7): 359-64.
- 278 20. Yoon SG, Kim MS, Kwon SC, et al. delayed ureter stricture and kidney atrophy
 279 after oblique lumbar interbody fusion. In: *World Neurosurg*. 2020;134:137-40.
- 280 21. Magoma G, Ogeng'o J.A, Awori K. Morphometry of the pelvic ureter. *J Morphol*
 281 *Sci*. 2013;30(2): 73-6.
- 282 22. Ouchida J, Kanemura T, Satake K, et al. Anatomic evaluation of retroperitoneal
 283 organs for lateral approach surgery: a prospective imaging study using computed
 284 tomography in the lateral decubitus position. *Eur Spine J*. 2019;28(4): 835-41.

285

286 **Figure Legends**

287

288 **Table 1**

289 **Number of ureters in each intervertebral level**

290 Each table box shows a patient number (%).

291

292 **Table 2**

293 **Proportion of ureters on the left and right in each intervertebral level**

294 Each table box shows a patient number (%). n.s: not significant

295

296 **Table 3**

297 **Number of ureters in the C region and gender difference at each intervertebral**

298 **level**

299 Each table box shows a patient number (%). n.s: not significant

300

301 **Table 4**

302 **Relationship between the zone of the ureter and the spinal parameters**

303 LL: Lumber Lordosis, PI: Pelvic Incidence, PT: Pelvic Tilt, SS: Sacral Slope

304

305 **Table 5**

306 **Relationship between each region and lumbar parameters in each intervertebral**

307 **level**

308 LL: Lumber Lordosis, PI: Pelvic Incidence, PT: Pelvic Tilt, SS: Sacral Slope

309

310

311 **Figure 1**

312 **The ureter between the vertebral body and iliopsoas muscle**

313

314 **Figure 2**

315 **Classification of ureteral running location**

316 a A tangent line drawn from the ventral side of the lumbar disk to the psoas muscle

317

318 b Line drawn parallel to the dorsoventral axis from the contact point of the psoas muscle

319

320 c Divided the region where the ureter run into four regions: A: dorsal medial side, B:

321 dorsal lateral side, C: ventral medial side D: ventral lateral side

322

323 **Figure 3**

324 **Proportion of ureters in each intervertebral position**

325

326 **Figure 4**

327 **Proportion of ureters on the left and right side in all intervertebral levels**

The ureter between the vertebral body and iliopsoas muscle

30x19mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Classification of ureteral running location

- a A tangent line drawn from the ventral side of the lumbar disk to the psoas muscle

87x56mm (300 x 300 DPI)

b Line drawn parallel to the dorsoventral axis from the contact point of the psoas muscle

46x29mm (300 x 300 DPI)

c Divided into four regions A: dorsal medial side, B: dorsal lateral side, C: ventral medial side D: ventral lateral side

46x29mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Proportion of ureters in each intervertebral position

126x76mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Proportion of ureters on the left and right in all intervertebral levels

126x78mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Table 1

Number of ureters in each intervertebral level

	L1/2	L2/3	L3/4	L4/5	L5/S
A	0	14 (7.6)	52 (26.1)	113 (57.7)	122 (66.3)
B	19 (40.4)	111 (60.3)	100 (50.3)	51 (26.0)	3 (1.6)
C	0	0	11 (5.5)	29 (14.8)	58 (31.5)
D	28 (59.6)	59 (32.1)	36 (18.1)	3 (1.5)	1 (0.5)

Each table box shows a patient number (%).

Table 2

Proportion of ureters on the left and right side in each intervertebral level

	Left	Right	P-value
L1-L2			
A	0	0	n.s
B	15 (46.9)	4 (26.7)	n.s
C	0	0	n.s
D	17 (53.1)	11 (73.3)	n.s
L2-L3			
A	3 (3.1)	11 (12.8)	n.s
B	66 (67.3)	45 (52.3)	n.s
C	0	0	n.s
D	29 (29.6)	30 (34.9)	n.s
L3-L4			
A	21 (21.2)	31 (31.0)	n.s

B	57 (57.6)	43 (43.0)	<0.05
C	7 (7.1)	4 (4.0)	n.s
D	14 (14.1)	22 (22.0)	n.s
L4-L5			
A	45 (46.4)	68 (68.7)	<0.01
B	29 (29.9)	22 (22.2)	n.s
C	20 (20.6)	9 (9.1)	0.05
D	3 (3.1)	0	n.s
L5/S			
A	49 (53.3)	73 (79.3)	<0.01
B	2 (2.2)	1 (1.1)	n.s
C	40 (43.5)	18 (19.6)	<0.01
D	1 (1.1)	0	n.s

Each table box shows a patient number (%).

Table 3

Relationship between the number of ureters in the C region and gender difference at each intervertebral level

	Men	Women	P-value
L3-L4	1 (1.2)	10 (8.3)	0.04
L4-L5	4 (5.1)	25 (21.2)	<0.01
L5-LS	15 (20.0)	58 (53.2)	<0.01

Each table box shows a patient number (%).

Table 4

Relationship between the zone of the ureter and the spinal parameters

Factor	A	B	C	D	P-value
n	301	284	98	127	
Age	70.4 ± 8.6	70.9 ± 8.2	71.1 ± 8.4	68.7 ± 8.6	0.08
Cobb angle	8.2 ± 13.4	7.1 ± 13.0	10.8 ± 14.3	7.3 ± 14.3	0.12
LL	31.7 ± 37.6	29.7 ± 22.0	29.0 ± 42.6	29.7 ± 15.2	0.82
PI	47.5 ± 10.0	47.1 ± 9.6	51.4 ± 9.6	49.3 ± 10.6	<0.01
PT	23.6 ± 10.0	22.6 ± 9.8	29.2 ± 10.6	23.2 ± 9.1	<0.01
SS	23.7 ± 9.9	24.5 ± 9.6	21.9 ± 10.1	26.0 ± 9.6	0.01

mean ± SD, LL: Lumber Lordosis, PI: Pelvic Incidence, PT: Pelvic Tilt, SS: Sacral Slope

Table 5

Relationship between the zone of the ureter and lumbar parameters in each intervertebral level

	Left			Right		
	C	Others	P-value	C	Others	P-value
L3-L4						
n	7	93		4	96	
Age	73.3 ± 5.5	70.2 ± 8.6	0.35	73.5 ± 6.5	70.3 ± 8.5	0.46
Cobb angle	6.4 ± 8.4	8.3 ± 14.0	0.73	12.8 ± 9.5	8.0 ± 13.8	0.50
LL	21.3 ± 22.2	27.9 ± 15.5	0.29	15.2 ± 27.2	28.0 ± 15.4	0.12
PI	50.0 ± 6.5	47.9 ± 10.2	0.59	51.8 ± 5.5	47.9 ± 10.1	0.45
PT	31.6 ± 10.2	23.4 ± 10.0	0.04	32.5 ± 13.7	23.6 ± 9.9	0.09
SS	18.4 ± 7.1	24.4 ± 10.1	0.13	19.3 ± 9.7	24.1 ± 10.0	0.34
L4-L 5						
n	20	80		9	91	
Age	69.9 ± 10.6	70.5 ± 7.9	0.75	74.2 ± 4.8	70.0 ± 8.6	0.16
Cobb angle	13.2 ± 18.3	7.0 ± 12.1	0.07	12.3 ± 10.5	7.8 ± 14.0	0.34
LL	22.5 ± 19.5	28.7 ± 14.9	0.13	16.4 ± 15.4	28.6 ± 15.7	0.03
PI	50.5 ± 9.0	47.4 ± 10.2	0.23	51.0 ± 6.3	47.7 ± 10.3	0.36
PT	29.0 ± 10.6	22.8 ± 9.7	0.02	33.2 ± 8.2	23.0 ± 9.9	<0.01
SS	20.9 ± 10.8	24.7 ± 9.7	0.14	17.8 ± 7.2	24.5 ± 10.0	0.05
L5/S						
n	40	60		18	82	
Age	70.6 ± 8.6	70.3 ± 8.4	0.87	70.4 ± 8.2	70.4 ± 8.5	0.98
Cobb angle	9.8 ± 13.5	7.1 ± 13.8	0.33	10.7 ± 16.3	7.7 ± 13.1	0.40
LL	27.8 ± 17.5	27.2 ± 15.1	0.84	21.7 ± 17.5	28.7 ± 15.5	0.09
PI	52.4 ± 10.8	45.2 ± 8.5	<0.01	50.8 ± 11.3	47.5 ± 9.7	0.21
PT	26.9 ± 10.9	22.1 ± 9.3	0.02	30.7 ± 10.9	22.6 ± 9.5	<0.01
SS	25.1 ± 10.5	23.2 ± 9.6	0.34	19.6 ± 9.8	24.9 ± 9.8	0.04

mean $\pm$ SD, LL: Lumber Lordosis, PI: Pelvic Incidence, PT: Pelvic Tilt, SS: Sacral Slope