Influenza Vaccination in Autoimmune Rheumatic Disease Patients
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Patients suffering from autoimmune rheumatic diseases have significantly higher risk of developing various infections compared to the healthy population. Our study included patients suffering from systemic lupus erythematosus (n = 30), rheumatoid arthritis (n = 37) or Sjögren’s syndrome (n = 32), with stable underlying diseases status. In November 2010, 47 patients, including 35 subjects vaccinated annually during 2006-2010, received immunization against influenza with trivalent inactivated split vaccine, whereas 52 patients did not accept proposed vaccination in that period. The presence of viral (primarily influenza) and bacterial infections, parameters of disease activity (from the date of vaccination until April 2011), and titers of antibodies against A H1N1 were then monitored in vaccinated and unvaccinated patients. We have identified the importance of predisposing factors for influenza occurrence (i.e. previous respiratory infections and vaccinations in last five years, age, sex, type of disease and duration, medications, smoking) in those groups of patients. The incidence of influenza or bacterial complications (bronchitis) among vaccinated patients was significantly lower, compared to the non-vaccinated group. Importantly, there was no case of exacerbation of the underlying disease. The last vaccination in 2010 reduced the risk of influenza by 87%, but previous bacterial infections (bronchitis and pneumonia) increased influenza risk significantly. In the present study, we have shown the efficiency, sufficient immunogenicity and safety of modern influenza vaccine application in patients suffering from systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis or Sjögren’s syndrome.

Keywords: autoimmune rheumatic disease; efficiency; influenza vaccine; respiratory infections; safety

Tohoku J. Exp. Med., 2013 Jan, 229 (1), 29-34. © 2013 Tohoku University Medical Press

For many years, vaccination against seasonal influenza in patients suffering from autoimmune rheumatic diseases (AIRD) raised numerous controversies about the efficiency and immunogenicity of vaccination and its harmful effects on the exacerbation of the underlying disease or on inducing new autoimmune disorders.

Patients suffering from systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and other autoimmune rheumatic diseases have a significantly higher risk of developing various infections compared to the healthy population (Bosch et al. 2006; Glück and Müller-Ladner 2008). Infections are one of the leading causes of mortality in these patients (Doran et al. 2002; Cervera et al. 2003; Falagas et al. 2007). Pulmonary infections are a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in autoimmune rheumatic diseases (Leslie et al. 2007). Viral and bacterial infections in patients suffering from autoimmune rheumatic diseases can have a dramatic course due to the use of aggressive immunosuppressive therapy protocols. This requires correction or even discontinuation of immunosuppressive therapy, which has an additional harmful effect on the clinical activity of the underlying disease (Glück 2006).

The infectious agent (natural or vaccine strain) can act as a stimulant in the activation and potentiation of the immunological response, thus leading to development or exacerbation of chronic autoimmune diseases (Rose 1998; Aron-Maor and Shoenfeld 2004). The role of influenza vaccination in the development of SLE and RA has long been the subject of discussions (Nosal 2000; Shoenfeld and Rose 2004). On the other hand, there are papers about good tolerance of influenza vaccine in patients suffering from SLE and RA, with no significant difference in post vaccination clinical condition as well as in humoral response between patients and healthy control (Del Porto et al. 2006;
The underlying cause for increased susceptibility to infection is the use of immunomodulating medicines (steroids, disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs), biological medicines) and immunoregulatory disorders, which form an integral part of the very nature of autoimmune diseases (Turner-Stokes et al. 1988; Mandell et al. 2010). In addition, due to the long-term administration of immunosuppressive therapy, the efficiency and immunogenicity of vaccine against seasonal flu is usually reduced in patients suffering from autoimmune rheumatic diseases (Saag et al. 2011). However, immunization in immunocompromised patients, including patients suffering from autoimmune rheumatic disease, is the basic strategy for reducing the level of morbidity and mortality linked to the influenza virus (Avery 1999; Conti et al. 2008).

Subjects and Methods

Our cross-sectional study included three groups of patients (99 in total) suffering from SLE (n = 30), RA (n = 37) and Sjögren’s Syndrome (n = 32). Patients were randomly selected from rheumatic reference database based on availability and voluntary consent. There were 85 women (86%) and 14 men (14%), and the average age of all patients was 57.65 ± 11.59 years, and by groups: SLE, 52.34 ±12.49; RA, 58.68 ± 11.18; and Sjögren’s Syndrome (SjS), 61.28 ± 9.63 years.

In November 2010, 47 patients with stable status of underlying diseases were immunized with an inactivated trivalent split vaccine containing 15 µg HA A/California/7/2009 (H1N1), 15 µg HA A/Perth/16/2009 (H3N2) and 15 µg HA B/Brisbane/60/2008. The control group consisted of 52 patients that did not accept the proposed vaccination in period 2006-2010. We divided the three groups of patients into two subgroups depending on vaccination: vaccinated SLE1 (n = 19), RA1 (n = 15), and SjS1 (n = 13) and unvaccinated SLE2 (n = 11), RA2 (n = 22), and SjS2 (n = 19). The presence of viral (primarily influenza) and bacterial infections, parameters of disease activity (from the date of vaccination until April 2011) and titer of antibodies against A H1N1 were then monitored in vaccinated and unvaccinated patients. We did not perform swabs, but performed serological test (the complement fixation test or enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay) to identify common respiratory tract viruses (influenza virus A and B, parainfluenza virus, respiratory syncytial virus, adenovirus, cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr virus). Serological testing was performed at the Institute for Virology, Vaccines and Serums “Torlak” in Belgrade (National and World Health Organization (WHO) reference laboratory). We used the hemagglutination inhibition assay (according to the center for disease control and prevention (CDC) method) with influenza virus antigen A/California/7/2009 (H1N1) and turkey erythrocytes for proving antibodies against A H1N1. We have tested 67 sera (47 vaccinated : SLE1 (n = 19), RA1 (n = 15), and SjS1 (n = 13) and 20 unvaccinated: SLE2 (n = 9), RA2 (n = 6), and SjS2 (n = 5) at a dilution of 1/8 to 1/1,024 (no earlier than 10 weeks from start of vaccination). We considered an antibody titer with a dilution of ≥ 1/32 as the protective titer, i.e. the acquired immunity against the pandemic virus, originating from immunization, symptomatic or asymptomatic infection.

We monitored vaccination efficiency via the Geometric Mean Titer (GMT), the mean rank of antibody titers and seroprotective (SP) titer. SP titer ≥ 32 is generally accepted to be the protective antibody titer.

All patients received symptomatic treatment and antibiotics were applied in the patients with bacterial complications. Antiviral drugs were not administrated.

The study fulfills the ethical guidelines of the most recent declaration of Helsinki (Edinburgh 2000) and has received approval from the ethical committee of Medical Military Academy, Belgrade, Serbia.

Results

In all subgroups of vaccinated patients (SLE1, RA1, SjS1) the vaccine was well tolerated and there were no registered cases of exacerbation of the underlying disease. In the SLE group, influenza infection was detected in 5% SLE1 and 55% of SLE2 patients. The difference was statistically significant (p < 0.01). A comparison of total viral infections (confirmed clinical types of influenza, subclinical types of influenza and other viral infections) in subgroups SLE1 and SLE2 (26% vs. 91%) revealed a significant difference (p < 0.01). In RA patients, the difference in subgroups analyzed regarding influenza occurrence was not significant (p > 0.05) but in the incidence of total viral infections was significantly lower in vaccinated RA patients (p < 0.01). SjS patients in the vaccinated group have experienced lower occurrence of influenza and total viral infections compared to the unvaccinated group (p < 0.05 and p < 0.01, respectively). The results are presented on Table 1 and Table 2.

In all patients, we analyzed the respiratory infection incidence during the last season of immunization as well as their relation to the 2010 year vaccination and other predictors such as sex, age, duration of disease, smoking, previous respiratory infections and previous vaccinations during the 2006 - 2010 period. Previous respiratory infections were observed through epidemiological studies and patients’ hospital records.

A significant negative correlation was established between vaccinations in 2010 and onset of influenza, a more severe form of influenza, total viral infections and bacterial complications (p = 0.000, p = 0.023, p = 0.000, and p = 0.007, respectively). We established positive correlation between total viral infections and previous viral infections, bronchitis and pneumonia (p = 0.050, p = 0.000, and p = 0.013, respectively) as well as bronchitis and disease duration, smoking, previous bronchitis and pneumonia (p = 0.039, p = 0.029, p = 0.000, and p = 0.000 respectively). Results are presented on Table 3.

Using vaccination in the year 2010, previous bronchitis, previous pneumonia, previous vaccinations, and disease type as predictors, we performed a binary logistic regression analysis with influenza as dependent variable. Vaccination reduced the risk of influenza by 87% (if the values are fixed). Previous bronchitis increases influenza risk approximately eight times (8.041), while previous pneumonia increases this risk by 37 fold (37.358). Previous vaccinations and disease type were not significant predictors.
Among the vaccinated patients (47), previous vaccinations were registered in 35 patients (74%) in last five years. Using partial correlation analysis we demonstrated no significant effect of previous vaccination on the concentration of A H1N1 antibodies i.e. higher antibody titer in vaccinated patients is solely a result of vaccinations in 2010 year.

The established post vaccination geometric mean titers (GMT) of antibodies against A H1N1 were 84.17 in vaccinated and 8.80 in unvaccinated patients. The difference was statistically significant (\( p = 0.008 \)). The mean ranks of antibody titers were 39.48 in vaccinated and 19.75 in unvaccinated patients, and the difference was also statistically significant. The highest GMT of anti-influenza antibodies was in the SLE1 subgroup, 141.05, while in the SLE2 subgroup it was 8.89 (\( p = 0.018 \)). The mean rank of SLE1 subgroup was higher than that of SLE2 subgroup (17.68 and 7.78 respectively, \( p = 0.002 \)). Subgroups RA1 and SjS1 had a lower humoral response, with no significant differences in GMT compared to unvaccinated patients (RA1 38.88 vs. RA2 - 5.33 and SjS1 49.85 vs. SjS2 12.80). Despite numerical differences in titer rank in favor of vaccinated patients for both RA and SjS groups (29.15 and 33.94, respectively), no significant differences between subgroups were found (\( p = 0.075 \) and \( p = 0.109 \) respectively). There was no sig-
significant difference between groups of patients regarding the mean ranks of antibody titer \((p = 0.418)\).

Seroprotective titer \(\geq 32\) was present in 22 of 47 vaccinated patients (47%) and in 3 of 20 unvaccinated patients (15%). The difference was statistically significant \((p < 0.05)\).

It has not been proven that the use of immunosuppressants in SLE and RA patients (steroids in combination with methotrexate) significantly influenced the level of the humoral response \((p = 0.278)\).

**Discussion**

Contemporary studies have shown that the trivalent split influenza vaccine without adjuvant is safe and immunogenic in patients suffering from SLE or RA. Its use significantly reduces the risk of respiratory infections and exacerbation of autoimmune diseases (Del Porto et al. 2006; Stojanovich 2006). In our paper, the incidences of viral infections (primarily influenza) and other (secondary) bacterial complications (bronchitis) were significantly lower in vaccinated patients, and there was no case of exacerbation of the underlying disease.

Clinical studies on the importance of influenza vaccination as protection against viral infections and bacterial complications are not so common. Most studies mainly evaluated the development of the protective antibody titer after influenza vaccination \((\geq 40\), established by hemagglutination inhibition assay), as well as the safety of vaccination (van Assen 2011). In our patients we detected the highest post vaccination antibody titers in SLE vaccinated patients compared to RA and SjS vaccinated patients. Other papers reported a modest reduction or a similar humoral response in SLE patients, but compared to the healthy control group (Brodman et al. 1978; Mercado et al. 2004; Holvast et al. 2006). In several controlled studies in SLE patients a similar humoral response was established after influenza immunization compared to the healthy control group and immunosuppressive therapy has no significant effect on the response to vaccination (Louie et al. 1978; Lu et al. 2011). On the other hand, there are reports indicating a decreased humoral response in SLE patients treated with immunosuppressive therapy (Abu-Shakra et al. 2002; Wiesik-Szewczyk 2010). Our study has shown no significant effect of the administered immunomodulating therapy with hydroxychloroquine, steroids and methotrexate on the level of the post-vaccination humoral response.

Our research has established lower post-vaccination antibody titers in patients suffering from RA and SjS compared to those suffering from SLE. Numerous authors state similar efficiency of vaccination for RA patients and healthy volunteers, without any significant influence of DMARD’s or biological therapy on the humoral response (Elkayam 2006; Rahier et al. 2010). A few recent studies reported a mild or severe impairment of the humoral response after administration of biological therapy in patients suffering from RA (Gelinck et al. 2008; van Assen et al. 2010).

Regardless of the lower post-vaccination antibody titer in RA and SjS, their GMT is higher than the presumed SP titer. GMT is highest in SLE patients and is significantly higher in vaccinated patients. Our study established sufficient immunogenicity i.e. humoral response of the vaccine and its significant protective role in preventing influenza, total viral (including subclinical forms of influenza) and bacterial infections in vaccinated patients, compared to unvaccinated patients. There have been no significant differences between the frequency of influenza and total viral and bacterial infections, as well as for humoral response between SLE, RA and SjS patients. Regardless of differences in the level of antibody titers, the efficiency of vaccination in these diseases is significant and very similar, primarily as protection against influenza (both clinical and subclinical), as well as indirectly against secondary bacterial complications. Previous viral and bacterial infections were a significant predictor of repeated respiratory diseases, which in itself emphasizes the significance of vaccination against influenza for patients suffering from chronic rheumatic diseases.

In our paper, we have proved that in patients suffering from SLE, RA and SjS the benefits of vaccination by far outweigh potential harmful effects, i.e. that there is a considerably higher potential risk from exacerbation of the underlying disease caused by a viral or bacterial infection than by vaccination. During the six-month follow up period, in vaccinated patients there were no cases of clinical exacerbation of the main disease and all parameters of disease activity remained unchanged.

In spite of strong recommendation of European league against rheumatism (EULAR) regarding regular annual influenza vaccination in patients suffering from autoimmune rheumatic diseases (van Assen et al. 2011a, 2011b), fear from its adverse effects is still present. Nevertheless, the risk of exacerbation of the underlying disease after influenza vaccination should be carefully evaluated comparing to the risk of developing a potentially severe viral infection in unvaccinated patients.

**Conclusion**

We have concluded that overall influenza vaccination for patients suffering from SLE, RA or SjS is safe, efficient and sufficiently immunogenic. After several years of the monitoring of the incidence of respiratory infections, it is clearly visible that a high risk for exacerbation of the underlying disease was associated to viral or bacterial infection rather than to the vaccination itself.
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